

**PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF PLANT
PROTEASE INHIBITORS ON THE EXPRESSION OF
HETEROLOGOUS GENES IN PLANT CELLS**

NASSIM KHALIGHI

**FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2011

**PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF PLANT
PROTEASE INHIBITORS ON THE EXPRESSION OF
HETEROLOGOUS GENES IN PLANT CELLS**

NASSIM KHALIGHI

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF BIOTECHNOLOGY**

**INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2011

UNIVERSITI MALAYA
ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: NASSIM KHALIGHI

(I.C/Passport No: E12567019)

Registration/Matric No: SGF080024

Name of Degree: Master of Biotechnology

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis (“this Work”):

Title

**PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF PLANT PROTEASE INHIBITORS ON THE
EXPRESSION OF HETEROLOGOUS GENES IN PLANT CELLS**

Field of Study: PLANT MOLECULAR BIOLOGY

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya (“UM”), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature

Date

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness's Signature

Date

Name:

Designation:

ABSTRACT

Despite the successful development of plant-based expression systems for the production of a wide range of recombinant proteins in recent years, there remain serious limitations in the final yield accumulation of the foreign protein in the plant cells used. Plant protease activity represents a significant barrier to efficient and hence economical recombinant protein production. Inadequate information is available on specific plant proteases and its role in foreign protein degradation. Some strategies have been reported recently to overcome endogenous plant protease effects on foreign protein integrity and activity in plants including the co-expression of recombinant proteases to reduce the endogenous protease activity. The lack of information in this area suggests that more studies should be carried out to assess different plant protease inhibitors for the improvement of the stability of foreign protein production in particular host plants. The aim of this research was to evaluate the effect of recombinant plant protease inhibitors on the expression of a cloned heterologous ScFv antibody gene in plant cells. The recombinant tomato Cathepsin D Inhibitor (CDI) gene fused to a KDEL sequence was ligated to a previously constructed recombinant antibody (scFv) gene developed against toxoplasmosis epitopes (pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL) and then transferred successfully into *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. BY-2 cell suspension using *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation method. In addition, the scFv gene was also ligated to a construct containing only the endoplasmic reticulum retention signal, KDEL, (pCTOXO-KDEL) and this was also transferred into tobacco cells as a comparison against CDI-KDEL containing cells. Both constructs contained GUS marker gene. To assess the effect of the CDI gene in putative transformed tobacco cells GUS fluorometric assay was performed. Total soluble protein of the tobacco cells was also analyzed by Bradford protein assay. The tobacco cells carrying the CDI protease inhibitor gene showed higher TSP levels in comparison with plant cells lacking this

gene. This finding suggests the positive effect of co-expression of the CDI gene on the expression of scFv anti-toxoplasmosis in tobacco cells. However, quantitative GUS enzymatic activity expressed in pmol 4-MU/mg protein/min did not show any significant differences between two constructs in paired t-test ($p>0.05$). Overall results suggest that this strategy could be viable for increasing the production of ScFv antibodies in plant cells.

ABSTRAK

Walaupun perkembangan sistem ekspresi berasaskan tumbuhan dalam penghasilan protein rekombinan beberapa tahun kebelakangan ini telah berjaya,tetapi masih terdapat halangan untuk memastikan pengumpulan produk akhir yang maksima di dalam sel tumbuhan yang digunakan. Di antara faktor penghalang yang utama dalam penghasilan protein rekombinan yang cekap dan produktif adalah aktiviti enzim protease. Walaupun fungsi sesetengah protein protease dalam degradasi protein asing telah diketahui, namun maklumat yang ada tidak mencukupi. Beberapa strategi dilaporkan telah digunakan untuk mengatasi masalah ini termasuklah ekspresi bersama(co-expression) protease rekombinan untuk mengurangkan aktiviti protease dalaman (endogenous) tumbuhan. Kurangnya maklumat dalam bidang ini menunjukkan lebih banyak kajian perlu dijalankan untuk menilai potensi perencat protease tumbuhan (plant protease inhibitor) yang berbeza bagi meningkatkan pengeluaran/penghasilan protein asing dalam tumbuhan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai kesan perencat (inhibitor) rekombinan protease tumbuhan ke atas pengekspresan klon gen ‘heterologous ScFV’ antibodi dalam sel tumbuhan. Gabungan antara gen ‘Cathepsin D Inhibitor’ (CDI) daripada tomato rekombinan dan jujukan KDEL telah dicantumkan ke dalam gen rekombinan antibodi yang dapat mengecam ‘toxoplasmosis epitope’ (pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL). Fragmen DNA ini (pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL) seterusnya dipindahkan ke dalam cell suspension *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. BY-2 melalui kaedah transformasi berasaskan *Agrobacterium*. Di samping itu, gen scFv turut digabungkan ke dalam konstruk (fragmen DNA) yang hanya mengandungi isyarat pengekalan reticulum endoplasmik, KDEL, (pCTOXO-KDEL) dan seterusnya dipindahkan ke dalam sel tembakau untuk dijadikan perbandingan dengan sel yang mengandungi CDI-KDEL. Kedua-dua fragmen DNA ini mempunyai gen penanda GUS. Ujian fluorometrik GUS dilakukan untuk menganalisa/menilai kesan gen CDI dalam sel tembakau tersebut. Jumlah protein larut dalam sel tembakau turut

dianalisa menerusi ujian protin Bradford. Sel tembakau yang mengandungi gen ‘CDI protese inhibitor’ menunjukkan jumlah protein larut yang lebih tinggi berbanding sel tumbuhan yang tidak mempunyai gen ini. Penemuan ini menunjukkan kemungkinan wujudnya kesan positif ekspresi bersama gen CDI ke atas pengekspresan scFv anti-toxoplasmosis dalam sel tembakau. Namun begitu, aktiviti kuantitatif pmol enzim GUS yang dikira berdasarkan t-berpasangan ($p>0.05$) dalam unit pmol 4-MU/mg protein/min di antara kedua-dua DNA tidak menunjukkan perbezaan yang ketara. Secara keseluruhannya, data daripada eksperimen yang dijalankan menunjukkan petanda positif keberkesanan kaedah ini dalam meningkatkan pengeluaran antibodi scFv dalam sel tumbuhan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Rofina Yasmin Othman for her guidance and support throughout the course of my research. Particularly, her suggestions, constructive criticisms and encouragements have been of great value to me not only toward the writing of this research but also during the course of the study.

Very special thanks to Dr. Yusmin Yusuf for giving invaluable advice and information which has been of great help in the completion of my project.

This research would not have been completed without the encouragement, patience and support of my husband, Soleyman Paydar, and not forgetting my parents.

Lastly, I would like to extend my grateful thanks to everyone who has helped me directly and indirectly in the completion of this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.....	i
ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
ABBREVIATION.....	xvi
<i>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....</i>	1
<i>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</i>	4
 2.1 <i>PRODUCTION OF ANTIBODIES IN VITRO</i>	4
 2.2 <i>COMPARING DIFFERENT EXPRESSION SYSTEMS.....</i>	4
2.2.1 <i>Using plants as an expression system for protein production</i>	<i>6</i>
 2.3 <i>FOREIGN PROTEIN ACCUMULATION LEVEL IN PLANTS.....</i>	9
 2.4 <i>PROTEOLYTIC ENZYME.....</i>	10
 2.5 <i>MINIMIZING PROTEOLYSIS OF HETEROLOGOUS PROTEINS IN PLANT CELLS AND TISSUES.....</i>	12
2.5.1 <i>Targeting of recombinant gene expression in specific plant tissue</i>	<i>12</i>
2.5.2 <i>Targeting of recombinant protein to specific organelle in plant cells.....</i>	<i>14</i>
2.5.3 <i>Co-expression of protease inhibitors</i>	<i>17</i>

2.6 INTRODUCTION TO TOXOPLASMOSIS AND SCFV GENE	19
2.7 AGROBACTERIUM MEDIATED TRANSFORMATION.....	20
2.8 BINARY VECTORS FOR AGROBACTERIUM MEDIATED TRANSFORMATION.....	21
2.8.1 <i>pCAMBIA Vector</i>	21
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	23
3.1 MATERIALS.....	23
3.1.1 <i>Preparation of plasmid pCANTAB5E and pCAMBIA 1301</i>	25
3.1.2 <i>Preparation of plasmid pUC-PI.....</i>	27
3.1.3 <i>Preparation of plasmid construct pCTOXO-KDEL.....</i>	29
3.2 POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION.....	29
3.2.1 <i>Colony PCR for pUC-PIs.....</i>	29
3.2.2 <i>PCR Confirmation for extracted pUC-PI</i>	30
3.2.3 <i>PCR Confirmation for extracted pCTOXO-KDEL</i>	31
3.2.4 <i>PCR for anti-toxoplasmosis scFv gene</i>	31
3.2.5 <i>PCR for Protease Inhibitor genes (PIs)</i>	32
3.3 RESTRICTION ENDONUCLEASE DIGESTION.....	33
3.3.1 <i>Double RE digestion for anti-toxoplasmosis scFv gene</i>	33
3.3.2 <i>Double RE digestion for Protease Inhibitor genes</i>	33
3.3.3 <i>Double RE digestion for cloning vector pCAMBIA 1301</i>	33
3.3.4 <i>GEL Extraction</i>	34
3.4 CONSTRUCTION OF PCAMBIA EXPRESSION VECTORS.....	34

3.4.1	<i>pCTOXO-BBTI construct</i>	34
3.4.2	<i>pCTOXO-CDI construct</i>	36
3.4.3	<i>pCTOXO-OCPI construct</i>	37
3.5	<i>COLONY PCR FOR PCTOXO-PIS CONSTRUCTS</i>	38
3.6	<i>CONSTRUCTION OF A SECOND PCTOXO-CDI-KDEL CONSTRUCT.</i>	39
3.7	<i>TRANSFORMATION OF CONSTRUCTS INTO A. TUMEFACIENS</i>	41
3.7.1	<i>Preparation of Agrobacterium competent cell</i>	41
3.7.2	<i>Agrobacterium heat shock transformation</i>	42
3.7.3	<i>Colony PCR for transformed Agrobacterium with plasmid DNA constructs</i>	
		42
3.8	<i>PLANTS CELL SUSPENSION</i>	44
3.8.1	<i>Tobacco cell suspension media preparation</i>	44
3.8.2	<i>Subculturing of Tobacco cell suspension</i>	45
3.9	<i>PREPARATION OF PLANT CELL SUSPENSIONS</i>	45
3.10	<i>PREPARATION OF AGROBACTERIUM CULTURE</i>	46
3.11	<i>AGROBACTERIUM-MEDIATED TRANSFORMATION OF PLANT CELLS</i>	47
3.11.1	<i>Co-cultivation procedure</i>	47
3.11.2	<i>Killing Agrobacterium</i>	48
3.12	<i>ASSAY OF β-GLUCURONIDASE (GUS) QUANTITATIVE ACTIVITY BY FLUOROMETRY</i>	48
3.12.1	<i>Preparation of sample, extraction and fluorescence 4-MU quantification</i>	
		49

3.12.2 Bradford protein determination	51
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	55
4.1 PLASMID PREPARATION.....	55
4.1.1 Preparation of plasmid <i>pCANTAB5E</i> and <i>pCAMBIA 1301</i>	55
4.1.2 Preparation of plasmid <i>pUC-PI</i>	56
4.1.3 Preparation of plasmid construct <i>pCTOXO-KDEL</i>	60
4.2 PCR FOR ANTI-TOXOPLASMOSIS SCFV AND PROTEASE INHIBITOR GENES.....	62
4.3 DOUBLE RE DIGESTED GENES.....	63
4.4 CONSTRUCT ANALYSIS.....	65
4.4.1 Analysis of <i>pCTOXO-PIs</i>	65
4.4.2 <i>pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL</i>	71
4.5 PCR ANALYSIS OF TRANSFORMED AGROBACTERIUM LBA4404 WITH PLASMID CONSTRUCTS.....	72
4.6 TARGET SYSTEM.....	74
4.6.1 Tobacco cell suspension culture	74
4.7 QUANTITATIVE GUS FLUOROMETRIC ASSAY.....	76
4.7.1 Bradford assay	79
4.8 COMPARISON OF ENZYME ACTIVITY.....	81
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION.....	84
5.1 PLASMID CONSTRUCT DEVELOPMENT	85
5.1.1 Verification of Ligation.....	85

<i>5.2 COMPARISON OF TOTAL SOLUBLE PROTEIN.....</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>5.3 COMPARISON OF ENZYME ACTIVITY.....</i>	<i>88</i>
<i>CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION.....</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>6.1 FUTURE STUDIES.....</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>REFERENCE:.....</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>APPENDICES.....</i>	<i>101</i>
<i>APPENDIX - A.....</i>	<i>102</i>
<i>APPENDIX - B.....</i>	<i>114</i>
<i>APPENDIX - C.....</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>APPENDIX - D.....</i>	<i>116</i>
<i>APPENDIX - E.....</i>	<i>117</i>
<i>APPENDIX - F.....</i>	<i>119</i>
<i>APPENDIX - G.....</i>	<i>120</i>
<i>APPENDIX - H.....</i>	<i>121</i>
<i>APPENDIX - I.....</i>	<i>122</i>
<i>APPENDIX - J.....</i>	<i>123</i>
<i>APPENDIX - K.....</i>	<i>124</i>
<i>APPENDIX - L.....</i>	<i>125</i>
<i>APPENDIX - M.....</i>	<i>126</i>

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: pCTOXO-PI construct

Figure 3.2: General Overview of experiments conducted in this research

Figure 3.3: pCTOXO-BBTI construct

Figure 3.4: pCTOXO-CDI construct

Figure 3.5: pCTOXO-OCPI construct

Figure 3.6: Ligation product of pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL

Figure 3.7: pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL construct

Figure 4.1: Plasmid preparations for pCANTAB5E carrying scFv genes

Figure 4.2: Plasmid preparations for pCAMBIA 1301

Figure 4.3: Colony PCR for pUC-PIs carrying PI genes transformed into JM109 *Escherichia coli* competent cells.

Figure 4.4: Plasmid preparation for pUC57 vector carrying PI genes (pUC-PI) from transformed *Escherichia coli*.

Figure 4.5: PCR verification for plasmid pUC57 carrying PI genes after plasmid preparation.

Figure 4.6: Plasmid preparation for pCTOXO-KDEL plasmid construct.

Figure 4.7: PCR product for pCTOXO-KDEL using scFv-KDEL primers.

Figure 4.8: Purified PCR product of anti-toxoplasmosis scFv genes using scFv primers.

Figure 4.9: Purified PCR product of PI genes using PI primers.

Figure 4.10: Purified RE digested scFv genes and pCAMBIA 1301

Figure 4.11: Purified RE digested Protease Inhibitor genes

Figure 4.12: Colony PCR for pCTOXO-PIs using scFv primers.

scFv15+PIs+pCAMBIA1301

Figure 4.13: Colony PCR for pCTOXO-PIs using scFv primers.

scFv9+BBTI+pCAMBIA1301

Figure 4.14: Colony PCR for pCTOXO-BBTI using scFv-PI flanking primers.

Figure 4.15: Colony PCR for pCTOXO-CDI using scFv-PI flanking primers.

Figure 4.16: Colony PCR for pCTOXO-OCPI using scFv-PI flanking primers.

Figure 4.17: PCR for ligated plasmid construct pCTOXO- CDI-KDEL using new scFv-PI primers.

Figure 4.18: Colony PCR for *Agrobacterium* transformed with pCTOXO- CDI-KDEL plasmid construct.

Figure 4.19: Colony PCR for *Agrobacterium* transformed with pCTOXO-KDEL plasmid construct.

Figure 4.20: *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. BY-2 cell suspension

Figure 4.21: Comparison of TSP of tobacco cells transformed with pCTOXO- CDI-KDEL (A1 & A2 colonies) and pCTOXO-KDEL (B1 & B2 colonies).

Figure 4.22: Comparison of the level of GUS Activity of the transformed tobacco cells with two different plasmid constructs

Figure 5.1: Matching of compatible sticky ends

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: 4-MU Standard Dilutions

Table 3.2: Bradford Standard Measurements

Table 4.1: Number and percentage of surviving and dead tobacco cell suspension cultures after 14 days of co-cultivation with *Agrobacterium* carrying plasmid construct pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL and pCTOXO-KDEL.

Table 4.2: The relative fluorescent intensity of test samples for three replicates of each colony transformation A1, A2, B1, B2

Table 4.3: Relative Fluorescent Intensity of test samples (pmol)

Table 4.4: The OD values for test samples and protein conc. of samples

Table 4.5: Comparison of 4-MU enzyme activity between **A1 vs. B1** and **A2 vs. B2** samples. 4-MU enzyme activity expressed in pmol/mg. protein/min for tobacco cells transformed with pCTOXO- CDI-KDEL (A1 and A2 Agrob colonies) and pCTOXO-KDEL (B1 and B2 *Agrobacterium* colonies).

ABBREVIATION

A: Absorbance

Agrob: *Agrobacterium*

anti-toxo: anti-toxoplasmosis

BBTI: Bowman–Birk trypsin inhibitor

bp: base pair

BSA: Bovine serum albumin

BY-2: Bright Yellow-2

CaCl₂: Calcium chloride

CDI: Cathepsin D Inhibitor

cDNA: Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid

conc: Concentration

cv: Caltivar

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid

E.coli: *Escherichia coli*

EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

ER: Endoplasmic reticulum

ETOH: Ethanol

Fab: Fragment antigen binding

g: Gram

GAB: GUS assay buffer

GEB: GUS extraction buffer

HDEL: His-Asp-Glu-Leu

HIV: human immunodeficiency virus

Kb: Kilobase

KCl: Potassium chloride

KDEL: Lys-ASP-Glu-Leu

l: Liter

LB: Luria-Bertani

M: Molar

M2: Media 2

mAB: Monoclonal antibody

mg: milligram

min: minute

ml: milliliter

mM: Millimolar

MnCl₂: Manganese chloride

MOPS: 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid

MS: Murashige and Skoog media

MSO: Murashige and Skooge basal medium

MUG: 4-methylumbelliferyl- β -D-glucuronide

MW: Molecular weight

Na₂CO₃: Sodium carbonate

NaOH: Sodium hydroxide

nM: Nanomolar

OCPI: Oryzacystatin protease inhibitor I

OD: Optical density

PCR: polymerase chain reaction

pCTOXO-BBTI: pCAMBIA 1301-scFv anti -Toxo-BBTI

pCTOXO-CDI-KDEL: pCAMBIA 1304-scFv anti -Toxo-CDI-KDEL

pCTOXO-CDI: pCAMBIA 1301-scFv anti -Toxo-CDI

pCTOXO-KDEL: pCAMBIA 1304-scFv anti -Toxo-KDEL

pCTOXO-OCPI: pCAMBIA 1301-scFv anti -Toxo-OCPI

pCTOXO-PI: pCAMBIA 1301-scFv anti -Toxo-Protease inhibitor

pH: Potential hydrogen

PI: Protease inhibitor

pmol: picomole

pUC-PI: pUC57-Protease inhibitor

RE: Restriction endonuclease

RNA: ribonucleic acid

RNase A: Ribonuclease A

rpm: Revolutions per minute

scFv: Single chain variable fragment

sdH₂O: Sterile distilled water

SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulphate

sec: Second

TBE: Tris borate

Ti: Tumor inducing

TOXO: Toxoplasmosis

Tris-Cl: Trisbase-Hydrochloric acid

TSP: Total soluble protein

u: Unit

UV: Ultraviolet

v: Volume

Vir: Virulence

vs: Versus

w: Weight

w/v: Weight over volume

X: Times

2,4-D: 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid

4-MU: 4-methylumbelliflone

$\mu\text{ }\mu\text{l}$: Micro liter

g: Micro gram

μM : Micro molar

°C: Degree Celsius

%: Percentage