

EXPLORING THE USE OF LANGUAGE CUES FOR HIGHER –
ORDER THINKING SKILLS IN THE MUET SYLLABUS

PATRICIA DAS ISAACS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND
LINGUISTICS IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGLISH AS A SECOND
LANGUAGE

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR

2010

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: **PATRICIA DAS ISAACS** (I.C/Passport No: **520401-05-5432**)

Registration/Matric No: **TGB 050041**

Name of Degree: **MASTER OF ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE**

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis ("this Work"):

**EXPLORING THE USE OF LANGUAGE CUES FOR HIGHER-ORDER THINKING SKILLS IN
THE MUET SYLLABUS**

Field of Study: **APPLIED LINGUISTICS**

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature

Date

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness's Signature

Date

Name: **DR. DEVIKAMANI MENON**
Designation: **SUPERVISOR (SENIOR LECTURER)**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

By the Grace of God, and with all humility, I wish to express my deepest appreciation to all those who have helped me in this study for my research report.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Devikamani Menon, Faculty of Languages and Linguistics, University of Malaya, for her time, her constant guidance, and encouragement. Without her help, I would never have finished my research report on time.

I would also like to acknowledge a special thanks to my husband, Horley, for his support and for helping me to translate the abstract into Bahasa Malaysia. I would also like to thank my daughter, Marylin, for proofreading for me and my son, Mark, for typing this report for me.

Not to forget, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Zuraidah Mohd. Don, current Dean of the Faculty of Languages and Linguistics, University of Malaya, Dr. Jawakhir Mior Jaafar, current Deputy Dean of Postgraduate Studies, Faculty of Languages and Linguistics, University of Malaya, and all lecturers under whom I have studied and all from whom I have greatly benefitted.

Last but not least, I would like to thank the staff of the Faculty of Languages and Linguistics, especially En. Shahrul Nizam Manfudz, Pn. Noraida Bahari, Pn. Massuri bt Abd. Latiff, Pn. Ayu Monyra Mokhtar, Cik Rohaniza Ismail and Pn. Norhaslinda Mohd. Nor for their help, guidance and cheerful disposition. Whenever I approached them, they have always lent a helping hand in spite of their busy work schedule.

On the same note, I would like to thank L & W Service Centre, Petaling Jaya, for helping me to get my copies of the research report on time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Declaration of Academic Work	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstrak	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi - viii
List of Tables	ix - x
List of Diagrams	xi
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	(1 – 17)
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 MUET in general	2
1.2.1 Eligibility for MUET	2
1.2.2 The frequency of the MUET examination	3
1.2.3 Description of Aggregated Scores for MUET	3
1.2.4 Latest MUET Test Specifications and Description as of October 2008	5
1.2.5 Comparison between the Old and New MUET Formats	8
1.3 Higher-Order Thinking Skills (or HOTS) and Language Cues in General	10
1.4 Statement of the Problem	14
1.5 Purpose statement	15
1.6 Research Questions	16
1.7 Significance of the Study	16
1.8 Limitations of the Study	16
1.9 Summary	17

CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	(18 – 32)
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Views of Thinking	18
2.3 Higher-Order Thinking Skills in Malaysia	23
2.4 Higher-Order Thinking Skills, Language Cues and MUET	27
2.5 Summary	31
CHAPTER 3 – METHODOLOGY	(33-50)
3.1 Theoretical Framework	33
3.2 The Use of Higher-Order Thinking Skills in MUET	35
3.3 Methods of Some Researchers and MUET	44
3.4 The Use of Language Cues in MUET	47
3.5 Summary	50
CHAPTER 4 – ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	(51 – 95)
4.1 Overview	51
4.2 The Use of Language Cues and Higher-Order Thinking Skills in the Listening component	51
4.3 The Use of Language Cues and Higher-Order Thinking Skills in the Speaking component	62
4.4 The Use of Language Cues and Higher-Order Thinking Skills in the Reading component	74
4.5 The Use of Language Cues and Higher-Order Thinking Skills in the Writing component	84
4.6 The Need for Success in using Language Cues along with Higher-Order Thinking Skills	93

4.7 Summary	95
CHAPTER 5 – DISCUSSION ON THE FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION	(96 – 110)
5.1 Overview	96
5.2 Discussion and Findings	96
5.3 Looking at the Study in the Malaysian Context	104
5.4 Conclusion	109
Appendix	111
- MUET Regulations, Test Specifications and Test Format	112 - 131
Bibliography	132 - 135

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1 Description of Aggregated Scores	4
Table 1.2 Latest Test Specifications	5 - 6
Table 1.3 Test Description	6 - 8
Table 1.4 Comparison between Old and New formats	8 - 9
Table 2.1 Thinking Skills Associated with Bloom's Taxonomy	20
Table 2.2 Definitions of Thinking Associated with Bloom's Taxonomy	21
Table 2.3 Anderson's Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy	22
Table 3.1 Bloom's Taxonomy Domains at a Glance	34
Table 3.2 An Example of Input/ Output Analysis of a Lesson	37
Table 3.3 Dr. Bill Huitt's Classification	38 - 39
Table 3.4 Bloom's Taxonomy of Thinking Skills	40 - 42
Table 3.5 Latest MUET format	42 - 43
Table 4.1 MUET, Listening Component	54 - 55
Table 4.2 Transition Signals	57 - 58
Table 4.3 MUET, Speaking Component	64 - 66
Table 4.4 Expressions on Asking and Giving Opinions	67
Table 4.5 Language Cues and Expressions for Task A	68 - 69
Table 4.6 Language Cues and Expressions on Task B	70 - 72
Table 4.7 MUET, Reading Component	74 - 76
Table 4.8 Questions on Main Idea and Supporting Ideas	78
Table 4.9 Signal Words that reflect Opinions	79
Table 4.10 Signal Words for Conclusion	79
Table 4.11 Questions on Inferences	80

Table 4.12 Organizational Patterns, Functions and Signal Words	81 - 82
Table 4.13 MUET, Writing Component	85 - 86
Table 4.14 Stages in Writing an Essay	89
Table 4.15 Organizing Ideas in a Text	90
Table 4.16 Discourse Markers	91 - 92
Table 4.17 Conclusions	92

LIST OF DIAGRAMS

	Page
Diagram 1.1 Bloom's Taxonomy (The Six Levels)	10
Diagram 1.2 Changes in the Cognitive Domains	11
Diagram 1.3 Clark's Adaptation of Bloom's Taxonomy	12
Diagram 2.1 Terminology Changes	22
Diagram 3.1 Processing Model of Tasks	36
Diagram 4.1 Concept Map for Listening	61
Diagram 4.2 In an Examination Room	63
Diagram 4.3 Mind map for Task A	68
Diagram 4.4 Concept map for Speaking	73
Diagram 4.5 Concept map for Reading	83
Diagram 4.6 Forms of Non – Linear Texts	87
Diagram 4.7 General and Specific Function of Non – Linear Texts	88
Diagram 4.8 Concept map for Writing	94