

ABSTRACT

Soil bacterial diversity from three locations on maritime Antarctica was analyzed using 16S rRNA gene clone library construction and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) fingerprinting. Soil samples were from near Rothera Research Station in Rothera Point from Adelaide Island, from Viking Valley on north-eastern side of Mars Glacier from Alexander Island, and from Léonie Island on northern Marguerite Bay, Antarctic Peninsula. Five hundred and forty-eight clones were screened by RFLP and representatives of each phylotype were sequenced for identification. The phylotype sequences showed close relationship (i.e. $\geq 95\%$ similarity) with bacterial divisions *Acidobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Chloroflexi*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Unclassified Bacteria*. The least number of phlotypes were observed in Rothera Point soil sample (25) whereas the highest phylotype diversity belonged to Léonie Island (35). Certain phlotypes were exclusive to one site or two, whereas 33% of the phlotypes were shared by all clone libraries. Shannon diversity index (H') revealed the highest bacterial diversity in Léonie Island (3.14) and lowest diversity in Rothera Point (2.93). The soil from Viking Valley showed high diversity ($H'=3.09$) comparable to that of the vegetated soil of Léonie Island despite the severity of its climate condition. There is an evident environmental influence on the pattern of biodiversity where the human-disturbed soil sample of Rothera Point revealed less bacterial diversity than the undisturbed soils of Léonie Island and Viking Valley.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to begin with the name of God, who is the meaning of everything and without whom none of this would be. He is the one true light that I seek in darkness, and is the only one who keeps me sane throughout hard times. There is no possible way that I can ever show my gratitude and humbleness towards Him and for that I bow before Him alone and say: “al-Hamdulillah” (thanks to God).

In light of that, I would like to show my respect and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Irene Tan Kit Ping, for giving me the opportunity to work as one of the group members despite my lack of expertise in the field of laboratory work. This project has definitely launched the ship of my career into the ever-expanding ocean of science. I would like to give my sincere thanks for all the support and guidance she has granted me.

For a ship to get home safe, it requires a handful of staff and crew running about and keeping things together. Therefore, I’d like to thank the crew members who welcomed us aboard, our co-captain and consultant Mr. Chong Chun Wie for all his help during experimental work and data analysis, Ms. Goh Yuh Shan for constant advisory and a helping hand when supplies were running low, and all the other seniors who showed me around the place and helped me get started.

My regards also go to my loyal friends and lab-mates, Mrs. Maryam Karimian Oloumi and Ms. Elaheh Movahed for being there for me whenever I needed a little escape to paradise. Many thanks to both who are true friends and companions in good times and in bad, and for making this experience an enjoyable one indeed.

And last but certainly not least, to the two most important people in my life, I dedicate this to my parents for believing in me when I had no trust in myself and for pushing me beyond my boundaries. I can simply say thank you for all you have done and God bless you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CONTENT | PAGE |
|---|----------|
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| ABBREVIATIONS | xi |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | |
| 1.1 Objectives | 3 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| | |
| 2.1 Antarctica | 4 |
| 2.1.1 History | 4 |
| 2.1.2 Geography and climate conditions | 5 |
| 2.1.3 Flora and fauna | 7 |
| 2.1.4 Microbial diversity | 8 |
| 2.2 Biodiversity; an overview | 9 |
| 2.3 Significance of biodiversity | 10 |
| 2.3.1 Story of evolution | 10 |
| 2.3.2 Circle of life | 11 |
| 2.3.3 Biotechnology perspective | 13 |
| 2.4 Scale estimation | 14 |
| 2.4.1 Culture-dependent techniques | 15 |
| 2.4.2 Culture-independent techniques | 16 |
| 2.4.2.1 DNA Extraction | 16 |
| 2.4.2.2 Pursuing the gene | 18 |
| 2.4.2.3 Primers and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) | 19 |
| 2.4.2.4 Separation via cloning | 21 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 2.4.2.5 | Molecular fingerprinting | 23 |
| 2.4.2.6 | DNA sequencing | 25 |
| 2.4.2.7 | Identification | 26 |
| 2.5 | Biodiversity assessment | 29 |
| 2.5.1 | Diversity indices | 29 |
| 2.5.2 | Species richness estimation | 30 |
| 2.6 | Final remark | 33 |
| CHAPTER 3. METHODOLOGY | | 35 |
| 3.1 | Study sites | 35 |
| 3.2 | Soil DNA extraction | 37 |
| 3.2.1 | DNA concentration | 37 |
| 3.3 | PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene | 37 |
| 3.4 | Agarose gel electrophoresis | 39 |
| 3.5 | PCR product purification | 40 |
| 3.6 | 16S rRNA gene cloning | 41 |
| 3.6.1 | Media preparation | 42 |
| 3.6.1.1 | Selective Luria Bertani (LB) agar | 42 |
| 3.6.1.2 | Super Optimal Broth with Catabolite Repression (SOC) medium | 42 |
| 3.6.2 | Ligation | 43 |
| 3.6.2.1 | Insert:vector ratio calculation | 43 |
| 3.6.2.2 | Cloning PCR product with pGEM [®] -T Easy Vector | 43 |
| 3.6.3 | Transformation | 44 |
| 3.6.3.1 | Cold CaCl ₂ treatment | 44 |
| 3.6.3.2 | Heat shock | 45 |
| 3.6.3.3 | Pre-incubation | 45 |
| 3.6.3.4 | Plating | 45 |
| 3.6.4 | Screening | 46 |
| 3.7 | Detection of positive insert | 46 |
| 3.7.1 | SP6 and T7 promoter PCR amplification | 46 |
| 3.7.2 | Glycerol stock | 47 |
| 3.8 | Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) | 47 |
| 3.8.1 | Restriction enzyme digestion with <i>HaeIII</i> and <i>HhaI</i> | 47 |
| 3.8.2 | RFLP analysis | 48 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 3.9 | Sequencing | 49 |
| 3.10 | Data analysis | 49 |
| 3.10.1 | Phylogenetic analysis | 49 |
| 3.10.2 | Statistical analysis | 51 |
| CHAPTER 4. RESULTS | | 52 |
| 4.1 | Genomic DNA concentration and purity | 52 |
| 4.2 | Primary PCR reaction | 52 |
| 4.2.1 | Template | 53 |
| 4.3 | PCR purification | 54 |
| 4.4 | Cloning | 55 |
| 4.5 | RFLP analysis | 58 |
| 4.6 | Sequencing | 60 |
| 4.7 | Data analysis | 61 |
| 4.7.1 | 16S rRNA gene sequences | 61 |
| 4.7.2 | Phylogenetic analysis | 61 |
| 4.7.3 | Statistical analysis | 64 |
| 4.7.3.1 | Observed number of phylotypes | 64 |
| 4.7.3.2 | Biodiversity indices | 64 |
| 4.7.3.3 | Accumulation curves and richness estimators | 65 |
| CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION | | 69 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 77 |
| APPENDICES | | 78 |
| | Appendix A | 78 |
| | Appendix B | 79 |
| | Appendix C | 81 |
| | Appendix D | 82 |
| | Appendix E | 84 |
| REFERENCES | | 86 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURES | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Figure 2.1 Map of Antarctica continent (retrieved from: http://www.map-of-antarctica.us/ , on May 3, 2010) | 5 |
| Figure 3.1 a. Map of Antarctic Peninsula showing the two main Islands where study sites are located b. Map of Rothera Point on Adelaide Island and Léonie Island (obtained from Convey & Smith, 1997) c. Map of Viking Valley on Alexander Island (Convey & Smith, 1997) | 36 |
| Figure 3.2 5µl HyperLadder I/lane, 1% molecular biology grade agarose in 1× TAE stained with Ethidium Bromide (retrieved from: http://www.bioline.com/h_ladderguide.asp , on May 19, 2010) | 39 |
| Figure 3.3 pGEM [®] -T Easy Vector map and sequence reference points showing multiple cloning sequences (Technical Manual for pGEM [®] -T and pGEM [®] -T Easy Vector Systems, retrieved from: http://www.promega.com/tbs/tm042/tm042.pdf , on May 30, 2010) | 41 |
| Figure 4.1 Primary PCR amplification of RO site DNA sample a. Resulting bands after 50× (left) and 20× dilutions (right). The lanes are marked according to the volume of template used; no band is observed in negative control b. Purified DNA | 54 |
| Figure 4.2 Blue/white colony screening of plates of RO cloning session (from left to right, top row transformation and negative control plates, bottom row sample and positive control plates) | 56 |
| Figure 4.3 a. the promoter and MCS of pGEM [®] -T Easy Vector (Technical Manual for pGEM [®] -T and pGEM [®] -T Easy Vector Systems, retrieved from: http://www.promega.com/tbs/tm042/tm042.pdf , on May 30, 2010) | 57 |
| Figure 4.3 b. Colony PCR of cloned cells from RO cloning session; all bands showing the correct size except one (pointed out with arrow) | 58 |
| Figure 4.4 An overview of RFLP analysis corresponding to ROI clone library with examples of some of the patterns. Lambda DNA (image to the right) was used as a control for monitoring enzyme digestion. | 59 |
| Figure 4.5 PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene; the sample showing a second band at ~300bp (pointed out by arrow) was discarded. | 60 |
| Figure 4.6 Bar charts of clone library composition at phylum level for Rothera (RO), Viking Valley (VV), and Léonie Island (LE) samples | 62 |
| Figure 4.7 Log abundance plots of observed phylotypes considering their relative abundance in each clone library | 66 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 4.8 | a. Phylotype accumulation curves (collector's curves) for different clone libraries from study sites b. phylotype richness corresponding to each clone library estimated by <i>Chao1</i> unbiased formula | 67 |
| Figure 4.9 | Phylotype level non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) of clone library data from three different sites in Antarctica | 68 |
| Figure 1S | Example of sequence chromatogram obtained from clone ROI-2 | 81 |
| Figure 2S | Unrooted Neighbor-Joining phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene sequences using Maximum Composite Likelihood method. Representatives of phlotypes along with the occurrence in respective sites are shown. GenBank accession numbers of supplementary sequences are presented in square brackets. Bootstrap analysis with 500 repetitions was calculated and values above 50% are shown. | 84 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLES | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| Table 3.1 Study sites specifications and soil characteristic | 35 |
| Table 3.2 Master mix composition for different number of PCR reactions | 38 |
| Table 3.3 SOC media chemical components in 1000ml LB broth | 43 |
| Table 3.4 Ligation reaction set up for cloning PCR product with pGEM [®] -T Easy Vector System | 44 |
| Table 3.5 Restriction enzyme reaction preparation | 47 |
| Table 4.1 Genomic DNA concentration of soils collected from Rothera (RO), Viking Valley (VV) and Léonie Island (LE) | 52 |
| Table 4.2 Purified DNA concentration post PCR amplification and calculated amount of DNA required for ligation reaction for each cloning session (duplicates carried out for each site indicated by Roman numerals) | 55 |
| Table 4.3 Colony count of duplicate cloning sessions, number of white colonies and blue colonies for both sample and positive control plates | 57 |
| Table 4.4 Number of clones from soil clone libraries of three different sites in Antarctica, number of unique and community-dominant (CD) phylotypes, percentage of clones in CD phylotypes and sampling coverage for each clone library | 60 |
| Table 4.5 Diversity indices calculated for soil clone libraries | 65 |
| Table 1S Advantages and disadvantages of some molecular-based methods to study soil microbial diversity (Kirk <i>et al.</i> , 2004) | 78 |
| Table 2S Phylotypes and their closest sequence match from GeneBank (retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST , on June 15, 2010) | 79 |
| Table 3S Phylotype classifications (retrieved from: http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/index.jsp , on June 22, 2010) | 82 |

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| % | : percent |
| = | : equal to |
| ≥ | : greater than or equal to |
| ≤ | : smaller than or equal to |
| °C | : degree Celsius |
| µg | : microgram |
| µl | : microlitre |
| µM | : micromolar |
| A ₂₆₀ /A ₂₃₀ | : ratio of UV absorbance at 260nm and 230nm |
| A ₂₆₀ /A ₂₈₀ | : ratio of UV absorbance at 260nm and 280nm |
| ARDRA | : amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis |
| ATS | : Antarctic Treaty System |
| BAS | : British Antarctic Survey |
| BLAST | : basic local alignment search tool |
| bp | : base pairs |
| CaCl ₂ | : calcium chloride |
| cAMP | : cyclic adenosine monophosphate |
| CAP | : catabolite activator protein |
| CD | : community-dominant |
| cm | : centimetre |
| <i>D</i> | : Simpson's diversity index |
| DGGE | : denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis |
| dH ₂ O | : distilled water |
| DNA | : deoxyribonucleic acid |
| dNTP | : deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate |
| dsDNA | : double-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid |
| EDTA | : ethylenediaminetetraacetate acid |
| <i>E. coli</i> | : <i>Escherichia coli</i> |
| ET | : extra-terrestrial |
| EtBr | : ethidium bromide |
| F | : forward |
| g | : gram |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| GPS | : Global Positioning System |
| H' | : Shannon's diversity index |
| <i>HaeIII</i> | : <i>Haemophilus aegypticusIII</i> |
| HCl | : hydrochloric acid |
| <i>HhaI</i> | : <i>Haemophilus haemolyticusI</i> |
| IPTG | : isopropylthiogalactose |
| J' | : Pielou's evenness |
| KCl | : potassium chloride |
| L | : litre |
| LB | : Luria Bertani |
| LE | : Léonie Island |
| M | : molar |
| MCS | : multiple cloning site |
| mg | : milligram |
| MgCl ₂ | : magnesium chloride |
| min | : minute |
| ml | : millilitre |
| mM | : millimolar |
| NaCl | : sodium chloride |
| NJ | : neighbour-joining |
| nm | : nanometre |
| nMDS | : non-metric multidimensional scaling |
| OD | : optical density |
| OTU | : operational taxonomic unit |
| PCR | : polymerase chain reaction |
| PSI | : percentage sequence identity |
| R | : reverse |
| rDNA | : ribosomal deoxyribonucleic acid |
| RDP | : Ribosomal Database Project |
| RE | : restriction enzyme |
| RFLP | : restriction fragment length polymorphism |
| RNA | : ribonucleic acid |
| RO | : Rothera Point |
| rpm | : revolutions per minute |
| rRNA | : ribosomal ribonucleic acid |

| | |
|------------|---|
| sec | : second |
| SOC | : super optimal broth with catabolite repression |
| SSCP | : single strand conformation polymorphism |
| ssDNA | : single-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid |
| ssu | : small subunit |
| TAE | : tris acetate ethylenediaminetetraacetate acid |
| <i>Taq</i> | : <i>Thermus aquaticus</i> |
| TGGE | : temperature gradient gel electrophoresis |
| UV | : ultraviolet |
| V | : volt |
| VV | : Viking Valley |
| w/v | : weight per volume |
| X-Gal | : 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β -D-galactopyranoside |