

**THE SUBSTANTIVE INDEPENDENCE OF THE JUDICIARY UNDER
THE CONSTITUTIONS OF BANGLADESH AND MALAYSIA: A
COMPARATIVE STUDY**

**A Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Law at the University of Malaya
in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the Degree of**

MASTER OF LAWS (LLM)

By

M. Ehteshamul Bari

(Matric No: LGA090040)

Faculty of Law

University of Malaya

February 2011

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: M. EHTESHAMUL BARI (I.C/Passport No: E0414046)

Registration/Matric No: LGA 090040

Name of Degree: LLM (DISSERTATION)

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis ("this Work"):

The Substantive Independence of the Judiciary Under
the Constitutions of Malaysia & Bangladesh : A Comparative
Study
Field of Study:

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.



Candidate's Signature

Date 16.03.2011

Subscribed and solemnly declared before, Puan Ummu Amman BT Abd. Karim



Witness's Signature

Date 16.3.2011

Name: UMMU AMMAN BT ABD KARIM
Designation: SENIOR ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Acknowledgements

I owe my deep and profound debt of gratitude to my supervisor Associate Professor. Dr. Johan Shamsuddin Sabarudin, Deputy Dean, Faculty of Law, University of Malaya under whose adept, conscientious and masterly guidance the Dissertation has taken its final shape. I have immensely benefited from his comments, observations and suggestions throughout my research work. It is he who played a crucial and pivotal role in supporting my research proposal in the Faculty meeting.

I am beholden and thankful to Prof. Dr. Choong Yeow Choy, Ex-Dean of the Faculty of Law, University of Malaya for his positive frame of mind and keen interest in placing my application for admission into the LLM(by Dissertation) Programme before the Faculty meeting and getting it approved. I have always found him supportive and cooperative.

The Faculty and Library Staffs were always helpful and courteous. I am appreciative of those who were generous in giving me interviews in spite of their busy schedules.

I am deeply indebted to my father Prof. Dr. M. Ershadul Bari, Former Vice-Chancellor, Bangladesh Open University and Ex-Dean, Faculty of Law, University of Dhaka, who was endlessly helpful with many useful comments, suggestions and fruitful ideas. Furthermore, he provided me with vital and significant documents in connection with the independence of judiciary in national and international context. In particular, I owe him special thanks for his invaluable role in my life both as a father and a teacher.

I owe special thanks to my mother Mrs. Salma Atiya Bari who was a constant source of inspiration, stimulation and encouragement throughout my research work. I would also like to express my sincere appreciation to my younger sister Safia Naz who always cheerfully agreed to extend her cooperation whenever asked.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgments.....	iv
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
A. Judiciary’s Role as an Organ of the Government.....	1
B. Judicial Independence as a Result of the Application of Doctrine of the Separation of Powers.....	3
C. Traditional and New Conceptual Dimensions (Four Meanings) of Judicial Independence.....	5
i) Traditional Meaning of Judicial Independence.....	5
ii) Four Meanings of Judicial Independence.....	6
a) Substantive Independence.....	7
b) Personal Independence.....	9
c) Collective Independence or Financial and Administrative Independence.....	10
d) Internal Independence.....	12
D. Importance of an Independent and Impartial Judiciary in a Democratic State.....	13
i) Rule of Law.....	14
ii) Fair Justice	17
iii) Fundamental Rights.....	18
iv) Public Confidence in Judicial Impartiality.....	21
E. The Constitution of Malaysia and the Independence of Superior Courts.....	24
F. The Constitution of Bangladesh and the Independence of Superior Judiciary.....	34

Chapter II: The Method of Appointment of Judges to the Superior Courts of Malaysia Under the Federal Constitution and the Judicial Appointments Commission.....45

A. Method of Appointment of Judges to Superior Courts in Malaysia.....	50
A.1. Method of Appointment of Judges under the Constitution of the Federation of Malaya, 1957.....	50
A.2. Method of Appointment of Judges under the Constitution (Amendment) Act, 1960.....	52
B. Method of Appointment of Judges of the Superior Courts under the Constitution of the Federation of Malaysia, 1963.....	53
a) General Provisions for the Appointment of the Chief Justice of the Federal Court and other Judges of the Three Superior Courts.....	63
b) Special Provisions Involving Additional Requirement of Consultation for the Appointment of Judges of the Superior Courts and the Heads of the Court of Appeal and the two High Courts.....	65
c) Appointment of Additional Judge in the Federal Court.....	69
d) Appointment of Judicial Commissioners in the Two High Courts.....	70
C. Judicial Appointments Commission Act, 2009.....	75
C.1. Background of Enacting the Act.....	75
C.2. Composition of the Commission.....	84
C.3. Establishment of the Judicial Appointments Commission.....	86
C.4. Functions and Powers of the Commission.....	89
C.5. Selection Criteria.....	89
C.6. Selection Process/Procedure.....	91
C.6.1. Advertisement of Vacancy.....	91
C.6.2. Vacancies in the High Courts.....	92

C.6.3. Vacancies in the Federal Court and the Court of Appeal.....	92
C.6.4. Vetting and Screening the Application or Proposal for Appointment in the Superior Courts.....	95
C.6.5. Selection Meeting.....	96
C.6.6. Tender of Advice.....	100
C.7. Independence of the Commission.....	100
D. Whether the Judicial Appointments Commission Act is a Valid Piece of Legislation?.....	103
E. Constitutional and Statutory Provisions in Malaysia Concerning the Number of Judges of Superior Courts.....	108
E.1. Number of Judges of the Court of Appeal.....	109
E.2. Number of High Court Judges.....	110
Chapter III: Method of Appointment of the Judges of the Supreme Court Under the Constitution of Bangladesh, 1972 (as Amended from Time to Time by the Civilian and Martial Law Regimes) and the Defunct Supreme Judicial Commission Ordinance, 2008.....	113
A. Appointment of Judges to the Supreme Court of Bangladesh.....	114
A.1. The Appointment of the Chief Justice Of Bangladesh.....	115
A.1.1. Violation of the Convention of Seniority in Appointing Chief Justice of Bangladesh.....	123
A.1.2. Supersession During the Regime of the B.N.P-Jamaat Alliance (2001- 2006).....	123
A.1.3. Supersession During the Non-Party Care-taker Government (2007- 2008).....	124
A.1.4. Supersession During the Present Awami League Government (2009- todate).....	125
A.1.5. Justification for Observing the Convention of Seniority in Appointing the Chief Justice Of Bangladesh.....	128

A.2. Appointment of Judges of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court.....	131
A.2.1. Deleting the Constitutional Provision Regarding Consultation by the Constitution (Fourth Amendment) Act, 1975.....	135
A.2.2. Restoration of Constitutional Provision Concerning Consultation And Deletion Again by the First Martial Law Regime (1975-1979).....	137
A.2.3. Method of Appointment of Judges Under the Second Martial Law Regime (1982-1986).....	143
A.2.4. Violation of The Convention of Seniority in Appointing Judges of the Appellate Division.....	145
A.2.5. Contravention of the Principle of Seniority in Appointing Judges of the Appellate Division by the First Martial Law Regime (August 1975- April 1979).....	145
A.2.6. Violation of the Principle of Seniority in Elevating Judges to the Appellate Division by the Second Martial Law Regime (24 March 1982- November 1986).....	146
A.2.7. Breach of the Principle of Seniority in Appointing Judges of the Appellate Division by the Civilian Regime of H.M. Ershad (1986-1990).....	146
A.2.8. Violation of the Principle of Seniority in Elevating Judges to the Appellate Division During the Civilian Regime of the Bangladesh Nationalist Party (B.N.P) (1991-1996).....	147
A.2.9. Violation of the Convention of Seniority in Appointing Appellate Division Judges During the Awami League Regime (1996-2001).....	147
A.2.10. Contravention of the Convention of Seniority in Appointing Judges of the Appellate Division During the Bangladesh Nationalist Party (B.N.P) Regime (2001-2006).....	155
A.2.11. Convention of Seniority in Appointing Judges of the Appellate Division During the Present Awami League Regime (January 2009- To Date, 2010).....	156
A.3. Appointment of Judges of the High Court Division.....	158

A.3.1. Lexicon Meaning of Consultation.....	163
A.3.2. Judicially Interpreted Meaning of Consultation.....	164
A.3.3. Judicial Interpretation of Conventional Consultation with the Chief Justice in Bangladesh.....	166
B. Supreme Judicial Commission of Bangladesh.....	187
B.1. Background.....	187
B.2. Composition of the Supreme Judicial Commission.....	190
B.3. Selection Process.....	192
B.4. Functions and Selection Criteria.....	194
B.5. Selection Meeting of the Commission.....	194
B.6. Consideration of Report by the President.....	196
B.7. Whether the Supreme Judicial Commission Ordinance was a Valid Piece of Legislation?.....	196
B.8. Functioning of the Supreme Judicial Commission.....	199
B.9. Natural Death of the Supreme Judicial Commission.....	199
Chapter IV: Comparative Study of the Methods of Appointment of Superior Court Judges in Malaysia and Bangladesh.....	201
A. Method of Appointment of the Chief Justice.....	201
B. Method of Appointment of the Puisne Judges.....	203
C. Judicial Interpretation of Consultation.....	205
D. Establishment of an Independent Body for Selection and Recommendation of Candidates for Appointment of Judges in the Superior Courts.....	206
E. Method of Appointment of Additional Judges and Judicial Commissioners.....	207
F. Strength of Judges of the Superior Courts.....	211
Chapter V: Conclusion.....	213
A. Role of the Judiciary.....	213
B. Doctrine of the Separation of Powers and the Independence of the Judiciary.....	214

C. Traditional Meaning of Judicial Independence.....	215
D. Modern Four Meanings of Judicial Independence.....	215
E. Importance of Judicial Independence in a Democratic State.....	216
F. Judicial Independence as Enshrined in the Federal Constitution of Malaysia.....	217
G. Judicial Independence as Epitomised in the Constitution of Bangladesh.....	217
H. Substantive Independence, Method of Appointment and the Present Study.....	218
I. Methods of Appointment of Superior Court Judges in Malaysia and Bangladesh.....	220
I.1. Appointment of Chief Justice.....	220
I.2. Appointment of the Puisne Judges.....	222
I.3. Appointment of Judicial Commissioners and Additional Judges.....	225
J. Recommendation.....	228
a) Abolition of the System of Appointment of Additional Judges/Judicial Commissioners.....	228
b) Strength of Judges.....	229
c) Establishment of a New Forum for Judicial Appointment.....	230
Bibliography.....	234