

CHAPTER 4

CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A SIX-DIMENSIONAL NEARLY KAEHLER MANIFOLD

It is well known that the six-dimensional sphere S^6 is a nearly Kaehler manifold which is not Kaehlerian (see [13], [15]). Gray [12] has shown that S^6 does not admit a 4-dimentional holomorphic submanifold and Deshmukh-Ghazal [11] proved that there exists no 4-dimentional CR-submanifold with integrable distribution D^\perp of S^6 . The natural question arises as to whether these results are valid for arbitrary non-Kaehlerian, 6-dimensional nearly Kaehler manifold.

The main purpose of this chapter is to show that certain classes of CR-submanifolds do not exist in a nearly Kaehler manifold of dimension six, which is not Kaehlerian.

Section 1 contains a review of some results on nearly Kaehler manifold. In Section 2 we first give an affirmative answer for the above question. We also proved that a 6-dimensional non-Kaehlerian, nearly Kaehler manifold has no 3-dimensional mixed geodesic proper CR-submanifold and real

hypersurface with integrable holomorphic distribution D .

4.1 Some Results on Nearly Kaehler Manifolds

Let N be an almost Hermitian manifold. Then N is said to be of *constant type* at $x \in N$ if it satisfies the following condition

$$\|(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y\| = \|(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z\|$$

for $X, Y, Z \in T_x N$ with $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, Z \rangle = \langle X, JY \rangle = \langle X, JZ \rangle = 0$ and $\|Y\| = \|Z\|$.

We say that N is of (*pointwise*) *constant type* if it is of constant type at each point $x \in N$. Finally, if N is of pointwise constant type and $\|(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y\|$ is constant, for any unit vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ with $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, JY \rangle = 0$, then we say that N is of *global constant type*.

We have the following simple characterization of a nearly Kaehler manifold of constant type.

Proposition 4.1 (Gray [14])

Let N be a nearly Kaehler manifold. Then N is of pointwise constant type if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(N)$

such that

$$\|(\tilde{\nabla}_X^J)Y\|^2 = \alpha\{\|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2 - \langle X, JY \rangle^2\} \quad (4.1)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$. Furthermore, N is of global constant type if and only if (4.1) holds with a constant function α .

A nearly Kaehler manifold N is said to be *strict* if for all $x \in N$ and non-zero vector $X \in T_x N$ we have $(\tilde{\nabla}_X^J)X \neq 0$.

The following theorems are found in Gray [16].

Theorem 4.1

Let N be a nearly Kaehler manifold with $\dim N = 6$. Assume that N is not Kaehlerian. Then it is of global constant type, that is

$$\|(\tilde{\nabla}_X^J)Y\|^2 = \alpha\{\|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2 - \langle X, JY \rangle^2\}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ and α is a positive constant.

4.2 CR-submanifolds of a 6-dimensional Nearly Kaehler Manifold

We begin this section with the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then we have the following

$$(i) \quad \text{if } \dim \nu = 2 \text{ then } (\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi} J)\eta = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } \dim D = 2 \text{ then } (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\nu)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof:

Suppose that $\dim \nu = 2$. Then for any non-zero normal vector field $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$, $\{\xi, J\xi\}$ is a local frame on ν . Hence, a normal vector field $\eta \in \Gamma(\nu)$ can be written as $a\xi + bJ\xi$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi} J)\eta &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi} J)(a\xi + bJ\xi) \\ &= a(\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi} J)\xi - bJ(\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi} J)\xi \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\dim D = 2$, then for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ we have $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0$. ■

Lemma 4.2

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then we have the following

(i) if $\dim D^\perp = 1$ then $(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W = 0$

(ii) if $\dim D^\perp = 2$ and D^\perp is integrable then
 $(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W \in \Gamma(\nu)$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Proof:

Suppose that $\dim D^\perp = 1$. Then for any non-zero vector fields $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we have $W = \alpha Z$ where $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(M)$.

Therefore

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W = \alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)Z = 0.$$

Now, suppose D^\perp is integrable and it is of dimension 2.

We observe that if $\{Z, W\}$ is a local frame on D^\perp , then $\{JZ, JW\}$ is a local frame on JD^\perp . Since N is nearly Kaehler, by using (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, Z \rangle = -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)Z, W \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, W \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, JZ \rangle = -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_W J)Z, JZ \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, JW \rangle = 0.$$

Also, from Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, X \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for any } X \in \Gamma(D).$$

Therefore,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W \in \Gamma(\nu). \blacksquare$$

Lemma 4.3

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . If $\dim D = 4$ then

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_U J)V \perp D, \quad \text{for any } U, V \in \Gamma(D).$$

Moreover, if D is integrable then $(\tilde{\nabla}_U J)V = 0$.

Proof:

Suppose $\dim D = 4$ and let $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$ be any local frame on D . To prove the first part, since N is nearly Kaehler, it suffices to show that $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \perp D$. We can see that

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, X \rangle = -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Y J)X, X \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, JX \rangle = -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Y J)X, JX \rangle = 0$$

and
$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, Y \rangle = \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, JY \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \perp D$.

Now, if D is integrable then from Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \in \Gamma(D), \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

Since $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \perp D$, we have $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0$. ■

We are now ready to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2

Let N be a 6-dimensional non-Kaehlerian nearly Kaehler manifold. Then there exists no CR-submanifold M of N with integrable holomorphic distribution D of dimension 4.

Proof:

Suppose such a CR-submanifold M does exist. Let X, Y be two unit vector fields in D with $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, JY \rangle = 0$. Then from Theorem 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y\|^2 &= \alpha\{\|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2 - \langle X, JY \rangle^2\} \\ &= \alpha\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 tells us that $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0$. Thus, we obtain $\alpha = 0$, which is a contradiction because α is a positive constant. Thus the proposition is proved. ■

We observe that if M is a real hypersurface of a 6-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold, the distribution D is necessary of dimension 4. Together with Proposition 4.2, this yields the following result.

Theorem 4.2

Let N be a 6-dimensional non-Kaehlerian nearly Kaehler manifold. Then

- (i) it has no 4-dimensional holomorphic submanifold,
- (ii) it has no real hypersurface with integrable holomorphic distribution D .

From Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.1, we also obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.3

There does not exist a 4-dimensional CR-submanifold with integrable totally real distribution D^\perp in a 6-dimensional non-Kaehlerian, nearly Kaehler manifold N .

Proof:

Let M be a 4-dimensional CR-submanifold of N . If D is of dimension 4, then M is a holomorphic submanifold. But this is impossible by Theorem 4.2. Therefore, both D and D^\perp are of dimension 2. Now, suppose D^\perp is integrable, then by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W \in \Gamma(\nu)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. We note that M is an anti-holomorphic submanifold, that is $\nu = \{0\}$ and so

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

By using (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z, Y \rangle &= -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, Z \rangle = 0 \\ \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z, W \rangle &= -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, W \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, X \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z, JW \rangle &= -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, JW \rangle \\ &= -\langle J(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, X \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. Therefore

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. By using Theorem 4.1 and (4.4), we obtain

$$\alpha \|X\|^2 \|Z\|^2 = 0.$$

In particular, for $\|X\| = \|Z\| = 1$ we have $\alpha = 0$. But this contradicts the fact that α is a positive constant and the theorem is proved. ■

Theorem 4.4

There does not exist a 3-dimensional mixed geodesic proper CR-submanifold of a 6-dimensional non-Kaehlerian, nearly Kaehler manifold.

Proof:

Assume that M is a 3-dimensional mixed geodesic proper CR-submanifold of N . Then we have $\dim D = 2$ and $\dim D^\perp = 1$.

From Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y = 0$$

and

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, Y \rangle &= -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z, Y \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, Z \rangle \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, W \rangle = -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, X \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, JW \rangle = \langle J(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, X \rangle = 0.$$

Furthermore, for any $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, \xi \rangle &= \langle fh(Z, \phi X) + f\nabla_Z^\perp \omega X - Ch(Z, X), \xi \rangle \quad \text{by (2.19)} \\ &= \langle h(Z, \phi X) - Ch(Z, X), \xi \rangle \\ &= 0, \quad \text{since } M \text{ is mixed geodesic.}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,
$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. By using Theorem 4.1 and (4.5) we obtain

$$\alpha \|X\|^2 \|Z\|^2 = 0$$

Thus, we have $\alpha = 0$, which is a contradiction and this complete the proof. ■

Remark: Theorem 4.4 implies that there exist no 3-dimensional mixed geodesic proper CR-submanifold of S^6 . However, Sekigawa [25] has constructed an example of a 3-dimensional minimal proper CR-submanifold of S^6 with both distribution D and D^\perp integrable.