

EFFECTS OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AND
PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESSES ON THE GROWTH OF
BOUGAINVILLEA spp.

MOHAMMED SAIFUDDIN

INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
2010

EFFECTS OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AND
PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESSES ON THE GROWTH OF
BOUGAINVILLEA spp.

MOHAMMED SAIFUDDIN

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF SCIENCE

INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
2010

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: MOHAMMED SAIFUDDIN

Passport No: A0371981

Metric No: SGR070122

Name of Degree: MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc.)

Title of Dissertation: EFFECTS OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AND PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESSES ON THE GROWTH OF *BOUGAINVILLEA* spp.

Field of Study/Dissertation: BIOTECHNOLOGY

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- 1) I am the sole author/writer of this work;
- 2) This Work is original;
- 3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt from or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- 4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- 5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- 6) I am fully aware that in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature
Mohammed Saifuddin

Date: 29 April 2010

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness Signature

Date: 29 April 2010

Name: Normaniza Osman
Designation: Senior lecturer

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to investigate the effects of physiological stresses such as pruning, shading, phloemic stress and plant hormones such as gibberellic acid (GA_3) and naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) on the growth, development and flowering process of bougainvillea plants. The plants (*Bougainvillea glabra* and *Bougainvillea spectabilis*) were grown under prevailing conditions (temperature $21\text{-}32^\circ\text{C}$, maximum PAR $2100 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and relative humidity of 60-90%) using completely randomized design. Field experiments as well as biochemical analysis were carried out at the Plant Physiology Garden and the Plant Physiology Laboratory, Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Malaya. Results on frequent pruning of *Bougainvillea glabra* plants gave the highest quantum yield, chlorophyll *a* and *b*, and maximum flower initiation per plant compared to those of non-pruning plants. Though the sugar content in pruned plants decreased, probably due to the prolonged vegetative phase, flowering process continues and developed new flower shoots. Minimum branch (14.43 gm) fresh weight and low potassium content were observed in complete pruning plants.

The present study discovered that different shading treatments (2100 ± 200 , 1470 ± 200 , 1050 ± 200 and $525 \pm 200 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) have great effects on flower initiation, longevity, leaf chlorophyll and sugar content and plant quality in *Bougainvillea glabra*. Light shading more than $1470 \pm 200 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ has led to inhibit flower initiation completely and the high light intensity that resulted in increased sugar and chlorophyll content may be attributed to stimulation of flower initiation and in turn to the deceleration of flower abscission.

The effect of GA_3 , phloemic stress and combined treatment of GA_3 and phloemic stress on flower blooming, expansion, development and longevity in *Bougainvillea spectabilis* was also analyzed. The results showed that the bract size and shape were both increased by 40%

in 100 ppm GA₃. Blooming was three days earlier in 100 ppm GA₃ treated plants than those in the control. Longevity of flowers was increased by four days in phloemic stress and two days in combined treatment of 100 ppm GA₃ and phloemic stress than those in control. Finally, longevity was found to be almost ten days longer in NAA (100 ppm) than those in both control and GA₃ treatments in *Bougainvillea spectabilis*. The prolonging effect of low concentrations of NAA (100 ppm) at the initial budding stages was more effective compared to its application at other stages of development and at higher concentrations (150 ppm NAA). Maximum bract weight and shoot length were observed in the GA₃ and GA₃ combined with NAA treated flowers. The above findings have given a better understanding of the effects of hormones and physiological stresses on the growth and development of the bougainvillea flower and its longevity. Hence, it is suggested that these physiological and hormonal stresses can be used to maintain better plant growth and flower longevity.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyiasat kesan tekanan fisiologi seperti pemangkasan, teduhan, tekanan floemik dan hormon tumbuhan seperti GA₃, dan NAA, kepada proses pertumbuhan, perkembangan dan pembungaan pada tumbuhan Bougainvillea. Tumbuhan (*Bougainvillea glabra* dan *Bougainvillea spectabilis*) telah ditanam di bawah keadaan normal (suhu 21-32°C, PAR maksimum 2100 $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ dan kelembapan bandingan 60-90%) menggunakan kaedah Rekabentuk Rawak Lengkap (CRD). Kajian lapangan serta analisis biokimia dijalankan di Taman Fisiologi Tumbuhan dan Makmal Fisiologi Tumbuhan, Institut Sains Biologi, Universiti Malaya. Keputusan menunjukkan pemangkasan *Bougainvillea glabra* secara kerap membawa kepada penghasilan maksimum pada ‘quantum yield’, klorofil *a* dan *b*, dan percambahan bunga yang maksima bagi setiap tumbuhan jika dibandingkan dengan tumbuhan yang tidak dipangkas. Walaupun kandungan gula pada tumbuhan yang dipangkas menurun, berkemungkinan disebabkan oleh fasa vegetatif berpanjangan, proses pembungaan berterusan dan menghasilkan kuntum bunga baru. Berat dahan segar minimum (14.43 gm) dan kandungan Kalium yang rendah diperhatikan pada tumbuhan yang dipangkas sepenuhnya.

Kajian terkini turut menemui bahawa rawatan teduhan yang berbeza ((2100 ± 200, 1470 ± 200, 1050 ± 200 dan 525 ± 200 $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) mempunyai kesan yang lebih ketara kepada pembentukan bunga, kelanjutan usia, kandungan klorofil daun dan gula serta kualiti tumbuhan pada *Bougainvillea glabra*. Teduhan daripada cahaya yang lebih daripada 30% telah menyekat sepenuhnya percambahan bunga dan keamatian cahaya yang tinggi yang membawa kepada peningkatan kandungan gula dan klorofil berkemungkinan menyebabkan rangsangan terhadap percambahan bunga dan sebaliknya pula melambatkan ‘flower abscission’. Kesan ‘gibberellic acid’, tekanan floemik dan kombinasi GA₃ dan tekanan

floemik kepada pengembangan, pembesaran, perkembangan dan peningkatan hayat *Bougainvillea spectabilis* turut dikaji. Keputusan menunjukkan peningkatkan panjang saiz kelopak dan bentuk sebanyak 40% pada 100 ppm GA₃. Pengembangan adalah tiga hari lebih awal pada tumbuhan yang dirawat 100 ppm GA₃ berbanding kawalan. Pemanjangan hayat bunga dengan tekanan floemik menunjukkan peningkatan selama empat hari manakala peningkatan dua hari diperhati dengan penggabungan 100 ppm GA₃ bersama tekanan floemik berbanding kawalan.

Akhirnya, pemanjangan hayat *Bougainvillea spectabilis* didapati hampir sepuluh hari lebih lama pada NAA jika dibandingkan pada ke dua-dua kawalan dan rawatan menggunakan GA₃. Kesan pemanjangan hayat dengan konsentrasi NAA yang rendah pada fasa permulaan putik adalah lebih efektif berbanding aplikasi pada fasa perkembangan yang lain dan pada konsentrasi yang tinggi. Berat maksimum ‘bract’ dan panjang pucuk diperhati pada GA₃ dan gabungan GA₃ bersama bunga yang dirawat dengan NAA. Penemuan di atas telah memberi satu pemahaman lebih baik mengenai kesan hormon dan tekanan fisiologi pada pertumbuhan dan perkembangan bunga dan kelanjutan usia bougainvillea. Justeru itu, di cadangkan bahawa tekanan fisiologi dan hormon ini boleh digunakan untuk mengekalkan pertumbuhan yang baik dan pemanjangan hayat bunga.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my praise to Almighty Allah for giving me the opportunity to finish this thesis successfully (Shukur-Alhamdulillah).

I would like to express my heartfelt and deep sense of gratitude, indebtedness and profound respect to my academic supervisors Dr. Normaniza Osman and Associate Professor A.B.M. Sharif Hossain for their supervisions, guidance and motivations which led me to finish the research work successfully.

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the University of Malaya for giving me the privileges, opportunities, and awarding me the UM Research Fellowship which help me to continue my study.

I also acknowledge the support and assistance of my friends at the Institute of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya. Last but not least, my deepest gratitude to my parents and all my family members for their support and inspiration throughout the period of my studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	i
ABSTRAK.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMNTS.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiv

CHAPTER 1:

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Importance of Bougainvillea.....	1
1.2 Propagation and Promotion of Flower.....	1
1.3 Delaying Flower Abscission.....	3
1.4 Research Objectives.....	4

CHAPTER 2:

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction to the Plant Species.....	5
2.1.1 <i>Bougainvillea</i> spp.....	5
2.1.2 Cultivation and Uses of Bougainvillea.....	6
2.2 Control of Flowering Time.....	7
2.3 Pathways that Promote Floral Transition.....	8
2.4 Physiological Effects on Plants Growth.....	9
2.4.1 Impact of Pruning Techniques on Plant growth	9
2.4.2 Impact of Phloemic Stress on Plant Life..	13
2.5 Impact of Light Intensity on Plants Life	15
2.6 Behaviors of Stomatal Conductance.....	20
2.7 Effects of Potassium on Plant Growth.....	22

2.8 Bougainvillea Plant is Ethylene Sensitive.....	23
2.9 Flower Longevity and Vase Life.....	25
2.10 Flower Senescence.....	25
2.11 Hormonal Effects on Bougainvillea.....	27
2.11.1 Effects of GA ₃ Hormone.....	27
2.11.2 Ethylene Effects on Flowers Longevity.....	29
2.11.3 Synthetic Auxin- NAA Works as Ethylene Inhibitor.....	32

CHAPTER 3:

THE IMPACT OF PRUNING ON ROOT-SHOOT GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT, BIOCHEICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL CHANGES OF *BOUGAINVILLEA GLABRA*

3.1 Introduction.....	35
3.2 Materials and Methods.....	37
3.2.1 Experimental Site & Plant Materials.....	37
3.2.2 Pruning Treatments.....	37
3.2.3 Quantum Yield Measurements.....	38
3.2.4 Relative Water Content (RWC).....	38
3.2.5 Photosynthetic Pigments.....	40
3.2.6 Estimation of Total Soluble Sugars.....	40
3.2.7 Stomatal Conductance.....	41
3.2.8 Potassium Estimation	41
3.2.9 Bract Weight and Length and Plant Biomass Measurements.....	41
3.2.10 Statistical Analysis.....	42
3.3 Results.....	42
3.4 General Discussion.....	59
3.4.1 Quantum Yield.....	59
3.4.2 Total Soluble Sugar and Shoot Length.....	60
3.4.3 Root and Shoot Growth.....	60
3.4.4 Stomatal Conductance.....	61
3.4.5 Relative Water Content, Potassium, Flower and Chlorophyll.....	62

3.4.6 Flower Initiation.....	62
------------------------------	----

CHAPTER 4:

THE EFFECT OF SHADING ON *BOUGAINVILLEA GLABRA* GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

4.1 Introduction.....	64
4.2 Materials and Methods.....	66
4.2.1 Experimental Site and Plant Materials	66
4.2.2 Treatments Setting.....	66
4.2.3 Branch Length Measurement.....	67
4.2.4 Flower Longevity.....	67
4.2.5 Stomatal Conductance.....	68
4.2.6 Photosynthetic Pigments Estimation	68
4.2.7 Estimation of Total Soluble Sugars.....	68
4.2.8 Estimation of Potassium Content	68
4.2.9 Leaf Size Measurement.....	68
4.2.10 Statistical Analysis.....	68
4.3 Results.....	69
4.4 General Discussion.....	82
4.4.1 Plant Branching, Flower Time, Shading and Number of Flower.....	82
4.4.2 Sugar Content and Flower Longevity.....	83
4.4.3 Shade Chlorophyll, Stomatal Conductance and Leaf Color.....	83

CHAPTER 5:

BRACT SIZE ENLARGEMENT OF *BOUGAINVILLEA SPECTABILIS* AS AFFECTED BY GIBBERELLIC AND PHLOEMIC STRESS

5.1 Introduction.....	85
5.2 Materials and Methods.....	86
5.2.1 Experimental Site & Plant Materials	86
5.2.2 Treatment Setting.....	86
5.2.3 Data Collection.....	87
5.2.4 Bract number, weight and Length.....	87
5.2.5 Bract longevity and Full blooming days.....	87
5.2.6 Chlorophyll Fluorescence Measurements.....	87
5.2.7 Chlorophyll Content.....	89
5.2.8 Statistical Analysis.....	89
5.3 Results.....	89
5.4 General Discussion.....	98

CHAPTER 6:

EFFECTS OF NAPHTHALENEACETIC ACID (NAA) AND GIBBERELLIC ACID (GA₃) ON BRACT LONGEVITY AND SENESCENCE OF *BOUGAINVILLEA SPECTABILIS*

6.1 Introduction.....	100
6.2 Materials and Methods.....	101
6.2.1 Plant Materials.....	101
6.2.2 Hormone Treatments.....	101
6.2.3 Data Collection.....	102
6.2.4 Bract Longevity.....	102
6.2.5 Chlorophyll Content.....	102
6.2.6 Bract weight and Length Measurements.....	103
6.2.7 Evaluation of Abscission and Petal Discoloration.....	103
6.2.8 Stomatal Conductance.....	103
6.2.9 Total Soluble Sugar.....	103
6.2.9 Statistical Analysis.....	103
6.3 Results.....	104
6.4 General Discussion.....	117

6.4.1 Stomatal Conductance and Chlorophyll Content.....	118
6.4.2 Total Soluble Sugar, Bract Weight and Discoloration.....	119

CHAPTER 7:

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

7.1 Importance of Application: Physiological and Hormonal Methods.....	120
7.2 Contributions and Findings.....	120
7.3 Conclusion and Recommendations	122

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Bougainvillea Bonsai	6
Figure 3.1: Different type of pruning architecture was showed above.....	39
Figure 3.2: Bougainvillea plant structure containing different types of branches.	45
Figure 3.3: Determination of quantum yield in all type of pruning plants leaf including control.....	49
Figure 3.4: Stomatal conductance value was affected by different pruned treatments.....	51
Figure 3.5: Relative water content of different treatments plant leaf.....	52
Figure 3.6: The effect of different pruning treatments on photosynthetic pigment, chlorophyll <i>a</i> & <i>b</i> value of <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp leaf.....	54
Figure 3.7: Potassium concentration of different treated plants leaf.....	55
Figure 3.8: Flower number per branches in different types of pruning.....	56
Figure 3.9: Total soluble sugars concentration in leaf under various pruned treatments.....	57
Figure 3.10 Plant architecture containing branch and root profile in different types of pruning.....	58
Figure 4.1: New branch initiation under different shading.....	70
Figure 4.2: Branch growth affected under different shading condition.....	71
Figure 4.3: Flower initiation was affected by different shading condition.....	72
Figure 4.4: Effect of shading on flower longevity and flower production in potted bougainvillea.....	74
Figure 4.5: Stomatal conductance was affected by different shading treatments at different FBC (flower blooming cycle).....	75
Figure 4.6: Ts content in bougainvillea leaf under various light levels....	76
Figure 4.7: Potassium content of different shading treated plants.....	80
Figure 4.8: Randomly chosen <i>Bougainvillea glabra</i> leaves from the various shading treatments for leaf size and color assessment...	81
Figure 5.1: Photographs show Phloemic Stress and trunk circumference	

of bougainvillea plant branch.....	88
Figure 5.2: Bract number/branch of bougainvillea plant as affected by different treatments.....	91
Figure 5.3: Effects of different treatments on petal size (mm) at different bract stages of <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp.....	92
Figure 5.4: Bract weight as affected by different treatments applied to <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp.....	94
Figure 5.5: Determination of quantum yield in different treated branches...	95
Figure 5.6: The SPAD value of leaves in different treatment branches	96
Figure 5.7: Photo graphs show the petal architecture and size at different stages.....	97
Figure 6.1: The effect of NAA and GA ₃ treatments on Ts of leaves.....	108
Figure 6.2: The effects of NAA and GA ₃ treatments on bract weight at different developmental stages.....	110
Figure 6.3: Stomatal conductance in leaves of branches treated with NAA and GA ₃	112
Figure 6.4: The effects of the different hormonal treatments on bract diameter and size (mm) at the 4 th developmental stage in <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp.....	113
Figure 6.5: The effect of NAA and GA ₃ treatments on shoot length.....	114
Figure 6.6: Photographs show the effects of mixed treatments (100 ppm GA ₃ plus 100 ppm NAA) and 100 ppm NAA on the different developmental flowering stages in <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp.....	115
Figure 6.7: The effects of NAA and GA ₃ treatments on 4 th stage bract size.....	116

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1: Measurement of ornamental characteristic (bract length, weight and number) as affected by different pruning.....	44
Table 3.2: Different types of branch initiation were affected by pruning	46
Table 3.3: Biomass measurement (of branches) at the end of experiment.....	47
Table 3.4: Measurements root biomass at the end of experiment.....	48
Table 4.1: Comparison of photosynthetic pigment or chlorophyll content of bougainvillea leaves grown under full sunlight (0 % shade), 30 % shade, 50% shade, L4% 75% shade.....	76
Table 5.1: Effect of different treatments on bract longevity and full blooming days of <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp bract.....	93
Table 6.1: The effects of NAA and GA ₃ solutions on bract longevity at different stages of bract development in <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp.....	105
Table 6.2: Leaf and bract SPAD values (chlorophyll) at the different stages of bract development in different NAA and GA ₃ treatments.....	106
Table 6.3: The effect of NAA and GA ₃ treatments on bract discoloration at the different stages of bract development in <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp.....	107

ABBREVIATIONS

ACC	1-Aminocyclopropen-1-Carboxylic Acid
ATP	Adenosine Triphosphate
cm	Centimetre
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CRD	Completely Randomized Design
CW	Cool White
DMRT	Duncan Multiple Range Test
DW	Dry Weight
EBS	Early Bolting in Short Days
ETR	Electron Transport Rates
FBC	Flower Blooming Cycle
Fig	Figure
Fm	Higher Fluorescence
Fo	Lower Fluorescence
FW	Fresh Weight
GA	Gibberelic acid
gm	Gram
GRO	Gro- Lux
LCP	Light compensation points.
LSD	Least Significant Difference
NAA	Naphthalene Acetic Acid
NADPH	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate
NH ₄ ⁺	Ammonium Ion
NPQ	Nonphotochemical Quenching
PAR	Photosynthetically Active Radiation
PFT	Phytochrome Flowering Time
PGRS	Plant Growth Regulatory Substances
PPFD	Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density
PPM	Part per Million
PS	Photosystem
RWC	Relative Water Content

SI	Surface Irradiance
STS	Silver Thiosulfate
Ts	Total Soluble Sugar
TW	Turgid Weight
VB	Visible Bud
WS	Wide Spectrum