TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Background of the study	1
1.1 Statement of the Problem	3
1.2 Objectives of the Study	5
1.3 Research Questions	5
1.4 Theoretical Framework	6
1.5 Definition of Terms	8
1.6 Scope and Limitations	8
1.7 Significance of Study	9
1.8 Organization of Dissertation	9
1.9 Conclusion	10
2.0 Introduction	11
2.1 Theories of Writing	11
2.2 Planning in Written Performance	20
2.2.1 Studies on planning in writing	20
2.2.2 Effects of planning on written performance	22
2.3 Studies on Written Performance	25
2.3.1 Studies on L1 Writing	26
2.3.2 Studies on L2 Writing	29

2.3.3 Studies on Fluency, Complexity and Accuracy in Writing	33
2.4 Conclusion	36
3.0 Overview of the study	37
3.1 Subjects	38
TASK PERFORMANCE	40
3.2 Task	40
3.2.1 Establishing Time Limit	41
3.2.2 Task Conditions	41
3.2.2.1 No Planning (NP)	42
3.2.2.2 Pre-Task Planning (PTP)	42
3.2.2.3 Within-Task Planning (WTP)	42
3.2.3 Grouping of participants	43
3.3 Instruments	43
3.3.1 Set of Eight Pictures	43
3.3.2 Questionnaires	46
3.3.2.1 Questionnaire for No Planning (NP) Task Condition	47
3.3.2.2 Questionnaire for Pre-Task Planning (PTP) Task Condition	47
3.3.2.3 Questionnaire for Within-Task Planning (WTP) Task Condition	48
3.3.3 Semi-structured Interviews	48
3.4 Procedure	50
3.5 Data Analysis	51
3.5.1 Measures of fluency	52

3.5.2 Measures of complexity	53
3.5.3 Measures of accuracy	55
3.5.4 Measures used for the present study	55
3.5.4.1 Planning	56
3.5.4.2 Fluency	56
3.5.4.3 Syntactic Complexity	56
3.5.4.4 Accuracy	56
3.6 Conclusion	57
4.0 Introduction	58
4.1 Data from Independent Variables	58
4.2 Dependent Variables	60
4.2.1 Fluency	60
4.2.2 Complexity	62
4.2.2.1 Verb Tense	62
4.2.2.2 Modals	63
4.2.3 Accuracy	65
4.2.3.1 Error-Free Clauses	65
4.2.3.2 Error-Free Verb Forms	66
4.3 Questionnaire results	67
4.3.1 NP Questionnaire	67
4.3.2 PTP Questionnaire	73
4.3.3 WTP Questionnaire	79

4.3.4 Summary of particular findings from the NP, PTP and WTP questionnaires	84
4.4 Data from Semi-structured Interviews	90
4.4.1 NP Participants	90
4.4.1.1 Participant 1	91
4.4.1.2 Participant 2	91
4.4.2 PTP Participants	92
4.4.2.1 Participant 3	92
4.4.2.2 Participant 4	93
4.4.3 WTP Participants	93
4.4.3.1 Participant 5	93
4.4.3.2 Participant 6	94
4.5 Conclusion	94
5.0 Introduction	95
5.1 Summary of findings for Research Question 1	95
5.1.1 Fluency	96
5.1.2 Complexity	96
5.1.3 Accuracy	98
5.1.4 Research Question 1	100
5.2 Summary of findings for Research Question 2	102
5.2.1 Perception of NP participants on their written performance	102
5.2.2 Perception of PTP participants on their written performance	103
5.2.3 Perception of WTP participants on their written performance	104

5.2.4 Research Question 2	105
5.3 Suggestions for further research	107
5.4 Conclusion	108
BIBLIOGRAPHY	110
APPENDIX A SET OF PICTURES	117
APPENDIX B QUESTIONNAIRES	118
APPENDIX C INTERVIEW QUESTIONS	131
APPENDIX D SAMPLE ESSAYS	132

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Basic systems and processes involved in Kellogg's model (adapted)	ed from Ellis
& Yuan, 2004)	6
Figure 2.1 The Flower and Hayes' writing model (Flower & Hayes, 1981)	12
Figure 2.2 Simplified version of Hayes' (1996) framework for understandi	ing cognition
and affect in writing (adapted from Wiegle, 2002)	16
Figure 2.3 Simplified model of the L2 composing process (adapted from W	Vang & Wen,
2002)	18

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Kellogg's model of working memory in writing (adapted from Galbra	aith,
2009)	7
Table 2.1 Types of writing (adapted from Grabe & Kaplan, 1996)	14
Table 3.1 Description and explanation of MUET bands	40
Table 3.2 Task conditions in relation to research question 1 (RQ1)	51
Table 4.1 Mean of NP, PTP and WTP planning conditions in terms of time, words	and
syllables	59
Table 4.2 Mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) of syllables per minute	and
dysfluencies produced by each group	61
Table 4.3 Time spent, number of dysfluencies, words, syllables and syllables per min	nute
produced by NP, PTP and WTP participants	61
Table 4.4 Mean (M) number of verb tenses produced by NP, PTP and WTP groups	62
Table 4.5 Total number of verb tenses produced by NP, PTP and WTP groups	62
Table 4.6 Percentage of past and present tense between groups and within groups	63
Table 4.7 Total modals used by each group	63
Table 4.8 Mean (M) of modal use by each group	63
Table 4.9 Comparison in percentage of modal use across groups	64
Table 4.10 Mean and standard deviation of all clauses	65
Table 4.11 Mean and standard deviation of error-free clauses	65
Table 4.12 Percentage of error-free clauses	66
Table 4.13 Mean (M) of verb form errors in NP, PTP and WTP groups	66
Table 4.14 Percentage of error-free verb forms in NP, PTP and WTP groups	66
Table 4.15 Level of task difficulty rated by NP participants	68
Table 4.16 Difficulties faced by NP participants	69
Table 4.17 Aspects of language difficult to achieve during task for NP participants	69

Table 4.18 Aspects of writing task focused on if planning time given to NP particip	ants
	71
Γable 4.19 Performances of NP participants if more writing time given	71
Table 4.20 NP participants' emphasis when writing essay	73
Γable 4.21 Level of task difficulty rated by PTP participants	74
Γable 4.22 Difficulties encountered by PTP participants	74
Table 4.23 Aspects of language difficult to achieve during task for PTP participants	75
Table 4.24 Aspects of task focused on by PTP participants	76
Table 4.25 Performances of PTP participants if more writing time given	77
Table 4.26 Effects of removing planning notes on PTP participants' performance	77
Table 4.27 PTP participants' emphasis when writing essay	78
Table 4.28 Level of task difficulty rated by WTP participants	79
Table 4.29 Difficulties encountered by WTP participants	80
Table 4.30 Aspects of language difficult to achieve during task for WTP participants	80
Table 4.31 Aspects of writing task focused by WTP participants	81
Table 4.32 Effects on WTP participants' performance due to lack of planning time μ	orio
to writing task	83
Table 4.33 WTP participants' emphasis when writing essays	84
Table 4.34 Level of task difficulty rated by NP, PTP and WTP participants	85
Table 4.35 Difficulties encountered by NP, PTP and WTP participants	85
Table 4.36 Aspects of language difficult to achieve across NP, PTP and WTP	task
conditions	86
Table 4.37 Performances of NP and PTP participants if more writing time given	86
Table 4.38 Aspects of writing task focused by NP, PTP and WTP participants	88
Γable 4.39 Emphasis of NP, PTP and WTP participants when writing essays	89

Table 5.1 Summary of effects of no planning, pre-task planning and within-task planning on written narratives 101