#### **Abstract**

This research was made to study the antimicrobial activity of fungal isolates from different regions of maritime, Antarctica, namely, King George Island and Greenwich Island (Barrientos Island, Dee Island and Ambato point). A total of 17 isolates composed of 7 mesophilic and 10 psychrotrophic fungi were tested in-vitro against Gram-positive, Gram-negative bacteria and yeast human pathogens. Initial antimicrobial activity screening of Antarctic isolates was made using plug assay method. Five test-microorganisms namely Candida albicans, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were used. Only five (out of 17) fungal isolates showed inhibition potency against the test-microorganisms and majority showed antibacterial activity rather than antifungal activity. Of these five species, three were psychrotrophic and two were mesophilic fungi. It should be pointed out that only two of the screened isolates inhibited the growth of Candida albicans despite the clearing zone diameter was smaller than 20mm. Based on these result, the five fungal isolates with good inhibition potency were selected for further studies. These species were then tested against large number of test-microorganisms by disc diffusion method. That showed only two fungal strains *Penicillium* sp. 20 and Deuteromycete sp. 25 have antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *B. cereus*. Later, quantification assay using broth microdilution method was performed to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) that showed from 12.5-25 mg/ml, minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) showed between 25-1.56 mg/ml and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC). The break point of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was confirmed using indicator dye and both minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) were confirmed by sub-culturing from the different dilutions of crude extract.

#### **Abstrak**

Penyelidikan ini dilakukan untuk mengkaji aktiviti antimikrob daripada isolasi kulat yang diperoleh dari kawasan maritim yang berbeza di Antartika iaitu Pulau King George dan Pulau Greenwich (Pulau Barrientos, Pulau Dee dan Ambato Point). Sebanyak 17 isolasi kulat yang terdiri daripada 7 kulat psikrotrofik dan 10 kulat mesofilik telah diuji secara in-vitro terhadap bakteria Gram-positif, bakteria Gramnegatif dan yis patogen manusia. Pemeriksaan awal aktiviti antimikrob terhadap isolasi kulat Antartika telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah cerakin palam (plug assay). Lima mikroorganisma ujian iaitu Candida albicans, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli dan Pseudomonas aeruginosa telah digunakan untuk penyelidikan ini. Hanya lima (daripada 17) isolasi kulat menunjukkan potensi perencatan terhadap mikroorganisma ujian dan majoriti daripada kulat-kulat tersebut menunjukkan aktiviti antibakteria berbanding aktiviti antikulat. Daripada kelima-lima spesies, tiga adalah kulat psikrotrofik dan dua lagi adalah kulat mesofilik. Perlu ditegaskan bahawa hanya dua daripada keseluruhan isolasi kulat yang disaring berjaya menghalang pertumbuhan Candida albicans walaupun diameter zon jernih adalah lebih kecil daripada 20 mm. Berdasarkan keputusan ini, lima isolasi kulat yang mempunyai potensi perencatan yang baik telah dipilih untuk kajian seterusnya. Spesies-spesies kulat ini telah diuji dengan sejumlah besar mikroorganisma ujian melalui kaedah resapan cakera (disc diffusion). Hanya dua strain kulat *Penicillium* sp. 20 dan Deuteromycete sp. 25 mempunyai aktiviti antibakteria terhadap P. aeruginosa dan B. cereus. Kemudian, assay kuantifikasi menggunakan kaedah broth microdilution dilakukan untuk menentukan kepekatan penghalang minimum (MIC) yang menunjukkan dari 12.5-25 mg/ml, kepekatan bakteria minimum (MBC) menujukkan antara 25-1.56 mg/ml dan kepekatan kulat minimum (MFC). Takat rehat kepekatan kulat minimum (MIC) telah disahkan dengan menggunakan penunjuk pewarna manakala kepekatan bakteria minimum (MBC) dan kepekatan kulat minimum (MFC) telah disahkan dengan menggunakan sub-pengkulturan daripada pencairan ekstrak mentah yang berbeza.

### Acknowledgment

It is with a great deal of pleasure that I thank those who have contributed in so many ways to the completion of this project. First, my special thanks to Allah who have helped and gave me the power to complete this study.

It is with sincere gratitude and pleasure that I acknowledge my Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Aisyah Alias for her supervision, advice, encouragement and guidance from the very early stage of this research as well as giving me opportunities of experiences throughout the attending meetings and conferences. I am indebted to her more than she knows.

I gratefully acknowledge to the role models for hard workers in the lab, Ms. Abiramy and Ms. Suhaila, for their willingness in answering my questions, advice and crucial contribution. Girls, for a long time to come, I am grateful in every possible way and hope to collaborate in the future.

Many thanks go in particular to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yatimah, Department of Chemistry, for her permission to work on her equipments. Also thanks go to Dr. Pova and Mr. Mohamed Salah for guiding me to run my samples. I am also indebted to Ms. Mona, Department of Pharmacology, for her valuable advice and precious time to discuss on bioactivity testing methods.

It is a pleasure to pay tribute also to the all member of National Antarctic research centre and lab mates, Yuh Shan, Nazura, Sarah, Shamia, Abneuf and Sabri, I

am benefited with their help in particular skill in handling and running precisely delicate equipments. Many thanks go to them for science discussion and the pleasure working together in such environment.

Collective and individual acknowledgements to my colleagues at UM, particularly Loai, Nesreen and Alhan for their advice and their bright thoughts came during science discussion we had, which were very fruitful for shaping up my ideas and research. Thanks for the science discussion and exhilarating time we spent together as class mate.

Appreciation and recognition are also due my family who helped me with this project. My parents deserve special mention for their inseparable support and prayers. My Father, Mansour Filfilan, in the first place is the person who put the fundament my learning character, showing me the joy of intellectual pursuit ever since I was a child. My Mother, Afaf Felemban, is the one who sincerely raised me with her caring and gently love. Furthermore, my sisters, Reham and Wea'am thanks for being supportive. In the top of that am thankful to my lovely wife, Areej Samaran for support me and being there whenever I want.

The project is a truly a labor of love and the process makes me humble and thankful to many individuals, named and unnamed, who have made it possible. Thanks for a job well done.

Wessam Mansour Filfilan

# **List of Figures**

Figures	Title	Page
1.1	Geographic map of Antarctica.	3
2.1	Map of collection area and Islands: Greenwich Island	16
	(Barrientos Island, DEE Island and Ampato Point-Greenwich	
	Island) and King George Island.	
3.1a	<b>A:</b> Photograph show the green colony of the Antarctic fungus	25
	(Aspergillus fumigatus) grown in PDA media. B: Light	
	micrographs of Antarctic fungi under light microscopy	
	(Aspergillus fumigatus).	
3.1b	<b>A:</b> Photograph show the gray colony of the Antarctic fungus	25
	(Hyphomycete sp.1) grown in PDA media. B: Light	
	micrographs of Antarctic fungi under light microscopy	
	(Hyphomycete sp.1).	
3.2	Photographs of antibacterial activity from plug assay results,	28
	<b>A-C:</b> showing intermediate to very good inhibition zones on	
	Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis.	
	<b>D:</b> showing weak activity against <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> .	
3.3	Photographs of Antifungal activity from plug assay results	28
3.4	Photographs of antibacterial activity of fungal extracts in disc	31

diffusion. **A:** only Deuteromycete sp. 25 extract showed good to intermediate activity against *Bacillus cereus*. **B:** showing no activity against *antifungal activity (Candida albicans)*.

3.5 Photograph of U96 micro-well plate in broth microdilutions32 method. The red marked wells illustrate the MIC ofPenicilliums sp. 20 and Deuteromycete sp. 25 extracts onPseudomonas aeruginosa.

## **List of Tables**

Table	Title	Page
2.1	List of 17 strains of micro-fung, collection site, GPS location (Global	17
	Positioning System), date of collection and their thermal classes.	
3.1	List of of fungal strains	24
3.2	Preliminary screening result of fungal against microorganisms in plug	27
	assay.	
3.3	List of the selected fungal species used in the disc diffusion method.	29
3.4	Biological activity of fungal extracts, Chloramphenicol and Dimethyl	30
	Sulfoxide (DMSO) on test microorganisms in millimetres using disc	
	diffusion method.	
3.5	Quantified values of MICs and MBCs of fungal extracts against test microorganism in broth micro-dilutions method.	33

### **List of Symbols and Abbreviations**

% Percentage

°C Degree centigrade

**BaCl**<sub>2</sub> Barium chloride

C Carbon

CFU Colony Forming Unit

cm Centimeter

CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon Dioxide

DMSO Dimethyl Sulfoxide

**EPS** Exopolysaccharide

EtOAc Ethyl Acetate

g Gram

**GPS** Global Positioning System

H<sub>2</sub>O Water

H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Sulphuric acid

IPY International Polar Year

Km Kilometre

LBA Luria Base Agar

M Mole

Mbar Millibar

MBC Minimum Bactericidal Concentration

MFC Minimum Fungicidal Concentration

MIC Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

mg Milligram

MERGE Microbiological and Ecological Response to

Global Environmental changes in Polar Regions

ml Millilitre

mm Millimetre

N Nitrogen

NMR Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy

NCCLs National Committee of Clinical Laboratory

**Standards** 

No. Number

PDA Potato Dextrose Agar

pH Potential of Hydrogen

psi Pounds per Square inch of pressure

rpm Round Per Minute

S South

SDA Saboraud Dextrose Agar

Sp. Species

UV Ultra Violet

W West

w/v Weight Per Volume

ml Microliter

μg Microgram

# **List of Appendices**

Figures	Title	Page
4	<b>A-E:</b> Micrographs of <i>Antarctomyces</i> sp. 1 (A-C) and	48
	Antarctomyces sp. 7 (D-E) A. Clusters of asci containing	
	ascospores. B. Free ascospores C. Naked asci produced	
	ascospores openly on septatehypha. D. Asci containing	
	ascospores. E. Naked asci produced ascospores openly on	
	septate hypha. Scale bar: 15µm.	
5	A-C: Micrographs A-B: Aureobasidium sp.2 C:	49
	Aureobasidium sp.1 (anamorphic fungi) Septate. The conidia	
	are one-celled, hyaline and oval to cylindrical in shape. Scale	
	bar: 15µm.	
6	A-C: Micrographs of A-B: Geomycescretaceus sp., C:	50
	Geomyces sp. 2. Conidiophores branch verticillately at an acute	
	angle (tree like branching of conidiophores with short chain of	
	conidia). Conidia borne on the tips of conidiophores or on sides	
	of the hyphae, are pyriform withtruncate bases. Scale bar:	
	15μm.	
7	Micrograph of <i>Veriticillium</i> sp. Conidiophores vertically	51
	branched over most of their length and phialides. Scale bar:	
	15μm.	
8	<b>A-E:</b> Micrographs of <b>A:</b> Deuteromycets sp. 18. Conidiophores	52

are not clearly differentiated from the vegetative hyphae Conidia are small, globose, smooth and hyaline. **B-C:** Deuteromycete sp. 2 conidia hyaline, subglobose. **D-E:** Deuteromycete sp. 25. **E:** Deuteromycete sp. 3. Scale bar: 10μm.

- 9 Cadophora malorum. Phialides are hyaline, developlaterally or terminally from conidiophores or directly along the hyphae itself. Conidiaare elliptical accumulate at the apices of the phialides in balls. Scale bar: 15μm.
- Micrograph of *Mortierella* sp. Conidia large and circular. Scalebar: 15μm.
- Micrographs of (Ascomata) **A-B:** *Thelebolus microsporus* 55 show superficial, subglobose, hyaline. Asciclavate, 6-8 spored, apically rounded. Ascosporesellipsoidal, smooth walled. **C-D:** Ascomycetes sp. 2. Scale bar: 15μm.

## **List of Content**

Content	Page		
Abstract	I		
Acknowledgement	V		
List of Figures	Vii		
List of Tables	iX		
List of Symbol and abbreviations	X		
List of Appendices	Xiii		
List of content	XV		
Chapter 1			
1. Introduction	1		
1.1 Antarctica Continent			
1.2 Biodiversity and role of Antarctic fungi in polar ecosystem	3		
1.3 Thermal Classes of Antarctic Fungi	6		
1.4 Adaptation mechanisms of Antarctic micro-fungi			
1.5 Understanding the biological activity of microorganisms	10		
1.5.1 Biological activity of Antarctic fungi	11		
1.6 Research objectives	14		
Chapter 2			
2. Material and Methods	15		

#### 2.1 Materials

2.2 Methods	
2.2.1 Potato dextrose agar (PDA) Media preparation	
2.2.2 Reviving of Antarctic fungi	
2.2.3 Identification of Antarctic fungi	
2.2.4 Reviving of Bacterial test microorganisms	19
2.2.5 Bioactivity screening	
2.2.5.1 Preliminary screening-Plug assay	
2.2.5.2 Inoculums preparation-growth method	20
2.2.5.3 Preparation of 0.5 McFarland Standards	
2.2.6 Qualitative Assay - Disc Diffusion Method	
2.2.6.1Cultivation and Extraction	21
2.2.7 Quantitative assays- MIC, MBC and MFC	22
2.2.7.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	
2.2.7.2 Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) and	23
Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC)	
Chapter 3	
3. Result	24
3.1 Identification of Antarctic fungi	
3.2 Bioactivity screening of fungi collected from King George Island	26
and Greenwich Island based on plug assay	
3.3 Qualitative assay- Disc diffusion method	29
3.4 Quantitative assay- Broth microdilutions method	31

fungicidal concentration (MFC)			
Chapter 4			
4. Discussion			
4.1 Occurrence of Antarctic fungi from King George Island and			
Greenwich Island			
4.2 Bioactivity of Antarctic fungi			
4.2.1 Preliminary bioactivity screening based on agar blocks	40		
method			
<ul><li>4.2.2 Qualitative bioactivity assay based on disc diffusion method</li><li>4.2.3 Quantitative bioactivity assay based on broth micro-dilutions</li></ul>			
		method	
Chapter 5			
5. Conclusions			
Appendices			
References			
Reference	56		

3.4.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

3.4.2 Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and Minimum

32