

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL ON THE CELLULASE
ENZYMES PRODUCTION AS A FUNCTION OF
VISCOSITY**

FAHIMEH ABDEYAZDAN

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER
OF SCIENCE**

**INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2011

This thesis is dedicated to my parents

Mostafa and Narjes

Declaration

Name of Candidate: FAHIMEH ABDEYAZDAN (I.C/Passport No: L13473857)

Registration/Matric No: SGR080115 Name of Degree: MASTER OF SCIENCE

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis (“this Work”):

MATHEMATICAL MODEL ON THE CELLULASE ENZYME PRODUCTION AS A
FUNCTION OF VISCOSITY

Field of Study: Biotechnology

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

I am the sole author/writer of this Work;

- (1) This Work is original;
- (2) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (3) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (4) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya (“UM”), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (5) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate’s Signature

Date

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness’s Signature

Date

Name:

Designation:

Abstract

As cellulosic material is the most abundant and renewable source of organic matter, ethanol can be produced cheaply from cellulosic waste. The cellulose must be hydrolyzed to glucose before it can be fermented to ethanol. The enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose using cellulase enzymes is considered an environmental- friendly and less energy intensive alternative.

White-rot fungi belong to the wood-destroying *basidiomycetes* and are well known as the only micro-organisms responsible for the enzyme hydrolysis of all major wood polymers, including lignin, cellulose and hemicelluloses.

On the other hand, the production cost of cellulase enzymes is a limitation in ethanol fermentation. Therefore, the goal is to produce the enzymes economically by means of high-yield cellulase fermentation.

Different type of bacteria and fungi can be used to achieve to this goal. In the present study, the ability of *Pycnoporus sanguineus*, a white rot fungus to produce cellulase was investigated since there are no reports of its cellulase production available. Different types of basal media were tested and enzyme activity was measured in the presence of cellulose powder, carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), Tween 80 and yeast extract. The cost of each medium is an important consideration. The highest amount of reducing sugar production was observed when CMC and Tween 80 were

used as the components of the medium. This formulation is the most cost effective among the medium formulation.

The study also presents the development of a mathematical model for cellulase production in submerged fermentation as a function of medium's viscosity. To achieve this, the fungus was grown in two different media on agar plates. Then, the inoculation was carried out from these plates to a medium formulation in the shake flasks using variable concentration of CMC for determination of CMCase activity. Enzyme activity and viscosities of media were measured simultaneously. Mathematical function was explored for each media and then a unique model was reported for each media.

Abstrak

Memandangkan sebatian selulos merupakan bahan organik yang banyak dan sumber yang boleh diperbaharui secara semula jadi, etanol dapat dihasilkan dengan kos yang rendah daripada sisa-sisa selulos. Selulos harus di hidrolisiskan kepada glukos sebelum proses penapaian etanol dapat dilakukan. Pencernaan (hidrolisis) selulose dengan menggunakan enzim selulase merupakan satu kaedah yang tidak mencemarkan alam sekitar dan tidak membebankan.

Sebaliknya, kulat putih reput kepunyaan basidiomycetes memusnahkan kayu dan dikenali sebagai mikroorganisma sahaja bertanggungjawab untuk pemineralan semua polimer kayu yang utama, termasuk lignin, selulosa dan hemicelluloses.

Penggunaan enzim selulose dalam proses penapaian etanol memerlukan kos penghasilan yang tinggi. Oleh itu, tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan enzim ini dalam kuantiti yang banyak tanpa mengeluarkan kos yang tinggi.

Berbagai jenis bacteria dan kulat boleh digunakan untuk mencapai matlamat ini. Dalam kajian ini, kemampuan *Pycnoporus sanguineus* iaitu cendawan pelapuk putih yang dapat menghasilkan selulase telah dikaji dan diselidik kerana tiada laporan kajian mengenai penghasilan enzim ini daripada spesies tersebut. Berbagai jenis media dasar diuji dan aktiviti enzim diukur dengan adanya serbuk selulosa carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), Tween 80 and ekstrak yis.

Kemudian, kos setiap media akan menjadi pelaburan. Jumlah tertinggi mengurangkan pengeluaran gula apabila CMC dan Tween 80 telah digunakan sebagai media. Mujurlah media ini adalah media termurah antara yang lain yang telah disiasat.

Disertasi ini juga menerangkan pembangunan model matematik untuk penghasilan selulase dalam fermentasi terendam sebagai fungsi dari viskositi medium. Untuk mencapai matlamat ini, kulat ditumbuhkan dalam dua media yang berbeza pada plat agar . kemudian, inokulasi dilakukan daripada plat agar ke dalam flask yang mengandungi medium yang telah diformulasikan dimana kepekatan CMC telah dimanipulasikan untuk penentuan aktiviti CMCase. Aktiviti enzim dan viskositi media diukur serentak. Fungsi matematik yang menyeluruh telah dijalankan dan model yang unik telah dipersembahkan untuk setiap media.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to my helpful supervisor, Assoc. Prof. M Suffian M Annuar, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject. The supervision and support that he gave truly help not only my thesis even my life.

I'm heartily thankful to Dr. Ahmad Neyamadpour, for his help during accomplish my project and his guide to understanding of mathematical modelling aspect of thesis step by step. Also, Great deals appreciated go to Dr. Ahmad Faris Mohd Adnan, my co- supervisor for his advices.

To Professors Amru Nasrulhaq and Rosli Hashim, heads of Institute of Biological Science, I am grateful for the trust deposited in my work and for the motivation demonstrated.

I offer my regards and blessings to my knowledgeable friend Masoud Razavian and my lab mate Muhamad Alimin; they supported me in any respect during the completion of the project.

Lastly, I would also to express my appreciation to my liberal parents and my brother and sister, Mohammad Ali and Farideh; their love gave me forces to make this work.

Fahimeh Abdeyazdan

December, 2011

Table of contents

MATHEMATICAL MODEL ON THE CELLULASE ENZYMES PRODUCTION AS A FUNCTION OF VISCOSITY.....	I
Declaration.....	III
Abstract.....	IV
Abstrak.....	VI
Acknowledgements.....	VIII
Table of contents	IX
List of Tables	XIII
List of Figures.....	XIX
Publication	XXII
Abbreviations	XXIII
Chapter 1	1
Introduction.....	1
1.1. Background and scope of research.....	1
1.1. Objectives of thesis	2
1.2. Outline of the thesis	3

Chapter 2	4
Literature review	4
2.1. Biological part	4
2.1.1. White-rot Fungi	4
2.1.2. Cellulose structure	10
2.1.3. Cellulase enzyme system	13
2.1.4. Endogluconases activity using viscometric method	21
2.2. Mathematical modeling	23
2.2.1. General Regression Theory	24
2.2.2. Derivatives and Nonlinear Regression	29
2.2.3. Understanding and Interpreting Regression Results	30
Residuals	30
Sum of Residuals	31
Average Residual	31
Residual Sum of Squares	32
Error Variance	33
Standard Error of the Estimate	33
R^2 , or Coefficient of Multiple Determination	33
2.2.4. Regression Variable (parameter) Results	34

2.2.5. Confidence Intervals	35
2.2.6. Variance Inflation Factors (VIF).....	36
2.2.7. Determining the goodness of fit.....	37
Chapter 3	41
Materials and Methods.....	41
3.1. Microorganism	41
3.2. Media preparation	41
3.3. Inoculation and incubation.....	43
3.4. Enzyme production	43
3.4.1. Glucose standard calibration.....	45
3.4.2. CMC _{Case} activity using spectrometer	47
3.4.3. FPase activity using spectrometer.....	48
3.5. Enzyme activity using commercial cellulase from <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	48
3.6. Viscometric method for cellulase assay.....	49
3.6.1. Media composition.....	51
3.6.2. CMC _{Case} activity measurement	52
3.6.3. Viscosity measurement	52
3.6.4. Vibro viscometer system.....	53
Chapter 4	56

Results and discussion	56
4.1. Fungal cellulase production in modified media	56
4.2. Commercial enzyme production	66
4.3. Comparison of costs of selected media	67
4.4. Mathematical modelling part	68
Chapter 5	83
Conclusions	83
References	86
Appendix A	99

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Distribution of cellulase in fungi.	7
Table 3.1. Composition of potato dextrose broth.....	41
Table 3.2. Basal medium composition.....	43
Table 3.3. Media compositions for fungal cellulase production in shake flasks.	44
Table 3.4. Composition of GYMP Agar.	51
Table 4.1. The viscosity and enzyme activities when inocula were prepared in GYMP (pH= 5.5 at 25°C).....	69
Table 4.2. The viscosity and enzyme activities when inocula were prepared in PDA media (pH= 5.5 at 25°C).....	70
Table 4.3. Summary of regression results for the best fit modelling study for media with GYMP inocula.....	72
Table 4.4. 99% Confidence Intervals, GYMP inocula, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	74
Table 4.5. 99% Confidence Intervals, GYMP inocula, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	74
Table 4.6. 99% Confidence Intervals, GYMP inocula, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	75
Table 4.7. 99% Confidence Intervals, GYMP inocula, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	75
Table 4.8. 99% Confidence Intervals, GYMP inocula, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	76

Table 4.9. Summary of regression results for the best fit modelling study for media with PDA inocula.	77
Table 4.10. 99% Confidence Intervals, PDA inocula, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration...	79
Table 4.11. 99% Confidence Intervals, PDA inocula, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	79
Table 4.12. 99% Confidence Intervals, PDA inocula, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	80
Table 4.13. 99% Confidence Intervals, PDA inocula, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	80
Table 4.14. 99% Confidence Intervals, PDA inocula, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	81
Table A.1. Regression Variable Results, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	99
Table A.2. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	99
Table A.3. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	100
Table A.4. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	100
Table A.5. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	100
Table A.6. Variance Analysis, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	101
Table A.7. Regression Variable Results, media= GYMP, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	101
Table A.8. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	101
Table A.9. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	102

Table A. 10. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	102
Table A. 11. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	103
Table A.12. Variance Analysis, media= GYMP, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	103
Table A.13. Regression Variable Results, media= GYMP, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	103
Table A.14. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	104
Table A.15. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	104
Table A.16. 95 % Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	104
Table A.17. 99 % Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	105
Table A.18. Variance Analysis, media= GYMP, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	105
Table A.19. Regression Variable Results, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	105
Table A.20. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	106
Table A.21. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	106
Table A. 22. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	107

Table A. 23. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	107
Table A.24. Variance Analysis, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	107
Table A. 25. Regression Variable Results, media= GYMP, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	108
Table A.26. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	108
Table A.27. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	108
Table A. 28. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	109
Table A.29. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= GYMP, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	109
Table A.30. Variance Analysis, media= GYMP, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	110
Table A.31. Regression Variable Results, media= PDA, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	110
Table A. 32. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	110
Table A. 33. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	111
Table A. 34. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	111
Table A.35. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	111

Table A.36. Variance Analysis, media= PDA, 5 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	112
Table A.37. Regression Variable Results, media= PDA, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	112
Table A.38. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	112
Table A.39. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	113
Table A.40. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	113
Table A.41. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	113
Table A.42. Variance Analysis, media= PDA, 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	114
Table A.43. Regression Variable Results, media= PDA, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	114
Table A.44. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	114
Table A.45. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	115
Table A.46. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	115
Table A. 47. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	116
Table A.48. Variance Analysis, media= PDA, 15 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	116

Table A.49. Regression Variable Results, media= PDA, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	116
Table A.50. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	116
Table A.51. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	117
Table A.52. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	117
Table A.53. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	118
Table A.54. Variance Analysis, media= PDA, 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	118
Table A.55. Regression Variable Results, media= PDA, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	118
Table A.56. 68% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	119
Table A.57. 90% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	119
Table A.58. 95% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	119
Table A.59. 99% Confidence Intervals, media= PDA, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	120
Table A.60. Variance Analysis, media= PDA, 25 g L ⁻¹ CMC concentration.	120

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. Diagram of the structural formula for the β -1,4-glucan polymer chain (cellulose). The repeating unit, cellobiose is indicated in brackets (Brown <i>et al.</i> , 1996).....	11
Figure 2.2. A simplified model to illustrate the cross-linking of cellulose microfibrils and hemicellulose in the lignocellulosic biomass.	12
Figure 2.3. Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose.....	13
Figure 2.4. Typical cellulase structure. (a): Exoglucanase, (b): Endoglucanase.	15
Figure 2.5. Hypothesis for the role of oligomers during microbially and enzymatically mediated cellulose hydrolysis.....	16
Figure 2.6. Schematic representation of the hydrolysis of amorphous and microcrystalline cellulose by non-complexed (a) and complexed (b) cellulase systems. not shown to scale.....	17
Figure 3.1. Schematic diagram for enzyme activity measurement.....	43
Figure 3.2. Glucose standard calibration.....	46
Figure 3.3. Spectrophotometric system (V-630 Jusco).....	47
Figure 3.4. Schematic diagram for mathematical modeling methodology.....	51
Figure 3.5. Glass fibre for sample filtration.....	53
Figure 3.6. Vibro viscometer system.	53
Figure 3.7. Vibro viscometer (detection system).....	54
Figure 4.1. Reducing sugars production and enzyme activities from CMCase and FPase when 20 g L ⁻¹ cellulose powder was used as the major source of carbon.	57

Figure 4.2. Reducing sugars production and enzyme activities from CMCase and FPase when 20 g L ⁻¹ cellulose was as the major source of carbon and Tween 80 was included in the basal media.....	58
Figure 4.3. Reducing sugars production and enzyme activities from CMCase and FPase when 10 g L ⁻¹ cellulose was as the major source of carbon and 10 g L ⁻¹ yeast extract supplementation.	59
Figure 4.4. Reducing sugar production and enzyme activities from CMCase and FPase when 10 g L ⁻¹ cellulose , 10 g L ⁻¹ yeast extract and Tween 80 was included in the medium.	59
Figure 4.5. The reducing sugars production in different media composition with cellulose as a major carbon source. T: Tween 80, Y: yeast extract.	60
Figure 4.6. Reducing sugars production and enzyme activities from CMCase and FPase when 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC was the major source of carbon in the media.	61
Figure 4.7. Reducing sugars production and enzyme activities from CMCase and Fpase when 20 g L ⁻¹ CMC was the major source of carbon and Tween 80 was included in the basal media.	62
Figure 4.8. Reducing sugar production and enzyme activities from CMCase and FPase when 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC , 10 g L ⁻¹ yeast extract was included in the medium.....	63
Figure 4.9. Reducing sugars production and enzyme activities from CMCase and Fpase when 10 g L ⁻¹ CMC , 10 g L ⁻¹ yeast extract and Tween 80 were included in the medium.	64

Figure 4.10. The reducing sugars production in different media composition in the presence of CMC as a major carbon source.....	65
Figure 4.11. Reducing sugars production using commercial cellulase.....	67
Figure 4.12. Costs in Malaysian Ringgit to produce 1 L of production media and reducing sugars production after 144 hours.....	68
Figure 4.13. Agreement between the proposed model outputs and experimental data (GYMP inocula, a) 5 g L⁻¹ , b) 10 g L⁻¹ , c) 15 g L⁻¹ , d) 20 g L⁻¹ , d) 25 gL⁻¹ CMC concentration).....	73
Figure 4.14. Agreement between the proposed model outputs and experimental data PDA inocula, a) 5 g L⁻¹ , b) 10 g L⁻¹ , c) 15 g L⁻¹ , d) 20 g L⁻¹ , d) 25 g L⁻¹ CMC concentration.....	78
Figure 5.1. Enzyme assay using viscometric and spectrophotometric methods in (a) GYMP media and (b) PDA media.....	85

Publication

The following paper has been published from this thesis:

Conference:

Media strategies to increase cellulase production from *Pycnoporus sanguineus*.

15th Biological Graduate Congress, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Abbreviations

AR	Average Residual
Avicelase	Avicel hydrolysis activity
BG	β -glycosidase
CBH	Cellobiohydrolase
CMC	Carboxymethylcellulose
CMCase	Carboxymethyl cellulase
Ea	Enzyme activity
EG	Endoglucanase
Fp	Filter paper
FPase	Filter paper hydrolyse
mol. wt.	molecular weight
Glu	Glucose
R^2	Coefficient of Multiple Determination
R_a^2	Adjusted Coefficient of Multiple Determinations
RSS	Residual Sum of Squares
SEE	Standard Error of the Estimate
SR	Sum of Residuals
T	Time