LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1	Mean for the overall receptive and productive scores	
	of urban and rural students	44
Figure 4.2	Mean scores for the receptive translation test at	
	different word levels	46
Figure 4.3	Mean scores for the productive translation test at	
	different word levels	47
Figure 4.4	Mean scores for Lex30 and Word Level C	49

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

Table 3.1	The breakdown of the number of words for each band	31
Table 3.2	Sample word list according to Levels A, B and C	32
Table 3.3	Sample Receptive Translation Test	34
Table 3.4	Sample Lex30	35
Table 3.5	Translation tests: Individual participant scoring sheet	37
Table 3.6	List of inflectional suffixes and frequent regular	
	derivational affixes	38
Table 3.7	Lex30: Individual participant scoring sheet	39
Table 4.1	Means and standard deviations for the overall receptive	
	and productive scores of urban and rural students	43
Table 4.2	Means and standard deviations for receptive and	
	productive scores at different word levels	46
Table 4.3	Means and standard deviations for Lex30 score and	
	Lex30 Level C score	48
Table 4.4	Summary of the findings of the first research question	51
Table 4.5	Correlations between students' overall receptive,	
	productive and Lex30 vocabulary	53
Table 4.6	Correlations between urban students' receptive	
	vocabulary at different word levels	55
Table 4.7	Correlations between urban students' productive	
	vocabulary at different word levels	56
Table 4.8	Correlations between urban students' receptive and	
	productive vocabulary at different word levels	57
Table 4.9	Correlations between urban students' overall receptive,	
	productive and Lex30 vocabulary	58
Table 4.10	Correlations between rural students' receptive	
	vocabulary at different word levels	59
Table 4.11	Correlations between rural students' productive	
	vocabulary at different word levels	60

Table 4.12	Correlations between rural students' receptive and	
	productive vocabulary at different word levels	61
Table 4.13	Correlations between rural students' overall receptive,	
	productive and Lex30 vocabulary	63
Table 4.14	Summary of the findings of the second research question	65
Table 4.15	Correlations between students' overall vocabulary size	
	and ELA	66
Table 4.16	Correlations between urban students' overall vocabulary	
	size and ELA	67
Table 4.17	Correlations between urban students' overall receptive	
	vocabulary size and ELA	68
Table 4.18	Correlations between urban students' overall productive	
	vocabulary size and ELA	68
Table 4.19	Correlations between rural students' overall vocabulary	
	size and ELA	69
Table 4.20	Correlations between rural students' overall receptive	
	vocabulary size and ELA	70
Table 4.21	Correlations between rural students' overall productive	
	vocabulary size and ELA	70
Table 4.22	Summary of the findings of the third research question	71
Table 5.1	Findings of the first research question	73
Table 5.2	Examples of errors in receptive translation test	79
Table 5.3	Common low-frequency responses for Lex30	82
Table 5.4	Findings of the second research question	83
Table 5.5	Findings of the third research question	87

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
Original Literary Work Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstrak	V
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The Problem	2
1.2 The Aim of the Study	4
1.3 Research Questions	4
1.4 Significance of the Study	5
1.5 Scope and Limitations	6
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Vocabulary	9
2.2 The Nature of Vocabulary	10
2.3 Vocabulary Teaching and Learning	11
2.4 Vocabulary Size	15
2.5 Vocabulary Selection	16
2.6 Vocabulary Tests	18
2.6.1 Improved Tests	21
2.7 Vocabulary and Its Implications	23
2.7.1 The Ecological Factor	23
2.7.2 Language Proficiency	27
CHAPTER THREE : METHODOLOGY	30
3.1 Participants	30
3.2 Target Words	31
3.3 Research Instruments	33

	3.4 Scoring		36
	3.5 Data (Collection Procedure	
	3.6 Data A	Analysis Method	41
C	HAPTER	FOUR : ANALYSIS OF DATA	42
	4.1 The R	Receptive and Productive Vocabulary Size of Urban and	
	Rural Students in the district of Kuantan (RQ1)		42
	4.1.1	Receptive and Productive Vocabulary Size Based on	
		Translation Tests (Word List)	43
	4.1.2	Lex30	48
	4.1.3	Summary of the Results of Research Question 1	50
	4.2 The R	Relationship between Receptive and Productive	
	Vocał	bulary (RQ2)	52
	4.2.1	The Relationship between the Overall Receptive	
		And Productive Vocabulary	52
	4.2.2	The Relationship between Receptive and	
		Productive Vocabulary at Different Word Levels	54
		4.2.2.1 Urban Students	54
		4.2.2.2 Rural Students	59
	4.2.3	Summary of the Results of Research Question 2	64
	4.3 The E	Extent of the Correlation between Vocabulary Size and	
	ELA	(RQ3)	65
	4.3.1	Overall Correlation between Vocabulary Size and ELA	66
	4.3.2 The Correlation between Urban Students' Vocabulary		
		Size and ELA	67
	4.3.3	The Correlation between Rural Students' Vocabulary	
		Size and ELA	69
	4.3.4	Summary of the Results of Research Question 3	71
C	HAPTER	FIVE : SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	73
5.1 Findings of Research Question 1			73
	5.1.1	Urban Receptive and Productive vocabulary sizes >	
		Rural Receptive and Productive vocabulary sizes	74

	5.1.2	Insignificant difference bet	ween Receptive and	
		Productive vocabulary size	s of Urban and Rural students	76
	5.1.3	High frequency words > Lo	ow frequency words	80
	5.1.4	Gap between high frequence	ey words of urban and	
		rural students < Gap betwe	en low frequency words of	
		urban and rural students		80
	5.1.5	Lex30 : Urban Low-freque	ncy Productive	
		vocabulary size = Rural Lo	ow-frequency Productive	
		vocabulary size		81
	5.2 Findin	gs of Research Question 2		83
	5.2.1	Overall receptive vocabula	ry correlates with	
		overall productive vocabula	ary strongly, and also	
		at every word level		84
	5.2.2	Strength of relationships for	r urban students > rural student	ts 84
	5.2.3	Lex30 : Only a weak correl	ation between Lex30 and	
		rural students' receptive vo	cabulary	86
	5.3 Findin	gs of Research Question 3		86
	5.3.1	Overall vocabulary size con	rrelates with ELA moderately	87
	5.3.2	Urban students' ELA corre	lates with receptive	
		vocabulary, whereas rural s	students' ELA correlates with	
		productive vocabulary		89
	5.4 Recon	mendations		91
	5.5 Sugge	tions for Further Research		92
	5.6 Concl	sion		94
Re	eferences			96
Aj	ppendices			
	Appendix	A Categorized Word I	List (Form Four Syllabus)	101
	Appendix	B Participant Profile		103
	Appendix	C Receptive Translati	on Test	104
	Appendix	D Productive Translat	ion Test	106
	Appendix	E Lex30		109