

**A PRELIMINARY STUDY ON THE USE OF
ALTERNATIVE CHEMICALS FOR TREATMENT
OF WASTEWATER IN COAL FIRED
POWER GENERATION**

GUNASEGRAN A/L A.RAMASAMY

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY
(ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT)**

**INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2011

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: **GUNASEGRAN A/L A.RAMASAMY** (I.C/Passport No: **591027-07-5481**)

Registration/Matric No: **SGH040008**

Name of Degree: **MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY**

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis ("this Work"):

A PRELIMINARY STUDY ON THE USE OF ALTERNATIVE CHEMICALS FOR TREATMENT OF WASTEWATER IN COAL FIRED POWER GENERATION

Field of Study: **ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT**

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.



Candidate's Signature

13/06/2012

Date

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,



Witness's Signature

13/06/2012

Date

Name: Ghufan Redzwan. Ph.D. Aff.MIEM
Designation: Penyelaras
Program Sains dan Pengurusan Alam Sekitar
Institut Sains Biologi - Fakulti Sains
Universiti Malaya
50603 Kuala Lumpur

ABSTRACT

Hydrated lime is used for pH adjustment as part of the treatment process at the main wastewater treatment plant in Sultan Azlan Shah Power Station, Manjung. Many problems are encountered in usage of hydrated lime mainly in terms of handling. Manual labour is used to load hydrated lime into bag unloader and it is a messy job because some of the chemical spills and gets air borne. This is also a safety concern. The hydrated lime also frequently chokes the outlet of storage silo and is another manual job to clear the blockage. This study is important because replacement of hydrated lime with a suitable alternative chemical can be advantageous for smooth operation of the wastewater treatment plant. In this preliminary study the use of alternative chemicals for pH adjustment was studied using jar tests. The alternative chemicals tested are soda ash, sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide. The main criteria in judging the performance of the alternative chemicals are floc size and settling time between pH 9.0 to 9.5. All three chemicals performed well at pH 9.0. Settling time for soda ash increased to 12 minutes at pH 9.5 and was judged to be not suitable. Sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide were found to perform well at pH 9.5 with a settling time of four minutes. Amount of sludge generated was compared and found to be slightly higher for sodium hydroxide as compared with hydrated lime. Cost for using potassium hydroxide was calculated to be lowest but it incurs manual labour for charging up. Sodium hydroxide has the second lowest cost and existing pumps can be utilized to prepare the charges. Sodium hydroxide is already available in the wastewater treatment plant for pH adjustment for post neutralization purpose. It is concluded that the alternative chemical of choice suitable for use in the wastewater treatment plant is sodium hydroxide.

ABSTRAK

'Hydrated lime' digunakan untuk membetulkan nilai pH dalam proses rawatan di loji rawatan air buangan utama di Stesen Janakuasa Sultan Azlan Shah, Manjung. Banyak masalah dialami semasa menggunakan 'hydrated lime' terutama dari segi pengendalian. Buruh kasar diperlukan untuk masukkan 'hydrated lime' ke dalam 'bag unloader' dan tempat itu menjadi tidak terurus disebabkan tumpahan bahan kimia ini dan juga debu bahan kimia ini berterbangan. Ini melibatkan masalah keselamatan pekerja. 'Hydrated lime' juga kerap menyumbat paip keluar dari tangki simpanannya dan ini memerlukan kerja-kerja senggaraan untuk membersihkan sumbat. Kajian ini adalah penting kerana dengan mengganti bahan kimia 'hydrated lime' dengan bahan kimia lain yang tidak mendatangkan masalah-masalah ini akan melancarkan operasi loji. Dalam kajian awal ini penggunaan bahan kimia lain untuk membetulkan nilai pH diuji menggunakan kaedah 'jar tests'. Bahan kimia lain yang diuji adalah 'soda ash', natrium hidroksida dan kalium hidroksida. Kriteria untuk menuntukan prestasi bahan kimia lain adalah 'floc size' dan 'settling time' diantara pH 9.0 hingga 9.5. Ketiga-tiga bahan kimia ini berfungsi dengan baik pada pH 9.0. 'Settling time' untuk 'soda ash' meningkat kepada 12 minit pada pH 9.5 dan didapati tidak sesuai. Natrium hidroksida dan kalium hidroksida didapati berfungsi dengan baik pada pH 9.5 dengan 'settling time' selama empat minit. Berat 'sludge' yang dijana juga dibandingkan dan didapati lebih sikit untuk natrium hidroksida lebih jika dibanding dengan 'hydrated lime'. Harga untuk kalium hidroksida didapati rendah tetapi ia akan melibatkan tenaga pekerja untuk masukkan kedalam tangki. Harga natrium hidroksida adalah kedua rendah dan boleh dimasukkan dengan menggunakan pam yang sedia ada. Natrium hidroksida sedia ada dalam loji rawatan air buangan dan

digunakan untuk betulkan pH. Adalah disimpulkan bahawa bahan kimia lain yang sesuai untuk loji rawatan air buangan ini adalah natrium hidroksida.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My thanks goes out to Dr. Ghufan Redzwan for his kind help and guidance in finishing this study. I also like express my gratitude to Professor Dr. P. Agamuthu for his guidance and encouragement.

Many thanks to the management of Tenaga Nasional Berhad for supporting me to embark on my masters programme. I also like to thank the management of TNB Janamanjung Sdn. Bhd. for allowing me to carry out this study and use the facilities in the station. My special thanks to Mr. Mohd Suhaimi, Mr. Mohd Zaki and Mr. Mohd Nasarudin for the assistance they have provided in the study.

I like to dedicate this work to my loving parents, wife Telagawate and daughters Karthini and Shantini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page	i
Original Literary Work Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstrak	vi
Acknowledgement	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xii

CHAPTERS

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Factors That Determined Selection of Coal Fired Power Station	2
1.2 Main Wastewater Treatment Plant	4
1.3 Problem Statement	10
1.4 Aim and Objective of the Study	12
1.5 Significance of Study	12
1.6 Limitation of Study	13
2 Literature Review	14
2.1 Wastewater Characteristic from Coal Fired Power Plant	14
2.2 Wastewater Treatment For Coal Fired Power Plant	17
2.2.1 Chemical Processes for Wastewater Treatment	17
2.2.2 Chemicals Used in Precipitation	18
2.2.3 Other Useful Applications of Lime	19
2.2.4 Proprietary Chemicals Used in Chemical Precipitation	20

2.3	Coagulation	21
2.3.1	Effects of Polymer on settling rate of sludge	22
2.4	Other Heavy Metal Removal Techniques	24
2.4.1	Heavy Metal Removal using Floatation of Ions	24
2.4.2	Heavy metal removal by Absorption Method	25
2.5	Heavy Metal Removal Process	29
2.5.1	Chromium Removal Process	29
2.5.2	Copper Removal Process	32
2.5.3	Manganese Removal Process	33
2.5.4	Zinc Removal Process	34
2.6	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) and Nutrient Removal	35
2.7	System for Chemical Management in Wastewater Treatment Plants	36
2.8	Design and Configuration of Sultan Azlan Shah Power Station	38
3	Methodology	43
3.1	Experimental Design	43
3.2	Wastewater Sample	45
3.3	Chemical Analysis	45
3.3.1	pH	46
3.3.2	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	46
3.3.3	Suspended Solids	47
3.3.4	Chromium Hexavalent	48
3.3.5	Copper	48
3.3.6	Manganese	48
3.3.7	Zinc	49

3.3.8	Boron	49
3.3.9	Iron	50
3.3.10	Oil and Grease	50
3.3.11	Turbidity	50
3.3.12	Floc Size	51
3.3.13	Weight of Sludge Generated	51
4	Results	52
4.1	Wastewater Characteristic	52
4.2	Tests Results Using Hydrated Lime for pH Adjustment	53
4.3	Tests Results Using Soda Ash for pH Adjustment	55
4.4	Tests Results Using Caustic Soda for pH Adjustment	58
4.5	Tests Results Using Potassium Hydroxide for pH Adjustment	60
4.6	Weight of Sludge Generated	62
5	Discussion	64
5.1	Untreated Wastewater	64
5.2	Findings on Use of Alternative Chemicals for pH adjustment	65
5.3	Floc Size and Settling Time	67
5.4	Analysis of Supernatant Solution	68
5.5	Weight of Sludge Generated	70
5.6	Quantity and Cost of Chemical Used for Treatment Process	71
6	Conclusion	73
7	Recommendation for Future Works	75
	Reference	78
	APPENDIX 1	88
	APPENDIX 2	92

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 - View of the Primary Wastewater Holding Pond	5
Figure 1.2 – Diagram showing process flow of Main Wastewater Treatment Plant	6
Figure 1.3 – View of Two Clarifiers	9
Figure 1.4 – View of bag unloaders for Hydrated Lime, Ferrous Sulphate and Sodium Sulphite	11
Figure 2.1 - Pattern of supernatant viscosity and turbidity with the polymer dosage (SS=4.595 mg/l). Samples were withdrawn at 30 min settling.	22
Figure 2.2 - Effect of supernatant viscosity to the settling behaviour (predosed at 20.0 mg/l with polymer, using 100 ml measuring cylinder, SS=4.595 mg/l).	23
Figure 2.3 - (a) Effect of amount of adsorbent on the sorption of copper and zinc by SBP (b) Effect of amount of adsorbent on the sorption of copper and zinc by FA	27
Figure 2.4 - Diagram showing man-made island and various parcels of Sultan Azlan Shah Power Station	38
Figure 2.5 – Electricity Generation Process Flow	42
Figure 2.6 – Side Elevation of Electricity Generation Plant	42
Figure 4.1 – Graph showing floc size when Hydrated Lime is used for pH adjustment.	54
Figure 4.2 – Graph showing settling time when Hydrated Lime is used for pH adjustment.	54
Figure 4.3 – Graph showing floc size when Soda Ash is used for pH	56

adjustment.	
Figure 4.4 – Graph showing settling time when Soda Ash is used for pH adjustment.	57
Figure 4.5 – Graph showing floc size when Caustic Soda is used for pH adjustment.	59
Figure 4.6 – Graph showing settling time when Caustic Soda is used for pH adjustment.	59
Figure 4.7 – Graph showing floc size when Potassium Hydroxide is used for pH adjustment.	61
Figure 4.8 – Graph showing settling time when Potassium Hydroxide is used for pH adjustment.	62
Figure 4.9 – Graph showing weight of sludge generated using different chemicals at various pH	63

List of Tables

Table 2.1 - Chemical composition for dry water soluble residue of Pernik subbituminous coal.(Vassilev <i>et al</i> , 2001)	15
Table 2.2 - Chemical composition for water-extractable portions of low grade coal (Vassilev <i>et al</i> , 2001)	16
Table 2.3 - Design Emission Limits	40
Table 4.1 - Results of untreated water	52
Table 4.2 – Treatment Results Using Hydrated Lime for pH Adjustment	53
Table 4.3 – Analysis of Supernatant Solution after Treatment Using Hydrated Lime for pH Adjustment	55
Table 4.4 – Treatment Results Using Soda Ash for pH Adjustment	56
Table 4.5 – Analysis of Supernatant Solution after Treatment Using Soda Ash for pH Adjustment	57
Table 4.6 – Treatment Results Using Caustic Soda for pH Adjustment	58
Table 4.7 – Analysis of Supernatant Solution after Treatment Using Caustic Soda for pH Adjustment	60
Table 4.8 – Treatment Results Using Potassium Hydroxide for pH Adjustment	61
Table 4.9 – Analysis of Supernatant Solution after Treatment Using Potassium Hydroxide for pH Adjustment	62
Table 5.1 - Characteristics of untreated wastewater at Sultan Azlan Shah Power Station for year 2007	64

Table 5.2 - Analysis of Treated Water Using Caustic Soda for pH Adjustment at Main Wastewater Treatment Plant	69
Table 5.3 - Quantity of chemical used to raise pH from 3.0 to 9.0	72
Table 5.4 – Estimate cost of chemicals to treat 1000 m ³ of wastewater	72