DEVELOPMENT OF A 600 JOULES SMALL PLASMA FOCUS AS PULSED RADIATION SOURCE

LEE SENG HUAT

FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR

2011

DEVELOPMENT OF A 600 JOULES SMALL PLASMA FOCUS AS PULSED RADIATION SOURCE

LEE SENG HUAT

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR

2011

Abstract

The work starts at developing a 600 joules small plasma focus based on previous experience and works on the 3 kJ plasma focus system [Lee et al. (1988), Favre et al. (1992), Moo et al. (1995), Yap et. al. (2005)]. The objectives of this project are two folds, first, to scale down the energy of the plasma focus device from kJ to few hundred joules; and second to develop the new system as a pulsed radiation source.

The development and construction of the small Mather type plasma focus system employed a novel design with electrodes of 60 mm in length and without an insulator as the conventional plasma focus. The investigation of the discharges is focused on getting an optimum operating condition for plasma focus with reproducible radiation emission. Argon gas is used, while the operating pressures are varied to study the dynamics of the plasma focus as well as the radiation output.

The plasma focus discharges have been investigated by using a Rogowski coil, resistive voltage divider, X-ray Detector (XRD), EUV detector and biased ion collectors, for the discharge current, discharge voltage, X-ray radiation output, EUV radiation output and ion beam output. Suitable condition has been identified at a low pressure regime of 9.0×10^{-3} mbar to 2.2×10^{-2} mbar of argon. Reproducible results with good plasma focus and radiation output are obtained. The plasma focus is observed consistently; with good reproducibility of above 80 % in this pressure range. Radiation emissions are mainly in the ultra soft X-ray to EUV region. A total EUV energy vary from 7.8 mJ to 275 mJ is obtained, which corresponds to a conversion efficiency of 0.0013 % to 0.046 %. The ultra-soft radiation and EUV are emitted during the plasma focus time, where the signals are coincide with the voltage spike. The best condition of

focusing discharge is identified to be in a very narrow range of argon pressures of $1.0 - 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ mbar. The highest EUV energy output of 275 mJ is also obtained at about 1.6×10^{-2} mbar. In these pressures, the ion beams observed are relatively low in intensity. Conversely, the ion beam is found to increase at lower pressures. Energies of the ion beams measured are calculated based on the time of flight method. Argon ion beam with energy of 38 keV to 560 keV are obtained. It is also found that 9.0×10^{-3} mbar is the optimum argon pressure for high energetic ion beam production.

Abstrak

Penyelidikan ini bermula dengan merekabentuk sebuah 600 joules plasma fokus kecil berdasarkan kepada pengalaman dahulu dan kerja yang dilakukan di atas sistem plasma fokus 3 kJ [Lee et al. (1988), Favre et al. (1992), Moo et al. (1995), Yap et al. (2005)]. Objektif projek ini terdapat dua bahagian. Yang pertama adalah mengurangkan tenaga peranti plasma fokus dari kJ ke beberapa ratus joules; Yang kedua adalah memajukan sistem plasma fokus ini sebagai suatu sumber pemancaran sinaran denyutan.

Penghasilan dan pembinaan sistem plasma fokus kecil ini yang berjenis Mather telah menggunakan reka bentuk yang baru dengan elektrod berpanjang 60 mm dan tanpa kehadiran suatu penebat seperti pada plasma fokus konvensional. Penyiasatan nyahcas ini telah memberi tumpuan kepada keadaan operasi yang optimum supaya plasma fokus dengan pancaran sinaran dapat dihasilkan semula. Gas argon digunakan sementara tekanan operasi diubahkan untuk mengkaji dinamik plasma fokus serta penghasilan sinaran.

Nyahcas plasma focus telah disiasat dengan menggunakan gegelung Rogowski, pembahagi voltan berintangan, pengesan sinar-X (XRD), pengesan ultra ungu lampau dan pemungut-pemungut ion yang dipesong untuk menyiasat arus nyahcas, voltan nyahcas, pemancaran sinar-X, sinar ultra ungu lampau dan alur ion. Keadaan yang sesuai telah dikenalpasti pada rejim tekanan argon yang rendah iaitu dari 9.0×10^{-3} mbar ke 2.2×10^{-2} mbar. Keputusan yang boleh dihasilkan semula dimana plasma fokus dan sinaran yang baik dihasilkan telah diperolehi. Plasma fokus yang diperhatikan adalah konsisten dengan kebolehan penghasilan semula mencapai 80 % keatas pada julat tekanan ini. Kebanyakan pancaran sinaran adalah dalam rantau sinar-X ultra lembut hingga ke ultra ungu lampau. Jumlah tenaga ultra ungu lampau dari 7.8 mJ hingga 275 mJ telah diperolehi dan ia adalah berpadanan dengan kecekapan penukaran dari 0.0013 % hingga 0.046 %. Sinar ultra lembut dan ultra ungu lampau yang dipancarkan pada masa plasma fokus, di mana isyaratnya serentak dengan pepaku voltan. Keadaan yang terbaik untuk nyahcas berfokus telah dikenalpastikan pada julat tekanan argon yang sempit iaitu 1.0 - 1.8×10^{-2} mbar. Penghasilan tenaga sinar ultra ungu lampau tertinggi iaitu 275 mJ telah diperolehi pada hampir 1.6×10^{-2} mbar. Pada tekanan ini, keamatan alur ion yang diperolehi agak rendah dari segi perbandingan. Sebaliknya, alur ion didapati meningkat pada tekanan yang lebih rendah. Tenaga alur ion telah dikira berdasarkan kepada kaedah masa penerbangan. Alur ion argon dengan tenaga dari 38 keV ke 560 keV telah diperolehi. Didapati tekanan argon pada 9.0×10^{-3} mbar adalah tekanan argon yang optimum untuk penghasilan alur ion bertenaga tinggi.

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Yap Seong Ling and the head of Plasma Technology Research Center, Prof. Dr. Wong Chiow San for their supervision, guidance and encouragement throughout the duration of this project.

My appreciation goes out to University of Malaya for Fellowship Scheme (SBUM) and the PJP and PPP grant, without which I would not have the financial means to complete this work. Besides, I acknowledge to Mr. Jasbir Singh for his technical support throughout the process of development and improvement of this project. In addition, thank you to postgraduate team of Plasma Research Laboratory Miss Chan Li San, Mr. Ngoi Siew Kian, Mr. Lim Lian Kuang, Mr. Yap Chee Hoe, Mr. Lee Yen Sian and Mr. Tay Wee Horng for their warm hearted encouragement since I start my project in this laboratory.

Nevertheless, thank you to Miss Lai Ching Wan and my church mates for their lovely friendship, sharing, encouragement, opinion and support.

Not to be forgotten, my family deserves a remarkable credit for their endless moral support, caring, and patience. Thanks for standing by my side throughout the thick and thin, up and down of my project.

Glory to The Lord.

Seng Huat

Contents

			PAGE
Title			i
Declaration		ii	
Abstract		iii	
Abstr	ak		V
Ackn	owledge	ments	vii
Conte	ents		viii
List of Figures		Х	
List o	f Tables		xiii
Chap	ter 1	Introduction	
1.1	Introdu	action and Motivation	1
1.2	Literature Review of the Plasma Focus		5
1.3	Plasma Focus Radiation Sources		13
	1.3.1	X-ray Source	13
	1.3.2	Ion Beam Source	19
	1.3.3	EUV Source	22
1.4	Layout	of this Dissertation	26
Chap	Chapter 2 The Plasma Focus		
2.1	Introdu	iction	27
2.2	Plasma	Focus Tube Design	28
2.3	Dynamics of the Plasma Focus Discharge		29
	2.3.1	The Breakdown Phase	30
	2.3.2	The Axial Acceleration Phase (The Axial Rundown Phase)	31

	2.3.3	The Radial Compression Phase (The Radial Collapse Phase)	32
	2.3.4	The Disruption Phase	34
Chapt	ter 3	Experimental Setup	
3.1	Introduc	tion	35
3.2	Plasma 1	Focus System Design	35
3.3	Diagnos	tics Techniques	40
	3.3.1	Discharge Current Measurement	40
		3.3.1.1 Calibration of the Rogowski Coil	43
	3.3.2	Discharge Voltage Measurement	46
	3.3.3	Ultra-Soft (UV-EUV) Radiation Measurement	47
	3.3.4	EUV Measurement	48
	3.3.5	Ion Beam Measurement	52

Chapter 4 Results and Discussions

4.1	Introdu	ction	54
4.2	Plasma	sma Focus Discharge at Low Operating Pressure	
4.3	Emission Characteristics of the Plasma Focus Discharge		65
	4.3.1	EUV Energy	73
	4.3.2	Ion Beam Energy	77

Chapter 5 Conclusion and Recommendation

5.1	Conclusion	84
5.2	Suggestions for Future Work	86

88

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Schematic drawings of Mather and Filippov type dense plasma	6
	focus devices (DPF) [Fillippov et al. (1962), Mather (1964)].	
Figure 2.1	The cross section of a plasma focus electrodes set.	28
Figure 2.2	The plasma focus tube. Current sheath in the breakdown phase,	29
	axial acceleration phase and radial compression phase is indicated	
	at the respective position. Emission from the plasma pinch is also	
	schematically indicated.	
Figure 3.1	Block diagram of the entire plasma focus experimental setup.	36
Figure 3.2	The 3D drawing of the small plasma focus system complete with	38
	the three diagnostic ports.	
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of the Rogowski coil.	40
Figure 3.4	Rogowski coil operated in the current transformer mode.	41
Figure 3.5	Lightly damped sinusoidal waveform for calibration factor of a	45
	Rogowski coil.	
Figure 3.6	Schematic diagram of the resistive voltage divider.	46
Figure 3.7	The operation and the schematic diagram of the XRD.	47
Figure 3.8	The schematic diagram of the EUV detector.	48
Figure 3.9	Responsivity for EUV detector, SXUV5A.	50
Figure 3.10	Filtered quantum efficiency for EUV detector, SXUV5A.	50
Figure 3.11	The schematic diagram of a biased ion collector.	52
Figure 3.12	The arrangement of the two biased ion collectors.	53
Figure 4.1	Electrical, XRD and EUV signals obtained for non-focusing argon	56
	discharge at 3.0×10^{-2} mbar, 18 kV.	

- Figure 4.2 Electrical, XRD and EUV signals obtained for argon discharge at 58 1.8×10^{-2} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.3 Electrical, XRD and EUV signals obtained for argon discharge at 60 1.5×10^{-2} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.4 Percentage of the discharges producing plasma pinch from the 61 600 joules small plasma focus device.
- **Figure 4.5** Breakdown time at different argon pressures. $T_b = 14785P^2 612.66P$ 62 + 7.19 represents the relation between the breakdown time T_b (μs) and the operating pressure *P* (*mbar*).
- **Figure 4.6** The average velocity of the current sheath at different argon pressure. 63
- Figure 4.7 Electrical, XRD and EUV signals obtained for argon discharge at 66 2.0×10^{-2} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.8 Electrical, XRD and EUV signals obtained for argon discharge at 67 1.6×10^{-2} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.9 Electrical, XRD and EUV signals obtained for argon discharge at 68 1.4×10^{-2} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.10Population density ratios of argon ionic species as a function of electron71temperature based on the Coronal Equilibrium Model (CE Model).
- Figure 4.11 Variation of the average total EUV energy at argon pressure of 75 1.4×10^{-2} mbar to 2.2×10^{-2} mbar. The optimum pressure that emitted highest EUV radiation occurred at about 1.6×10^{-2} mbar.
- Figure 4.12 Variation of the average total EUV energy and the corresponding of 76 current sheath average velocity at argon pressure of 1.4×10^{-2} mbar to 2.2×10^{-2} mbar.
- Figure 4.13 Typical electrical and biased ion collector signals obtained for the argon 78 discharge at 9.0×10^{-3} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.14Variation of average ion beam intensity of the first peak ion beam pulse79in the first biased ion collector at various operating pressures.

- Figure 4.15 The voltage spike and time resolved signals of the ion beam obtained for 81 discharge at 9.0×10^{-3} mbar, 18 kV.
- Figure 4.16 Argon beam energy obtained at operating pressures of 8.0×10^{-3} mbar to 82 2.2×10^{-2} mbar.

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Mather-type plasma focus devices with different input energy.	3
Table 3.1	System parameters of the 600 joules small plasma focus.	39
Table 3.2	Specification data of the EUV detector, IRD-SXUV5A with	49
	integrated thin film filters of 100 nm Si/ 200 nm Zr.	

 Table 4.1
 Argon ions species with the corresponding characteristics line
 72

 radiation. [Source: http://spectr-w3.snz.ru/]