

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background to the Study	3
1.2 Reading-Writing Connection	4
1.3 Statement of Problem	6
1.3.1 Summary Writing and Proficiency	10
1.4 Objectives and Purpose of the Study	11
1.5 Research Questions	13
1.6 Significance of Study	13
1.7 Limitations and Delimitations	15
1.8 Definitions of Common Terms Used in This Study	15
 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Summary Writing	18
2.1.1 Definition	18
2.1.2 Summary Writing Process	19
2.1.3 Theoretical and Conceptual Background	20
2.1.3.1 Summarization Model by Kintsch and van Dijk (1977) extended to Text Comprehension and Production Model (1978)	20

2.1.3.2	Summarization Theory by Kintsch and van Dijk 1983-1998	21
2.1.3.3	Idea Units or Propositions	21
2.1.3.4	Krashen's Input Theory	24
2.2	Problems in Summary Writing	26
2.2.1	Second Language Acquisition (SLA) Problems	26
2.2.2	English Literacy and Literacy Skills	29
2.2.3	Reading Culture	30
2.2.4	The Schema Theory	31
2.2.5	Reading Comprehension Problems	32
2.2.6	Plagiarism and Summary Writing	37
2.3	Learner Strategies in Summary Writing	38
2.3.1	Language Learning Strategies	38
2.3.1.1	Definition of LLS	39
2.3.2	Productive and Unproductive Strategies	41
2.3.3	Paraphrasing As a Productive Summary Writing Strategy	41
2.3.4	Copy Verbatim As an Unproductive Summary Writing Strategy	42
2.3.5	Relevant Empirical Studies	43
2.4	Conclusion	49

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Rationale for a Mixed Research Perspective	50
3.2	Overview of Methodology	52
3.3	The Research Tools	53
3.3.1	Modified Think-Aloud-Protocol (MTAP)	53
3.3.2	Scripts of Written Summaries	56
3.3.3	The SILL Questionnaire	56

3.3.4	Pilot Study	57
3.4	Data Analysis	57
3.4.1	Identification of Idea Units in Students' Summary Scripts	57
3.4.2	Criteria Checklist to Infer Strategies Used By Participants	58
3.5	The Research Participants	59
3.5.1	Why Sixth-Formers Were Chosen As Subjects	59
3.5.2	Purposive Sampling	60
3.5.2.1	Sample for MTAP Sessions	61
3.6	Setting of The Study	62
3.7	Triangulation	63
3.8	Conclusion	64

CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Problems Faced in Summary Writing By High and Low Proficiency Students	67
4.2.1	Text/Task Related Problems	68
4.2.2	Teacher Related Problems Faced By High and Low Proficiency Students	70
4.2.3	Learner Related Problems	72
4.3	Productive and Unproductive Strategies Use In Summary Writing By ESL Students	74
4.3.1	Comprehension Stage	76
4.3.2	Condensation Stage	78
4.3.3	Production Stage	81
4.4	Differences In Strategy Use By High And Low Proficiency Students	84
4.4.1	Selected Productive Strategy - Paraphrase	86

4.4.2 Selected Unproductive Strategy – Copying or Lifting	87
4.4.3 Similarities and Differences in Strategy Use by High and Low Groups	90
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION	
5.1 Summary of Study	99
5.2 Summary of Findings	100
5.2.1 The Problems Encountered During the Summarization Process by High And Low Proficiency Students	101
5.2.1.1 Text/Task Related	102
5.2.1.2 Teacher Related	102
5.2.1.3 Learner Related	103
5.2.2 Productive and Unproductive Strategies Used By Students During The Stages of Comprehension, Condensation and Production	104
5.2.3 Differences in Selected Productive and Unproductive Strategies Used by High and Low Proficiency Students	105
5.3 Conclusions and Recommendations	106
5.3.1 Recommendations to the Stakeholders	106
5.3.1.1 The English Language Teacher	107
5.3.1.2 The School and Society at Large – Nurturing reading culture	108
5.3.1.3 The MUET Examination Board	109
5.4 Implications for Future Research	110
REFERENCES	111
Appendix A1	117
Appendix A2	119
Appendix B1	122
Appendix B2	123
Appendix B3	125
Appendix B4	128
Appendix B5	130

LIST OF TABLES

Table No	Title	Page
1.1	New MUET Format (Applicable from Oct/Nov 2008 onwards)	8
1.2	Old MUET Format (Applicable until April/May MUET 2008)	8
4.1	Text /Task related Problems Faced by High & Low Proficiency Students	69
4.2	Teacher Related Problems Faced By High and Low Proficiency Students	70
4.3	Learner Related Problems Faced By High and Low Proficiency Students	72
4.4	Criteria Checklist to determine Productive & Unproductive Strategies in Summary Writing	75
4.5	Productive and Unproductive Strategies Used By Subjects During The three Stages of Summarization	76
4.6	Comprehension Related Productive and Unproductive Strategies	77
4.7	Condensation Related Productive and Unproductive Strategies	80
4.8	Production Related Productive and Unproductive Strategies	82
4.9	An Analysis of Idea Units in the Written Summaries (n=25)	85
4.10	Analysis of Idea Units Paraphrased Correctly, Partly Correct or Incorrectly	86
4.11	An Analysis of Idea Units Copied Correctly, Partly Correct or Incorrectly	88
4.12a	Differences In Paraphrasing and Copying by High and Low Groups	91
4.12b	A summary of Idea Units Written by High and Low Proficiency Students	91
4.13	Summary Points: Extracts from Students' Summary Scripts	92
4.14	Analysis of Data collected through SILL Questionnaire	97