1 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF STUDY

The study aims to analyse the evolution of the metalloenzyme alcohol dehydrogenase of the eukaryote *Mus musculus* (mouse) through database similarity searching and multiple sequence alignment. The focus would be on identifying the conserved site across a group of bacterial sequences and to identify their phylogenetic tree.

1.2 MOLECULAR EVOLUTION

Molecular evolution is the study of the evolutionary patterns and processes of nucleic acids and proteins, as well as the use of these molecules in studies of phylogenetics, population genetics, biogeography, and other areas of research at the **RNA** DNA, and protein levels of the biological organization (MolecularEvolution.org, 2012). The field of molecular biology emerged as a scientific field from the convergence of work by researchers from molecular biology, evolutionary biology and population genetics on understanding a common problem that is the structure and function of the gene particularly on enzyme function, species divergence and the origin of noncoding DNA (Molecular Biology, 2010 & Molecular Evolution Wikipedia, 2012).

1.3 METALLOENZYME

Metalloenzyme is an enzyme that contains a bound metal ion as part of its structure. The metal may be required for enzymic activity, either participating directly in catalysis or stabilising the active conformation of the protein (Knox, 1955).

1.4 CONSERVED SITE

Conserved site is where the sequences of amino acids in DNA or RNA remain similar or identical across multiple species (Conserved Sequence NCBI, 2003). Protein residues that are identified to be critical for structure and function are predicted to be conserved throughout evolution (Schueler-Furman, 2003). An enzyme's active site is highly conserved and participates in the catalytic activity of the protein.

1.5 OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

There are three main objectives in this study:

- 1. To determine the secondary structure of the 1E3I protein
- 2. To identify the Alcohol Dehydrogenase's active site
- To determine the phylogenetic relationship of Alcohol Dehydrogenase among different species

2 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION TO ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE

Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) is a part of the general classes of enzymes named oxireductases. The ADH is a kind of zinc metalloenzyme made up of a group of seven dehydrogenase enzymes occurring in many organisms. The enzyme contains unvaryingly large amounts of zinc strongly bound to the protein where the zinc metal acts as a functional component of the molecule in its enzymatic activity (Vallee & Hoch, 1955).

The ADH constitutes a natural family varying from plant ADH, animal ADH, and FADH (a class III ADH known as the glutathione-dependent formaldehyde hydrogenase) (Persson, Hendlund & Jornvall, 2008). ADH catalyses the reversible reduction of ketones and aldehydes to their corresponding alcohols (Adhikary, Ganguli & Jyoti, 2010). A coenzyme such as nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺) or its phosphate (NADP⁺) as the electron receptor is required to facilitate the interconversion of the alcohols by way of reduction (Musa & Philips, 2011).

Human ADH is divided into 5 classes encoded by 7 genes as shown in Table 2.1. The human ADH is a dimeric enzyme where for each of the classes, the protein products are similar in amino acid sequence and structures but varies in preferred substrates (Edenberg, 2000). The majority of ethanol metabolism is performed by the

ADHs in Class 1 consisting of ADH1A (alpha), ADH1B (beta), and ADH1C (gamma), the ADHs in Class 2 which is ADH4; and the ADH in Class 4 which is ADH7. The variety of Class 1 ADHs is found in the mucosa of the stomach, liver, lung, kidney, and lower digestive tract. The Class 2 ADHs can be found in the liver and the Class 4 ADHs are found in the mucosa of the upper digestive tract and stomach. ADH activity is inhibited by the high levels of the products of ADH-mediated ethanol oxidation, acetaldehyde and NADH (Green & Stoler, 2007).

2.2 MECHANISM OF ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE

In humans and animals, ADH together with Aldehyde Dehydrogenase (ALDH) breaks down alcohols which would otherwise be toxic to the body (Kops, 2009). ADH in some bacteria and yeast catalyses the opposite reaction as part of fermentation where it converts acetaldehyde to ethanol (Bamforth & Kanauchi, 2004). ADH to some extent participates in the cell defence towards exogenous alcohols and aldehydes (Hoog, Hedberg, Stromberg & Svensson, 2001).

Through fermentation, bacteria are capable of producing energy under anaerobic conditions. In a reductive reaction mediated by bacterial ADH, ethanol is derived from acetaldehyde as the end product of alcoholic fermentation (Neale et al, 1986). The reaction catalysed by microbial ADH can run in the opposite direction when there is an excess of ethanol where acetaldehyde will be the end product (Jokelainen, Roine, Vaananen, Farkkila & Salaspuro, 1994).

Official Gene Name	Old Name	NCBI Reference Sequence	Protein	Class
ADH1A	ADH1	NM_000667	α	Ι
ADH1B	ADH2	NM_000668	β	Ι
ADH1C	ADH3	NM_000669	γ	Ι
ADH4	ADH4	NM_000670	π	II
ADH5	ADH5	NM_000671	χ	III
ADH6	ADH6	NM_000672	ADH6	V
ADH7	ADH7	NM_000673	σ	IV

Table 2.1: The Human Alcohol Dehydrogenase (ADH) Genes and Proteins	s.
---	----

2.3 THE ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENESE ACTIVE SITE

Each chain of the alcohol dehydrogenase active site consists of one NAD⁺ and two Zn^{2+} binding sites. An essential aspect of ADH's catalysis is the electrostatic stabilization of the alcohol's oxygen by the zinc atom. This makes the proton on the alcohol more acidic. Each Zinc ion is ligated directly between the side chains of Cys 46, His 67, Cys 174 and a water molecule which is hydrogen bonded to Thr 48. (Ryan, Matt & Martin, 1999)

There are two clefts between the two binding sites where the zinc is located. One of the cleft binds NAD⁺ and the other binds the ethanol. NAD⁺ is the coenzyme for ADH and plays a significant role in the conversion of ethanol. The NAD⁺ is bound by multiple residues off the Rossman fold which is a series of beta-alpha-beta motif (Lesk, 1995). Some of the amino acid residues that bind NAD⁺ are Arg 47, Try 178, Val 203, Gly 210, Asp 223, Asn 225, Asn 242, Pro 243, Val 268 and Gly 292.

One NAD⁺ molecule is used to convert ethanol to acetaldehyde by proton transfer. ADH catalyses the oxidation of alcohols by reducing NAD with a hydride. The usage of a zinc ion to electrostatically stabilize the alcohol oxygen increases the acidity of the alcohol's proton. During this process, His 51 is activated by general base catalysis where the histidine accepts a proton from the NAD. The NAD then acquires a proton from another general base catalysis which is the Thr 48. During hydrogen transfer, two hydrogens are stripped off the ethanol by zinc. These proton transfers prepare the negatively charged threonine for accepting a proton from the alcohol of the actual substrate. Since this oxidation is rigorous, there is a hydride transfer to the NAD⁺ in its traditional hydride accepting region at the same time (Prasad, 2011).

The NAD⁺ that binds at residues 293-298 causes a 10° rotation and gets the catalytic domain to shift closer to the coenzyme binding domain and closes the active site cleft (Ramaswamy, Park & Plapp, 1999). The two active sites are in clefts between the coenzyme binding core and the catalytic domains. The ethanol binds to the hydrophobic core lined by nine amino acids surrounding the substrate. After binding NAD⁺, the 10° rotation makes the protein go from its open form to the closed form. The coenzyme binding domains forming the centre of the dimer molecule retained their conformation and orientation within the molecule and hence narrows the cleft. The narrowing of cleft brings the substrate binding site closer and excludes water from the active site which is vital for the activity of ADH (Eklund & Branden, 1979).

The process described is in essence the transfer of hydride to the NAD⁺ and the oxidation of an alcohol to an aldehyde. The orientation of the amino acid proton acceptors and donors during catalysis and the coordinate of the zinc ion relative to the substrate are important in influencing the electrostatic potential and transition state stabilization in the active site (Baker *et al.*, 2009).

3 CHAPTER **3**: MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 MATERIALS

The first step in this research was that an alcohol dehydrogenase class II protein structure with the PDB ID 1E3I was identified and extracted from the Protein Data Bank (RCSB PDB).

Then, the analysis of the protein structure was done using the necessary hardware and software listed below:

3.1.1 Hardware

Two main computers were used

- 1. Intel® Core™ i5-2400 CPU 3.10GHz, 4.00 GB RAM
- 2. Intel Core 2 Duo 1.86GHz, 4.00 GB RAM

3.1.2 Software

Description Software Visualize details of the chemical components of structures. The Ligand Explorer was designed for rapid inspections of **RCSB PDB Ligand** protein-ligand interactions, such as hydrophilic interactions, Explorer hydrophobic interactions and the interactions with ordered H₂O molecules. Clustal series of programs for multiple sequence alignment for alignment of proteins, DNA and RNA. Clustal Omega, Available both as web server based (Clustal Omega and Clustal W and Clustal W) and downloadable (Clustal X with Graphical User Clustal X Interface and Clustal W with command line). The Clustal Omega screen shot can be seen in Figure 3.1. PhyloDraw is a tool for producing phylogenetic trees. PhyloDraw supports a variety of multialignment programs PhyloDraw (Clustal-W, Phylip format, Dialign2, and pairwise distance matrix) and visualizes different types of tree diagrams Molecular Visualization tool for proteins, DNA and **Rasmol Version** macromolecules. Originally developed by Roger Sayle in the 2.7.5 early 90s.

Table 3.1: The Software being Used.



Figure 3.1: The Clustal Omega Multiple Sequence Alignment Web Based Program on EMBL-EBI.

3.2 METHODS

Figure 3.2 shows the flowchart of the methodology used in this research. There were three main parts involved which can be divided into:

Task 1: Determine Phylogenetic Relationship of Alcohol Dehydrogenase

Task 2: Identify Consensus Sequences and Trace Analysis

Task 3: Protein Mapping

In brief, the methods involved were:

- 1. Identify protein of a eukaryote containing ADH
- 2. Retrieve PDB file of 1E3I from RCSB Protein Data Bank
- 3. Identify and select similar bacterial sequences from BLAST
- 4. Do multiple sequence alignment using Clustal
- 5. Construct phylogenetic tree using PhyloDraw
- 6. Define the partitions by drawing vertical lines across the tree
- 7. Determine groups to analyse and to drop out of analysis
- 8. Construct consensus sequences
- 9. Perform evolutionary trace thus identify conserved, neutral and classspecific residues (if any)
- Determine amino acid residues within 5A of each of the organic ligands NAD and CXF

- Compare trace analysis findings with the active site residues in PDB, Rasmol analysis and literature readings
- 12. Map the trace analysis residues identified onto the protein structure



Figure 3.2: The Flowchart of the Methodology.

3.3 TASK 1: DETERMINING THE PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIP OF ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE

3.3.1 Identification of Alcohol Dehydrogenase Containing Protein

The protein of a eukaryote containing the Alcohol Dehydrogenase enzyme which is a type of zinc metalloenzyme was identified. The 1E3I protein from the *Mus musculus* (mouse) was selected as the protein to be studied. The 1E3I PDB file was retrieved from the RCSB Protein Data Bank at:

"http://www.pdb.org/pdb/explore/explore.do?structureId=1e3i"

3.3.2 Verifying 1E3I FASTA Sequence from PDB with UniProt

The FASTA file retrieved from PDB containing the sequence with 376 Amino Acid Residues was compared with the sequence in UniProt. The UniProt identifier for 1E3I is Q9QYY9 and was retrieved from Swiss-Prot which is in the UniProt Knowledgebase from the link below:

"http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/Q9QYY9"

3.3.3 BLAST Search

The 1E3I sequence was then inserted into the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool for protein database using a protein query (protein blast). The Standard Protein BLAST using the blastp algorithm was used to identify similar bacteria sequences from the database of Non-redundance protein sequences (nr). A screenshot of the BLAST query page can be seen in Figure 3.3. The blast link is as below:

"http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi"

48 out of the 100 bacteria sequences were chosen for analysis. The ADH bacteria sequences chosen were based on the sequences with the high percentage of similarity compared to the *Mus musculus* 1E3I sequence.

3.3.4 Collecting FASTA Format Sequences of Bacteria

The FASTA format sequences of the identified bacteria were taken from the BLAST results for further analysis.

3.3.5 Multiple Sequence Alignment

The ClustalX program which is the graphical version of the ClustalW command line version was used to for the multiple sequences alignment (MSA) of all 48 bacteria sequences and both chains of the *Mus musculus* ADH sequences identified resulting in a total of 50 sequences. Complete alignments of all 50 sequences were done.

3.3.6 Construction of Phylogenetic Tree

The Trees menu in the ClustalX program was used to generate a Phylip Tree file using Neighbor-Joining Method (N-J Tree).

The .ph file generated from the multiple sequence alignment is used to construct the phylogenetic tree on PhyloDraw. The rectangular cladogram tree was constructed using cladistics method and from a pairwise distance matrix using the Neighbor Joining clustering method.

S Protein BLAST: search	protein databases +			
🗲 😌 blast.ncbi.nlm	nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastp&BLAST_PROGRAMS=blastp&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&SHOW_DEFAULT: 🏠 🗢 🥑 🚼 - Google	۹ 🖻 🗈		
	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool	My NCBI E		
Home Rece	nt Results Saved Strategies Help	[Sign In] [Register]		
NCBI/ BLAST/ blastp	suite Standard Protein BLAST			
<u>blastn</u> blastp <u>bla</u>	stx tblastn tblastx			
Enter Query	BLASTP programs search protein databases using a protein query. more	Reset page Bookmark		
Enter accession	Enter accession number(s), gi(s), or FASTA sequence(s) 😣 Clear Query subrange 😣			
	From			
	To			
Or, upload file	Browse_			
Job Title				
	Enter a descriptive title for your BLAST search 🈡			
Align two or n	iore sequences 😣			
Choose Sear	ch Set			
Database	Non-redundant protein sequences (nr) 🔹 🥹			
Organism Optional	bacteria (taxid:2)			
optional	Enter organism common name, binomial, or tax id. Only 20 top taxa will be shown. 😡			
Exclude	C Models (XM/XP) Uncultured/environmental sample sequences			
Entrez Query				
Optional	Enter an Entrez query to limit search 🥹			
Program Sele	ction			
Algorithm	blastp (protein-protein BLAST)			
	© PSI-BLAST (Position-Specific Iterated BLAST)			
	PHI-BLAST (Pattern Hit Initiated BLAST)			
	DELTA-BLAST (Domain Enhanced Lookup Time Accelerated BLAST)			
	Choose a BLAST algorithm 😥			
BLAST	Search database Non-redundant protein sequences (nr) using Blastp (protein-protein BLAST)			
	Show results in a new window			
Algorithm param	eters			
BLAST is a registered trademark of the National Library of Medicine. Copyright Disclaimer Privacy Accessibility Contact Send feedback NCB NLM NH DHHS				
<u>copyright</u> <u>Disciaimer</u> <u>Priv</u>	ICY ACCESSIONY Loniag Send teedoack	NCB NLM NH DHHS		

Figure 3.3: Standard Protein BLAST Suite.

3.4 TASK 2: IDENTIFYING CONSENSUS SEQUENCES AND TRACE ANALYSIS

3.4.1 Partitioning the Cladogram and Species Grouping

The Rectangular Cladogram constructed from Task 1 was used for further analysis in Task 2. Vertical lines were made on the tree to partition the sequences. A partition of the entire family is generated by grouping together sequences that branch off from a node. 5 vertical lines were made across the dendogram and were identified as P0, P1, P2, P3 and P4.

3.4.2 Single Group Multiple Sequences Alignment

Each partition was analysed separately beginning from P0. The groups from partition P0 consisting of Group 1 and Group 2 were each separately aligned using the Web Based Clustal. The ClustalW2 Multiple Sequence Alignment program on the EMBL-EBI server was used to align each of the groups generated from the partitioning from the link below and the interface can be seen as in Figure 3.4:

"http://www.clustal.org/clustal2/"

The multiple sequence alignment was repeated for each of the group identified up to partition P4.



Figure 3.4: The ClustalW2 Multiple Sequence Alignment Program on EMBL-EBI Server.

3.4.1 Identification of Consensus Sequences and Evolutionary Trace

A consensus sequence was then constructed for each group by labelling positions that are invariant in the multiple sequence alignment by its amino acid. The variable positions were left blank.

The invariant residues were then compared between the consensus sequences generated from each group. The conserved residues in the entire family or classspecific residues which were residues that changed between the groups or neutral residues which were residues that failed to be invariant in at least one group were identified. The conserved residues were being translated into the trace record exactly as they were. The class-specific residues if any were identified with an X. The neutral residues were identified with blank positions.

3.5 TASK 3: PROTEIN MAPPING

3.5.1 Secondary Structure of 1E3I

The Rasmol software is used in this task and a screen shot of the program is as Figure 3.5. As both the ADH chains were identified to be identical, the analysis was done using only chain A. The secondary structure of the 1E3I protein was viewed at consecutive 90° rotation about the X-axis consisting of 4 different views at 0° , 90°, 180° and 270°.



Figure 3.5: The Rasmol Molecular Visualization Tool.

3.5.2 The Zinc Atom, NAD Ligand and CXF Ligand with Surrounding Residues

Rasmol was used to identify the amino acid residues within 5 Armstrong of the organic ligands NAD and CXF using the following commands:

restrict *:a centre *:a Menu Display> Cartoons

select NAD
wireframe 100
select within (5.0, NAD)
Menu Display> Ball & Stick

select CXF wireframe 100 select within (5.0, CXF) Menu Display> Ball & Stick

select ZN color gold Menu Display> Spacefill

3.5.3 Comparison of Trace Analysis, Rasmol and Active Sites Identified from PDB SUM

The alcohol dehydrogenase active sites were identified from PDB SUM.

The amino acid residues identified from the Trace Analysis, Rasmol and Active Sites Identified from PDB SUM were compared in a table for Partition P0 and Partition P4.

Amino acid residues which exist in Rasmol analysis and conserved in the trace analysis were highlighted in green. The amino acid residues which were PDB SUM active site residues and also conserved residues from the evolutionary trace were highlighted in blue. When the amino acid residues exist in all three columns the amino acid residues were highlighted in yellow.

3.5.4 Mapping of Conserved Residues on the Protein Structure

The conserved amino acid residues from the Trace Analysis were mapped onto the protein structure in Rasmol. The conserved residues were identified in green.

4 CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1 TASK 1: DETERMINING THE PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIP OF ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE

4.1.1 Identification of Alcohol Dehydrogenase Containing Protein

The Alcohol dehydrogenase containing protein of a *Mus musculus* has been identified from the PDB. The secondary structure viewed using Jmol is as Figure 4.1.

4.1.2 Verifying 1E3I FASTA Sequence from PDB with UniProt

The FASTA sequence with the PDB ID 1E3I is as shown in Figure 4.2. The sequence is compared with the sequence in UniProt with the identifier Q9QYY9. The sequence has a length of 376 amino acid residues with two identical chains A and B.



Figure 4.1: Secondary Structure of 1E3I Viewed using Jmol. Pink represents the Alpha Helix and Yellow represents the Beta Sheets.

>1E3I:A|PDBID|CHAIN|SEQUENCE

GTQGKVIKCKAAIAWKTGSPLCIEEIEVSPPKACEVRIQVIATCVCPTDINATDPKKKALFPVVLGHECAGIVESVGPGV TNFKPGDKVIPFFAPQCKRCKLCLSPLTNLCGKLRNFKYPTIDQELMEDRTSRFTCKGRSIYHFMGVSSFSQYTVVSEAN LARVDDEANLERVCLIGCGFSSGYGAAINTAKVTPGSTCAVFGLGCVGLSAIIGCKIAGASRIIAIDINGEKFPKAKALG ATDCLNPRELDKPVQDVITELTAGGVDYSLDCAGTAQTLKAAVDCTVLGWGSCTVVGAKVDEMTIPTVDVILGRSINGTF FGGWKSVDSVPNLVSDYKNKKFDLDLLVTHALPFESINDAIDLMKEGKSIRTILTF

>1E3I:B|PDBID|CHAIN|SEQUENCE

GTQGKVIKCKAAIAWKTGSPLCIEEIEVSPPKACEVRIQVIATCVCPTDINATDPKKKALFPVVLGHECAGIVESVGPGV TNFKPGDKVIPFFAPQCKRCKLCLSPLTNLCGKLRNFKYPTIDQELMEDRTSRFTCKGRSIYHFMGVSSFSQYTVVSEAN LARVDDEANLERVCLIGCGFSSGYGAAINTAKVTPGSTCAVFGLGCVGLSAIIGCKIAGASRIIAIDINGEKFPKAKALG ATDCLNPRELDKPVQDVITELTAGGVDYSLDCAGTAQTLKAAVDCTVLGWGSCTVVGAKVDEMTIPTVDVILGRSINGTF FGGWKSVDSVPNLVSDYKNKKFDLDLLVTHALPFESINDAIDLMKEGKSIRTILTF

Figure 4.2: FASTA Sequence of 1E3I.

4.1.3 BLAST Search

100 bacteria sequences from the BLAST search are being generated from the 1E3I query sequence. Out of the 100 bacteria sequences, 48 bacteria sequences are chosen originating from 48 different bacteria species with the highest similarity to the query sequence. The remaining sequences of bacteria from the same species already in the list are eliminated. All 48 are ADH bacteria sequences with similarity values ranging between 48% and 52% compared to the 1E3I query sequence. The organisms and NCBI reference for the sequences are as in Table 4.1.

4.1.4 Collecting FASTA Format Sequences of Bacteria

The FASTA format sequences of all 48 bacteria are obtained for further analysis. The FASTA format sequence identifier following the ">" (more than) symbol for each of the sequence is replaced with only the organism name removing all other description in the original FASTA format sequence. The two parts of the scientific name of the bacteria are connected with an "_" (underscore) symbol. Some sample FASTA format sequences are shown as in Figure 4.3.

Table 4.1: BLAST Results of Selected Similar Bacterial Sequences. The Mus musculus

Query Sequence is Highlighted in Purple at the Top of the Table.

Accession	Organism	Max ident
CAB57455.1	Mus musculus	100%
<u>YP_003147226.1</u>	Kangiella koreensis	52%
<u>NP_900410.1</u>	Chromobacterium violaceum	51%
<u>ZP_08518499.1</u>	Aeromonas caviae	51%
<u>ZP_09161412.1</u>	Marinobacter manganoxydans	51%
<u>ZP_09505218.1</u>	Alteromonas sp. S89	51%
<u>YP_959517.1</u>	Marinobacter aquaeolei	51%
<u>YP_005428879.1</u>	Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus	51%
<u>ZP_00956464.1</u>	Sulfitobacter sp. EE-36	51%
<u>YP_001675317.1</u>	Shewanella halifaxensis	51%
<u>YP_001502873.1</u>	Shewanella pealeana	51%
<u>ZP_01893942.1</u>	Marinobacter algicola	51%
<u>ZP_09508887.1</u>	Marinobacterium stanieri	50%
<u>YP_001143866.1</u>	Aeromonas salmonicida	50%
<u>YP_854741.1</u>	Aeromonas hydrophila	50%
<u>ZP_01113275.1</u>	Reinekea sp. MED297	50%
<u>YP_004394511.1</u>	Aeromonas veronii	50%
<u>YP_004482887.1</u>	Marinomonas posidonica	50%
<u>ZP_06753531.1</u>	Simonsiella muelleri	50%
<u>YP_004314448.1</u>	Marinomonas mediterranea	50%
<u>YP_003913334.1</u>	Ferrimonas balearica	50%
<u>YP_001050781.1</u>	Shewanella baltica	50%
<u>YP_001093666.1</u>	Shewanella loihica	50%
<u>YP_002796370.1</u>	Laribacter hongkongensis	50%
<u>YP_525528.1</u>	Saccharophagus degradans	50%
<u>NP_967848.1</u>	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus	50%
<u>YP_340711.1</u>	Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis	50%
<u>YP_003931663.1</u>	Pantoea vagans	49%
ZP_09757967.1	Alishewanella jeotgali	49%

Accession	Organism	
<u>YP_003520842.1</u>	Pantoea ananatis	
<u>YP_001907224.1</u>	Erwinia tasmaniensis	
<u>YP_003531652.1</u>	Erwinia amylovora	49%
<u>ZP_01166607.1</u>	Oceanospirillum sp. MED92	49%
<u>ZP_09828983.1</u>	Pantoea stewartii	49%
<u>ZP_09987515.1</u>	Rheinheimera nanhaiensis	49%
<u>YP_002311434.1</u>	Shewanella piezotolerans	49%
<u>YP_002648366.1</u>	Erwinia pyrifoliae	49%
<u>YP_001758769.1</u>	Shewanella woody	49%
<u>YP_006009758.1</u>	Shewanella putrefaciens	49%
<u>ZP_09012845.1</u>	Commensalibacter intestini	49%
<u>YP_004434029.1</u>	Glaciecola sp. 4H-3-7+YE-5	49%
<u>YP_661403.1</u>	Pseudoalteromonas atlantica	49%
<u>YP_002894053.1</u>	Tolumonas auensis	49%
<u>YP_003626609.1</u>	Moraxella catarrhalis	49%
<u>YP_001475959.1</u>	Shewanella sediminis	49%
<u>NP_720477.1</u>	Shewanella oneidensis	49%
<u>ZP_01218901.1</u>	Photobacterium profundum	49%
<u>ZP_05886782.1</u>	Vibrio coralliilyticus	49%
<u>ZP_01085506.1</u>	Synechococcus sp. WH 5701	49%
<u>YP_944812.1</u>	Psychromonas ingrahamii	49%
<u>ZP_08068476.1</u>	Actinobacillus ureae	49%
<u>ZP_01308596.1</u>	Oceanobacter sp. RED65	49%
<u>ZP_07543350.1</u>	Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae serovar	49%
<u>ZP_09864898.1</u>	Methylomicrobium album	49%

>Kangiella_koreensis MSNEVIKCKAAVAWEAGKPLSIEEVEVQPPQKGEVRVKIVATGVCHTDAFTLSGDDPEGVFPSILGHEGG GIVESVGEGVTSVKPGDHVIPLYTPECGDCKFCLSGKTNLCQKIRETQGKGLMPDGTTRFSINGKPIYHY MGTSTFSEYTVLPEISLAKVNPKAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGMGÃVMNTAŘVEEGATVAIFGLGGIGLSAVIG AVMAKASRIIAIDINESKFELAKKLGATDCVNPKDYDKPIQEVIVEMTDGGVDYSFECIGNVNVMRSALE CCHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWKGTAFGGVKGRSELPDYVERYLAGEFKLDDFITHTM PLEKINDAFDLMHEGKSIRSVIHY

>Chromobacterium_violaceum

MSHDIIRCQAAVAWAAGQPLSIEEIEVHPPKAGEVRVKMVATGVCHTDAFTLSGADPEGVFPCILGHEGG GVVESVGPGVTSVAVGDHVIPLYTPECRECKFCLSGKTNLCQKIRATQGKGLMPDGTSRFSKDGKPIYHY MGTSTFSEYTVLPEISLAKVNKAAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGMGAVVNTAKVKAGDNVAVFGLGGIGLSAIIG ${\tt ARMAGAGRIIGIDINEGKFELAKKLGATDCVNPNGFDKPIQDVIVEMTDGGVDFSFECIGNVKVMRAALE}$ CCHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWRGSAFGGVRGRTELPEYVERYLKGEFRLDDFITHTM PLERVNEAFDLMHEGKSIRSVIHYAAEPA

>Aeromonas caviae

MAQVQSIKCKAAIAWGPGQPLSIEEVEVMPPQAGEVRVRIVATGVCHTDAFTLSGEDPEGVFPCILGHEG GGIVESVGEGVTSVKVGDHVIPLYTPECGECKFCKSGKTNLCQKIRATQGKGLMPDGTTRFSKDGQPIYH YMGTSTFSEYTVLPEISIAKVDPAAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGIGAVMNTAKVKEGESVAIFGLGGIGLSAII GARLAKAGRIIAIDINESKFELARKLGATDCINPNTFDKPIQEVIVEMTDGGVDFSFECIGNVKVMRAAL $\verb+ECCHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWRGSAFGGVRGRSELPSYVQRYMQGEFKLDDFITHT$ MPLEQINEAFDLMHEGKSIRTVIHY

>Marinobacter_manganoxydans

MTQTIKSKAAIAWGPKQPLSIEEVDVMPPQAGEVRIRVIASGVCHTDAFTLSGEDPEGIFPTILGHEGGG ${\tt IVESVGEGVTSLKVGDHVIPLYTPECGECKFCTSGKTNLCGKIRETQGKGLMPDGTTRFSLNGEPIYHYM}$ GCSTFSEYTVLPEISLAKVNKEAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGMGAVMNTAKVEEGATVAIFGMGGIGLSAVIGA TMAKASRIIAIDINESKFELAROLGATDCINPKDYDKPIOEVIVELTDGGVDYSFECIGNVDVMRSALEC CHKGWGESVVIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWKGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYMQGEFKLNDFITHTMG LEDINKAFDLMHEGKSIRTVIHYDK

>Alteromonas_sp. S89

MSAEPITCKAAVAWKAGEPLSIEEVVVAPPKAGEVRIRLLATGVCHTDAFTLSGADPEGVFPAILGHEGG GVVESVGEGVTSVAVGDHVIPLYTPECGECKFCLSGKTNLCQKIRATQGKGLMPDGTSRFTVNGKPVFHY MGTSTFSEYTVLPEISVAKVNKNAPLEEICLLGCGVTTGMGÄVANTAKVEEGASVAVFGLGGIGLATIIG ARLAKAGRIIAIDINEGKFELAKKLGATDCINPKSFDKPIQDVIVELTDGGVDYSFECIGNVDVMRSALE CCHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGKEICTRPFQLVTGRVWRGTAFGGVKGRSQLPDYVERYLAGEFKLDDFITHTM PLEKINEAFDLMHEGKSIRSVIHYA

>Marinobacter_aquaeolei

MAEIIKSKAAIAWGPGOPLSVEEVDVMPPKAGEVRIKVIATGVCHTDAFTLSGEDPEGNFPAILGHEGGG IVEAIGEGVTSVAVGDHVIPLYTPECGECKFCLSGKTNLCGKIRETQGKGLMPDGTSRFYKDGQPIYHYM GCSTFSEYTVLPEISLAKVNKEAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGMGAVMNTAKVEEGATVAIFGLGGIGLSAIIGA TMAKASRIIGIDINDSKFDLARQLGATDCINPKDYDKPIQEVIVELTDGGVDYSFECIGNVDVMRSALEC CHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWRGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYLQGEFKLNDFITHTMG LDDINEAFELMHEGKSIRSVIHFDK

>Marinobacter_hydrocarbonoclasticus

MAEMIKSKAAIAWGPGOPLSVEEVDVMPPKAGEVRIKVIATGVCHTDAFTLSGEDPEGNFPAILGHEGGG IVEAIGEGVTSVAVGDHVIPLYTPECGECKFCLSGKTNLCGKIRETQGKGLMPDGTSRFYKDGQPIYHYM GCSTFSEYTVLPEISLAKVNKEAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGMGAVMNTAKVEEGATVAIFGLGGIGLSAIIGA TMAKASRIIVIDINNSKFDLARQLGATDCINPNDYDKPIQEVIVELTDGGVDYSFECIGNVDVMRSALEC CHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWRGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYLQGEFKLNDFITHTMG LDDINEAFELMHEGKSIRSVIHFDK

>Shewanella halifaxensis

MMTAKTIKSKAAVAWAVGEPLTMEIVDVMPPQKGEVRIKMIATGVCHTDAFTLSGDDPEGIFPCILGHEG GGIVESIGEGVTSVKVGDHVIPLYTPECGECKYCKSGKTNLCQKIRETQGKGLMPDGTTRFSKDGVEIFH YMGTSTFSEYTVLPEISLAKVNPDAPLEEVCLLGCGVTTGMGAVMNTAKVEEGSTVAVFGMGGIGLSAII GAVMAKASRIIAIDINESKFELARKLGATDCINPKDYDKPIQEVIIELTDGGVDYSFECIGNIHVMRSAL ECCHKGWGESVIIGVAGAGQEISTRPFQLVTGRVWKGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYMAGEFKLDDFITHT MGLEQVNDAFDLMHEGKSIRTVLHFGK

Figure 4.3: Sample FASTA of Some of the 48 Selected Similar Bacterial Sequences.

4.1.5 Multiple Sequence Alignment

Complete alignments of all 50 sequences from the 48 bacteria sequences and 2 chains of 1E3I are done using the ClustalX program. The alignment produces a .aln file that can be saved for future use. The output of the multiple sequences alignment is as Figure 4.4.

4.1.6 Construction of Phylogenetic Tree

A Phylip Tree .ph file is generated from the ClustalX post alignment. The .ph file is used to construct a phylogenetic tree in the PhyloDraw program. The Rectangular Cladogram of the constructed tree is as Figure 4.5. The tree is in a horizontal orientation and made up of two main ancestral nodes centred over their descendants.



Figure 4.4: Pre Alignment (top) and Post Multiple Sequences Alignment (bottom) using ClustalX.



Figure 4.5: Rectangular Cladogram using PhyloDraw. The Mus musculus Query Sequence is Highlighted in Green.

4.2 TASK 2: IDENTIFICATION OF CONSENSUS SEQUENCES AND EVOLUTIONARY TRACE

4.2.1 Partitioning the Cladogram and Species Grouping

The partitions are made by making 5 vertical lines across the dendogram which are identified as P0, P1, P2, P3 and P4 as seen in Figure 4.6. The partition generates groups of an entire family that branches off from a node. The lowest partition i.e. P0 has smaller number of groups with bigger number of organisms in each group. The highest partition i.e. P4 has bigger number of groups but with smaller number of organisms in each group.

This analysis focuses on the groups with the star symbols beside their partition and group numbers as shown in Figure 4.7. The organisms which are being left as a single leaf (a species on their own with no other members) on each of the partition will be ignored and will not be used for further analysis. Only groups consisting of a family of organisms will be considered for analysis.

P0 branches out into two groups where Group 1 consists of 35 members and Group 2 has 15 members.

P1 is divided into 4 groups with 26 members in Group 1, 9 organisms in Group 2, 8 organisms in Group 3 and 7 organisms in Group 4.

P2 is made up of 6 groups with 24 members in Group 1, 2 organisms each in Group 2 and Group 4, 8 organisms in Group 3, 6 species all from the genus *Shewanella* in Group 5 and Group 6 consists of 6 organisms for of which are from the genus *Aeromonas*. Two organisms are being eliminated in P2 which are *Saccharophagus degradens* and *Kangiella koreensis* as they have branched out from the node individually as a single leaf on the tree.

P3 is originally made up of 7 groups. However, only the first two groups are being taken into consideration for further analysis. The rest of the organisms are being eliminated. Group 1 consists of 9 members and Group 2 15 organisms.

The final partition i.e. P4 has altogether 8 groups. The 3 groups with the most number of similar amino acid residues to the query sequence *Mus musculus* are being used for further analysis. Group 1 consists of 6 members with 5 species as there are two sequences for the *Mus musculus* species. Group 2 has 3 members and Group 3 with 14 species has the most members. The remaining organisms in the other remaining groups with lesser number of similar amino acid residues are being eliminated from the next step of analysis.




Figure 4.6: Rectangular Cladogram Partitioning. The Mus musculus Query Sequence is Highlighted in Green.



Figure 4.7: Rectangular Cladogram Grouping from the Partitions. The Stars show the Groupings from the Partitions.

4.2.2 Single Group Multiple Sequences Alignment

The members in each of the group are being aligned by partition to determine the conserved and neutral residues in the group. Figure 4.8 shows an example of the Partition P1 multiple sequences alignment results by group.

4.2.3 Identification of Consensus Sequences and Evolutionary Trace

For each partition, the results from the group alignment will be compared and a consensus is built. The consensus sequences consist of conserved residues, class-specific residues (if any) and neutral residues. The conserved residues are residues that exist in each of the organisms in the group being aligned. The class-specific residues are amino acid residues that are conserved within the group but differ between groups. The neutral residues are residues that are neither conserved within the group nor between the groups.

The conserved residues are being translated on the trace record as they are, the class-specific residues are translated as X and highlighted in green while the neutral residues are shown as dashes (-) in Figure 4.9.

Maninamana maninkani sa		250
Marinomonas_posidonica	KGSAFGGVKGRSQLPGYVEDYMNGKIEIDPFVTHTMPLEQINEAFDLMHE	
Marinomonas_mediterranea	KGSAFGGVKGRSQLPGYVEDYMNGKIEIDPFVTHTMSLEKINDAFDLMHE	
Marinobacterium_stanieri	KGSAFGGVKGRSQLPGYVEDYMNGKIEIDPFITHTMGLEDINKAFDLMHE	
Bdellovibrio_bacteriovorus	KGSAFGGVKGRTELPGYVEQYMSGEINIDDMVTFTMPLEDINKAFDYMHE	
Mus_musculus_Chain_A	NGTFFGGWKSVDSVPNLVSDYKNKKFDLDLLVTHALPFESINDAIDLMKE	366
Mus_musculus_Chain_B	NGTFFGGWKSVDSVPNLVSDYKNKKFDLDLLVTHALPFESINDAIDLMKE	366
Laribacter_hongkongensis	RGSAFGGVRGRTELPAYVEKAQKGEIPLDTFITHTLPLEEINQAFELMHE	361
Synechococcus_sp.	RGSAFGGVRGRTELPGYVERFQSGEIPLDTFITHTMPLEEINRAFELMHA	
Methylomicrobium_album	RGSAFGGVHGRSELPGYVERAORGEIPLDVFITHTLGLEDINOAFDLMHE	
Pantoea_ananatis	RGSAFGGVKGRSQLPGIVQDYLDGKFALNDFITHTMPLEEINDAFDLMHE	
Pantoea_stewartii	RGSAF GGVKGRSQLPGIVQDILDGKFALNDFIIHIMFLEEINDAFDLMHE RGSAF GGVKGRSQLPGIVQDYLDGKFALNDFIIHIMPLAEINDAFDLMHE	
Pantoea_vagans	RGSAFGGVKGRSQLPGIVQDYLDGKFALNDFITHTMPLEEINDAFDLMHE	
Erwinia_amylovora	RGSAFGGVKGRTQLPGIVERYMNGEFQLNDFITHNLPLEEINDAFELMHE	
Erwinia_pyrifoliae	RGSAFGGVKGRTQLPGIVERYMNGEFRLNDFITHNLPLEEINDAFELMHE	
Erwinia_tasmaniensis	RGSAFGGVKGRTQLPGLVERYMGGEFQLNDFITHNLPLEQINDAFDLMHE	362
Commensalibacter_intestini	RGSAFGGVKGRSQLPNIVNDYLQGKFQLDDFITHEMPLDQINKAFDLMHD	362
Glaciecola_sp.	RGSAFGGVKGRSQLPDYVQRYMDGEFELDTFITHTMQLEDINTAFDLMHE	362
Pseudoalteromonas_atlantica	RGSAFGGVKGRSQLPDYVQRYMDGEFELDTFITHTMPLEDINTAFDLMHE	362
Tolumonas auensis	KGSAFGGVKGRSOLPGIVEOYMNGEFELDTFITHTMGLDDINHAFDLMHE	
Actinobacillus_ureae	RGSAFGGVKGRTELPGIIDOFMKGEFKLRDFITHTMPLEDINKAFDLMHO	
Actinobacillus_pleuropneumonia	RGSAFGGVKGRTELPGIIDOFMKGEFKLRDFIIHIMPLEDINKAFDLMHQ	
Simonsiella_muelleri	RGSAFGGYKGRSELPDLIDQYQHGEFKLSDFITHTMPLEDINNAFDLMHE	
Moraxella_catarrhalis	RGSAFGDVKGRSELPGIVSQYMQGDFALSDFITHTMPLDQINAAFDLMHE	
Alishewanella_jeotgali	RGTAFGGVKGRSELPGYVDRYLNGEFELDTFITHTMPLEDINKAFDLMHE	
Photobacterium_profundum	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPEIVERYMAGEFALDDFITHTMGLEGINDAFDLMHE	364
Vibrio_coralliilyticus	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPEIVERYMAGEFGLQEFITHTMGLDAVNDAFDLMHE	370
P1 Group 1	-GFGN-AM	
±	.*: **. ::* :: : ::*. : : :* *:: *:	
Oceanobacter_sp.	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVEKYLAGEFKLNDFITHTMGLEDINEAFDLMHE	261
Marinobacter_aquaeolei	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYLQGEFKLNDFITHTMGLDDINEAFELMHE	
Marinobacter_hydrocarbonoclast	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYLQGEFKLNDFITHTMGLDDINEAFELMHE	
Marinobacter_algicola	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYLQGEFKLNDFITHTMGLDDINEAFELMHE	
Marinobacter_manganoxydans	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPGIVERYMQGEFKLNDFITHTMGLEDINKAFDLMHE	363
Oceanospirillum_sp.	RGTAFGGVKGRSELPEIVERYMAGEFKLNDFITHTMGLDKINEAFDLMHE	364
Pseudoalteromonas_haloplanktis	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPDYVERYLAGEFKLSDFITHTMGLEDINESFDLMRR	364
Psychromonas_ingrahamii	KGSAFGGVKGRTELPDYVERYLQGEFKLSDFITHTMPLEDVNEAFELMHK	364
Saccharophagus_degradans	RGTAFGGVKGRSELPGIVEQYLAGDFKLDDFITHTMGLEDINTAFDLMHH	364
P1 Group 2	-G-AFGGVKGR-ELPVE-YG-FKL-DFITHTM-LNF-LM	
II OLOGP D	······································	
Deinelses		264
Reinekea_sp.	RGTAFGGVKGRSELPSYVERYLDGEFKLSDFITHTMPLDEINEAFDLMHE	
Ferrimonas_balearica	RGSAFGGVKGRSELPQYVERYLAGEFKLDDFITHTMGLDKINDAFDLMHQ	
Shewanella_halifaxensis	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYMAGEFKLDDFITHTMGLEQVNDAFDLMHE	
Shewanella_pealeana	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYMAGEFKLDDFITHTMGLEQVNDAFDLMHE	364
Shewanella_woodyi	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYMAGEFKLNDFITHTMGLEQVNEAFDLMHE	364
Shewanella_sediminis	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYMAGEFKLNDFITHTMGLEQVNDAFDLMHE	364
Shewanella_piezotolerans	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYMAGEFKLNDFITHTMGLDOVNEAFDLMHE	364
Shewanella oneidensis	KGSAFGGVKGRSELPEYVERYLAGEFKLSDFITHTMSLEOVNDAFDLMHO	
P1 Group 3	-G-AFGGVKGRSELP-YVERYGEFKL-DFITHTM-LN-AFDLMH-	
II STORP 2	-G-AFGGVNGNSELF-IVENI-GEFNL-DFIINIM-LN-AFDLMA-	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
		264
Chromobacterium_violaceum	RGSAFGGVRGRTELPEYVERYLKGEFRLDDFITHTMPLERVNEAFDLMHE	
Alteromonas_sp.	RGTAFGGVKGRSQLPDYVERYLAGEFKLDDFITHTMPLEKINEAFDLMHE	
Aeromonas_caviae	RGSAFGGVRGRSELPSYVQRYMQGEFKLDDFITHTMPLEQINEAFDLMHE	
Aeromonas_salmonicida	RGSAFGGVRGRSELPSYVQRYMQGEFKLDDFITHTMPLEQINEAFELMHE	365
Aeromonas_hydrophila	RGSAFGGVRGRSELPSYVQRYMQGEFKLDDFITHTMGLEQINEAFDLMHE	365
Aeromonas_veronii	RGSAFGGVRGRSELPSYVQRYMQGEFRLDDFITHTMGLEQINEAFELMHQ	
Kangiella koreensis	KGTAFGGVKGRSELPDYVERYLAGEFKLDDFITHTMPLEKINDAFDLMHE	
P1 Group 4	-G-AFGGV-GRLP-YV-RYGEF-LDDFITHTM-LEN-AF-LMH-	
II STORP I	-G-AFGGV-GKEF-IV-KIGEF-EDDFIIIIM-EEN-AF-EMI-	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Figure 4.8: Sample Multiple Sequences Alignment of Partition P1 by Group.

P0	àà
P1	AA
	A
P2	
P3	AA-A
P4	AA-A
P0	PLPEVAVC-TDFPLGHE
P1	PLPEVAVC-TDFPLGHE
2	PLPEVAVC-TDFPLGHE
23	PLPEVAVC-TDFPLGHE
24	PLEPEVAVC-TDFPLGHE
20	VEGVTGD-VIPCCSTNLCR
21	VEGVTGD-VIPCC-STNLCR
2	VEGVTGD-VIPCCC-STNLCR
23	VEGVTGD-VIPCCSTNLCR
24	VEGVTGD-VIPCCSTNLCR
20	TLM-D-T-RFGH-MG-S-FVAA
1	TLM-D-T-RFGH-MG-S-FVAA
2	TIM-D-T-RFGH-MG-S-FVAA
-	TLM-D-T-RFGH-MG-S-FVEAA
4	TLM-D-T-RFGH-MG-S-FVAA
0	-LCL-GCGG-GATA-VA-FG-GGL
1	-LCL-GCGG-GATA-VA-FG-GGL
2	-LVCL-GCGGYGATA-VGA-FG-GGL
3	-LVCL-GCGG-GATA-VGA-FG-GGL
24	-LVCL-GCGG-GATA-VGA-FG-GGLG
20	-AID-NKAGA-DPO-VTGVD-
21	-AID-NKAGA-DPQ-VTGVD-
2	-AID-NKAGA-DPO-VTGVD-
23	-AID-NKAGA-DPO-VTGVD-
94	-AID-NKAGA-DPQ-VTGVD-
0	SC-GAGWGGE
1	SC-GAGWGG-E
2	SC-GGWGG
3	SC-GGWGG
4	S-C-GGWG
0	-GFGPTNM
1	-GFGPTTNM
2	-GFGPTTNM
3	-GFGP
4	-GFGPTIN-AM
0	G-SIR
21	G-SIR
2	G-SIR
-	
23	G-STB

Figure 4.9: Consensus Sequences for Each of the Partitions.

4.3 TASK 3: PROTEIN MAPPING

4.3.1 Secondary Structure of 1E3I

Figure 4.10 shows the Amino Acid residues in ball and stick surrounding the NAD and CXF ligands located within 5 Armstrong of the ligands. The two zinc atoms can be seen in green where one of which is in the middle of the protein located closer to the ligands.

4.3.2 The Zinc Atom, NAD Ligand and CXF Ligand with Surrounding Residues

Figure 4.11 shows the NAD and CXF ligands in CPK. One zinc atom can be seen in gold which is located closer to the ligands. The rest of the ball and stick molecules in green, red, blue, yellow and orange are amino acid residues located within 5 Armstrong of the ligands.



Figure 4.10: Secondary Structure of 1E3I with Zinc Atoms, NAD Ligand and CXF Ligand with Surrounding Residues on Consecutive 90° Rotations about the X-axis.



Figure 4.11: Zinc Atoms, NAD Ligand and CXF Ligand with Surrounding Residues.

4.3.3 Comparison of Trace Analysis, Rasmol and Active Sites Identified from PDB Sum

Table 4.2 and Table 4.3 show the comparison of the conserved residues identified from the partitions P0 and P4 respectively of the evolutionary trace analysis conserved residues, molecules from the Rasmol analysis and active site residues from PDB SUM.

The amino acid residues identified from the Rasmol analysis and exist in the evolutionary trace as conserved residues are highlighted in green. The amino acid residues which have been identified as active site residues in PDB SUM and exist in the evolutionary trace as conserved residues are highlighted in blue. If the amino acid residue exists in all three columns, the residue in the evolutionary trace column will be highlighted in yellow.

In partition P0, 109 residues out of the 376 residues or 29.8% residues are fully conserved throughout the whole group of 49 species. The conserved residues are mostly clustered in big groups, some are scattered in smaller groups and very few are scattered as single residues.

11 out of 23 active site residues identified using PDB SUM are fully conserved from the evolutionary trace analysis.

Table 4.2: Comparison of P0 Trace Analysis Conserved Residues, Rasmol Analysis and PDB SUM Active Site Residues. Green Shows Amino Acid Residues that Exist in Both Rasmol and are Evolutionary Trace Conserved residues, Blue shows the Amino Acid are PDB SUM Active Site Residues and Evolutionary Trace Conserved Residues, and Yellow Shows the Amino Acid Residues are Found in Rasmol, are PDB SUM Active

Trace	Rasmol	PDB SUM	Trace	Rasmol	PDB SUM	Trace	Rasmol	PDB SUM
A11			F134			V257		
A14			G138			T262		
P20			H143			G265		
L21			M145			V266		
P31			G146			D267		
E35			S148			S269		
V36			F150			C272	CYS272	CYS A 272
A42			V155			<u></u>	ALA273	010112/2
V45			A162			G274	1121215	
C46	CYS46		A168			0271		THR A 275
<u><u> </u></u>	HIS47	HIS A 47	L170					GLN A 277
T48	THR48	THR A 48	C174					THR A 278
D49	1111111	THE TO	L175			A282		1111(11/270
F61			G177			G289		
P62			C178		CYS A 178	W290		
L65			G179		C15/11/0	G291		
G66			0177	SER182	SER A 182	0271	VAL296	
H67			G183	SER162	5EK A 162	G297	GLY297	GLY A 297
E68			G185 G185			0271	ALA298	ALA A 298
V73			A186			E304	ALA290	ALA A 290
E74			T190			G313		
G77			A191			R314		
V80			V193			G318		
T81			A200			0510	THR319	THR A 319
G86			F202				PHE320	PHE A 320
D87			G203	GLY203	GLY A 203	F321	PHE321	PHE A 321
V89			0200	LEU204	LEU A 204	G322	1112321	111271 321
190			G205	GLY205	GLY A 205	P331		
P91			0205	CYS206	CYS A 206	T349		
C97				VAL207	C15/1200	N358		
C100			G208	VIII207		M364		
C100			L209			G367		
S105			A220			S369		
T108			I223			1370		
N109			D227	ASP227	ASP A 227	R371		ARG A 371
L110			2221	ILE228	ILE A 228			ASP B 362
C111			N229	ASN229	ASN A 229			101 0 502
R115			K232	- 101 · 100/	LYS A 232			
T121			A236					
L126			G240					
M127			A241					
D129			D243					
T131			P247					
R133			Q255					

Table 4.3: Comparison of P4 Trace Analysis Conserved Residues, Rasmol Analysis andPDB SUM Active Site Residues. Green Shows Amino Acid Residues that Exist in BothRasmol and are Evolutionary Trace Conserved residues, Blue shows the Amino Acidare PDB SUM Active Site Residues and Evolutionary Trace Conserved Residues, andYellow Shows the Amino Acid Residues are Found in Rasmol, are PDB SUM ActiveResidues and Evolutionary Trace Conserved Residues.

Trace	Rasmol	PDB SUM	Trace	Rasmol	PDB SUM	Trace	Rasmol	PDB SUM
A11			F134			V257		
A12			G138			T262		
A14			H143			G265		
P20			M145			V266		
L21			G146			D267		
E25			S148			S269		
P31			F150			C272	CYS272	CYS A 272
E35			V155			<u>C272</u>	ALA273	C15 A 272
V36			A162			G274	ALA275	
A42			A162			0274		THR A 275
V45			L170					GLN A 277
C46	CYS46		V173					THR A 278
C40	HIS47	THE A 47				A282		1HK A 2/8
T 10		HIS A 47	C174					
T48	THR48	THR A 48	L175			G289		
D49			G177		CT 10 1 1 70	W290		
F61			C178		CYS A 178	G291		
P62			G179			~ ~ ~ ~	VAL296	CT TT 1 000
L65				SER182	SER A 182	<mark>G297</mark>	GLY297	GLY A 297
G66			G183				ALA298	ALA A 298
H67			G185			E304		
E68			A186			G313		
V73			T190			R314		
E74			A191			G318		
G77			V193				THR319	THR A 319
V80			A200				PHE320	PHE A 320
T81			F202			F321	PHE321	PHE A 321
G86			G203	GLY203	GLY A 203	G322		
D87				LEU204	LEU A 204	P331		
V89			G205	GLY205	GLY A 205	T349		
190				CYS206	CYS A 206	I357		
P91				VAL207		N358		
C97			G208			A360		
C100			L209			M364		
C103			G214			G367		
S105			A220			S369		
T108			I223			1370		
N109			D227	ASP227	ASP A 227	R371		ARG A 371
L110				ILE228	ILE A 228			ASP B 362
C111			N229	ASN229	ASN A 229			
R115			K232		LYS A 232			
T121			A236					
L126			G240					
M127			A241					
D129			D243					
T131			P247					
R133			Q255					
1133	l	1	Q233	1	1			

4.3.4 Mapping of Conserved Residues on the Protein Structure

The conserved residues appear to be dispersed in the protein structure. The residues are mixtures of big and small clusters spread throughout the surface of the protein. The conserved residues can be seen on Figure 4.12 in green.



Figure 4.12: Conserved Residues Mapped on the Protein Surface on Consecutive 90° Rotations About the X-axis.

5 CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION

5.1 TASK 1: DETERMINING THE PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIP OF ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE

The RCSB PDB website held a huge data bank of proteins with plenty of information on the protein of the organism being studied. The 1E3I crystal structure of mouse class II alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) revealed determinants of substrate specificity and catalytic efficiency of the protein. The study was done by Svensson, Hoeoeg, Schneider and Sandalova and published in the year 2000. Other related PDB entries were the 1CDO Alcohol Dehydrogenase Complexed with Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide and Zinc, 1E3L P47H Mutant of Mouse Class II Alcohol Dehydrogenase Complex with NADH and 1E3E Mouse Class II Alcohol Dehydrogenase Complex with NADH.

The Clustal group of program has a general use of global multiple sequence alignment programs for both DNA and proteins. The alignment of the proteins produces biologically important multiple sequence alignments of divergent sequences. This enables the researcher to identify the similarities and differences from the group of sequences. The program calculates the best match for the selected sequences and lines them up in the window. The Clustal group of program also has a build in viewing feature to determine evolutionary relationships of the organisms being aligned by building Cladograms or Phylograms. The basic information the Clustal program provides is identification of conserved sequence regions. The results of the consensus being generated are symbols representing the degree of conservation observed in each column. The star symbol "*" means that the residues in that column are identical in all sequences in the alignment, the colon symbol ":" means that conserved substitutions have been observed and full stop symbol "." means that semi-conserved substitutions are observed.

The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the PhyloDraw program that worked as a tool for generating phylogenetic trees. The PhyloDraw program supports a variety of multialignment programs (Clustal-W, pairwise distance matrix, Dialign2 and Phylip format) and visualizes many types of tree diagrams. The rectangular Cladogram being built showed relations among the organisms containing ADH being studied. The Cladogram uses lines that branch off in different directions ending at group of organisms. The lines traced back to where the organisms branch off and the branching off pointed to where a common ancestor was believed to have existed.



Figure 5.1: Phylogram as a Result of Multiple Sequence Alignment in ClustalW. The Mus musculus Query Sequence is Highlighted in Green.



Figure 5.2: Cladogram as a Result of Multiple Sequence Alignment in ClustalW. The Mus musculus Query Sequence is Highlighted in Green.

5.2 TASK 2: IDENTIFICATION OF CONSENSUS SEQUENCES AND EVOLUTIONARY TRACE

The consensus sequences are calculated order of most frequent amino acid residues found at each position in a sequence alignment. The results of the multiple sequence alignment of related sequences are compared to each other. A known conserved sequence set is represented by a consensus sequence. Generally observed supersecondary protein structures or amino acid motifs are most of the time formed by conserved sequences. The comparison of interest in this task is for each group within the partition. The consensus information is important in the function of enzymes and coding genes. There are several coding region recognition programs available some of which are GenView, SpliceView and ORFGene. The consensus sequences in this task were done by submitting the sequences from each group using ClustalW and manually identifying the consensus sequences.

The evolutionary trace analysis was done for identification of conserved, classspecific and neutral residues between groups in a partition. The evolutionary trace would be able to predict the functional site by identifying a cluster of evolutionary important residues on the surface of the protein. 100 out of the 376 amino acid residues are fully conserved in partitions P0 and P1 and that made up of about 26.6% of the total residues. Partition P3 had about 27.7% conserved residues made up of 103 fully conserved residues and one class-specific residue. Partition P3 class-specific residue is at position 349 where the organisms in Group 1 had the Glutamic Acid and the amino acid was substituted by the Leucine in Group 2 organisms. The Leucine has a chemical formula of HO₂CCH(NH₂)CH₂CH(CH₃)₂. The Leucine is classified as a hydrophobic amino acid due to its aliphatic isobutyl side chain and it is an essential amino acid which is encoded by six codons (UUG, UUA, CUA, CUC, CUG and CUU). On the other hand, the Glutamic Acid is a non-essential amino acid with a chemical formula of $C_5H_9NO_4$. It is one of the 20-22 proteinogenic amino acids encoded by two codons (GAG and GAA). The carboxylate anions and salts of glutamic acid are known as glutamates. In neuroscience, glutamate is a vital neurotransmitter in long-term potentiation and is essential for memory and learning. The P4 partition had 105 fully conserved residues throughout the group which consisted of about 27.9% of the total amino acid residues.

All four amino acid residues ligated with zinc ions (Cys 46, Thr 48, His 67 and Cys 174) as reported in Ryan *et al* 1999 were identified as conserved residues in the evolutionary trace analysis done.

5.3 TASK 3: PROTEIN MAPPING

The protein mapping aims to map conserved residues to the surface of the protein. The analysis would help researchers identify residues critical for DNA binding where information on interactions between an enzyme and its substrates can be obtained.

The protein residues that are critical for structure and function are expected to be conserved throughout evolution. The conserved amino acid residues were seen to be clustered in groups of big and small residues. Only a few conserved amino acid residues were individually scattered.

PO	-LCL-GCGG-GATA-VA-FG-GGL
P1	-LCL-GCGG-GATA-VA-FG-GGL
P2	-LVCL-GCGGYGATA-VGA-FG-GGL
P3	-LVCL-GCGG-GATA-VGA-FG-GGL
P4	-LVCL-GCGG-GATA-VGA-FG-GGLG

Figure 5.3: Sample Section of Conserved Amino Acid Residues Clusters.





Figure 5.4: Amino Acid Conserved Residues Form Clusters on the Protein Surface.

6 CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY

The study was on the zinc metalloenzyme alcohol dehydrogenase evolutionary trace. An evolutionary trace analysis of the alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme was done using the software Rasmol, Clustal programs and PhyloDraw.

The FASTA sequence with the PDB ID 1E3I was used to study the evolutionary analysis by comparing with 48 other bacteria sequences. The 1E3I sequence had a length of 376 amino acid residues with two identical chains A and B. The BLAST search identified the bacteria sequences to be similar to the 1E3I sequence at the range of 48% to 52% similarity. The output from the multiple sequence alignment done helped to in construction of a phylogenetic tree. The rectangular Cladogram was used for analysis.

The Cladogram was partitioned into 5 vertical lines across the Cladogram. The partition generates groups of an entire family that branches off from a node. The earlier partition i.e. P0 has smaller number of groups with bigger number of organisms in each group. The latter partition i.e. P4 has bigger number of groups but with smaller number of organisms in each group. Some of the organisms were eliminated along the analysis to focus on a smaller group of organisms with higher similarity to the studied sequence.

The members in each of the group were aligned by partition to determine the conserved and neutral residues in the group. For each partition, the results from the group alignment will be compared and a consensus sequence was identified. The conserved residues are being translated on the trace record as they are, the class-specific residues are translated as X and highlighted in green while the neutral residues are shown as dashes (-).

The conserved residues identified from the partitions P0 and P4 respectively were being compared with the evolutionary trace analysis conserved residues, molecules from the Rasmol analysis and active site residues from PDB SUM. In partition P0, 109 residues out of the 376 residues or 29.8% residues are fully conserved throughout the whole group of 49 species. The conserved residues are mostly clustered in big groups, some are scattered in smaller groups and very few are scattered as single residues. 11 out of 23 active site residues identified using PDB SUM was fully conserved from the evolutionary trace analysis.

The protein mapping mapped conserved residues to the surface of the protein. The protein residues that are critical for structure and function were expected to be conserved throughout evolution. The conserved amino acid residues made up clusters mostly around the binding site.