

ABSTRACT

Candida is a genus of opportunistic yeast that are usually harmless residents of the oral cavity, but they become pathogenic under conditions which allow them to increase their proportion to other members of the oral microflora. Correct and accurate identification of the candidal species infecting an oral candidiasis patient is important due to antifungal drug resistences. The region in the fungal genome that contains the gene that codes for rRNA, also known as rDNA, has been found to be useful for phylogenetic studies and species identification. The purpose of this study is to compare the candidal loads of denture wearers, periodontal disease patients, and a control group, in addition to differentiating isolated oral *Candida* sp. based on rDNA, in order to assess the effectiveness of using the rDNA region for candidal species identification. Samples from the saliva and the surfaces of the palate, tongue and cheek mucosa were collected from 45 individuals consisting of three target groups: periodontal disease patients, denture wearers with healthy oral cavity, and non-denture wearers with healthy oral cavity as the control group. The samples were subjected to serial dilution and spread on agar plates, which were then scored for Colony-Forming Units (CFUs). Next, fifteen random candidal colonies were isolated and subjected to genomic DNA extraction based on glass beads disruption. ITS1, ITS2, ITS3 and ITS4 primers were used to amplify regions in the rDNA, and the ITSI-5.8S-ITSII region was then digested by *HinfI* and *MspI* restriction enzymes. The microbial loads on all the sites of denture wearers groups was found to be significantly higher than the control group, while only the microbial loads on the tongue surface of the periodontal disease group was significantly higher than in the control group, while at all the other sites there was no significant difference. Comparing the restriction fragment lengths of the clinical samples to that of seven ATCC control species allowed the identification of *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida dubliniensis* and *Candida glabrata* (*C. krusei* and *C. lusitaniae* were also among the

ATCC control species and could be differentiated, but none of the clinical samples were of those species). One clinical sample had a unique band and restriction fragment pattern that could not be matched to any of the control species, however based on the band sizes it is most likely to be *Candida famata*. The *MspI* restriction digest was not able to distinguish between *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis*, whereas the *HinfI* digest could not distinguish between *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis*. In conclusion, candidal colonization in denture wearers, while there appears to be no significant difference in periodontal patients other than on the tongue surface. Furthermore, restriction enzyme digestion of the candidal rDNA region is potentially useful for candidal species identification.

ABSTRAK

Candida merupakan genus yis yang opportunis, lazimnya terdapat di ruang mulut dan tidak membahayakan, namun menjadi patogenik di bawah keadaan yang membenarkan mereka membiak dan meningkatkan kadar nisbah mereka berbanding dengan ahli mikro-flora yang lain. Pengecaman tepat dan jitu spesies *Candida* yang menjangkiti pesakit kandidiasi mulut (*oral candidiasis*) adalah penting kerana wujudnya kerintangan terhadap ubat antikulat. Kawasan di dalam genom kulat yang mengandungi gen yang mengkod rRNA, juga dikenali sebagai rDNA, telah pun didapati bermanfaat dalam kajian filogenetik dan pengenalan spesies. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membandingkan kandungan *Candida* di dalam pemakai *denture*, pesakit periodontal dan kumpulan kawalan, serta membezakan antara spesies *Candida* yang diasingkan dari mulut berdasarkan rDNA untuk mengkaji keberkesanan penggunaan kawasan rDNA bagi tujuan pengenalan spesies *Candida*. Sampel dari air liur, permukaan lelangit mulut, lidah dan mukosa pipi diambil dari 45 individual yang terdiri dari 3 kumpulan sasaran; pesakit periodontal, pemakai dentur yang mempunyai rongga mulut yang sihat dan bukan pemakai dentur yang mempunyai rongga mulut yang sihat sebagai kawalan. Pencairan bersiri dijalankan ke atas sampel yang kemudiannya dikira Unit Pembentukan Koloni (CFUs). Kemudian, 15 koloni *Candida* dipilih secara rawak dan diasingkan sebelum melalui proses pengekstrakan DNA genomik berdasarkan penggunaan manik kaca. Primer ITS1, ITS2, ITS3 dan ITS4 digunakan untuk amplifikasi kawasan rDNA, dan kawasan ITSI-5.8S-ITSII kemudiannya dipotong oleh enzim restriksi *HinfI* dan *MspI*. Kandungan mikrobial di kesemua kawasan pemakai dentur didapati lebih tinggi dengan signifikan daripada kumpulan kawalan, manakala kandungan mikrobial di permukaan lidah pesakit perodontal adalah lebih tinggi secara signifikan berbanding kumpulan kawalan sementara di kawasan lain tiada perbezaan yang signifikan. Perbandingan antara saiz fragmen

sampel klinikal dengan tujuh spesies kawalan ATCC membolehkan identifikasi *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida dubliniensis* dan *Candida glabrata* (*C. krusei* dan *C. lusitaniae* juga dikalangan tujuh spesies kawalan ATCC yang boleh dibezakan, namun sampel klinikal tidak mengandungi spesies tersebut). Satu sampel klinikal mempunyai saiz jalur dan fragmen yang unik yang tidak boleh dipadankan dengan mana-mana spesies kawalan. Namun, berdasarkan saiz jalur, sampel tersebut berkemungkinan adalah *Candida famata*. Pemotongan oleh *MspI* tidak dapat membezakan antara *C. albicans* dengan *C. dubliniensis* manakala pemotongan *HinfI* tidak dapat membezakan antara *C. tropicalis* dengan *C. parapsilosis*. Kesimpulannya, pertumbuhan *Candida* lebih tinggi secara signifikan bagi pemakai dentur, manakala tiada perbezaan ketara bagi pesakit peridontal selain daripada permukaan lidah. Tambahan itu, pemotongan enzim restriksi di kawasan rDNA *Candida* mempunyai potensi digunakan bagi tujuan pengenalan spesies *Candida*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, there is not enough gratitude that can be expressed to my supervisor, Dr. Wan Himratul Aznita, for her invaluable guidance, support, advice, patience and understanding throughout the progress of completing this thesis. I would also like to thank Dr. Ros Anita Omar and Dr. Nor Adinar Baharuddin for their help in collecting clinical samples for this project, and Dr. Marhazlinda for her invaluable advice and help for the statistical analysis for this thesis. This entire endeavour would have been impossible without them.

Thanks is also in order for the various Medical Lab Technologists at the Oral Biology Laboratory who have provided numerous assistance throughout this project; Anuar Zainon, Rafiki Rezali and Faraliza Alias. My thanks also to my fellow colleagues who have given help, advice and companionship during the completion of this thesis: Mohd Hafiz Arzmi, Mohd Al-Faisal, Zabidi, Rizal, Anthony, Chua Chong Sing and Wong.

I would also like to give my appreciation to the staff of the Department of Oral Biology who have supported this project in many ways: En. Irwan, Prof. Zubaidah, Dr. Fathilah and Dr. Marina.

Last but not least, thanks is also due to my family for their support: my parents, Raja Halinuddin and Aliah Hanim, my wife Hilyah and of course, to little Anees.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Objectives	2
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Microbial Ecosystem in the Oral Cavity	3
2.1.1 Factors Influencing the Microbial Ecosystem of the Oral Cavity	3
2.1.1.1 Temperature and pH	4
2.1.1.2 Nutrients	4
2.1.1.3 Antimicrobial Factors	5
2.1.2 Oral Microbial Habitats	5
2.1.2.1 Saliva	6
2.1.2.2 Gingival Crevice	7
2.1.2.3 Mucosal Surfaces	7
2.1.2.4 Tongue	8
2.1.2.5 Teeth Surfaces	8
2.1.2.6 Prosthodontic Appliances	9
2.2 Oral <i>Candida</i> Species	9
2.2.1 Characteristics of <i>Candida</i> Species	9
2.2.2 Candidal Colonization of the Oral Cavity	10
2.2.2.1 Interaction with Bacterial Flora	10
2.2.2.1.1 Negative Interaction	10
2.2.2.1.2 Positive Interaction	11
2.2.2.2 Denture Wearers	11

2.2.2.3 Periodontal Disease	11
2.2.2.4 Host Diet	12
2.2.2.5 Other Influencing Factors	13
2.2.3 Pathogenesis of Oral <i>Candida</i> Species	13
2.2.3.1 Candidal Factors	13
2.2.3.2 Salivary Secretion	14
2.2.3.3 Denture Stomatitis	14
2.2.3.4 Drugs	14
2.2.4 Candidal Species of Importance	15
2.2.4.1 <i>Candida albicans</i>	15
2.2.4.2 <i>Candida tropicalis</i>	15
2.2.4.3 <i>Candida krusei</i>	16
2.2.4.4 <i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	16
2.2.4.5 <i>Candida dubliniensis</i>	16
2.2.4.6 <i>Candida glabrata</i>	17
2.2.4.7 <i>Candida lusitaniae</i>	17
2.3 Methods for Differentiating Candida	17
2.3.1 Serotyping	17
2.3.2 Biotyping	18
2.3.3 Selective Agar	18
2.3.4 Molecular Methods for Typing of Oral <i>Candida</i> Species	19
2.3.4.1 Karyotyping	19
2.3.4.2 Restriction Enzyme Analysis	19
2.3.4.3 Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms in Ribosomal DNA	20

2.3.4.4 Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA	20
2.3.4.5 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Amplification	21
2.3.4.6 Probe Hybridization	21
2.4 Analysis of the the Internal Transcribed Spacer Region of Candidal rDNA	22
2.4.1 ITSII Amplification	23
2.4.2 Multiplex PCR	23
2.4.3 Single-Strand Confirmation Polymorphism Analysis	24
2.4.4 Transposable Intron Amplification	24
2.4.5 PCR Amplification and Enzyme Immunosorbent Assay	24
Analysis	
2.4.6 PCR and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism Analysis	25
3. METHODOLOGY	26
3.1 Research Materials	26
3.1.1 Chemicals	26
3.1.2 Glasswares	27
3.1.3 Consumables	27
3.1.4 Media	27
3.1.5 Microbial Control Strains	27
3.1.6 Equipments	28
3.2 Research Methods	29
3.2.1 Research Outline	29
3.2.2 Sample Collection	29

3.2.2.1 Preparation of Transport Medium	31
3.2.2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	31
3.2.2.3 Sampling Sites and Collection Methods	32
3.2.3 Microbial Load Determination	32
3.2.3.1 Preparation of Agar Plates and Broth Mediums	32
3.2.3.1.1 Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) Plates and Slants	32
3.2.3.1.2 Brain-Heart Infusion (BHI) Agar Plates	33
3.2.3.1.3 Yeast-Extract- Peptone-Dextrose (YEPD)	33
3.2.3.2 Serial Dilution	34
3.2.3.3 Microbial Plating	36
3.2.3.4 Isolation and Storage of Candidal Colonies	37
3.2.4 DNA Extraction	38
3.2.4.1 Preparation of Yeast Cultures	38
3.2.4.2 Preparation of Reagents	38
3.2.4.2.1 Preparation of EDTA Solution	39
3.2.4.2.2 Preparation of Tris-HCl	39
3.2.4.2.3 Preparation of Tris-EDTA (TE) Buffer	39
3.2.4.2.4 Preparation of Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) Buffer	40
3.2.4.2.5 Preparation of Lysis Buffer	40
3.2.4.2.6 Preparation of Sodium Acetate Solution	41
3.2.4.3 Preparation of 1% Agarose Gel	41
3.2.4.4 Genomic DNA Extraction Methods	41

3.2.4.4.1 Commercial Yeast Genomic DNA Extraction	42
Kit	
3.2.4.4.2 Genomic DNA Extraction Based on Rapid Freeze-Thawing	42
3.2.4.4.3 Genomic DNA Extraction Based on Glass Beads Disruption	43
3.2.4.4.4 Genomic DNA Lyticase-Based Enzymatic Extraction	44
3.2.4.5 Qualitative Confirmation of DNA Presence	45
3.2.4.6 Quantitative Determination of DNA Yield	46
3.2.4.7 Determination of DNA Extract Purity	46
3.2.4.8 Selection of Extraction Method for Clinical Samples	46
3.2.5 PCR Amplification	47
3.2.5.1 Amplification of ITS I Region	47
3.2.5.2 Amplification of 5.8S-ITS II Region	49
3.2.5.3 Amplification of ITS I-5.8S-ITS II Region	50
3.2.5.4 Visualization of PCR Products	51
3.2.6 Restriction Endonuclease Enzyme Digestion	51
3.2.6.1 <i>Msp</i> I Digestion	51
3.2.6.2 <i>Hinf</i> I Digestion	52
3.2.6.3 Restriction Fragment Visualization	52
4. RESULTS	53
4.1 Microbial Loads	53
4.1.1 Comparison of Microbial Loads Between Groups	53

4.1.2 Mean Percentages of Candidal Loads	56
4.3 Assessment of Candidal DNA Extractions	58
4.3.1 Estimation of Time and Materials Required for Extractions	58
4.3.2 Pilot Study of Candidal DNA Extraction Methods	60
4.3.2.1 Qualitative Confirmation of Candidal DNA Extracts	60
4.3.2.2 Quantitative Assessment of Candidal DNA Extracts	63
4.3.2.2.1 DNA Yield	63
4.3.2.2.1 DNA Purity	63
4.3.3 Selection of Optimal DNA Extraction Method	66
4.4 PCR Amplification of rDNA Regions	66
4.4.1 Amplification of ITS I Region	67
4.4.2 Amplification of 5.8S-ITS II Region	71
4.4.3 Amplification of ITS I-5.8S-ITS II Region	75
4.5 Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms	79
4.5.1 <i>MspI</i> Digestion	79
4.5.2 <i>HinfI</i> Digestion	83
4.6 Genotype Profiles	87
5. DISCUSSION	90
5.1 Microbial Loads of <i>Candida</i> Species in the Oral Cavity	90
5.1.1 Intra-Oral Distribution of <i>Candida</i> Species in the Control Group	90
5.1.2 Intra-Oral Distribution of <i>Candida</i> Species in the Denture Wearers	91
Group	

5.1.3 Intra-Oral Distribution of <i>Candida</i> Species in the Periodontal Disease Group	93
5.2 Evaluation of DNA Extraction Methods	95
5.3 Differentiation of Oral Candida Based On ITS Region of rDNA	97
6. LIMITATIONS	101
7. CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE	102
8. CONCLUSION	103
APPENDIX A	105
APPENDIX B	106
APPENDIX C	107
BIBLIOGRAPHY	108

LIST OF FIGURES	PAGE
Figure 2.1: Candidal rDNA and the Locations of ITS1, ITS3 and ITS4 Primers (Mirhendi et al., 2006)	23
Figure 3.1: Six Stages of the Research Design	30
Figure 3.2: The Process of Serial Dilution and Plating	35
Figure 3.3: The Regions Amplified by Each of the Three Primer Pairs ITS1 and ITS4; ITS1 and ITS2; and ITS3 and ITS4	48
Figure 4.1: Gel Picture Comparing the Presence of Candidal DNA from the Extracts of Method 1 (Commercial Kit), Method 2 (Rapid Freeze- Thawing) and Method 3 (Glass Beads Disruption)	61
Figure 4.2: Gel Picture Comparing the Presence of DNA from the Extracts of Method 1 (Commercial Kit), Method 3 (Glass Beads Disruption) and Method 4 (Lyticase-Based)	62
Figure 4.3: Gel Picture Showing the ITS1 PCR Products of the Following Clinical Samples: A1-4 (Lanes 2-5), B1-4 (Lanes 6-9), C1-4 (Lanes 10-13), D1 (Lane 14), E1 (Lane 15) and F1 (Lane 16)	68
Figure 4.4: Gel Picture Showing the ITS1 PCR Products of <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 14053 (CA) – Lane 2, <i>C. tropicalis</i> ATCC 13803 (CT) – Lane 3, <i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 14243 (CK) – Lane 4, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (CP) – Lane 5, <i>C. dubliniensis</i> ATCC MYA-2975 (CD) – Lane 6, <i>C. glabrata</i> ATCC 90030 (CG) – Lane 7 and <i>C. lusitaniae</i> ATCC 64125 (CL) – Lane 8– Lane 7 and <i>C. lusitaniae</i> ATCC 64125 (CL) – Lane 8	69
Figure 4.5: Gel Picture Showing the 5.8S-ITSII PCR Products of <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 14053 (CA) – Lane 2, <i>C. tropicalis</i> ATCC 13803 (CT) –	72

Lane 3, <i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 14243 (CK) – Lane 4, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (CP) – Lane 5, <i>C. dubliniensis</i> ATCC MYA-2975 (CD) – Lane 6, <i>C. glabrata</i> ATCC 90030 (CG)	
Figure 4.6: Gel Picture Showing the 5.8S-ITSI1 PCR products of the Following Clinical samples: A1-4 (Lanes 2-5), B1-4 (Lanes 6-9), C1-4 (Lanes 10-13), D1 (Lane 14), E1 (Lane 15) and F1 (Lane 16)	73
Figure 4.7 Gel Picture Showing the ITSI-5.8S-ITSII PCR Products of <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 14053 (CA) – Lane 2, <i>C. tropicalis</i> ATCC 13803 (CT) – Lane 3, <i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 14243 (CK) – Lane 4, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (CP) – Lane 5, <i>C. dubliniensis</i> ATCC MYA-2975 (CD) – Lane 6, <i>C. glabrata</i> ATCC 90030 (CG)	76
Figure 4.8: Gel Picture Showing the ITSI-5.8S-ITSI1 PCR products of the Following Clinical samples: A1-4 (Lanes 2-5), B1-4 (Lanes 6-9), C1-4 (Lanes 10-13), D1 (Lane 14), E1 (Lane 15) and F1 (Lane 16)	77
Figure 4.9 Figure 4.22: Gel Picture Showing the <i>Msp</i> I Restriction Fragments of the ITSI-5.8S-ITSII PCR Products of <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 14053 (CA) – Lane 2, <i>C. tropicalis</i> ATCC 13803 (CT) – Lane 3, <i>C. krusei</i> ATCC 14243 (CK) – Lane 4, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019 (CP) – Lane 5, <i>C. dubliniensis</i> ATCC MYA-2975 (CD) – Lane 6, <i>C. glabrata</i> ATCC 90030 (CG) – Lane 7 and <i>C. lusitaniae</i> ATCC 64125 (CL) – Lane 8	80
Figure 4.10: Gel Pictures Showing the <i>Msp</i> I Restriction Fragments of the ITSI-5.8S-ITSI1 PCR Products of the Following Clinical Samples: A1-4 (Lanes 2-5), B1-4 (Lanes 6-9), C1-4 (Lanes 10-13), D1 (Lane 14), E1 (Lane 15) and F1 (Lane 16)	81

Figure 4.11: Gel Picture Showing the *HinfI* Restriction Fragments of the ITS1-5.8S-ITSII PCR products of *C. albicans* ATCC 14053 (CA) – Lane 2, *C. tropicalis* ATCC 13803 (CT) – Lane 3, *C. krusei* ATCC 14243 (CK) – Lane 4, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 (CP) – Lane 5, *C. dubliniensis* ATCC MYA-2975 (CD) – Lane 6, *C. glabrata* ATCC 90030 (CG) – Lane 7 and *C. lusitaniae* ATCC 64125 (CL) – Lane 8

Figure 4.12: Gel Picture Showing the *HinfI* Restriction Fragments of the 5.8S-ITSI1 PCR Products of the Following Clinical Samples: A1-4 (Lanes 2-5), B1-4 (Lanes 6-9), C1-4 (Lanes 10-13), D1 (Lane 14), E1 (Lane 15) and F1 (Lane 16)

	PAGE
Table 3.1: Ingredients for 1 L of PBS	31
Table 3.2: Chemical Ingredients Required for YEPD Broth	33
Table 3.4: Chemical Ingredients Required for Lysis Buffer	40
Table 4.1: Median Microbial Loads of the Saliva, Tongue, Palate and Buccal Mucosa Sites of the Healthy Control Group, Periodontal Disease Group and Denture Wearers Group	55
Table 4.2: Mean Percentages and Standard Deviations of Candidal Loads out of Total Microbial Loads	57
Table 4.3: Comparison of Required Time and Materials of Four DNA Extraction Methods	59
Table 4.4: Mean Concentration of Extracted DNA of Four ATCC Species	64
Table 4.5: Number of DNA Extracts Free From Protein and Phenol Contamination of Four ATCC Control Species	65
Table 4.6: PCR Product Sizes of the Amplified ITSI Region	70
Table 4.7: PCR Product Sizes of the Amplified 5.8S-ITSII Region	74
Table 4.8: PCR Product Sizes of the Amplified ITSI-5.8S-ITSII Region	78
Table 4.9: <i>MspI</i> Restriction Fragment Sizes of the ITSI-5.8S-ITSII PCR Product	82
Table 4.10: <i>HinfI</i> Restriction Fragment Sizes of the ITSI-5.8S-ITSII PCR Product	86
Table 4.11: Genotype Profiles of the Seven ATCC Species	88
Table 4.12: Genotype Profiles and Species Match of the Fifteen Clinical Samples	89

ABBREVIATIONS

%	:	percentage
°C	:	degree centigrade
g	:	gram
µg	:	microgram
µm	:	micrometer
L	:	liter
mL	:	milliliter
µL	:	microliter
DNA	:	deoxyribonucleic acid
RNA	:	ribonucleic acid
PCR	:	polymerase chain reaction
M	:	Molar
<i>ed.</i>	:	edition
<i>et al.</i>	:	et alia (and others)
no.	:	number
sp.	:	species
CFU	:	colony forming unit