# HOW OVERALL DESTINATION IMAGE AND TRIP EXPERINCES AFFECT TOURISTS' BEHAVIOR INTENTIONS: A CASE OF KUALA LUMPUR

LIM AI SAL (CGA070070)

Submitted to the Graduate School of Business Faculty of Business and Accountancy University of Malaya, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Business Administration

February 2012

#### **ABSTRACT**

Tourism is an industry which is flourishing all over the world including county of Malaysia. While more and more destination are developed and availability of accessibility of information in tourism, the choice to consumer in selecting their destination to visit is continue to expand. Despite many studies of destination image has been conducted since 1973; however it mainly focus on the region of North America and followed by UK/Europe, Australasia and Africa and study on Asia is only explored in this decade (Steve Pike, 2002).

This study attempted to focus on Kuala Lumpur and a structural relationship between all variables (i.e. overall destination image, perceived trip quality, perceived value, satisfaction and effect on behavioral intention) were investigated. The structural model was tested on a sample of 567 international tourists in Kuala Lumpur. Subsequent from the research findings, it contributes to destination marketers a better understanding of which mechanisms and factors positively affect behavior intention of international tourist in Kuala Lumpur and enable them to enhance their competitiveness.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to thank God for giving me the opportunity to study Master of Business Administration in University Malaya.

Secondly, I would like to extend my sincere appreciation and thanks to my dedicated research supervisor Dr. Norbani Che Ha, for her continual guidance, support and constructive comments and kind assistance during the course of this research study.

My deepest appreciation and thanks to my church mate who had been morally support and upholding me in their prayers to request God to walk with me in the journey this research study.

Last but not least, I am indebted to all the international respondents who willingly participated in the survey for this research. You all have made this research a success by providing the data for the study when you sacrificed your time and efforts in completing the questionnaire.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
TABLE OF CONTENTS
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER1: AN OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	1-2
1.3 Research Questions	3
1.4 Research Problems	4-5
1.4 Objective of the study	5-6
1.5 Important of the study	6-8
1.6 Structure of the study	8
CHAPTER2: LITERATURE LIVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Component in Overall Destination Image	9-19
2.3 Perceived Trip Quality	20
2.4 Perceived Value	21
2.5 Satisfaction	21-22
2.6 Tourist behavior intention	22-23
2.7 Social-demographic characteristics	23-24
2.8 Hypothesis Development	24-27
2.9 Theoretical Framework	28
CHAPTER3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	29
3.3 Research Design	29
3.3 Sampling Design	29
3.4 Questionnaire Design	30-32
3.5 Selection of Measures	
3.5.1 Cognitive Destination Image	33-37
3.5.2 Affective Destination Image	38
3.5.3 Destination Personality	39
3.5.4 Overall Destination Image	40
3.5.5 Perceived Trip Quality	41-45

3.5.6 Perceived Value	46-47
3.5.7 Tourists' Satisfaction	47-48
3.5.8 Tourists' Future Intention	48-49
3.6 Descriptive Profile	49
3.7 Data Analysis Techniques	49
CHAPTER4: RESEARCH RESULTS	
4.1 Introduction	50
4.2 Descriptive Profile	50-52
4.3 Normality Test	53
4.4 Factor Analysis	54-62
4.5 Reliability Test	63
4.6 Correlation Test	64-66
4.7 Hypothesis Testing	67-86
4.8 Summary	87
CHAPTER5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Introduction	88
5.2 Summary of Findings	88-92
5.3 Practical Implications	93-95
5.4 Limitation of the research	95-96
5.5 Conclusion	96
REFERENCES	97-104
<b>APPENDIX 1</b> Summary of destination image studies from 1973- 2000	105-109
APPENDIX 2 Questionnaire	110-115

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1	Destination image and destination branding	13
Figure 2	Framework for destination branding	14
Figure 3	Brand Personality Framework	15
Figure 4	Theoretical Framework	28
LICTO	TABLES	
LIST O	- IABLES	
Table 1	The Most Common Attributes Used In Tourism Destination Image	12
Table 2	Resemblance of brand personality dimensions to the Big Five dimensions	18
Table 3	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Natural Attraction	34
Table 4	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for History Attraction	34
Table 5	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Cultural	35
Table 6	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Entertainment and Events	35
Table 7	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Travel Environment	36
Table 8	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Price and Cost	36
Table 9	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Infrastructure	37
Table 10	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Affective Image	38
Table 11	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Destination Personality	39
Table 12	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Overall Destination Image	40
Table 13	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality - Natural Attraction	41

Table 14	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality - History Attraction	42
Table 15	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality - Cultural	42
Table 16	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality - Entertainment and Events	43
Table 17	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality - Travel Environment	43
Table 18	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality – Price and Cost	44
Table 19	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Trip Quality - Infrastructure	45
Table 20	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Perceived Value	46-47
Table 21	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Tourists' Satisfaction	47-48
Table 22	List of Original Measurement and Adapted Measurement for Tourists' Behavioral Intention	48-49
Table 23	Kuala Lumpur's image by demographic variables	51-52
Table 24	Assessment of the measurement	53
Table 25	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy, Bartlett's Test of Sphericity and Variance	54
Table 26	Dimension of Cognitive Image	57
Table 27	Dimension of Affective Image	58
Table 28	Dimension of Overall Destination Image	59
Table 29	Dimension of Perceived Trip Quality	60
Table 30	Dimension of Perceived Value	61
Table 31	Dimension of Satisfaction	62
Table 32	Dimension of Tourists' Behavioral Intention	62
Table 33	Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Analysis	63
Table 34	Inter-construct correlations	65-66

Table 35	ANOVA between cognitive image (natural and history attraction) and overall destination image	67
Table 36	ANOVA between cognitive image (cultural) and overall destination image.	68
Table 37	ANOVA between cognitive image (environment and infrastructure) and overall destination image	69
Table 38	ANOVA between cognitive image (price and cost) and overall destination image	70
Table 39	ANOVA between affective image and overall destination	71
Table 40	image Descriptive between destination personality (sincerity) and overall destination image	72
Table 41	Descriptive between destination personality (excitement) and overall destination image	73
Table 42	Descriptive between destination personality (conviviality) and overall destination image	74
Table 43	ANOVA between overall destination image and perceived trip quality (natural and history attraction)	75
Table 44	ANOVA between overall destination image and perceived trip quality (cultural)	76
Table 45	ANOVA between overall destination image and perceived trip quality (environment and infrastructure)	76
Table 46	ANOVA between overall destination image and perceived trip quality (price and cost)	77
Table 47	ANOVA between perceived trip quality (natural and history attraction) and satisfaction	78
Table 48	ANOVA between perceived trip quality (cultural) and satisfaction	78
Table 49	ANOVA between perceived trip quality (environment and infrastructure) and satisfaction	79
Table 50	ANOVA between perceived trip quality (price and cost) and satisfaction	80
Table 51	ANOVA between overall destination image and perceived value	80
Table 52	ANOVA between perceived value and satisfaction	81

Table 53	ANOVA between satisfaction and tourists' behavioral intention	82
Table 54	Descriptive between destination personality (sincerity) and tourists' behavioral intention	83
Table 55	Descriptive between destination personality (excitement) and tourists' behavioral intention	84
Table 56	Descriptive between destination personality (conviviality) and tourists' behavioral intention	85
Table 57	Descriptive between age of tourists and overall destination image	86
Table 57	Summary of Hypothesis Testing	87