

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Summary of the use of thematic links in 6 popular academic English language tests	21
Figure 3.1:	Interactive Online reading layout	48
Figure 3.2:	Experiment process	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

L2	Second language
K1	The first thousand most commonly used words in English
K2	The second thousand most commonly used words in English
AWL	Academic Word list
TPR	Traditional Print reading
IOR	Interactive Online reading
STXM,JMTT, LXY,MS,TOT, ATA,JJC,LQL, NSL, A, IA , SS, KA,LCZA, HAM, WKS, LIS, MR, TZX, SK, H, TzM	Coding used to represent respondents and their essays. *Note : These abbreviations were only used for the purpose of analysis.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: TPR: Experiment 1: Thesis: Number of respondents according to quality	58
Table 4.2: TPR: Experiment 1: Examples of thesis statements	59
Table 4.3: TPR: Experiment 1: Support: Number of respondents according to quality	60
Table 4.4: TPR: Experiment 1: Examples of support	61
Table 4.5: TPR: Experiment 1: Counter-arguments: Number of respondents according to quality	62
Table 4.6: TPR: Experiment 1: Examples of counter-arguments	64
Table 4.7: TPR: Experiment 2: Thesis statement: Number of respondents according to quality	65
Table 4.8: TPR: Experiment 2: Examples of thesis statements	66
Table 4.9: TPR: Experiment 2: Support: Number of respondents according to quality	66
Table 4.10: TRP: Experiment 2: Examples of support	67
Table 4.11: TPR: Experiment 2: Counter-arguments: Number of respondents according to quality	68
Table 4.12: TPR: Experiment 2: Examples of counter-arguments	68
Table 4.13: TPR: Experiment 1: Vocabulary Profiler Findings	70
Table 4.14: TPR: Experiment 1: Overall Target Vocabulary Findings	71
Table 4.15: TPR: Experiment 2: Vocabulary Profiler Findings	72
Table 4.16: TPR: Experiment 2: Overall Target Vocabulary Findings	73
Table 4.17: IOR: Experiment 1: Thesis statement: Number of respondents according to quality	74
Table 4.18: IOR: Experiment 1: Examples of thesis statements	75
Table 4.19: IOR: Experiment 1: Support: Number of respondents according to quality	75
Table 4.20: IOR: Experiment 1: Examples of support	76
Table 4.21: IOR: Experiment 1: Counter-arguments: Number of respondents according to quality	77

Table 4.22: IOR: Experiment 1: Examples of counter-arguments	78
Table 4.23: IOR: Experiment 2: Thesis statement: Number of respondents according to quality	79
Table 4.24: IOR: Experiment 2: Examples of thesis statements	79
Table 4.25: IOR: Experiment 2: Support: Number of respondents according to quality	80
Table 4.26: IOR: Experiment 2: Examples of support	81
Table 4.27: IOR: Experiment 2: Counter-argument: Number of respondents according to quality	82
Table 4.28: IOR: Experiment 2: Examples of counter-arguments	83
Table 4.29: IOR: Experiment 1: Vocabulary Profiler Findings	84
Table 4.30: IOR: Experiment 1: Overall Target Vocabulary Findings	85
Table 4.31: IOR: Experiment 2: Vocabulary Profiler Findings	86
Table 4.32: IOR: Experiment 2: Overall Target Vocabulary Findings	87
Table 5.1: Overall quality of contents from TPR groups – Exp 1 & 2	89
Table 5.2: Overall quality of contents from IOR groups – Exp 1 & 2	91
Table 5.3: Vocabulary used from TPR and IOR groups – Exp 1 & 2	93
Table 5.4: Total target vocabulary used from TPR and IOR groups – Exp 1& 2	94
Table 5.5: Average words used by TPR and IOR groups – Exp 1 & 2	95
Table 5.6: Overall total target vocabulary and token used from TPR and IOR groups	95