

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abraham, M.R., Grybowski, E.B., Renner, J.W., & Marek, E.A. (1992). Understanding and misunderstanding of eighth graders of five chemistry concepts found in textbooks. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29, 105-120.
- Abraham, M.R., Williamson, V.M., & Westbrook, S.L. (1994). A cross-age study of the understanding of five chemistry concepts. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31, 147-165.
- Adey, P., & Shayer, M. (1994). *Really raising standards: Cognitive intervention and academic achievement*. London: Routledge.
- Al-Kunifed, A., Good, R., & Wandersee, J. (1993). *Investigation of high school chemistry students' concepts of chemical symbol, formula, and equation: Students' pre-scientific conceptions* (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 376020). Retrieved December 1, 2006, from ERIC at <http://www.eric.ed.gov/>
- Al-Qasmi, S. (2006). *Problem solving in biology at university level*. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland. In N. Reid (2008), A scientific approach to the teaching of chemistry: What do we know about how students learn in the sciences and how can we make our teaching match this to maximize performance? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 51-59.
- Anderson, B.R. (1990). Pupil's conceptions of matter and its transformation (age 12-16). *Studies in Science Education*, 18, 53-85.
- Anderson, R.C. (1977). *The notion of schemata and the educational enterprise: General discussion of the conference*. In R.C. Anderson, Sprio, & Montague (1984).
- Arasasingham Ramesh, D., Taagepera, M., Potter, F., & Lonjers, S. (2004). Using knowledge space theory to assess students' understanding of stoichiometry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 81(10), 1517-1523.
- Ardac, D. & Akaygun, S. (2004). Effectiveness of multimedia-based instruments that emphasized molecular representations on students' understanding of chemical change. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 40(4), 317-337.
- Atkinson, R.C., & Shiffrin, R.M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In K.W. Spence & J.T. Spence (Eds.), *The psychology of learning and motivation*, vol.8. London: Academic Press.
- Australia, Royal Chemical Institute. (1996 - 2003). *The Australian National Chemistry Quiz: Junior Division – Year 9 & 10*.

- Ausubel, D.P. (1968). *Educational psychology of meaningful verbal learning*. New York: Grune and Stratton.
- Ausubel, D.P. (2000). *The acquisition and retention of knowledge: A cognitive view*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Ausubel, D.P., Novak, J.D. & Hanesian, H. (1978). *Educational Psychology: A cognitive view* (2nd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Baddeley, A.D. (1986). *Working memory*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Baddeley, A.D. (1999). *Essentials of human memory*. Hove, Psychology Press Ltd.
- Baddeley, A.D. (2000). *Working memory: The interface between memory and cognition*. In M.S. Gazzaniga (Ed.), *Cognitive neuroscience: A reader*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Baddeley, A.D. (2002). Is working memory still working? *European Psychologist*, 7(2), 85-97.
- Balaban, A.T. (1999). Visual chemistry: Three-dimensional perception of chemical structures. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 8(4), 251-255.
- Barak, M. & Dori, Y.J. (2005). Enhancing undergraduate students' chemistry understanding through project-based learning in an IT environment. *Science Education*, 89, 117-139.
- Barker, D., & Ebel, R.L. (1981). A comparison of difficulty and discrimination values of selected true-false item types. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 7, 35-40. In R.L. Ebel (1993), *Essentials of educational measurement* (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Barker, V. (2000). *Beyond appearances: Students' misconceptions about basic chemical ideas*. Retrieved October 25, 2006, from <http://www.chemsoc.org/pdf/LearnNet/rsc/miscon.pdf>
- Barlett, F.C. (1932). *Remembering: An experimental and social study*. Cambridge University Press. In TIP: Concepts – schema. Retrieved March 26, 2007, from <http://tip.psychology.org/schema.html>
- Ben-Zvi, R., Eylon, B., & Silberstein, J. (1986). Is an atom of copper malleable? *Journal of Chemical Education*, 63, 64-66.
- Ben-Zvi, R., Eylon, B., & Silberstein, J. (1987). Students' visualization of a chemical reaction. *Education in Chemistry*, 24, 117-120.
- Ben-Zvi, R., Eylon, B., & Silberstein, J. (1988). Theories, principles, and laws. *Education in Chemistry*, 25 (1), 89-92.

- Biggs, J.B. (1987). *Student approaches to learning and studying*. Melbourne: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Biggs, J.B. (1989). Approaches to the enhancement of tertiary teaching. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 8, 7-15.
- Bodner, G.M. (1987). I have found you an argument: The conceptual knowledge of beginning chemistry graduate students. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 63(10), 513-514.
- Bodner, G.M. (1991). I have found you an argument: The conceptual knowledge of beginning chemistry graduate students. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 63(10), 873-878.
- Bodner, G.M. (2007). Strengthening conceptual connections in introductory chemistry courses. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(1), 93-100.
- Bodner, G.M., & Domin, D.S. (1996). "The role of representations in problem solving in chemistry". New Initiatives in Chemical Education - An On-Line Symposium, June 3 to July 19, 1996, Paper 2. Retrieved December 16, 2006, from <http://www.inform.umd.edu/EdRes/Topic/Chemistry/ChemConference/ChemConf96/Bodner/Paper2>
- Bodner, G.M., & Domin, D.S. (2000). Mental models: The roles of representations in problem solving in chemistry. *University Chemistry Education*, 4(1), 24-30.
- Bodner, G.M., & Guay, R.B. (1997). The Purdue Visualization of Rotations Tests. *The Chemical Educator*, 2(4), 1-18.
- Bodner, G.M., & McMillen, T.L.B. (1986). Cognitive restructuring as an early stage in problem solving. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 23, 727-737.
- Bogdan, R.C., & Biklen S.K. (2003). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods* (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. In L.S. Chien (2006), *Exploratory study on the pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) among Form four chemistry teachers*. Unpublished research proposal, Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- BouJaoude, S.B. (1992). The relationship between students' learning strategies and the change in their misunderstandings during a high school chemistry course. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29, 687-699.
- Boujaoude, S. & Barakat, H. (2003). Students' problem-solving strategies in stoichiometry and their relationships to conceptual understanding and learning approaches. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 7 (3).
- Boujaoude, S., Salloum, S., & Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2004). Relationships between selective cognitive variables and students' ability to solve chemistry problems. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26 (1), 63-84.

- Bowen, B., (undated). *Educational Psychology: David Ausubel*. Retrieved May 24, 2006, from <http://web.csuchico.edu/~ah24/ausubel.htm>
- Bucat, R.B. (2002a). IUPAC Forum, IUPAC Divisions and Education: A case for joint projects. *Chemistry International*, 24(1). Retrieved November 22, 2006, from <http://www.iupac.org/publications/ci/2002/2401/foorum-joint.html>
- Bucat, R.B. (2002b). *The complexity of 'knowing' chemistry – A multi-dimensional discipline*. Paper presented at the Singapore International Symposium on Chemical Education on 13 August 2002, IP AM, Civil Service College, Singapore. In *KIMIA KINI, Jurnal Pendidikan IKM*, 10(2), 4-17.
- Bucat, R.B. (2004). Pedagogical content knowledge as a way forward: Applied research in chemistry education. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice*, 5(3), 215-228.
- Bucat, R.B. (2005). Implications of chemistry education research for teaching practice: Pedagogical content knowledge as a way forward. *Chemical Education International*, 6(1), 1-2.
- Burewicz, A. & Miranowicz, N. (2005). *The influence of use of internet modules for creation and practice of interactive exercises in chemical visualization and modeling on estimated shift in the resulting student's competencies*. Paper published in the Proceedings Book of the 3rd International Conference on Multimedia and Information and Communication Technologies in Education, m-ICTE2005. Retrieved September 3, 2006, from <http://www.formatex.org/micte2005/>
- Cantu, L.L., & Herron, J.D. (1978). Concrete and formal Piagetian stages and science concept attainment. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 15, 135-143.
- Cavallo, A.M.L. (1996). Meaningful learning, reasoning ability and students' understanding and problem solving of topics in genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33, 625-656.
- Cavallo, A., & Schafer, L. (1994). Relationships between students' meaningful learning orientation and their understanding of generic topics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31, 393-418.
- Chan, W.K. (1988). *Kesukaran pembelajaran konsep-konsep kimia dalam sukatan pelajaran kimia moden Malaysia*. Unpublished master's thesis, Faculty of Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Chandra, S., Treagust, D.F. & Tobin, K. (1987). The role of cognitive factors in chemistry achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 24(2), 145-160.

- Chandrasegaran, A.L., Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2007). The development of a two-tier multiple-choice diagnostic instrument for evaluating secondary school students' ability to describe and explain chemical reactions using multiple levels of representations. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(3), 293-307.
- Chandrasegaran, A.L., Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2009). Emphasizing multiple levels of representation to enhance students' understandings of the changes occurring during chemical reactions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 86(12), 1433-1436.
- Chang, H.Y., & Quintana, C. (2006). *Student-Generated Animations: Supporting Middle School Students' Visualization, Interpretation and Reasoning of Chemical Phenomena*. International Conference on the Learning Science.
- Chang, R. (2010). *Chemistry* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Chi, M., Feltovich, P., & Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. *Cognitive Science*, 5, 121-152.
- Chien, L.S. (2006). *Exploratory study on the pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) among Form four chemistry teachers*. Unpublished research proposal. Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- Chi, C., & Brown, D.E. (2000). Learning in science: A comparison of deep and surface approaches. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(2), 109-138.
- Chittleborough, G.D. (2004). *The role of teaching models and chemical representations in developing students' mental models of chemical phenomena*. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Australia: Curtin University of Technology. Retrieved August 12, 2006, from <http://www.adt.curtin.edu.au/theses/available/adt-WCU20041112.125243/>
- Chittleborough, G.D. (2005). *Conclusions about the efficiencies and effectiveness of educational research methodologies in investigating how students learn*. Proceedings of Western Australian Institute for Educational Research Forum 2005. Retrieved March 17, 2007, from <http://www.waier.org.au/forums/2005/chittleborough.html>
- Chittleborough, G.D. (2007). "The use of chemical representations in explaining chemical concepts: Complementing spoken and written language". Paper presented at the USM Deakin Research Seminar.
- Chittleborough, G.D., & Mamiala, T.L. (2002). Students' understanding of the role of scientific models in learning science. *International Journal of Science Education, abstract*.

- Chittleborough, G.D., & Treagust, D.F. (2006). *The descriptive and explanatory nature of chemical diagrams does not guarantee understanding*. Paper presented at the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (NARST), San Francisco, CA.
- Chittleborough, G.D., & Treagust, D.F. (2007). The modeling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the sub-microscopic level. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(3), 274-292.
- Chittleborough, G.D., & Treagust, D.F. (2008). Correct interpretation of chemical diagrams requires transforming from one level of representation to another. *Research in Science Education*, 38, 463-482.
- Chittleborough, G.D., Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2002). *Constraints to the development of first year university chemistry students' mental models of chemical phenomena*. Proceedings of the TL Forum. Retrieved January 22, 2007, from www.ecu.edu.au/conferences/tlf/2002/pub/docs/chittleborough.pdf
- Cho, H., Kahle, J.B., & Nordland, F.H. (1985). An investigation of high school biology textbooks as sources of misconceptions and difficulties in genetics and some suggestions for teaching genetics. *Science Education*, 69(5), 701-719.
- Cohen, J. (undated). *Cohen's kappa – Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia*. Retrieved September 20, 2007, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cohen's_kappa
- Cokelez, A., & Dumon, A. (2005). Atoms and molecules: Upper secondary school French students' representations in long-term memory. *Chemical Education Research and Practice*, 6(3), 119-135.
- Coleman, E. (undated). *ChemSense: Developing representational fluency in chemistry*. Retrieved October 3, 2006, from <http://www.gseis.ucla.edu/~sandoval/research/epistrep/projects/ChemSense/>.
- Coll, R.K., & Treagust, D.F. (2003). Investigation of secondary school, undergraduates and graduate learners' mental models of ionic bonding. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 40(5), 464-486.
- Cowan, N. (2001). The magical number 4 in short-term memory: A reconsideration of mental storage capacity. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24, 87-185.
- Craik, F., & Lockhart, R. (1972). Levels of processing: A framework for memory research. *Journal of Learning and verbal Behavior*, 11, 671-684.
- Cresswell, J. (1995). *Research Design: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Daintith, J. (2004). *Oxford Dictionary of Chemistry (5th ed.)*. Oxford University Press.

- Danili, E., & Reid, N. (2004). Some strategies to improve performance in chemistry, based on two cognitive factors. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 22(2), 203-226.
- Danili, E., & Reid, N. (2005). Some factors potentially affecting pupils' performance. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(1), (abstract).
- Davenport, J.L., Klahr, D., & Koedinger, K. (2007). *The influence of molecular diagrams on chemistry learning*. Paper presented at the European Association for Research on Learning and Instruction, August 2007.
- Davidowitz, B., & Chittleborough, G.D. (2009). Linking the macroscopic and submicroscopic levels: Diagrams. In J.K. Gilbert & D.F. Treagust (Eds.), *Multiple representations in chemical education*, Springer Netherlands (pp.169-191).
- Demerouti, M., Kousathana, M., & Tsaparlis, G. (2004). Acid-base equilibria, Part II: Effect of developmental level and disembedding ability on students' conceptual understanding and problem-solving ability. *The Chemical Educator*, 9, 132-137.
- Devetak, I., Urbancic, M., Wissiak Grm, K.S., Krnel, D., & Glazar, S.A. (2004). Submicroscopic representations as a tool for evaluating students' chemical conceptions. *Acta Chim. Slov.*, 51, 799-814.
- Driscoll, M.P. (2000). *Psychology of learning for instruction* (2nd ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Driver, R. (1981). Pupils' alternative frameworks in science. *European Journal of Science Education*, 3, 93-101.
- Driver, R., & Easley, J. (1978). Pupils and paradigm: a review of literature related to concept development in adolescent science students. *Studies in Science Education*, 5, 61-84.
- Driver, R., & Oldham, V. (1986). A constructivist approach to curriculum development in science. *Studies in Science Education*, 13, 105-122.
- Duit, R., & Treagust, D.F. (1995). Students' conceptions and constructivist teaching approaches. In B.J. Fraser & H.J. Walberg (Eds.). *Improving Science education*. The National Society for the Study of Education, Chicago, Illinois, pp. 46-69.
- Ebel, R.L. (1993). *Essentials of Educational Measurement* (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice-Hall.
- Elements, mixtures, and compounds – An online quiz (n.d.). Retrieved June 12, 2007, from www.darvill.clara.net/hotpots/emc.htm

- Eng, G.G. (2002). *The understanding of the nature of science and its relationship with cognitive level, science major, and academic achievement of form six science students*. Unpublished master's project paper, Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- Entwistle, N., & Ramsden, P. (1983). *Understanding student learning*. Croom Helm, London.
- Ericsson, K.A., & Kintsch, W. (1995). Long-term working memory. *Psychological Review*, 102, 211-245.
- Estes, W.K. (1989). Learning theory. In G.M. Bodner & D.S. Domin (1996). "The role of representations in problem solving in chemistry". New Initiatives in Chemical Education - An On-Line Symposium, June 3 to July 19, 1996, Paper 2. Retrieved December 16, 2006, from <http://www.inform.umd.edu/EdRes/Topic/Chemistry/ChemConference/ChemConf96/Bodner/Paper2>
- Fensham, P.J. (1994). Beginning to teach chemistry. In P. Fensham, R. Gunstone, & R. White (Eds.). (1994). *The content of science: A constructivist approach to its teaching and learning* (pp.14-28). London: The Falmer Press.
- Fensham, P.J. (2002). *Implications, Large and Small, from chemical education research for the teaching of chemistry*. *Quimica Nova*, 25(2), May 2002. Retrieved December 16, 2006, from http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0100-40422002000200024
- Ferk, V., Vrtacnik, M., Blejec, A., & Gril, A. (2003). Students' understanding of molecular structure representations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(10), 1227-1245.
- Fetherstonhaugh, T., & Treagust, D.F. (1992). Students' understanding of light and its properties: Teaching to engender conceptual change. *Science Education*, 76, 653-672. In K.C.D. Tan, K.S. Taber, N.K. Goh, & L.S. Chia (2005). The ionization energy diagnostic instrument: a two-tier multiple-choice instrument to determine high school students' understanding of ionization energy. *Chemical Education Research and Practice*, 2005, 6(40), 180-197.
- Franco, A.G. (2005). *Secondary students' multiple representations relating to the structure of matter*. Paper presented at the Research Seminar sponsored by the Chemical Education Research Group of the Royal Society of Chemistry, held at the University of Cambridge, Faculty of Education on July 11th, 2005. Retrieved December 10, 2008, from www.rsc.org/images/CERGSeminar2005_tcm18-77398.pdf.

- Francoeur, E. (1997). "The forgotten tool: The use and development of molecular models". *Social Studies of Science*, 27, 7-40. In R.B. Kozma, & J. Russell (2005a). Students becoming chemists: developing representational competence.
- Francoeur, E. (2002). Cyrus Levinthal, the Kluge, and the origins of interactive molecular graphics. *Endeavour*, 26(4), 127-131. In R.B. Kozma, & J. Russell (2005a). Students becoming chemists: developing representational competence.
- Frankel, J.R., & Wallen, N.E. (2000). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Friedel, A.W., & Maloney, D.P. (1992). An exploratory classroom-based investigation of students' difficulties with subscripts in chemical formulas. *Science Education*, 76(1), 65-78.
- Frisbie, D.A. (1973). Multiple-choice versus true-false: A comparison of reliabilities and concurrent validities. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 10, 297-304. In R.L. Ebel (1993), *Essentials of Educational Measurement* (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice-Hall.
- Gabel, D.L. (1993). Use of the particle nature of matter in developing conceptual understanding. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(3), 193-194.
- Gabel, D.L. (1998). The complexity of chemistry and implications for teaching. In B. Fraser & K. Tobin (Eds.). *International Handbook of Science Education* (pp. 233-248). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Gabel, D.L. (1999). Improving teaching and learning through chemistry education research: A look to the future. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(4), 548-554.
- Gabel, D.L. (2000). Theory-based teaching strategies for conceptual understanding of chemistry. *Education Quimica*, 11(2), 236-243.
- Gable, D. L., & Bunce, D. M. (1994). Research on problem solving: Chemistry. In D.L. Gable (Ed.), *Handbook of research on science teaching and learning: A project of the National Science Teachers Association*. New York: Macmillan Publishing.
- Gabel, D.L., Samuel, K.V., & Hunn, D. (1987). Understanding the particulate nature of matter. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64, 695-697.
- Galili, I., & Hasan, A. (2000). Learners' knowledge in optics: Interpretation, structure and analysis. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(1), 57-88.

- Garnett, P.J., Garnett, P.J. & Hackling M. (1995). Students' alternative conceptions in chemistry: A review of research and implications for teaching and learning. *Studies in science Education*, 25, 69-95.
- Gay, L.R. (1996). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications* (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Geddis, A.N. (1993). Transforming subject-matter knowledge: The role of pedagogical content knowledge in learning to reflect on teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 15(6), 673-683.
- Georgiadou, A. & Tsaparlis, G. (2000). Chemistry teaching in lower secondary school with methods based on (A) Psychological theories, (B) The macros, representational, and submicro levels of chemistry. *Chemistry Education: Research and practice in Europe*, 1(2), 217-226.
- Glaser, R., & Chi, M. (1988). Overview. In M. Chi, R. Glaser, & M. Farr (Eds.). *The nature of expertise* (pp.xv-xxviii). Hillsdale, N.J: Erlbaum.
- Gonzalez, F., Prain, V., & Waldrip, B. (2003). *Using multi-modal representations of concepts in learning science*. Retrieved January 22, 2007, from <http://www1.phys.uu.nl/esera2003/programme/pdf%5C020S.pdf>
- Gordin, D.N., & Pea R. (1995). Prospects for scientific visualization as an educational technology. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4(3), 249-279. In W.D. Winn (2002), Chapter 5 - Cognitive perspectives in psychology, *Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology*. University of Washington.
- Greeno, J. (1998). The situativity of knowing, learning, and research. *American Psychologist*, 53(1), 5-26.
- Greeno, J.G., & Hall, R.P. (1997). Practising representation: Learning with and about representational forms. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 78, 361-367.
- Griffiths, A.K., & Preston, K.R. (1989). *Models of Molecules and Atoms*. Paper presented at National Association for Research in Science Teaching.
- Griffiths, A.K., & Preston K.R., (1992). Grade-12 students' misconceptions relating to fundamental characteristics of atoms and molecules. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(6), 611-628.
- Gubrium, J.F., & Holstein, J.A. (Eds.), *Handbook of Interview Research: Context and Method* (pp. 57-58). Thousand Oak: Sage Publications. In L.S. Chien (2006), *Exploratory study on the pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) among Form four chemistry teachers*. Unpublished research proposal. Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.

- Habraken, C.L. (1996). Perceptions of chemistry: Why is the common perception of chemistry, the most visual of sciences, so distorted? *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 5(3), 193-201.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L., & Black, W.C., (2006). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (5th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall International Inc.
- Halpine, S.M. (2004). Introducing molecular visualization to primary schools in California: The STArt! Teaching science through art program. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 81(10), 1431-1436.
- Harrison, A.G., & Treagust, D. (1996). Secondary students' mental models of atoms and molecules: Implications for teaching chemistry. *Science Education*, 80(5), 509-534.
- Harrison, A.G., & Treagust, D.F. (2000). Learning about atoms, molecules and chemical bonds: A case study of multiple-modal use in Grade 11 chemistry. *Science Education*, 84, 352-381.
- Harrison, A.G., & Treagust, D.F. (2002). The particulate nature of matter: Challenges in understanding the submicroscopic world. In J.K. Gilbert, O. De Jong, R. Justi, D.F. Treagust, & J.H. Van Driel (Eds.). *Chemical education: Towards research-based practice* (pp. 189-212). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Heitzman, M., & Krajcik, J. (2005). *Urban seventh-graders' translations of chemical equations: which parts of the translation process do students' have trouble?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (NARST), April 2005, Dallas, Texas.
- Heitzman, M., Krajcik, J., & Davis, E.A. (2004). *Urban middle school students' use of various chemical reaction representations.* Paper presented at the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (NARST), Vancouver, British Columbia.
- Herron, J.D. (1975). Piaget for chemists: Explaining what 'good' students cannot understand. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 52, 146-150.
- Herron, J.D. (1978). Piaget in the classroom: Guidelines for applications. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 55(3), 165-170.
- Herron, J.D. (1996). *The chemistry classroom: Formulas for successful teaching.* Washington D.C: The American Chemical Society.
- Herron, J.D., & Nurrenbern, S.C. (1999). Chemical education research: Improving chemistry learning. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(10), 1354-1361.
- Heyworth, R. (1999). Procedural and conceptual knowledge of expert and novice students for the solving of a basic problem in chemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21, 195-211.

- Hill, J.W., & Petrucci, R.H. (2002). *General Chemistry: An Integrated Approach* (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Hinton, M.E., & Nakhleh, M.B. (1999). Students' microscopic, macroscopic, and symbolic representations of chemical reactions. *The Chemical Educator*, 4(4), 1-29.
- Hoffmann, R., & Laszlo, P. (1991). Representations in Chemistry. *Angewante Chemie*, 30(1), 1-16.
- Hopkins, C.D., & Stanley, J.C. (1981). *Education and Psychological measurement and Evaluation*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Hornby, A.S., Gatenby, E.V., & Wakefield, H. (1963). *The advanced learner's dictionary of current English* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Howe, T.V., & Johnstone, A.H. (1971). *Reason or memory? The learning of formulae and equations*. Edinburgh: National Curriculum Development Centre Bulletin 1.
- Hughes, J.M., Mitchell, P.A., & Ramson, W.S. (1995). *Australian Concise Oxford Dictionary*. Melbourne: Oxford University Press. In G.D. Chittleborough (2004), Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Australia: Curtin University of Technology.
- Inhelder, B., & Piaget, J. (1958). *The growth of logical thinking from childhood to adolescence*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Johnson, R. (2002). Children's understanding of substance, Part 2: Explaining chemical change. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(10), 1037-1054.
- Johnson-Laird, P.N. (1983). *Mental models*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Johnson-Laird, P.N. (1998). Imaginery, visualization, and thinking. In J. Hochberg (Ed.), *Perception and cognition at century's end*, pp. 441-467. San Diego, CA: Academic press.
- Johnstone, A.H. (1982). Macro- and micro-chemistry. *School Science Review*, 64, 377-379.
- Johnstone, A.H. (1984). New stars for the teachers to steer by. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 61, 847-849.
- Johnstone, A.H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer-Assisted Learning*, 7(2), 75-83.
- Johnstone, A.H. (1993). The development of chemistry teaching: A changing response to changing demand. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(9), 701-705.

- Johnstone, A.H. (1997). Chemistry teaching – science or alchemy? *Journal of Chemical Education*, 74(3), 262-268.
- Johnstone, A.H. (2000a). Chemical Education Research: Where from here? *Proceedings of the University Chemistry Education 2000*, 4(1).
- Johnstone, A.H. (2000b). Teaching of chemistry – logical or psychological? *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice in Europe (CERAPIE)*, 1(1), 9-15.
- Johnstone, A.H. (2001). Can problem solving be taught? (Nyholm Symposium). *University Chemistry Education*, 5(2), 12-18.
- Johnstone, A.H. (2006). Chemical education research in Glasgow in perspective. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(2), 49-63. Retrieved February 2, 2007, from <http://www.rsc.org/uchemed/uchemed.htm>
- Johnstone, A.H., & Al-Naeme, F.F. (1991). Room for scientific thought. *International Journal of Science Education*, 13, 187-192.
- Johnstone, A.H., & El-Banna, H. (1986). Capacities, demands and processes – a predictive model for science education. *Education in Chemistry*, 23(3), 80-84.
- Johnstone, A.H., & Kellet, N.C. (1980). Learning difficulties in school science - towards a working hypothesis. *European Journal of Science Education*, 2(2), 175-181.
- Johnstone, A.H., & Selepeng, D. (2001). A language problem revisited. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice in Europe*, 2(1), 19-29.
- Jones, L.L. (1996). “The Role of Molecular Structure and Modeling in General Chemistry”. CHEMCONF’96’: Paper 3. Paper presented at “New Initiatives in Chemical Education”, an Online Computer Conference, summer 1996. Retrieved September 10, 2006, from <http://www.wam.umd.edu/~toh/ChemConference/ChemConf96/Jones/Paper3.html>
- Jones, L., Jordan, K., & Stillings, N. (2005). Molecular visualization in science education: Report from the Molecular Visualization in Science Education Workshop. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 6(3), 136-149.
- Keig, P.F., & Rubba, P.A. (1993). Translation of representations of the structure of matter and its relationship to reasoning, gender, spatial reasoning, and specific prior knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(8), 883-903.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia [Malaysian Ministry of Education] (2001). *Sukatan Pelajaran Post Graduate Diploma in Teaching Chemistry, Kursus perguruan Lepas Ijazah (KPLI)*, Kuala Lumpur: Teacher Education Sector.

- Kozma, R. (2000a). *Representation and language: The case for representational competence in the chemistry curriculum*. Paper presented at the Biennial Conference on chemical education. Michigan: Ann Arbor.
- Kozma, R. (2000b). The use of multiple representations and the social construction of understanding in chemistry. In R. Kozma (Ed.), *Innovations in Science and Mathematics Education: Advance designs for technologies of learning* (pp.11-46). New Jersey: Erlbaum.
- Kozma, R. (2003). Material and social affordances of multiple representations for science understanding. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 205-226.
- Kozma, R., Chin, E., Russell, J., & Marx, N. (2000). The roles of representations and tools in the chemistry laboratory and their implications for chemistry learning. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 9(2), 105-143.
- Kozma, R.B., Kreikemeler, P., Michalchik, V., Rosenquist, A., Schank, P. & Coppola, B. (2005). *Representational competence and chemical understanding in the high school chemistry classroom (abstract)*. CTL Publications.
- Kozma, R.B., & Russell J. (1997). Multimedia and understanding: Expert and novice responses to different representations of chemical phenomena. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43(9), 949-968.
- Kozma, R.B., & Russell, J. (2005). Chapter 7 – Modeling students becoming chemists: Developing representational competence. In J.K. Gilbert (Ed.), *Visualizations in science education* (pp.121-146). Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer.
- Krajcik, J.S. (1989). *Students' interactions with science software containing dynamic visuals*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Anthropological Association, Washington, D.C.
- Krajcik, J.S. (1991). Developing students' understanding of chemical concepts. In S. Glynn, R. Yeany, & B. Britton (Eds.), *The psychology of learning science* (pp.117-147), Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Kranzler, G., & Moursund, J. (1999). *Statistics for the terrific* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Kuder, G.F., & Richardson, M.W. (1937). The theory of the estimation of test reliability. *Psychometrika*, 2, 151-160. In W.A. Mehrens, & I.J. Lehmann (1973), *Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc.
- Larkin, J. (1983). The role of problem representation in physics. In R. Kozma (2003), Material and social affordances of multiple representations for science understanding, *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 205-226.

- Larkin, J., & Simon, H.A. (1987). Why a diagram is (sometimes) worth ten thousand words. *Cognitive Science*, *11*, 65-99.
- Lawson, A.E. (1978). The development and validation of a classroom test of formal reasoning ability. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *15*(1), 11-24.
- Lawson, A.E. (1979). The developmental learning paradigm. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *16*, 501-515.
- Lawson, A.E. (1983). Predicting science achievement: The role of developmental level, disembedding ability, mental capacity, prior knowledge, and beliefs. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *29*(2), 117-129.
- Lawson, A.E. (1985). A review of formal reasoning and science teaching. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *22*(7), 569-617.
- Lawson, A.E. (1992). What does test of 'formal' reasoning actually measure? *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *29*(9), 965-983.
- Lawson, A.E. (2000). *Revised version of the Classroom Test of Scientific Reasoning*. Arizona State University. Retrieved December 9, 2007, from <http://www.public.asu.edu/~anton1/LawsonAssessment.htm>
- Lawson, A.E. (2002). The origin of logical reasoning: Does a cheater detection module exist? *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, *163*(4), 425-444.
- Lawson, A.E. (2003). Allchin's shoehorn, or why science is hypothetico-deductive? *Science and Education*, *12*(3), 331-337.
- Lawson, A.E., Alkhoury, S., Benford, R., & Clark, K. (2000). What kind of scientific concept exist? Concept construction and intellectual development in college biology. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *37*(1), 996-1018.
- Lawson, A.E., Clark, K., Meldrum, E.C., Falconer, K.A., Sequist, J.M., & Kwon, Y.J. (2000). Development of scientific reasoning in college biology: Do two levels of general hypothesis-testing skills exist? *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *37*(1), 81-101.
- Lawson, A.E., & Renner, J.W. (1975). Relationships of science subject matter and developmental levels of learners. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *12*, 347-358.
- Lee, K.W.L. (1996). *Diagrammatic representation of particles of a chemical reaction: Tertiary teachers' and pre-service teachers' views*. Paper presented at the Joint Conference of the Educational Research Association, Singapore, and the Australian Association for Research in Education, 25-29 November, 1996.

- Lesh, R., Post, T., & Behr M. (1987). Representations and translation among representations in mathematics learning and problem solving. In C. Janvier (Ed.), *Problems of representation in the teaching and learning of mathematics* (pp.33-40). Hillsdale, N.J: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lewis, S.E., & Lewis L.E. (2007). Predicting students at-risk in general chemistry, *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(1) 32-51.
- Lythcott, J. (1990). Problem solving and the requisite knowledge of chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 67, 248-252.
- Macintosh, H.G., & Morrison, R.B. (1969). *Objective testing* (pp. 59-73). London: University of London Press.
- Malaysia, *Institut Kimia Malaysia* [Malaysian Institute of Chemistry], (2002- 2003), *Kuiz Kimia Kebangsaan* [National Chemistry Quiz], *Tingkatan 4 and 5* [Forms 4 & 5].
- Malaysian Ministry of Education (2006a). Curriculum Specifications: Form Four Chemistry. Kuala Lumpur: Curriculum Development Centre, Ministry of Education.
- Malaysian Ministry of Education (2006b). Syllabus: Form Four Chemistry. Kuala Lumpur: Curriculum Development Centre, Ministry of Education.
- Man, A.K. (1999). *Konsepsi pelajar Tingkatan 6 terhadap konsep kerja dalam fizik*. Unpublished master's dissertation, Kuala Lumpur: University of Malaya.
- Martin, J.E. (1982). Presentation: Toward a self-reflexive psychological theory. In *Cognition and the symbolic process* (Ed.). Hillsdale, N.J: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Mathewson, J.H. (1999). Visual-spatial thinking: An aspect of science overlooked by educators. *Science Education*, 83(1), 33-54 (abstract).
- Mbajiorgu, N., & Reid, N. (2006). *Report of a literature review: Factors influencing curriculum development in chemistry*. Higher Education Academy Physical Sciences Centre. Retrieved January 2, 2007, from www.physsci.heacademy.ac.uk
- McMillan, J.H., & Schumacher, S. (1993). *Research in Education: A conceptual introduction* (3rd ed.). Harper Collins College Publishers.
- Mehrens, W.A., & Lehmann, I.J. (1973). *Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc.
- Merriam, S.B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco CA: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

- Michalchik, V., Rosenquist, A., Kozma, R.B., Kreikemeier, P., Schank, P., & Coppola, B. (2004). *Representational resources for constructing shared understandings in high school chemistry classroom*. In J. Gilbert, M. Nakhleh, & M. Reiner (Eds.), *Visualization: Theory and practice in science education*. New York: Springer.
- Miller, G.A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information, *Psychological Review*, 63, 81-97. Retrieved December 26, 2007, from <http://www.musanim.com/miller1956/>) in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Magical_Number_Seven%2C_Plus_or_Minus_Two
- Moran, J., Pearson, P.D., Bievenue, L., Chang, C.S., Nelson, S.D., & Pasero S. (2002). Visualizations in teaching chemistry. Retrieved December 25, 2006, from <http://chemviz.ncsa.uiuc.edu/content/about-eval.html>
- Morrison, J.A., & Lederman, N.G. (2003). Science teachers' diagnosis and understanding of students' preconceptions. *Science Education*, 87, 849-867.
- Nagalingam, K. (2004). *The information processing demand of chemistry stoichiometric problems and its relation to students' performance*. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- Nakhleh, M.B. (1992). Why some students don't learn chemistry: Chemical misconceptions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 69(3), 191-196.
- Nakhleh, M.B. (2002). *Some thoughts about molecular-level representations in conceptual problem solving*, Presented at Problem Solving in Chemistry: An Online CONFICHEM Conference on Chemistry. Retrieved April 15, 2006, from <http://www.chem.vt.edu/confchem/2002/b/nakhleh.html>
- Nakhleh, M.B., & Krajcik, J.S. (1994). Influence of levels of information as presented by different technologies on students' understanding of acid, base, and pH concepts. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31, 1077-1096.
- Nakhleh, M.B., Samarapungavan, A., & Saglam, Y. (2005). Middle school students' belief about matter. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42, 581-612.
- Nardi, P.M. (2003). *Doing Survey Research: A guide to quantitative methods*. Pearson Ed., Inc.
- National Research Council (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington D.C: National Academy Press.
- Niaz, M. (1987). Relation between M-space of students and M-demand of different items of general chemistry and its interpretation based upon the neo-Piagetian theory of Pascual-Leone. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64, 502-505.

- Niaz, M. (1988). Manipulation of M demand of chemistry problems and its effects on student performance: A neo-Piagetian study. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 25(8), 643-657.
- Niaz, M. (1989). Translation of algebraic equations and its relation to formal operational reasoning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 26(9), 785-793.
- Niaz, M. (1995). Relationship between student performance on conceptual and computational problems of chemical equilibrium. *International Journal of Science Education*, 17, 343-355.
- Niaz, M. (1996). Reasoning strategies of students in solving chemistry problems as a function of developmental level, functional M-capacity, and disembedding ability. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64, 502-505.
- Niaz, M., & Lawson, A. (1985). Balancing chemical equations: the role of developmental level and mental capacity. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 22, 41-51.
- Niaz, M., & Robinson, W.R. (1992). Manipulation of logical structure of chemistry problems and its effects on student performance. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(3), 211-216.
- Niaz, M., & Logie, R.H. (1993). Working memory, mental capacity and science education: Towards an understanding of the 'working memory overload hypothesis'. *Oxford Review of Education*, 19, 511-525.
- Nicoll, G. (2003). A qualitative investigation of undergraduate chemistry students' macroscopic interpretation of the submicroscopic structure of molecules. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 80(2), 205-212.
- Norman, D.A., & Rumelhart, D.E. (1975). Memory and knowledge. In D.A. Norman & D.E. Rumelhart (Eds.), *Explorations in cognition*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Novick, S., & Nussbaum, J. (1978). Junior high school pupils' understanding particulate nature of matter: An interview study. *Science Education*, 62, 273-281.
- Nurrenber, S.C., & Pickering, M. (1987). Concept learning versus problem solving: Is there a difference? *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64, 508-510.
- Nye, M.J. (1993). *From chemical philosophy to theoretical chemistry*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Olson, D.R. (2003). *Psychological theory and educational reform*. Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Onwu, G.O., & Randall, E. (2006). Some aspects of students' understanding of a representational model of the particulate nature of matter in chemistry in three different countries. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(4), 226-239.
- Osbourne, R.J., & Cosgrove, M.M. (1983). Children's conceptions of the changes of state of water. *Journal of Research in Science teaching*, 20(9), 825-838.
- Osborne, R.J., Bell, B.F., & Gilbert, J.K. (1983). Science teaching and children's view of the world. *European Journal of Science Education*, 5, 1-14.
- Oversby, J. (2004). Science teachers as science education researchers. *School Science Review*, 85(313), 79-83.
- Pella, M.O. (1966). Concept learning in science. *The Science Teacher*, 33(9), 31-34.
- Patton, M.Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oak: Sage Publications.
- Pereira Mariana, P., Pestana, Maria Elisa M., (1991). Pupils' representations of models of water. *International Journal of Science Education*, 13(3), 313-319.
- Piaget, J. (1929). *The child's conceptions of the world* (translated by Joan & Andrew Tomlinson). London: Kegan Paul, Trench, Taubner, & Company.
- Piaget, J. (1968). The role of the concept of equilibrium. In D. Elkind (Ed.), *Six psychological studies by Jean Piaget*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Piaget, J. (1969). *Science of education and the psychology of the child*. New York: Viking.
- Piaget, J. (1977). Introduction and the growth of logical thinking from childhood to adolescence. In H. Gruber & J. J. Voneche (Eds.), *The essential Piaget* (pp. xvii-xL, 405-444). New York: Basic Books.
- Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (1969). *The psychology of the child*. New York: Basic Books.
- Pinker, S. (1985). Visual cognition: An introduction. In W.D. Winn (2002), Chapter 5 - Cognitive perspectives in psychology, *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*. University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, U.S.A.
- Pribyl, J.R., & Bodner, G.M. (1987). Spatial ability and its role in organic chemistry: A study of four organic courses. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 24, 229-240.
- Prosser, M., Trigwell, K., Hazel, E., & Waterhouse, F. (2000). Students' experiences of studying physics concepts: The effects of disintegrated perceptions and approaches. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 15(1), 61-74.

- Ramsden, P. (1983). *The Lancaster approaches to studying and course perceptions questionnaire: lecturers' handbook*. Mimeograph, Educational Methods Unit, Oxford Polytechnic.
- Ramsden, P. (2002). *Learning to teach in higher education*. London: Routledge.
- Ramsden, P., & Entwistle, N.J. (1981). Effects of academic departments on students' approaches to studying. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 51, 368-383.
- Reid, N. (2000). The presentation of chemistry: Logically driven or application-led? *Chemistry education: Research and practice in Europe*, 1(3), 381-392.
- Reid, N. (2008). A scientific approach to the teaching of chemistry: What do we know about how students learn in the sciences and how can we make our teaching match this to maximize performance? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 51-59.
- Reid, N., & Yang, M-J. (2002a). The solving of problems in chemistry: the more open-ended problems. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 20, 83-98. In N. Reid (2008), A scientific approach to the teaching of chemistry: What do we know about how students learn in the sciences and how can we make our teaching match this to maximize performance? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 51-59.
- Reid, N., & Yang M-J. (2002b). Open-ended problem solving in school chemistry: a preliminary investigation. *International Journal Science Education*, 24, 1313-1332. In N. Reid (2008), A scientific approach to the teaching of chemistry: What do we know about how students learn in the sciences and how can we make our teaching match this to maximize performance? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 51-59.
- Rogat, A.D., & Heitzman, M. (2005). *Exploring the relation between teachers' practices around visual representations and student learning in an inquiry-based chemistry unit*. Session/Symposia: American Educational Research Association (AERA) Annual meeting, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, April 11-15, 2005.
- Roth, W.M., & McGinn, M. (1998). Inscriptions: Towards a theory of representing as social practice. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(1), 35-59.
- Rumelhart, D.E. (1980). Schemata: The building blocks of cognition. In R.J. Spiro, B. Bruce, & W.F. Brewer (Eds.), *Theoretical issues in reading and comprehension*. Hillsdale, N.J: Erlbaum.
- Rumelhart, D.E., Lindsay, P.H. & Norman, D.A. (1972). A process model for long-term memory. In E. Tulving & W. Donaldson (Eds.), *Organisation of memory* (pp. 309-351). New York: Academic Press.

- Rumelhart, D.E., & Norman D.A. (1981). Analogical processes in learning. In J.R. Anderson (Ed.), *Cognitive skills and their acquisition*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Rumelhart, D.E., & Norman D.A. (1983). Representations in memory. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 235770). Retrieved from ERIC at <http://www.eric.ed.gov/>
- Russell, J., & Kozma, R. (1994). 4M: Chem – Multimedia and mental models in chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 71, 669-670.
- Russell J., & Kozma, R.B. (2005). Chapter 14 - Assessing the learning from multimedia packages in chemical education. In J. Gilbert (Ed.), *Visualizations in science education* (pp.299-332). Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer.
- Russell, J.W., Kozma, R.B., Jones, T., Wykoff, J., Marx, N., & Davis J. (1997). Use of simultaneous-synchronized macroscopic, microscopic, and symbolic representations to enhance the teaching and learning of chemical concepts. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 74(3), 330-334.
- Sa'adah Bt. Hj. Masrukin (2002). *Cognitive pathway of students' understanding in electrochemistry*. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- Salkind, N.J. (2000). *Statistics for people who (think they) hate statistics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Salomon, G. (1979). *Interaction of media, cognition and learning*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Salvaratnam, M. (1993). Coherent, concise, and principle-based organization of chemical knowledge. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(10), 824-826. In G. Sirhan (2007), Learning difficulties in chemistry: An overview, *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(2), 2-20.
- Sanger, M.J. (2000). Using particulate drawings to determine and improve students' conceptions of pure substances and mixtures. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 77, 762-766.
- Sanger, M.J. (undated). Computer animations in chemistry: What we have learned. Retrieved December 27, 2006, from <http://faculty.cns.uni.edu/~sanger/review.htm>
- Sanger, M.J. (2005). Evaluating students' conceptual understanding of balanced equations and stoichiometric ratios using a particulate drawing. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 82 (1), 131-134.
- Savoy, L.G. (1988). Balancing chemical equations. *School Science Review*, 69(249), 713-720.

- Sawrey, B. A. (1990). Concept learning versus problem solving: Revisited. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 67, 253-254.
- Shayer, M., & Adey, P.S. (1981). *Towards a science of science teaching*. London: Heinemann Educational Books.
- Scaife, M., & Rogers, Y. (1996). External cognition: How do graphical representations work? *International Journal of Human Computer studies*, 45, 185-213.
- Schank, P. (2005). *That's what happens: Students explain chemistry through drawing and animation*. Presented at the Gordon Research Conference on Visualization in Science and Education, July 3-8, Queens's College, Oxford, U.K. Retrieved November 15, 2006, from <http://chemsense.org/about/papers.html>
- Schank, P., & Kozma, R. (2002). Learning chemistry through the use of a representation-based knowledge building environment. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 21(3), 253-279.
- Schank, P., Kozma, R., Coleman, E., & Coppola, B. (2000). *Promoting representational competence to facilitate understanding and epistemological thinking in chemistry*. REPP Project Second Year Report (NSF#REC-9814653). Menola Park, CA:SRI International. Retrieved November 15, 2006, from <http://chemsense.org/about/papers/2000report.html>
- Schmidt, H.J. (1984). How pupils think: Empirical studies on pupil's understanding of simple quantitative relationships in chemistry. *School Science Review*, 66(234), 156-162.
- Schmidt, H.J. (1990). Does the Periodic table refer to chemical elements? *School Science Review*, 80 (290), 71-74.
- Schmidt, H.J. (1997). Students' misconceptions: Looking for a pattern. *Science Education*, 81, 123-135.
- Schmidt, H.J. (1998). Secondary school students' strategies in stoichiometry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 12(4), 457-471.
- Selinger, B. (1998). Chemistry in the market place. Melbourne: Harcourt Brace. In D.F. Treagust, R. Duit, & M. Nieswandt (2000), Sources of students' difficulties in learning chemistry, *Education Quimica*, 11(2), 228-235.
- Shayer, M., & Adey, P. (1981). *Towards a science of science teaching: cognitive development and curriculum demand*. London: Heinemann Educational Books.
- Shubbar, K.E. (1990). Learning the visualization of rotations in diagrams of three dimensional structures. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 8(2), 145-154.

- Shulman, L.S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reforms. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1-22. In L.S. Chien (2006), *Exploratory study on the pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) among Form four chemistry teachers*, Unpublished research proposal, Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- Shwartz, Y., Ben-Zvi, R., & Hofstein A. (2006). The use of scientific literacy taxonomy for assessing the development of chemical literacy among high-school students. *Chemical Education Research and Practice*, 2006, 7(4), 203-225.
- Sim, J.H. (2006). *Learning orientations and students' understanding of chemical equations*. Unpublished master's project paper, Kuala Lumpur: Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.
- Simon, H.A. (1978). On the forms of mental representation. In C.W. Savage (Ed.), *Minnesota Studies in the philosophy of science, Volume IX, Perception and cognition, Issues in the foundation of psychology*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Simon, S. (2005). *What is a Kappa coefficient? (Cohen's Kappa)*. Retrieved March 18, 2008, from www.childrensmc.org/stats/definition/kappa.htm
- Sirhan, G. (2007). Learning difficulties in chemistry: An overview. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(2), 2-20.
- Solsona, N., Izquierdo, M., & de Jong, O. (2003). Exploring the development of students' conceptual profiles of chemical change. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(1), 3-12.
- Squire, L.R., & Kandel E.R. (1999). *Memory: From mind to molecules*. New York: Freeman.
- Stains, M., & Talanquer, V. (2007). Classification of chemical substances using particulate representations of matter: An analysis of student thinking. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29, 643-661.
- Staver. J.R., & Halsted, D.A. (1985). The effects of reasoning, use of models, sex type, and their interactions on posttest achievement in chemical bonding after constant instruction. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 22(5), 437-447.
- Staver. J.R., & Jacks T. (1988). The influence of cognitive reasoning level, cognitive restructuring ability, disembedding ability, working memory capacity, and prior knowledge on students' performance on balancing equations by inspection. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 25(9), 763-775.
- Stavridou, H., & Solomonidou, C. (1998). Conceptual reorganization and the construction of the chemical reaction concept during secondary education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(2), 205-221.

- Stieff, M. (2005). Connected Chemistry – A novel modeling environment for the chemistry classroom. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 82(3), 489-493.
- Stieff, M., Bateman, R.C.J., & Uttal, D.H. (2005). Teaching and learning with three-dimensional representations. In J.K. Gilbert (Ed.), *Visualization in science education* (pp.93-120), Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer.
- Stieff, M., & McCombs, M. (2006). Increasing representational fluency with visualization tools. *International Conference of the Learning Sciences*, 730-735.
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12, 257-285.
- Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction*, 4, 295-312.
- Taber, K.S. (1997). Student understanding of ionic bonding: Molecular versus electrostatic framework. *School Science Review*, 78(285), 85-95.
- Taber, K.S. (1998). An alternative conceptual framework from chemistry education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20, 597-608.
- Taber, K.S. (1999). Alternative conceptual frameworks in chemistry. *Education in Chemistry*, 36(5), 135-137.
- Taber, K.S. (2001a). Building the structural concepts of chemistry: Some considerations from educational research. *Chemistry Education: Research and practice in Europe*, 2, 123-158.
- Taber, K.S. (2001b). The mismatch between assumed prior knowledge and the learner's conceptions: A typology of learning impediments. *Educational Studies*, 27(2), 159-171.
- Taber, K.S. (2002). *Alternative conceptions in chemistry: Prevention, diagnosis and cure?* London: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Taber, K.S. (2003). Responding to alternative conceptions in the classroom. *School Science Review*, 84(308), 99-108.
- Talanquer, V. (2006). Common sense chemistry: A model for understanding students' alternative conceptions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 83, 811-816.
- Tan, K.C.D., Taber, K.S., Goh, N.K. & Chia, L.S. (2005). The ionization energy diagnostic instrument: A two-tier multiple-choice instrument to determine high school students' understanding of ionization energy. *Chemical Education Research and Practice*, 2005, 6(40), 180-197.

- Tan, L.L. (2005). *Integrated Curriculum for Secondary Schools: Chemistry Form 4*. Abadi Ilmu Sdn. Bhd.
- Tasker, R., Chia, W., Bucat, R.B. & Sleet, R. (1996). The VisChem Project – Visualizing chemistry with multimedia. *Chemistry in Australia*, 63, 395-397.
- Tasker, R., & Dalton, R. (2006). Research into Practice: Visualization of the molecular world using animations. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(2), 141-159.
- Tasker, R., Dalton, R., Sleet, R., Bucat, R., Chia, W. & Corrigan, D. (2002). *Description of VisChem – Visualizing chemical structures and reactions at the molecular level to develop a deep understanding of chemistry concepts*. Retrieved December 20, 2006, from the Australian Universities Teaching Committee (AUTC) Learning Designs Web site at: <http://www.learningdesigns.uow.edu.au/exemplars/info/LD9/index.html>
- Treagust, D.F. (1988). Development and use of diagnostic tests to evaluate students' misconceptions in science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 10(2), 159-169.
- Treagust, D.F., & Chittleborough, G.D. (2001). Chemistry: A matter of understanding representations. In J. Brophy (Ed.), *Subject-specific instructional methods and activities*, pp.239-267. Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford, U.K.
- Treagust, D.F., Chittleborough, G.D., & Mamiala, T.L. (2002). Students' understanding of the role of scientific models in learning science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24, 357-368.
- Treagust, D.F., Chittleborough, G., & Mamiala, T.L. (2003). The role of sub-microscopic and symbolic representations in chemical explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), 1353-1368.
- Treagust, D., Duit, R., & Nieswandt, M. (2000). Sources of students' difficulties in learning chemistry. *Education Quimica*, 11(2), 228-235.
- Tsaparlis, G. (1997). Atomic and molecular structure in chemical education. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 74(8), 922-925.
- Tsaparlis, G. (1998). Dimensional analysis and predictive models in problem solving. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20, 335-350.
- Tsaparlis, G. (2001). Molecules and atoms at the central stage. *Chemistry Education: Research and practice in Europe*, 2(2), 57-65.
- Tsaparlis, G. (2003). Chemical phenomena versus chemical reactions: Do students make the connection? *Chemistry Education: Research and practice in Europe*, 4(1), 31-43.

- Tsaparlis, G. (2004). Has educational research made any difference to chemistry teaching? *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice*, 5(1), 3-4.
- Tsaparlis, G. (2005). Non-algorithmic quantitative problem-solving in university physical chemistry: a correlation study of the role of selective cognitive factors. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 23, 125-148.
- Tsaparlis, G., Kousathana, M. & Niaz, M. (1998). Molecular-equilibrium problems: Manipulation of logical structure and of M-demand and their effect on student performance. *Science Education*, 82, 437-454.
- Tuckey, H., & Selvaratnam, M. (1993). Studies involving three-dimensional visualization skills in chemistry. *Studies in Science Education*, 21, 99-121.
- Tuckey, H., Selvaratnam, M., & Bradley J. (1991). Identification and rectification of student difficulties concerning three-dimensional structures, rotation, and reflection. *Journal of Chemical education*, 68(6), 460-464.
- Vass, E., Schiller, D., & Nappi, A.J. (2000). The effects of instructional intervention on improving proportional, probabilistic, and correlational reasoning skills among undergraduate educational majors. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(9), 981-985.
- Wandersee, J.H., Mintzes, J.J., & Novak, J.D. (1994). Research on alternative conceptions in science. In D.L. Gabel (Ed.), *Handbook of research on science teaching and learning* (pp.177-210), New York: Macmillan Publishing Co.
- Wandersee, J.H., Mintzes, J.J., & Novak, J.D. (2000). Learning, teaching, and assessment: A human constructivist perspective. In J.J. Mintzes, J.H. Wandersee & J.D. Novak (Eds.), *Assessing science understanding: A human constructivist view* (pp.1-13). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Wechsler, D. (1955). *Wechsler adult intelligence scale manual*. New York: Psychological Corporation.
- Welzel, M., & Roth W.M. (1998). Do interviews really assess students' knowledge? *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(1), 25-44.
- William, R.R. (2000). Learning about atoms, molecules, and chemical bonds: A case study of multiple-model use. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 77(9), 1110-1111.
- Williamson, V.M. & Abraham M.R. (1995). The effects of computer animations on the particulate mental models of college chemistry students. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32, 521-534.
- Williamson, V., Huffman, J., & Peck L. (2004). Testing students' use of the particulate theory. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 81(6), 891 (Abstract).

- Winn, W.D. (2002). Chapter 5 - Cognitive Perspectives in Psychology. In D.H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*, University of Washington.
- Winn, W., & Snyder, D. (1996). Cognitive perspectives in psychology. In D.H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology*, pp.112-142, New York: MacMillan.
- Witkin, H.A., Moore, C.A., Goodenough, D.R. & Cox, P.W. (1977). Field-dependent and field-independent cognitive styles and their educational implications. *Review of Educational Research*, 47, 1-64.
- Wu, H.K. (2002). *Middle school students' development of inscriptional practices in inquiry-based science classrooms*. Unpublished dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Wu, H.K. (2003). Linking the microscopic view of chemistry to real-life experiences: Intertextuality in a high-school science classroom. *Science Education*, 87, 868-891.
- Wu, H. K., Krajcik, J. S. & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting understanding of chemical representations: Students' use of a visualization tool in the classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), 821-842.
- Wu, H. K., & Shah, P. (2004). Exploring visuospatial thinking in chemistry. *Science Education*, 88(3), 465-492.
- Yager, R.E. (1991). The constructivist learning model: Towards real reform in science education. *The Science Teacher*, 58(6), 52-57. In D. Treagust, R. Duit, & M. Nieswandt (2000), Sources of students' difficulties in learning chemistry, *Education Quimica*, 11(2), 228-235.
- Yarroch, W.L. (1985). Student's understanding of chemical equation balancing. *Journal of Research on Science Teaching*, 22, 449-459.
- Yuan, K., Steedle, J., Shavelson, R., Alonzo, A., & Oppezzo M. (2006). Working memory, fluid intelligence, and science learning. *Educational Research Review*, 1, 83-98. Retrieved on 22/12/2007 from www.elsevier.com
- Zare, R.N. (2002). Visualizing chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 79(11), 1290-1291.