

A STUDY OF REFUSAL STRATEGIES USED BY MALAYSIAN
TEENAGERS

PHAVEENA PRIMSUWAN

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR

MARCH 2010

A STUDY OF REFUSAL STRATEGIES USED BY MALAYSIAN
TEENAGERS

PHAVEENA PRIMSUWAN

RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTERS OF LINGUISTICS

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR

MARCH 2010

UNIVERSITY MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: **Phaveena Primsuwan** (I.C/Passport Number: **810823-02-5204**)

Registration/ Matric No: **TGC060018**

Name of Degree: **Masters of Linguistics**

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis (“this Work”):
A Study of Refusal Strategies used by Malaysian Teenagers

Field of Study:

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya (“UM”), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate’s Signature

Date

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness’s Signature

Date

Name: Associate Prof. Dr. Kuang Ching Hei

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Kuang Ching Hei, who has patiently supervised me throughout the preparation of this research report. Her careful reading and constructive comments were the most valuable. Her encouragement and support also motivates me to strive for professional growth.

Secondly, my undying gratitude goes to my students, who gave me their cooperation by permitting me to record their conversations.

Thirdly, I would like to express my appreciation to all the lecturers and the administrative staff of the Faculty of Languages and Linguistics, for their guidance, support and assistance throughout my course.

Last but not least, I would also like to thank my family: my parents, Prak Moan and Kim Nee, for educating me with all the knowledge about life, for unconditional support and encouragement to pursue my interest, and for believing in me. My gratitude also goes to my sisters, Maleesa and Maneeda, who have been my best friends all my life, for listening to my complaints and frustrations, and for being kind in helping and giving me strength and encouragement. Most importantly, credit must be given to my husband, Surijan, for always being there when I needed him the most, for always believing in me no matter how dubious my decisions were, and for always giving me support and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
DECLARATION	ii	
ABSTRACT	iii	
ABSTRAK	iv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi	
LIST OF TABLES	ix	
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		
1.0	Speech Act and Topic Introduction	1
1.1	Statement of Problem	2
1.2	Significance of the Study	2
1.3	Objectives of the Study	3
1.4	Research Questions	4
1.5	Limitations	4
1.6	Conclusion	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.0	Introduction	6
2.1	Relevant Theories	6
	2.1.1 Speech Act Theory	6
	2.1.2 Politeness Theory and Face Threatening Acts	8
	2.1.3 Refusal as a Face Threatening Act	9
	2.1.4 Beebe, Takahashi, & Uliss-Weltz (1990) Refusal Strategies	11
	2.1.5 Language Proficiency	13
2.2	Studies Which Look at Refusals	15
2.3	Conclusion	20

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.0	Introduction	21
3.1	Research Design	21
3.2	Data Collection	24
3.3	Background of the Participants	24
3.4	Research Procedure	28
3.5	Analysis of Data	28
3.6	Conclusion	29

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

4.0	Introduction	30
4.1	Direct or Indirect Strategy	30
4.1.1	Direct Strategy	30
4.1.1.1	Performative Statement	31
4.1.1.2	Non-Performative Statement - No	33
4.1.1.3	Negative Willingness/Ability	44
4.1.2	Indirect Strategy	48
4.1.2.1	Avoidance- Repetition of Part of Request	49
4.1.2.2	Acceptance that Functions as a Refusal/Disagreement - Unspecific or Indefinite Reply	53
4.1.2.3	Statement of Alternative	56
4.1.2.4	Excuse, Reason, Explanation	57
4.1.2.5	Non-Verbal	61
4.2	Number of Turns	64
4.3	Language Proficiency	66
4.4	Conclusion	68

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.0	Introduction	69
-----	--------------	----

5.1	Summary of Findings	69
5.2	Implications of the Study	74
5.3	Recommendations for Further Research	75
5.5	Conclusion	75

REFERENCES	77
-------------------	----

APPENDICES

Appendix 1	83
Appendix 2	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.		Page
Table 2.1	Beebe et al's Classification of Refusals	12
Table 3.1	Core papers for SPM	23
Table 3.2	Grading System for SPM	23
Table 3.3	Background of participants	25
Table 3.4	Classification of Refusals Found in the data	29
Table 4.1	Direct and indirect strategies used by subjects	30
Table 4.2	Direct Strategies used by subjects	31
Table 4.3	Indirect Strategies used by subjects	49
Table 4.4	Number of turns involved in refusals	65
Table 4.5	Refusal strategy chosen based on SPM English	67