

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	i
Abstract	ii
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	viii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 History of Dengue	1
1.3 Dengue Infection	4
1.4 Global Impact of Dengue	5
1.5 Situation of Dengue in Malaysia	6
1.6 Objectives of the Study	12
1.7 Significance of the Study	12
1.8 Organization of the Paper	13
Chapter 2. Literature Review	15
2.1 Scope of Review	15
2.2 Profile and Management of Dengue Patients	15
2.3 Clinical and Laboratory Findings on Dengue Infection	17
2.4 Summary	25
Chapter 3. Data and Methodology	26
3.1 Overview	26
3.2 Data	26
3.3 Missing Data	28
3.4 New Variables	29
3.5 General Statistical Method	30
3.6 Multiple Logistic Regression	31
3.7 Other Consideration	35

Chapter 4.	The Profile and Management of Dengue Patients	36
4.1	Overview	36
4.2	Demographics of Patients	37
4.3	Clinical Diagnosis of Dengue	44
4.4	Length of Stay	47
4.5	Admission of Dengue Patients	49
4.6	Dengue Serology Test	52
4.7	Notification of Clinical Dengue Cases	54
4.8	Clinical and Laboratory Observation of Child and Adult Dengue Patients	55
4.9	Clinical Diagnosis of DF/DHF and the WHO Guidelines	58
4.10	Summary	66
Chapter 5.	The Prediction of Dengue Serology Test Results	67
5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Model Estimation – Logistic Regression	68
5.3	Model Interpretation	71
5.4	Model Fit Assessment	76
5.5	Model Diagnostic	81
5.6	Concluding Remarks	82
Chapter 6.	The Classification of Clinical Dengue Cases	83
6.1	Introduction	83
6.2	Classification of the Clinical Diagnosis of Dengue Infection	83
6.2.1	Classification of Dengue Infection in Child Patients	84
6.2.2	Classification of Dengue Infection in Adult Patients	93
6.4	Concluding Remarks	100
Chapter 7.	Conclusion	102
7.1	Overview	102
7.2	Summary of Findings	102
7.3	Discussion and Limitations of the Study	107
7.4	Future Study	110
Reference		111
Appendix		114

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Reports of dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever for the world, 1956 – 1995	5
1.2 Number of reported dengue cases and death in Malaysia, 1997-2002	10
2.1 World Health Organization case definition for dengue fever, dengue haemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome	20
2.2 Clinical and laboratory manifestations of adult DF/DHF cases in the 1973-74 and 1982 outbreaks in Malaysia	22
2.3 Comparison of DHF manifestations in 34 children admitted to University Hospital, Kuala Lumpur in the 1982 outbreak with the findings in Thai children diagnosed as DHF	23
2.4 Clinical features compared in 61 DHF cases (1962-64) and 102 DF cases (1967-68) in Penang, Malaysia	24
3.1 Listing of variables and description	27
3.2 Threshold value specification for the diagnostic test	35
4.1 Percentage distribution of cases by ethnicity and gender	38
4.2 Descriptive statistics of age for all cases (Valid N = 730)	39
4.3 Percentage distribution of child and adult cases by gender	42
4.4 Percentage distribution of child and adult cases by ethnicity	43
4.5 Percentage distribution of clinical diagnosis by gender (N = 714)	46
4.6 Percentage distribution of clinical diagnosis by ethnicity (N = 715)	46
4.7 Length of stay for all admitted patients (N = 406), adult and child cases	47
4.8 Length of stay by clinical diagnosis (N = 399)	48
4.9 Percentage distribution of child and adult by admission	49
4.10 Percentage distribution of clinical diagnosis by admission	49

Table		Page
4.11	Percentage distribution of admission and thrombocytopenia of 50,000 per mm ³ or less (column percentage)	50
4.12	Percentage distribution of admission and thrombocytopenia of 50,000 per mm ³ or less (row percentage)	50
4.13	Percentage distribution of admission and hematocrit change of 50% or more (column percentage)	51
4.14	Percentage distribution of admission and hematocrit change of 50% or more (row percentage)	51
4.15	Frequency and percentage distribution for the notification of DF/DHF to the District Health Office	54
4.16	Percentage distribution of DF/DHF notification and final dengue serology test results (row percentage)	54
4.17	Percentage of clinical and laboratory findings of child and adult clinically diagnosed as having dengue infection	57
4.18	Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> test for symptoms grouped by child or adult	58
4.19	Cross-tabulation of clinical diagnosis and diagnosis as per the WHO guideline	59
4.20	Percentage of clinical and laboratory findings for the DF and DHF cases diagnosed as per the WHO guideline grouped by child and adult	61
4.21	Percentage of clinical and laboratory findings for the DF and DHF cases clinically diagnosed by the medical doctors grouped by child and adult	63
4.22	Percentage of clinical and laboratory findings for children and adults grouped by the diagnosis of DF and DHF as per the WHO guideline	65
5.1	Likelihood ratio test and odds ratio for the dengue serology test result of the adult patients	69
5.2	Estimated logistic regression model for the dengue serology test result of adult patients	71
5.3	Adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the estimated logistic model in Table 5.2	72

Table		Page
5.4	Estimated probability of positive dengue serology test under different conditions based on equation (2)	74
5.5	Classification performance of the estimated logistic model in Table 5.2	76
5.6	Measures of classification accuracy for the estimated logistic model in Table 5.2	79
5.7	Summary of diagnostic tests for influential observations	81
6.1	Likelihood ratio test and odd ratio for the clinical dengue classification of child patients	85
6.2	Estimated logistic regression model for the clinical dengue classification in child patients	86
6.3	Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for the estimated logistic model in Table 6.2	88
6.4	Classification performance of the estimated logistic model in Table 6.2	89
6.5	Measures of classification accuracy for the estimated logistic model in Table 6.2	89
6.6	Likelihood ratio test and odds ratio for clinical dengue classification of adult patients	94
6.7	Estimated logistic regression model for the clinical dengue classification in adult patients	95
6.8	Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for the estimated logistic model in Table 6.7	96
6.9	Classification performance of the estimated logistic model in Table 6.7	97
6.10	Measures of classification accuracy for the estimated logistic model in Table 6.7	97

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 The general distribution of dengue fever and/or dengue haemorrhagic fever, 1975 – 1996	3
1.2 The spectrum of dengue virus infection	5
1.3 Incidence Rate (I.R. per 100,000 population) of reported dengue in Malaysia, 1980-2002	8
1.4 Case Fatality Rate according to DHF and DF & DHF in Malaysia, 1984-2002	11
4.1 Percentage distribution of cases by gender	37
4.2 Percentage distribution of cases by ethnicity	37
4.3 Frequency histogram of age group	39
4.4 Boxplot of age by gender of patients	40
4.5 Boxplot of age by ethnicity of patients	41
4.6 Percentage distribution of cases by child and adult patients	42
4.7 Percentage distribution of dengue patients by clinical diagnosis	44
4.8 Percentage distribution of child and adult dengue patients by clinical diagnosis	45
4.9 The percentage of clinical cases tested serologically and the result breakdown	52
4.10 Percentage of positive dengue serology result by clinical diagnosis	53
5.1 Estimated probability of positive dengue serology test for adult patients under different condition of platelet count at admission, skin rash and abdominal pain	75
5.2 Plot of sensitivity and specificity for all possible cut-points using the estimated logistic model in Table 5.2	77
5.3 Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for the estimated logistic model in Table 5.2	78

Figure		Page
6.1	Plot of sensitivity and specificity for all possible cut-points using the estimated logistic model in Table 6.2	90
6.2	Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve for the estimated logistic model in Table 6.2	91
6.3	Estimated probability for the hematocrit change in adult patients for the classification of clinical dengue cases	96
6.4	Plot of sensitivity and specificity for all possible cut-points using the estimated logistic model in Table 6.7	98
6.5	Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve for the estimated logistic model in Table 6.7	99