

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	vi
Contents	vii
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background of Study	2
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Purpose of the study	4
1.4 Significance of the study	6
1.5 The structure of the thesis	8
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LANGUAGE, CULTURE, THOUGHT AND WORLDVIEW AS PRODUCTS OF SOCIALIZATION PROCESSES</b>	<b>11</b>
2.0 Introduction	11
2.1 Social approach to Bilingualism in Malaysia	11
2.1.1 Language Situation in Malaysia	12
2.1.2 A Historical Perspective of the Chinese Diaspora and the Malaysian Chinese	14
2.1.3 The Malaysian Chinese and their language use patterns	16
2.2 Bilingualism	18
2.2.1. Definitions	19
2.2.2 Key Concepts	19
2.2.2.1 Compound and Coordinate bilinguals	20
2.2.2.2 Dominant bilinguals	20
2.2.2.2. Passive and recessive bilinguals	20
2.3 Language, Culture and Thought	21
2.3.1 Thought	22
2.3.2 Culture	23
2.3.3 World view	24
2.3.3.1 Oriental and Western World views	27
2.3.3.2 Core values and Attitudes	28
2.3.3.3 Biculturalism	29
2.4 Sapir Whorf Hypothesis	30
2.4.1 Introduction	30
2.4.2 Linguistic Determinism	30
2.4.2.1 Empirical studies	36
2.4.3 Linguistic Relativism	37
2.4.4 Resurgence of interest in the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis	38
2.4.5 Discussion of Relevance of the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis	41
2.5 Context in Discourse	44
2.6 Interactional Sociolinguistics	47
2.6.1 Schema	49
2.6.2. Script	50
2.6.3 Frames	50
2.6.3.1 Psychological frames	52
2.6.3.1.1 Metamessages	52
2.6.3.2 Cognitive frames	53
2.7 Communicative Patterns in Discourse	56

	PAGES
2.7.1 Individualistic versus Collectivistic orientation	56
2.7.1.1 Use of pronouns as identity markers	57
2.7.1.2 Use of explanations	58
2.7.1.3 Directness and indirectness as a mode of communication	58
2.7.1.4 Categorical organization	58
2.7.2 Summary	59
<b>CHAPTER 3: CHINESE CULTURE AND PRACTICES</b>	
3.0 Introduction	61
3.1 Origins and Cultural Development	61
3.2 Chinese Cultural Domain	63
3.2.1 Family	63
3.2.1.1 Chinese values	65
3.2.1.1.1 Centrality of family relationship	65
3.2.1.1.2 Filial piety	66
3.2.1.1.3 Reverence for elders	68
3.2.1.1.4 Face	68
3.2.1.1.5 Humility	69
3.2.1.1.6 Self -control and Emotional restraint (moderation)	69
3.2.1.1.7 Collectivism	70
3.2.1.1.8 Education	71
3.2.2 The Chinese Mindset and collective wisdom	72
3.2.2.1 Words of wisdom	72
3.2.2.2 Proverbs	73
3.2.2.2.1 Role of Chinese history and proverbs	73
3.2.3 Harmony	73
3.3 Trends and Development as well as the rise of China	74
3.4 Summary and Conclusion	76
<b>CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY</b>	
4.0 Introduction	77
4.1 Research Questions	77
4.2 Orienting Hypothesis	78
4.3 Pilot Study	79
4.4 Context as represented in the study	80
4.4.1 Context of Situation	81
4.4.2 Conversational Topics	81
4.4.3 Family	82
4.4.4 Interlocutors' Worldview	82
4.4.5 Subjects' Primary Culture	83
4.4.6 Malaysian Chinese Community	83
4.4.7 Social practice and world view	84
4.4.8 Context-Western and Chinese world view	84
4.5 Definitions of Key terms and concepts	86
4.6 Data Collection	88
4.6.1 Subjects	88
4.6.2. Research Tools	89
4.6.2.1 Questionnaire	90
4.6.2.2 Interview 1 (Narrative Interview	91
4.6.2.3 Interview 2 (Structured Interview)	91
4.6.3 Construction of Family Descriptor Scale	92



	PAGES
5.5.3.3 Chinese sayings and/or proverbs	125
5.5.3.4 Summary	126
5.5.4 Communicative Pattern 4: Use of Pronouns as Markers of Identity	126
5.5.4.1 Summary	131
5.5.5 Communicative Pattern 5: Direct Modes of Communication	131
5.5.5.1 Expression of Emotions or Feelings	131
5.5.5.2 Confrontational versus Non-confrontational Discourse	133
5.5.5.3 Summary	135
5.5.6 The Existence of Exceptions	135
5.6 Conclusion	136
5.6.1 RQ 1 What are the differences and similarities in the patterns of communication among Chinese language dominant and English language dominant Malaysian-Chinese?	136
5.6.2 RQ 2 i. In what ways do these patterns of communication reflect their world views	136
5.6.2.1 Retelling the story	137
5.6.2.2 Use of explanations	137
5.6.2.3 Organization of ideas	138
5.6.2.4 Use of singular pronoun “I” and its related forms	138
5.6.2.5 Direct mode of communication	138
5.6.3 RQ2 ii) Is there a consistent pattern of differences between both groups	139
<b>CHAPTER 6: ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURED INTERVIEW 2</b>	<b>141</b>
6.0 Introduction	141
6.1. Aspect 1: Centrality of Family	
6.1.1 Use of pronouns as identity markers	142
6.1.1.1 Group 2 subjects’ use of singular pronouns as markers of self-identity	143
6.1.1.2 Group 1 subjects’ use of singular pronouns as markers of self identity	144
6.1.1.3 Group 1 subjects’ use of singular pronouns as markers of collective identity	145
6.1.1.4 Group 1 subjects’ use of plural pronouns as markers of collective identity	147
6.1.1.5 Group 2 subjects’ use of plural pronouns as markers of collective identity	149
6.1.2 Use of Explanations	150
6.1.2.1 Reference to Chinese culture and practices by Group 1 subjects	150
6.1.2.2 Reference to past Chinese cultural practices, proverbs, phrases and sayings	153
6.1.3 Direct and Indirect Modes of Communication	154
6.1.3.1 Direct expression of feelings by Group 2 subjects	154
6.1.3.2 Direct expression of views such as preferences, expectations and conditions by Group 2 subjects	156
6.1.3.3 Group 1 subjects’ indirect modes of expression	158
6.1.4 Organization of Information	159
6.1.4.1 Group 2 subjects’ use of conditionals	160

	PAGES
6.1.4.2 Group 2 subjects' topical organization	161
6.1.4.3 Summary	163
Aspect 2 : Filial Piety	164
6.2.1 Use of pronouns as Identity Markers	165
6.2.1.1 Group 2 subjects' use of the singular pronouns as markers of self-identity	166
6.2.1.2. Group 1 subjects' use of singular pronouns As markers of self-identity	167
6.2.1.3 Group 1 subjects' use of singular pronouns as markers of collective identity	168
6.2.1.4 Group 1 subjects' use of plural pronouns as markers of collective identity	169
6.2.1.5 Group 2 subjects' use of plural pronouns as markers of collective identity	169
6.2.2 Use of explanations	169
6.2.2.1 Group 1 subjects' reference to Chinese culture and practices	170
6.2.2.2 Group 1 subjects reference to past historical events and occurrences	170
6.2.2.3 Group 1 subjects' reference to Chinese proverbs, sayings and phrases	172
6.2.3 Direct and Indirect modes of communication	172
6.2.3.1 Group 2 subjects' use of the direct mode of communication through their open expression views and feelings	173
6.2.3.2 Group 2 subjects' use of strong language	174
6.2.3.3 Group 2 subjects direct mode of communication Through the sharing of personal information, private secrets, hopes or thoughts	174
6.2.3.4 Group 1 subjects' direct mode of communication through open expression of views	175
6.2.3.5 Group 1 subjects' use of the indirect mode of communication	176
6.2.3.6 Summary	178
6.3 Aspect 3: Education	179
6.3.1 Use of Pronouns as Identity Markers	180
6.3.1.1 Group 2 subjects' use of singular pronouns as markers of self-identity	180
6.3.1.2 Group 1 subjects' use of pronouns as markers of identity	181
6.3.1.3 Group 2 subjects' use of singular pronouns as markers of self identity	181
6.3.1.4 Group 2 subjects' use of both the singular and plural pronouns as markers of collective identity	181
6.3.2 Use of Explanations	182
6.3.2.1 Reference to Chinese culture and practices by Group 1 subjects	182
6.3.2.2 Reference to past historical events and occurrences By Group 1 subjects	182

	PAGES
6.3.2.3 Reference to Chinese sayings by Group 1 subjects	183
6.3.2.4 Reference to Chinese culture and practices by Group 2 subjects	183
6.3.2.5 Reference to past historical events and occurrences by Group 1 subjects	183
6.3.3 Direct and Indirect Modes of Communication	183
6.3.3.1 Group 2 subjects' disagreement to views on education	183
6.3.3.2 Group 2 subjects' sharing of personal information, regrets and disappointments	184
6.3.3.3 Group 1 subjects' disagreement with regard to Views on education	184
6.3.3.4 Group 2 subjects' negative statements with regard to saying on education	184
6.3.3.5 Group 1 subjects' use of indirectness in communication of ideas	184
6.3.3.6 Summary	185
6.4 Aspect 4: Face	186
6.4.1 Use of Pronouns as Identity Markers	187
6.4.1.1 Group 1 subjects' use of plural pronouns to indicate collective identity	187
6.4.1.2 Group 2 subjects' use of singular pronouns to indicate collective identity	187
6.4.1.3 Group 2 subjects' use of plural pronouns to Indicate collective identity	188
6.4.1.4 Summary	188
6.4.2 Use of Explanations	188
6.4.2.1. Group 1 subjects' reference to Chinese culture and practices	188
6.4.2.2 Group 1 subjects' reference to historical occurrences and events	189
6.4.2.3 Group 1 subjects' reference to Chinese sayings and proverbs	189
6.4.2.4 Group 2 subjects' reference to Chinese cultural norms and practices	189
6.4.3 Direct and Indirect Modes of Communication	189
6.4.3.1 Disagreement to the views on face	189
6.4.3.2 Negative views of face	190
6.4.3.3 Use of indirect modes of communication	190
6.4.3.4 Summary	190
6.5 Conclusion	191
6.5.1 RQ 1) What are the patterns of communication among Chinese language dominant Chinese Malaysians and English language dominant Chinese Malaysians	191
6.5.2 RQ 2 i) In what ways do these patterns of communication reflect their world views?	191
6.5.3 RQ 2ii) Is there a consistent pattern of differences between both groups?	195

	PAGES
CHAPTER 7: ANALYSIS OF FRAMES	198
7.0 Introduction	198
7.1 The Narrative Interview	199
7.1.1 Centrality of the Family	199
7.1.1.1 Evidence of expectations for Centrality of family in Group 1's narratives	200
7.1.1.2 Evidence of expectations for Centrality of family in Group 2's narratives	202
7.1.1.3 Summary	204
7.1.2 Filial Piety	206
7.1.2.1. Authoritarianism	206
7.1.2.1.1 Evidence of expectations for authoritarianism in Group 1's narratives	206
7.1.2.1.2 Evidence of expectations for authoritarianism in Group 2's narratives	208
7.1.2.1.3 Summary	210
7.1.2.2. Marriage and male progeny	211
7.1.2.2.1 Evidence of expectations for marriage and male progeny in Group 1's narratives	212
7.1.2.2.2 Evidence of expectations for marriage and male progeny in Group 2's narratives	214
7.1.2.2.3 Summary	214
7.1.2.3 Happiness and fulfillment in Marriage	214
7.1.2.3.1 Evidence of expectations for marriage In Group 1's narratives	215
7.1.2.3.2 Evidence of expectations for marriage in Group 2's narratives	218
7.1.2.3.3 Summary	220
7.1.3 Educational Achievement	221
7.1.3.1 Evidence of expectations for educational achievement in Group 1's narratives	221
7.1.3.2. Evidence of expectations for educational achievement in Group 2's narratives	222
7.1.3.3 Summary	224
7.1.4 Face	225
7.1.4.1 Evidence of expectations for face in Group 2's narratives	225
7.1.4.2 Evidence of expectations for face in Group 1's narrative	227
7.1.4.3 Summary	228
7.2 Interview 2 or the Structured Interview	228
7.2.1 Centrality of Family Relationship	228
7.2.1.1 Evidence of expectations for centrality of family relationship in Group 1's verbalizations	229
7.2.1.2 Evidence of expectations for Centrality of family in Group 2's verbalizations	233
7.2.1.3 Sub-frames for centrality of family in Group 2's verbalizations	235
7.2.1.4 Sub-frames for centrality of family in Group 1's verbalizations	237

	PAGES
7.2.1.5 Summary	239
7.2.2 Filial Piety	240
7.2.2.1 Evidence of expectations for filial piety in Group 1's verbalizations	240
7.2.2.2 Evidence of expectations for filial piety in Group 2's verbalizations	243
7.2.2.3 Sub-frames for filial Piety in Group 1's verbalizations	244
7.2.2.4 Sub-frames for filial piety in Group 2's verbalizations	245
7.2.2.5 Summary	247
7.2.3 Education	248
7.2.3.1 Evidence of expectations for education in Group 2's verbalizations	248
7.2.3.2 Evidence of expectations for education in Group 1's verbalization	249
7.2.3.3 Summary	251
7.2.4 Face	252
7.2.4.1 Evidence of expectations for face in Group 1's verbalizations	252
7.2.4.2 Evidence of expectations for face in Group 2's verbalizations	254
7.2.4.3 Evidence of expectations to indicate Group 2 subjects' dual frame for face	255
7.2.4.4 Summary	260
7.2.5 Extraneous Factors in Frames	260
7.2.6 Summary	262
7.3 Conclusion	264
7.3.1 RQ 3 i) What are the frames that are present in the discourse of both groups of subjects?	264
7.3.2 RQ 3 ii) In what ways do the frames reflect the world view of both groups of respondents?	266
7.3.3 RQ 3 iii) To what extent do the frames reflect the world view of respondents?	271
<b>CHAPTER 8: FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>274</b>
<b>8.0 Introduction</b>	<b>274</b>
8.1 Summary of Findings	274
8.2 Discussion	274
8.2.1 The Main Findings of the Study	278
8.2.1.1 Use of pronouns as identity markers	278
8.2.1.2 Conflicting frames	279
8.2.1.3 Superimposed frames	279
8.2.1.4 Change in world view	283
8.2.2 The Malaysian Chinese community and the question of identity	286
8.3 Concluding Remarks	290
8.4 Limitations	290
8.5 Suggestions for future research	294

<b>References</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
	296

<b>APPENDICES</b>	309
APPENDIX A	309
APPENDIX B	310
APPENDIX C	313
APPENDIX D	314
APPENDIX E	315
APPENDIX F	326
APPENDIX G	337
APPENDIX H	341

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 4.1: The many levels of context as represented in concentric circles for the study	80
Figure 4.2: World view as a product of the social practice of the Malaysian Chinese Community	83
Figure 8.1 The Malaysian Chinese community as represented by Malaysian-Chinese English language dominant and Malaysian-Chinese Chinese language dominant subjects	281

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 4.3: Textual stimulus for the Structured Interview	93
Table 5.1: Reading books and magazines in the English Language	100
Table 5.2: Exposure and skills in a language(s)	101
Table 5.3: Education and skills in a language (s)	102
Table 5.4: Communication in a language(s) and dominant language use	103
Table 5.5: Cultural orientation towards FDS for Group 1 and Group 2 subjects	105
Table 5.6: Group 1 and Group 2 subjects use of communicative patterns in their verbalizations	113
Table 6.1: Patterns of communication for the aspect of centrality of family among Group 1 and Group 2 subjects	142
Table 6.2: Patterns of communication for the aspect of filial piety among Group 1 and Group 2 subjects.	165
Table 6.3: Patterns of communication for the aspect of education among Group 1 and Group 2 subjects	180
Table 6.4: Patterns of communications for the aspect of face among Group 1 and Group 2 subjects	186

