

Chapter Outline

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Literature Review: Economic Models of the Family
 - 2.1. Common Preference Models
 - 2.1.1. The Consensus Model
 - 2.1.2. The Altruist Model
 - 2.2. Empirical Tests of Common Preference Models
 - 2.3. Bargaining Models
 - 2.3.1. The Divorce Threat Bargaining Model
 - 2.3.2. The Separate Spheres Bargaining Model
 - 2.3.3. The Appropriate Threat Point
- 3.0 The Division of Labour in Marriage
 - 3.1. Becker's (1989) Single-Member Household
 - 3.2. The Multiple-Member, Gender-Neutral Household
 - 3.3. Gender and Specialization in the Multiple-Member Household
 - 3.4. Complementarity in the Time of Men and Women
 - 3.5. Empirical Support of the Gendered Division of Labour
- 4.0 Sociologist and Feminist Critiques of Economic Models of Family
 - 4.1. Questioning the Fundamentals of Economic Models of Family
 - 4.1.1. Circular Reasoning of Assumptions
 - 4.1.2. The Non-Transferable Nature of Skills
 - 4.1.3. Women's Choice: Voluntary?
 - 4.1.4. The Autonomous and Selfish Agent

- 4.2. Fairness and Reciprocity in the Decisions of Families
 - 4.2.1. Fairness in the Decision-Making Mechanism
 - 4.2.2. Fairness and Reciprocity in Different Types of Social Relations
 - 4.2.3. Fairness in Marital Relations
- 4.3. Sociologist Interpretations of Division of Labour Trends
 - 4.3.1. When Specialization Adds to Marital Stability
 - 4.3.2. When Specialization Destabilizes Marital Relations
- 4.4. Empirical Evidence of Household Attitudes Toward Division of Labour Arrangements
- 5.0 Toward a More Comprehensive Model of the Division of Labour in Households
 - 5.1. The Fairness Preference in a Unitary Model
 - 5.1.1. The Case When There is No Fairness Preference ($\beta = 0$)
 - 5.1.2. The Case When a Preference for Fairness Exists ($\beta > 0$)
 - 5.2. The Fairness Preference in a Bargaining Model
 - 5.2.1. The Case When There is No Fairness Preference ($\beta = 0$)
 - 5.2.2. The Case When a Preference for Fairness Exists ($\beta > 0$)
 - 5.3. The Validity of Widmalm's models vis-à-vis Sociologist and Feminist Theory
- 6.0 Conclusion