# EXPLORING THE EFFICACY OF INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION DIFFUSION IN MALAYSIA: A CASE STUDY APPROACH

### FRANCES MARY PENAFORT

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ACCOUNTANCY UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA, K.L.

2011

#### **ABSTRACT**

In spite of advancements in integrated pest management technology and innovation in the 1990's, there was a growing interest in the international scene that rice farmers' pest management decision making had changed little over the years. This alarming discovery was made in comparison to the 1970's era, where agrochemical organisations played a vital role in the development of integrated pest management practices and farmers were encouraged to use pesticides as necessary inputs. Today most rice farmers strongly relied on these pesticides as they perceived that pesticides were necessary for high production, contrary to the advancements made in pest management.

The objective of this study was to conduct an exploratory research to identify the conglomerate factors that could facilitate the successful diffusion of the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) technology and innovation in Malaysia. Various commonly used exploratory research techniques were employed in conducting this study, viz., experience survey, pilot study, secondary data analysis, case-study and focus-group interviews.

The underpinning theory on diffusion of technology and innovation as created by Rogers (1995) was used as the framework on which this research was based. His theory focused on five key stages that traced the behavioural patterns of potential adopters until they made a decision to either adopt the innovation or reject it.

The next process led to the identification of the first target respondents group of this study. These were the agricultural extension officers. They were specifically chosen as they were the key influencers of the end-users of the IPM technology and innovation in

Malaysia, viz., the rice farmers. The second targeted group for this exploratory research were the Heads of the Extension Departments of these agricultural extension officers. The reason being they played a powerful role in ensuring the success of the diffusion of IPM technology and innovation. These agricultural extension officers and Heads of the Extension Departments were from the two largest rice granaries in Malaysia (MADA and KADA).

An analysis of the literature was conducted to identify the factors that could be classified as drivers or motivators for the successful diffusion of IPM technology and innovation. The literature review revealed six key factors that were deemed to be motivators or drivers. These comprised; the role of top management, the system of communication, the prevalent structure and culture, the status of the social system, the role of the intermediaries and also the innovation's attributes. The existence of these six key drivers or motivators was deemed to have a positive correlation to the level of knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) of the agricultural extension officers, who were the front-liners to the end-users (rice farmers).

A strong KAP level among these agricultural extension officers would indicate that they were able to influence the rice farmers to adopt the new IPM technology and innovation. Some of the performance indicators that could validate their influence on enhancing the level of efficacy were identified by the respondents of this study during the interviews. These included; major pest attacks, yield per granary, usage levels of insecticides, herbicides and rodenticides.

The exploratory research was conducted over two periods of time, in 2001 when the initial interviews were conducted and also in 2007 when the subsequent interviews were done. The key objective was to assess the change (if any) to the key drivers or motivators in the two granaries, and benchmark the latter results to the results secured in 2001.

The findings from this research revealed that there were six key drivers or motivators prevalent in MADA in 2001. This result was validated through the interviews with the MADA agricultural extension officers, as well as through a set of suggested performance indicators. Using similar exploratory research methodology, it was discovered that most of these key drivers were absent in KADA in 2001, hence they were classified as 'inhibitors' to the efficacy of IPM technology and innovation diffusion. Subsequently, in 2007, vast improvements were witnessed in KADA, as most of the 'inhibitors' had become 'drivers', whereas, the drivers were further fortified, in the case of MADA.

The originality of this research was to lead to the development of a systematic, prescriptive model of IPM technology and innovation adoption and diffusion, which would augment the prevailing literature and guide the process of adoption and diffusion, leading to a higher level of efficacy and success. A set of recommendations were proposed to enhance the attitude of the agricultural extension officers so as to improve the efficacy of the IPM technology and innovation diffusion process. Future research areas were also identified in this research study.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This doctoral thesis was a long adventure and required the assistance and support of a good number of people. Firstly, I would like to thank Dr. Aida Idris, my thesis supervisor, for her guidance throughout this undertaking. I would like to extend my thanks to the initiators of this project, the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), notably to Dr. K.L. Heong, who had gone out of his way to provide insightful comments and suggestions on the content of my interview questions.

Appreciation and thanks is extended to the officials involved in IPM activities at the different levels, viz., international, regional and national. Those involved included – the International IPM Steering Committee, the IRRI representatives from The Philippines and from Malaysia – the Ministry of Agriculture officials as well as the officials from the Department of Agriculture, notably, Puan Asna Booty (DOA), Mr. Ho Nai Kin (MADA) as well as officials from KADA.

I would also like to acknowledge the support from my previous employer, Faculty of Accountancy, UiTM, Shah Alam. Finally, I would like to acknowledge gratitude to my family, for their continuous support and assistance. My appreciation also goes to my son, Kenneth, whose patience and tolerance were critical for the completion of this thesis.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

44				Page
Abstract Acknowledgements				ii
Table of Contents				v vi
List of Figures				X
List of Tables				xii
Chapter 1:	Intro	duction		1
•	1.1 Introduction			
	1.2	The Pr	roblem Statement	5
		1.2.1	The Role of the Agrochemical Organisations	8
		1.2.2	Issue of Operationalising the	8
		1.2.3	$\mathcal{E}$	9
		1.2.4	Crop Farmers Research Laboratory Focused	10
		1.2.5	Skills in Computing Economic Threshold Levels	10
		1.2.6	Crop Farmers Socio-Economic Issues	11
		1.2.7	Ambit of IPM Research	13
		1.2.8	Lack of Appropriate Infrastructure	14
		1.2.9	Crop Farmers' Lack of Good Agronomic Practices	2 14
	1.3	Resear	rch Objectives	15
	1.4	Signif	icance of the Study	19
	1.5	Scope	of the Study	21
	1.6	Organi	sation of Chapters	22
Chapter 2:	Literature Review			25
	2.1 2.2	Introd Genera	uction al Diffusion Theory	25 29
	2.3		s' Diffusion Model	30
	2.4		al Success Factors of the Diffusion V Technology	39
		2.4.1	Top Management Role	40

		2.4.2 Structure and Culture	45
		2.4.3 Social System	50
		2.4.4 Communication System	55
		2.4.5 Intermediaries' Role	61
		2.4.6 Innovation Attributes	66
	2.5	Variables Influenced by the 6 Key Factors	70
	2.6	Performance Indicators of Successful	74
	2.7	Innovation Diffusion The Exploratory Framework	75
	2.8	Chapter Summary	76
Chapter 3:	Rese	earch Methodology	77
	3.1	3.1 Introduction	
	3.2	Research Design	
	3.3	Research Flow Process	
		3.3.1 Research Flow Process: 2001	91
		3.3.2 Research Flow Process: 2007	100
	3.4	Research Questions	103
	3.5 3.6		106 113
		3.6.1 Unit of Analysis	114
		3.6.2 Sampling	114
	3.7	7 Data Analysis	116
Chapter 4:	Analysis and Findings 4.1 Introduction		
	4.2		119 119
		4.2.1 MADA	122
		4.2.2 KADA 4.2.3 Minutes Review	123
		4.2.4 Unit of Analysis	124 126

	4.3	The Findings	127			
		4.3.1 Part I:	128			
		Six Key Variables 4.3.2 Part II:	185			
		Demographic Details of the Resp				
		100 5 77				
		4.3.3 Part III:	186			
		Influencing Variables: Knowledge Part III:	100			
		Influencing Variables: Attitude Part III:	199			
		Influencing Variables: Practice	201			
	4.4	IPM Performance Indicators	202			
Chapter 5:	Conc	elusions	226			
оттрист ст	00210					
	5.1	Introduction	226			
	5.2	General Conclusions	226			
	5.3 5.4	Theoretical Implications  Practical Implications	231 234			
	5. <del>4</del> 5.5	Practical Implications Recommendations	234			
	5.6	Limitations of the Study	240			
	5.7 F	uture Research	243			
References			248			
Appendix	Арре	endix I : Questionnaire				
	Appendix II: Major Events					
Appendix II: KADA Annual Reports						
	Appendix IV: MADA Annual Reports					
	Appe	endix V: General Information				

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figuro	2.1	Pagara' Five Stages of Innovation	Page 31
Figure	2.1	Rogers' Five Stages of Innovation	31
		Decision Process (2003)	
	2.2	Rogers' Model for the Adoption and Diffusion Innovation	32
	2.3	The IPM Social System in MADA and KADA	55
	2.4	Agricultural Information Management System in Nigeria	58
	2.5	Exploratory Framework	76
	3.1	Research Flow Diagram: 2001	87
	3.2	Research Flow Diagram: 2007	101
	4.1	Ministry of Agriculture Organisation Chart	120
	4.2	Map of the RICE GRANARIES in Malaysia	121
	4.3	Map of MADA	123
	4.4	Map of KADA	124
	4.5	The IPM Social System in MADA	133
	4.6	The IPM Social System in KADA	136
	4.7	Types of Rice Diseases Brochures	139
	4.8	Types of Pests in the Rice Fields of MADA & KADA	140
	4.9	Types of Farm Friends	141
	4.10	Weekly Report of Pest Attacks in MADA	141
	4.11	Types of Insecticides Used in MADA (1980-1996)	142
	4.12	The Distribution Level of Rodenticides in MADA (1981 – 1996)	142
	4.13	Types of Herbicides Used in MADA (1980 – 1995) (in metric tons)	142
	4.14		150
	4.15		157
	4.16	KADA Organisation Chart	159
	4.17	KADA Organisation Chart	160
	4.18	Phase I Social Engineering	162
	4.19	Phase II Estate Development & Infrastructure	163

4.20	Phase III Estate Management	164
4.21	KADA Monthly Report	168
4.22	KADA Yearly Pest Attack Levels: Main Season	169
4.23	KADA Yearly Pest Attack Levels: Second Season	170
4.24	KADA-2006 Activities for the Rice Farmers (Expos, Exhibitions and Farmer Carnivals)	177
4.25	KADA-2006 Activities for Rice Farmers (Educational trips and visit)	178
4.26	KADA-2006 Activities for Rice Farmers (Major Events)	179
4.27	KADA-2006 Activities for Rice Farmers (Major Events)	180
4.28	KADA-2007 Activities for the Rice Farmers (Major Events)	181
4.29	IPM Success: Drivers and Inhibitors	184
4.30	Key Variables that Affect Rice Yields	203
4.31	Precision Farming Study	222
4.32	Precision Farming process	223
5.1	IPM Success - Drivers	232

# LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Comparison of Traditional Hierarchical and Open-Networked Organisations	Page 47
3.1	Research Flow Description: 2001	87
3.2	Research Flow Description: 2007	101
4.1	MADA-2004: Types of Training Programs, Number of Trainings and Number of Participants	172
4.2	MADA-2005: Types of Training Programs, Number of Trainings and Number of Participants	173
4.3	MADA-2006: Types of Training Programs, Number of Trainings and Number of Participants (Officers)	174
4.4	MADA-2006: Types of Training Program, Number of Trainings and Number of Participants	174
4.5	Summary of Demographic Details	185
4.6	Knowledge IPM Farmer Characteristics' And Weightings	192
4.7	Total Scores and Percentages of MADA and KAI	DA193
4.8	Average Scores for MADA and KADA	194
4.9	Knowledge Non-IPM Farmer Characteristics' And Weightings	196
4.10	Total Scores and Percentages of MADA and KAI	OA197
4.11	Average Scores for MADA and KADA	198
4.12	Average Scores for 10 Attitude Constructs	200
4.13	Average Scores for 10 Practice Constructs	201
4.14	Yield per Granary Area	204
4.15	Production of Rice in Granary Areas (1000t)	205
4.16	Main Season of Merdeka Farm KADA	205
4.17	Off Season of Merdeka Farm KADA	205

4.18	Yield for MADA	206
4.19	Major Pest Outbreak in MADA	207
4.20	Estimated Usage of Herbicides in MADA (in metric tons)	209
4.21	Estimated Usage of Herbicides in MADA (in metric tons)	210
4.22	Distribution of Rodenticides in MADA	211
4.23	KADA- Acreage of Rice Cultivation (2001-2005)	212
4.24	KADA-Total Yield (2001-2005)	212
4.25	KADA-Total Yield (2005-2007)	213
4.26	KADA-Acreage of Rice Cultivation (2003-2007)	213
4.27	KADA- Total Yield (Main-Season & Off-Season) (2005-2007)	214
4.28	KADA-Total Yield (Main-Season & Off-Season) (2002-2006)	214
4.29	KADA-Total Yield (Main Season & Off Season) (2006-2008)	215
4.30	KADA-Yield per Hectare-Merdeka Farm (Main-Season)	217
4.31	KADA-Yield per Hectare-Merdeka Farm (Off -Season)	218
4.32	MADA-Average Yield per Hectare	219
4.33	MADA-Average Yield for the Main Season	219

4.34	MADA - Average Yield per Hectare for the Main Season (2000-2007) and Off Season (2001-2007)	220
5.1	New Approach to Scientific Research & Acquisition of Knowledge	245