APPENDIX-A: Pearson chi-square test

Decision to adopt E-HRM * SIZE Crosstabulation

Decision to doopt 1 mm G121 crosstabulation								
				SI	ZE			
		<50	50-99	100-199	200-499	500-999	>1000	Total
DV 0	Count	6	4	3	5	0	3	21
	Expected Count	2.1	3.0	3.6	3.1	1.9	7.3	21.0
	% within DV	28.6%	19.0%	14.3%	23.8%	.0%	14.3%	100.0%
	% within SIZE	50.0%	23.5%	14.3%	27.8%	.0%	7.1%	17.4%
l _	% of Total	5.0%	3.3%	2.5%	4.1%	.0%	2.5%	17.4%
1	Count	6	13	18	13	11	39	100
	Expected Count	9.9	14.0	17.4	14.9	9.1	34.7	100.0
	% within DV	6.0%	13.0%	18.0%	13.0%	11.0%	39.0%	100.0%
	% within SIZE	50.0%	76.5%	85.7%	72.2%	100.0%	92.9%	82.6%
	% of Total	5.0%	10.7%	14.9%	10.7%	9.1%	32.2%	82.6%
Total	Count	12	17	21	18	11	42	121
	Expected Count	12.0	17.0	21.0	18.0	11.0	42.0	121.0
	% within DV	9.9%	14.0%	17.4%	14.9%	9.1%	34.7%	100.0%
	% within SIZE	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	9.9%	14.0%	17.4%	14.9%	9.1%	34.7%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests (size)

	•	, ,	
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.233 ^a	5	.006
Likelihood Ratio	16.382	5	.006
Linear-by-Linear	10.328	1	.001
Association	10.020	'	.001
N of Valid Cases	121		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.91.

decision to adopt E-HRM * ownership Crosstabulation

			Own	ership form			
		Foreign	Joint venture	Gov-linked	private	Others	Total
DV 0	Count	2	1	4	11	3	21
	Expected Count	3.3	2.3	5.7	7.3	2.4	21.0
	% within DV	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	52.4%	14.3%	100.0%
	% within ownership	10.5%	7.7%	12.1%	26.2%	21.4%	17.4%
<u> </u>	% of Total	1.7%	.8%	3.3%	9.1%	2.5%	17.4%
1	Count	17	12	29	31	11	100
	Expected Count	15.7	10.7	27.3	34.7	11.6	100.0
	% within DV	17.0%	12.0%	29.0%	31.0%	11.0%	100.0%
	% within ownership	89.5%	92.3%	87.9%	73.8%	78.6%	82.6%
	% of Total	14.0%	9.9%	24.0%	25.6%	9.1%	82.6%
Total	Count	19	13	33	42	14	121
	Expected Count	19.0	13.0	33.0	42.0	14.0	121.0
	% within DV	15.7%	10.7%	27.3%	34.7%	11.6%	100.0%
	% within ownership	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	15.7%	10.7%	27.3%	34.7%	11.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests (ownership)

om equalo rests (emision)						
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)			
Pearson Chi-Square	4.542 ^a	4	.338			
Likelihood Ratio	4.612	4	.329			
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.846	1	.092			
N of Valid Cases	121					

a. 3 cells (30.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.26.

Decision to adopt E-HRM * ind_typ Crosstabulation

			151011 1			ind_		CIUSS				
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	Total
D 0	Count	1	6	2	2	2	5	0	0	3	0	21
V	Expect	3.1	3.0	2.1	1.6	.5	3.8	1.0	.5	1.7	3.6	21.0
	ed											
	Count			1	1				1			
	%	4.8%	28.6	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	23.8	.0%	.0%	14.3	.0%	100.0
	within		%				%			%		%
	DV											
	% within	5.6%	35.3 %	16.7 %	22.2 %	66.7 %	22.7 %	.0%	.0%	30.0 %	.0%	17.4 %
	ind_typ		70	70	70	70	70			70		70
	% of	.8%	5.0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	17.4
	Total	.0 70	3.0 /0	1.7 70	1.7 70	1.7 70	4.170	.0 70	.0 70	2.570	.070	%
1	Count	17	11	10	7	1	17	6	3	7	21	100
	Expect	14.9	14.0	9.9	7.4	2.5	18.2	5.0	2.5	8.3	17.4	100.0
	ed											
	Count											
	%	17.0	11.0	10.0	7.0%	1.0%	17.0	6.0%	3.0%	7.0%	21.0	100.0
	within	%	%	%			%				%	%
	DV2			1 .								
	%	94.4	64.7	83.3	77.8	33.3	77.3	100.0	100.0	70.0	100.0	82.6
	within	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ŀ	ind_typ			1					1			
	% of	14.0	9.1%	8.3%	5.8%	.8%	14.0	5.0%	2.5%	5.8%	17.4	82.6
Tota	Total Count	% 18	17	12	9	3	% 22	6	3	10	% 21	% 121
l ola	Expect	18.0	17.0	12.0	9.0	3.0	22.0	6.0	3.0	10.0	ĺ	121.0
	ed	16.0	17.0	12.0	9.0	3.0	22.0	6.0	3.0	10.0	21.0	121.0
	Count											
	%	14.9	14.0	9.9%	7.4%	2.5%	18.2	5.0%	2.5%	8.3%	17.4	100.0
	within	%	%				%				%	%
	DV											
	%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	within	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	ind_typ											
	% of	14.9	14.0	9.9%	7.4%	2.5%	18.2	5.0%	2.5%	8.3%	17.4	100.0
	Total	%	%				%				%	%

Industry types represented by number

number	Industry type
1	Computers/Telecommunication
2	Architecture/engineering
3	Education
4	Retail/wholesale/trade
5	Travel/tourism/hotel
6	Business service
7	Logistics/transportation
8	Banking/finance
9	Manufacturing
10	Others

Chi-Square Tests(industry type)

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)				
Pearson Chi-Square	18.657 ^a	9	.028				
Likelihood Ratio	21.912	9	.009				
Linear-by-Linear	1.519	1	.218				
Association	1.010	'	.210				
N of Valid Cases	121						

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .52.

APPENDIX-B1: Survey questionnaire (English version)



UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

Master of Business Administration

Graduate School of Business

Faculty of Business and Accountancy

"An Empirical Study on Factors that Influencing the Adoption of E-HRM among Firms in Northeast of China"

Dear Sir/ Madam,

This research is conducted as part of completion of the Master of Business Administration from University of Malaya.

The main aim of this study is to examine the factors that significantly influence the E-HRM adoption among China's firms. This includes the influences of attitude, subjective norms of HR manager, departmental relative advantage, system compatibility, complexity, E-HRM expertise, top management support and industry pressure.

Please be assured that the information you provide in this survey is for academic purposes only and will be kept strictly confidential. The findings from this survey will be reported in aggregate forms and the anonymity of the respondents will be guaranteed.

I anticipate that the questionnaire will take approximate 10 minutes to complete. Thank you in advance for your invaluable assistance in participating in this survey.

Yours sincerely,

Bian Linlin

Email: linlinbian2009@yahoo.com

Supervised by,

Dr. Norizah Mohd Mustamil

Faculty of Business & Accountancy University of Malaya

-						
	RT A: COMPANY BA					
		ne basic informati	on abou	it your comp	any. Plea	ase cross only one box for
eac	h question as below:					
X						
1.	Form of ownership	of your company				
	C	6 1:ld] ,		
	Foreign own	t-linked company		Local owne Joint ventur	_	
	Others	iersnip		Joint ventur	е	
	Oulers					
2.	Size of your compa	nv				
		·				
	< 50 employ	yees		50-99 empl	oyees	
	100-199 em	ployees		200-499 em	ployees	
	500-999 em	ployees		>1000 empl	loyees	
3.	The industry that y	our company belor	ngs to:			
	Arabitoatur	y/on gin oorin g] Donking/fin	ongo	
		e/engineering communication		Banking/fin Education	ance	
	Logistics/tra			Manufactur	ing/const	ruction
		esale/trading		Business se	•	ruction
	Travel/touri	•		Others	VICCS	
	Travel/touri	SIII/IIOCCI		Outers		
Plea	ase complete the follo	wing questions wh	ich refle	ect vour opin	ions as a	ccurately as possible.
1100	se complete the force	wing questions win		oct your opin	10115 45 4	ecuratery as possible:
PAI	RT B: This section e	xamines whether y	our con	npany has so	me E-HI	RM facilities. Please cross
	the E-HRM facilities					
		e-recruiting				
		e-training				
		e-evaluating				
		e-salary				

e-communicating

In my company

There is no E-HRM facilities

<u>PARTC:</u> This section examines individual factors that influence E-HRM adoption. Please cross (X) your answer. (1 denoting STRONGLY DISAGREE and 5 denoting STRONGLY AGREE)

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
	HR manager's attitude	1	2	3	4	5
Att1	I believe that adopting E-HRM to my company is a wise decision.					
Att2	I believe that adopting E-HRM is helpful to my company's business.					
Att3	I believe that E-HRM application contribute to HRM technical effectiveness.					
Att4	I believe that E-HRM applications contribute to HRM strategic effectiveness.					
	HR manager's subjective norm	1	2	3	4	5
Sub1	People who are important to me think that I should use E-HRM applications.					
Sub2	People who influence what I do think that I should use E-HRM applications.					
Sub3	Expert opinions influence me to use E-HRM application.					
Sub4	People who influence my decisions think that I should use E-HRM application.					

PARTD: This section examines technological factors that influence E-HRM adoption. Please cross (X) your answer. (1 denoting STRONGLY DISAGREE and 5 denoting STRONGLY AGREE)

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
	Departmental relative advantage	1	2	3	4	5
Dep1	E-HRM will enable human resource personnel to accomplish tasks more quickly					
Dep2	E-HRM will improve the quality of the work of human resource personnel.					
Dep3	E-HRM makes it easier for human resource personnel to do their work.					

D 4	E-HRM will enhance the job effectiveness					
Dep4	of Human Resource personnel.					
	Compatibility	1	2	3	4	5
Compa1	The changes introduced by E-HRM application are compatible with existing operating practices.					
Compa2	Adoption of E-HRM application is consistent with our organization's values and beliefs.					
СотраЗ	E-HRM application is compatible with our organization's IT infrastructure.					
Compa4	E-HRM application is compatible with our organization's computerized data resources.					
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
	Complexity	1	2	3	4	5
Compl1	E-HRM is complex to use					
Compl2	E-HRM development is a complex process.					
Compl3	E-HRM is hard to learn.					
Compl4	Integrating E-HRM into our current work practice will be very difficult.					

PART E: This section examines organizational factors that influence E-HRM adoption. Please cross (x) your answer. (1 denoting STRONGLY DISAGREE and 5 denoting STRONGLY AGREE)

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
	Top management support	1	2	3	4	5
Top1	Top management enthusiastically supports the adoption of E-HRM.					
Top2	Top management has allocated adequate resources for the adoption of E-HRM.					
Тор3	Top management is aware of the benefits of E-HRM.					
Top4	Top management actively encourages human resource personnel to use E-HRM in their daily tasks.					

	E-HRM expertise	1	2	3	4	5
	All human resources personnel know how					
Exp1	information technology can be used to					
	support HR functions.					
Euro 2	All human resources personnel are					
Exp2	computer-literate.					
Evn 2	There is at least one computer expert in the					
Ехр3	human resources department.					
	Human resource personnel's understanding					
Exp4	of computers is good compared with other					
	organizations in the industry.					

<u>PART F: This section examines environmental factors that influence E-HRM adoption. Please cross (x) your answer.</u>(1 denoting STRONGLY DISAGREE and 5 denoting STRONGLY AGREE)

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
	Industry pressure	1	2	3	4	5
Ind1	It is a strategic necessity to use E-HRM application to compete in my industry.					
Ind2	The overall operational practices in my industry pressure me to adopt E-HRM.					
Ind3	Competitors' adoption of E-HRM places pressure on our organization to adoption E-HRM					
Ind4	Our organization actively keeps track of new and innovative uses of technology by competitors					

Thank you very much for completing the questionnaire!!

APPENDIX-B2: Survey questionnaire (Chinese version)

关于影响中国东北部公司采纳电子化人

力资源管理因素的实证研究问卷调查

尊敬的先生/女士:

本研究是用于完成马来亚大学工商管理硕士学位。

本问卷调查的目的是调查显著影响中国公司采纳电子化人力资源管理的因

素,其中包括人力资源管理者的态度,主观规范,电子化人力资源管理的相对

优势,系统兼容性,系统复杂性,员工的计算机能力,高层领导的支持以及行

业压力。

您为本次调查所提供的信息将完全用于学术研究的目的,调查内容不涉及

个人隐私,请放心填写。这份问卷将花费大约十分钟来完成,在此,非常感谢

您的参与和支持,谢谢!

学生: 边琳琳

电子邮箱: linlinbian2009@yahoo.com

指导教师: Dr. Norizah Mohd Mustamil

商业与会计学院

马来亚大学

96

	公司基本信息: 请在相应空白画 "x":	
1.	贵公司企业所有制形式:	
	国有企业 外资企业 其他	民营企业合资企业
2.	贵公司规模:	
	50 人 100-199 人 500-999 人	50-99 人 200-499 人 >1000 人
3.	贵公司所在行业:	
	建筑/工程 计算机/通信 物流/运输 零售/批发/贸易 旅游/酒店	银行/金融 教育 制造业 商业服务 其他
二.	请选择贵公司目前正在使用的电子	化人力资源管理方式:(可多选)
	网上招聘 网上培训 电子化的员工绩效考核	电子化的员工薪酬管理 电子化的员工交流平台 我公司目前没有采用任何 电子化人力资源管理方式

三. 影响公司采纳电子化人力资源管理的个人因素,请选择您对贵公司采纳电子化人力资源管理的态度(1-强烈不同意,5-强烈同意)

		强烈 不同意	不同意	中立	同意	强烈 同意
	人力资源管理者的态度	1	2	3	4	5
Att1	我相信采纳电子化的人力资源管理 (E-HRM)对我公司来说是明智的决 定。					
Att2	我相信采纳电子化的人力资源管理 (E-HRM)有助于提高公司的管理水 平。					
Att3	我相信采纳电子化的人力资源管理 (E-HRM)会提高人事部门的工作效 率。					
Att4	我相信采纳电子化的人力资源管理 (E-HRM)会提高公司人事策略的成 效。					
	人力资源管理者的主观规范	1	2	3	4	5
Sub1	对我重要的人认为我部门应该采用电子 化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)					
Sub2	影响我行动和行为的人认为我部门应该 采用电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)					
Sub3	专家的意见会影响我部门是否采用电子 化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)					
Sub4	影响我作出决策的人认为我部门应该采 用电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)					

四. 以下题目调查影响中国公司采纳电子化人力资源管理(E-HRM)的技术因素,请标明您对以下条目的态度:(1-强烈不同意,5-强烈同意)

		强烈 不同意	不同意	中立	同意	强烈 同意
	电子化人力资源管理的相对优势	1	2	3	4	5
Dep1	电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)能 够使人事部门更快的完成工作任务					
Dep2	电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)会 改善人事部门的工作质量					
Dep3	电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)会 使人事部门更容易的完成他们的工作					
Dep4	电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)会 强化人事部门工作的有效性					

		强烈 不同意	不同意	中立	同意	强烈 同意
	兼容性	1	2	3	4	5
Compa1	实行电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM) 与我公司目前的经营实践是相匹配,兼 容的					
Compa2	采纳电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM) 是与我公司的价值观,信仰相一致的					
СотраЗ	采纳电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM) 是会与我公司目前的 IT 设施兼容的					
Compa4	采纳电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM) 是会与我公司计算机化的数据资源兼 容的					
	复杂性	1	2	3	4	5
Compl1	电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)使 用起来会很复杂					
Compl2	电子化人力资源管理(E-HRM)的发展是个复杂的过程					
Compl3	电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)的操作会很难学					
Compl4	把电子化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)整合进公司目前的工作实践是很困难的事					

五. 以下题目调查影响中国公司采纳电子化人力资源管理(E-HRM)的环境因素,请表明您对以下条目的态度:(1-强烈不同意,5-强烈同意)

		强烈 不同意	不同意	中立	同意	强烈 同意
	行业压力	1	2	3	4	5
Ind1	我公司的管理高层非常支持采纳电子化 的人力资源管理(E-HRM)					
Ind2	我公司的管理高层已经为电子化人力资源管理(E-HRM)的采纳或实施提供了足够的资源					
Ind3	我公司的管理高层意识到了电子化人力 资源管理(E-HRM)的好处					
Ind4	我公司的管理高层鼓励人事部门将电子 化的人力资源管理(E-HRM)应用于日 常工作					

非常感谢您的参与!

This document was created with Win2PDF available at http://www.win2pdf.com. The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only. This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.