

**MEDIA REPRESENTATION OF THE DISABLED:  
A CRITICAL DISCOURSE APPROACH**

**ANG PEI SOO**

**FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA  
KUALA LUMPUR**

**APRIL 2010**

**MEDIA REPRESENTATION OF THE DISABLED:  
A CRITICAL DISCOURSE APPROACH**

**ANG PEI SOO**

**THIS DISSERTATION IS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL  
FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF LINGUISTICS**

**FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA  
KUALA LUMPUR**

**APRIL 2010**

**UNIVERSITI MALAYA**  
**ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION**

Name of Candidate : **ANG PEI SOO** I.C/ Passport No. : **740909-02-5300**  
Registration / Matric No : **TGC 040027**  
Name of Degree : **MASTER OF LINGUISTICS**  
Title of Project Paper / Research Report / Dissertation /Thesis ("this Work") :  
**MEDIA REPRESENTATION OF THE DISABLED : A CRITICAL DISCOURSE APPROACH**  
Field of Study : **CRITICAL DISCOURSE ANALYSIS**

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that :

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work of the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form and by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

*Candidate's Signature*

Date : **15 April 2010**

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

*Witness's Signature*

Date :

Name : **PROFESSOR DR. ZURAIDAH MOHD DON**  
Designation : **PROFESSOR / SUPERVISOR**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to extend my heartfelt appreciation the following people for their expert advice, passion, continuous moral support, patience and understanding:

- a) Professor Dr. Zuraidah Mohd. Don (supervisor)
- b) My beloved family members
- c) Some very encouraging friends cum colleagues

I would also like to thank Prof Loga whose paper, presented at a particular conference, had first inspired me with the area of study of this dissertation.

This dissertation is dedicated to all of you.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	iii
<b>Abstrak</b>	iv
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	v
<b>Table of Contents</b>	vi
<b>List of Tables</b>	ix
<b>List of Figures</b>	x
<b>List of Appendices</b>	xi

### **CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION**

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background to Study	2
1.1.1	News Reporting in Malaysian English Mainstream Press	2
1.1.2	Who are Categorised as the Disabled in Malaysia?	4
1.1.3	Towards a Caring Society : A Socio-Political Effort	8
1.1.4	Voices from the Disabled People's Movement	11
1.2	Statement of the Problem	14
1.3	Aim	15
1.4	Research Questions	15
1.5	Significance of Study	15
1.6	Limitations of Study	16
1.7	Key concepts	17
1.8	Conclusion	20

## **CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.0	Introduction	21
2.1	Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA)	21
2.1.1	What is 'Discourse'?	21
2.1.2	The Conception of CDA	22
2.1.3	Principles of CDA	23
2.2	Fairclough's 3-Dimensional Framework	25
2.2.1	Discourse as Texts	26
2.2.2	Discourse and Discursive Practices	27
2.2.3	Discourse and Social Practices	28
2.2.4	Further on 'Intertextuality'	31
2.3	Malaysian Studies of CDA	33
2.4	Critiques of CDA	37
2.5	Constructions of Disability	40
2.5.1	Individual Models : Moral, Medical & Disability	41
2.5.2	Social Model	44
2.5.3	CDA and Representations of Disability	49

## **CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	54
3.1	Data : Descriptions and Rationale	54
3.1.1	Primary Data : News Reports and Articles	54
3.1.2	Secondary Data : Interview / Informants	58
3.2	Procedures : Data Collection and Analysis	59
3.2.1	Preliminary Study	59
3.2.2	Actual Study : Analytical Tools and Stages	61
3.2.2.1	Descriptions	63
3.2.2.2	Interpretation	66
3.2.2.3	Explanation	67
3.3	Presentation of Analysis and Findings	67

<b>CHAPTER 4 : DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS</b>	69
4.0 Introduction	69
4.1 Misrepresentation of the Disabled	69
4.1.1 Nouns Attached to Preposition Phrase ‘With’	70
4.1.2 Adverbs/Adjectives Qualifying as Nouns	72
4.1.3 ‘Special’ Attached as an Adjective	74
4.1.4 Who Have Misrepresented the Disabled?	75
4.2 Representation of Low Social Standing in Society	78
4.2.1 Lexical Choices	78
4.2.2 Discourse Representation	82
4.3 Objectification of the Disabled	93
4.4 Discussions of Findings	107
4.4.1 Discursive Construction of Disability	108
4.4.2 Social Practices	111
<b>CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION</b>	116
5.0 Introduction	116
5.1 Media Representation of the Disabled	116
5.1.1 Summary	116
5.1.2 Evaluation of Fairclough’s Framework	117
5.2 Future Directions	118
5.2.1 Reconstructing Special Language	118
5.2.2 Limitations of Study	120
5.2.3 Future Studies	122
5.3 Conclusion	123
<b>REFERENCES</b>	124

## LIST OF TABLES

		<b>Page</b>
Table 1.1	Registered distribution of disabled according to states	4
Table 1.2	Registered categories of disabled in Malaysia	7
Table 3.1	Circulation of the Malaysian English newspapers	55
Table 3.2	Readership of the Malaysian English newspapers	56
Table 3.3	List of interviewees	59
Table 3.4	Categories of data	65
Table 4.1	Categories of euphemistic noun phrases	70
Table 4.2	Euphemistic and non-euphemistic terms : Comparison of frequency of occurrence	76
Table 4.3	List of evaluative words and phrases	79
Table 4.4	List of texts on charity discourse with business intent embedded	94

## LIST OF FIGURES

		<b>Page</b>
Figure 1.1	The Circuit of Culture	18
Figure 2.1	Fairclough's 3-Dimensional CDA framework	25
Figure 2.2	Fairclough : Text Analysis	30
Figure 2.3	Intertextuality	31

## LIST OF APPENDICES

		<b>Page</b>
Appendix A	Summary of Fairclough’s framework	135
Appendix B	List of reports/articles studied	137
Appendix C	List of reports/articles originally collected	141
Appendix D	Summary of findings from preliminary study	150
Appendix E	Symbols & findings from pilot study	151
Appendix F	Interview questions	153
Appendix G	Types and frequency of occurrence of euphemistic terms	154
Appendix H	List of euphemistic terms found in data	156
Appendix I	Types and frequencies of non-euphemistic terms	162
Appendix J	List of non-euphemistic terms found in data	163
Appendix K	List of extracts with ideas on ‘cheering up the disabled’	168
Appendix L	List of extracts related to corporate social responsibility (CSR)	169
Appendix M	Article No. 272 : Disabled can now go to the cinema	170
Appendix N(i)	Transcript and summary of interview with <i>Beautiful Gate Disabled People Caring Centre</i>	171
Appendix N(ii)	Transcript and summary of interview with the <i>National Autistic Society of Malaysia (NASOM)</i>	174
Appendix N(iii)	Transcript and summary of interview with <i>Dyslexia Society of Malaysia</i>	176
Appendix N(iv)	Transcript and summary of interview with <i>Chinese Disabled Society of Malaysia</i>	181

**N.B.** *In this study, the terms ‘disabled’ and ‘disability’ will be used as general references to all forms or sub-types of disabilities. For specific categorisations by the Department of Social Welfare, Malaysia (2005), see Section 1.1.2 of this dissertation.*