## APPENDIXES

## Appendix A: Critical Point Drying Procedures - preparation of specimens for scanning electron microscopy

1. Specimens were fixed in Craft III solution.
2. Suction was applied at 25-30 atmospheric pressure for $10-15$ minutes and the specimens were left for at least 48 hours to one week.
3. After 48 hours, specimens were then fixed in alcohol $50 \%$ and prepared to use.
4. Specimens were washed in distilled water, 15 minutes with two changed.
5. After washing, specimens were soaked in glutaraldehyde + Sorensen's phosphate buffer (ratio 1:1) for one hour.
6. The solution was then discarded and changed to Sorensen's phosphate buffer + distilled water (1:1)
7. Discarded the solution and changed to osmium + distilled water (1:3) for 14 hours in a fume hood.
8. The specimens were dehydrated through a graded ethanol series from $10 \%$ to $90 \%$ (15 minutes in each of $10 \%$ steps).
9. The specimens were replaced by $95 \%$ ethanol and soaked for 15 minutes.
10. The specimens were changed with absolute ethanol for twice, 15 minutes each time.
11. Then specimens were soaked in $100 \%$ ethanol $+100 \%$ acetone solutions for 20 minutes, each at the ratio of $3: 1,1: 1$ and $1: 3$ and finally into pure acetone (100\%) for 20 minutes.
12. The specimens were then left for one hour with three changes.
13. The specimens were then critical point dried.
14. The specimens were transferred on to a stub very gentle on a small amount of Electrodag 915 or Silverdag.
15. The specimens were coated with gold using a diode sputter coater.
16. The specimens were ready and can be scanned using a JEOL JSM-6400 scanning electron microscope.

## Appendix B: Preparing the solutions for anatomical and embryological studies

1. Craf III Solution, fixing the specimen

| $1 \%$ Chromic acid | 30 ml |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \%$ Acetic acid | 20 ml |
| $40 \%$ Formaldehyde | 10 ml |
| Distilled water | 40 ml |

2. Safranin "O"

4 grams of the dye was dissolved in 200 ml of 2-methoxyethanol. Then 100 ml of $95 \%$ alcohol and 100 ml of distilled water were added.

4 grams of sodium acetate in 8 ml formalin was added.
3. Fast green "FCF"

| Fast green | 1 g |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clove oil | 30 ml |
| 2-methoxyethanol | 30 ml |
| Absolute alcohol | 30 ml |

4. Carbol-xylol

Xylene 300 ml
Phenol crystals 100 g
5. Xylol-alcohol

Xylene $\quad 100 \mathrm{ml}$
95\% alcohol 100 ml
6. Egg albumen
(a) Egg white was cutted with scissors.
(b) An equal volume of distilled water and glycerine was added, followed by $0.5 \%$ sodium benzoate ( $1 \%$ of total volume).
(c) The mixture was stirred and filtered.
(d) The solution was then stored in a refrigerator.
7. Alcohol solutions
$50 \%$ alcohol $=50 \mathrm{ml} 95 \%$ alcohol +45 ml distilled water
$70 \%$ alcohol $=70 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{95} \mathrm{\%}$ alcohol +25 ml distilled water
$80 \%$ alcohol $=80 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{95} \mathrm{\%}$ alcohol +15 ml distilled water
8. Aerated wax
(a) $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wax was poured into a clean paper box
(b) The wax was stirred until it became hard to introduce air into the wax.

## Preparation of plant materials for microtome sectioning

1. Fixing: The specimen was cut to the required size and then fixed in Craf III solution for at least 48 hours
2. Pumping: Suction was applied at $25-30$ atmospheric pressure for $10-15$ minutes and the specimens were left for at least 48 hours to one week.
3. The specimens were washed in $50 \%$ alcohol for a few minutes.
4. Dehydration: The specimens were dehydrated through a series of Tertiary Butyl alcohol as follows: -

| Step | $95 \%$ ethyl <br> alcohol <br> $(\mathrm{ml})$ | $100 \%$ ethyl <br> alcohol (ml) | Tertiary <br> butyl <br> alcohol <br> (TBA) (ml) | Distilled <br> water <br> $(\mathrm{ml})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 25 |  |  | 25 |
| 2 | 25 |  | 5 | 20 |
| 3 | 25 |  | 10 | 15 |
| 4 | 25 |  | 17.5 | 7.5 |
| 5 | 25 |  | 25 |  |
| 6 |  | 12.5 | 37.5 |  |
| 7 |  |  | 50 |  |
| 8 |  |  | 50 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 50 |  |

Step 9 was consisted of new unused TBA. Step 7 and 8 was utilized the TBA that has been used once or twice.

Materials may be stored for several days at steps 2 or 3. At any other step, it is best not to keep material more than one day.
5. Infiltration:
(a) After leaving the specimens in clean and fresh TBA for 12 hours (In step 9), a few chips of aerated wax $\left(49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were added to the vial and kept at room
temperature $\left(28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ overnight. If the wax has completely dissolved by the next morning, a few more chips of wax were added and left for a few more hours at room temperature.
(b) When no more wax could dissolve at room temperature, the vials were placed in the oven at approximately $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(c) After about two hours, approximately $1 / 4$ of alcohol-wax mixture was poured off and replaced with $1 / 449^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wax mixed with TBA.
(d) After about another two hours, approximately $1 / 2$ of alcohol-wax mixture was poured off and replaced with $1 / 249^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wax mixed with TBA.
(e) After another two hours, all liquid was poured off and replaced with $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wax.
(f) Four hours later, all $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wax was poured off and replaced with fresh and clean $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wax.
(g) After 12 hours, fresh and clean Paraplast Plus Tissue Embedding Medium was changed (Melting point $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
(h) After at least 12 hours, suction at 25-30 atmospheric pressure was applied for 20 minutes at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and this process was repeated 3 times at 4 hourly intervals.
(i) The specimens were ready to be embedded.
6. Embedding
(a) The paraplast plus wax was poured out together with the specimens into a paper boat.
(b) The specimens were arranged properly and the wax block was left to cool in ice water.
7. Sectioning
(a) When the wax block has cooled down, the wax specimens were cut into suitable sizes with proper TS or LS position.
(b) The buds or flowers were sectioned at $6-8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness while fruits at 8 $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ using a rotary microtome in an air-conditioned room.
8. Mounting
(a) A little drop of egg albumin (Appendix 1) was smeared on a clean slide.
(b) A little distilled water was put onto the slide.
(c) A wax ribbon with the specimen was placed on top of the distilled water (the smooth side of the wax ribbon facing downwards).
(d) The slide was warmed on a drying bench to allow the tissue to spread out.
(e) When the tissue was fully stretched, the slide was removed to drain away the excess water.
(f) The slide was dried in the oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 2 days before staining.
9. Staining in Safranin-fast green
(a) The slides were placed in a trough of xylene for 20 minutes to remove the wax.
(b) Then, they were placed in a mixture of xylol-alcohol (1:1 xylene : 95\% ethanol) to further remove all the wax traces.
(c) The slides were then transferred to $95 \%, 80 \%, 70 \%$ and $50 \%$ ethanol for 5 minutes in each solution.
(d) They were then stained in $1 \%$ safranin " O " in $50 \%$ ethanol (Appendix 1) for 12-24 hours.
(e) The excess safranin "O" was washed away in a basin of tap water.
(f) The slides were then passed through $50 \%, 70 \%$ and $95 \%$ ethanol and for 5 minutes in each step.
(g) The slides were stained for 3-4 seconds in fast-green "FCF" (Appendix 1).
(h) The slides were then differentiated in two changes of xylol-alcohol (5 minutes each) followed by carbol-xylol (3:1 phenol crystals: xylene) and for 15 minutes.
(i) The slides were cleared in two changes of xylene ( 30 minutes followed by 1 hour).
(j) The specimens were mounted in Canada balsam (1:1 canada balsam : xylene) and dried in the oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four days.
(k) The slides were ready to be observed under a compound microscope.

## Appendix C: Procedure for pollen acetolysis (Erdtman, 1960)

1. The acetolysing mixture was prepared by adding 9 parts of acetic anhydride and 1 part of concentrated sulphuric acid (9:1).
2. Approximately 5 ml of the acetolysing mixture was poured into a clean tube with pollen grains and the tube was put into a water bath $\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
3. The temperature of the water bath was raised slowly from $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to boiling point.
4. The tubes were left in the water bath for about 5 to 10 minutes and the content was stirred occasionally with the glass rod.
5. The tubes were taken out of the water bath when the colour of the solution had turned dark brown.
6. The solution was filtered with a fine wire-mesh and centrifuged at 500-1000 rpm for 15 minutes.
7. The acetolysing mixture was poured off quickly but gently.
8. 5 ml of washing mixture consisting of 3 parts distilled water and 1 part $95 \%$ ethanol was added. The tube was shaken and then centrifuged.
9. This washing was repeated for 3 times to get rid of the acid from the specimens. Note: Pollen grains which had turned very dark after acetolysis were bleached by adding two or three drops of sodium chlorate, followed by two to three drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid. Chlorine gas evolved would instantly bleach the dark pollen grains. These pollen grains were rinsed three to four times with the washing mixture to get rid of the acid.
10. The washing fluid was poured off and the tubes were inverted on a blotting paper and placed in the oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for approximately 10 to 20 minutes to dry.
11. A small piece of glycerine jelly stained with safranin was cut and dipped into the tube containing the pollen grains.
12. The small piece of glycerine jelly was transferred on the centre of a clean slide.
13. A coverslip was put on the centre of the clean slide and a small piece of wax was put around the periphery of the coverslip.
14. The slide was warmed gently above a spirit lamp to allow the wax and glycerine jelly to melt under the coverslip.
15 . When the jelly was spread out, the pollen grains were sealed in by the wax.

## Appendix D: Preparation of media and solutions for cytotoxic activity

## Basic RPMI 1640 medium, DMEM and MEM media

Medium was prepared by dissolving one bottle of medium powder, 10.4 g , (Sigma) in one litre sterile distilled water. Two grammes of sodium bicarbonate (Merck) and 0.5026 g of N-2-hydroxylethyl-piperazine-N'-2-ethane-sulfonic acid (HEPES, Sigma) were added. The medium was stirred and the pH was adjusted to pH 7.4 . The medium was filter sterilized using $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter membrane (Schleicher \& Schuell) and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to a shelf life of 2 months.

## $10 \%$ supplemented RPMI 1640 medium, DMEM and MEM media

100 ml of $10 \%$ supplemented medium was prepared by mixing 90 ml of basic medium, 10 ml of heat inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS was heated at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , PAA lab), 2 ml of penicillin/streptomycin (PAA lab) and 1 ml of fungizone (PAA lab). The medium was filter sterilized using $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter membrane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to 2 weeks.

## $20 \%$ supplemented RPMI 1640 medium, DMEM and MEM media

50 ml of $20 \%$ supplemented medium was prepared using 45 ml of $10 \%$ supplemented medium and 5 ml of heat inactivated FBS in a beaker. The medium was filter sterilized using 0.22 filter membrane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to 2 weeks. This $20 \%$ supplemented medium was used to revive cells.

## Freezing RPMI 1640 medium, DMEM and MEM media

The freezing medium consisted of $50 \%$ inactivated FBS, $40 \%$ of medium and $10 \%$ of DMSO (Sigma). The solution was mixed well using a 20 ml disposable syringe (Terumo) and filter sterilized using 0.22 filter membrane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly prepared freezing medium was using for cryopreservation of cells.

## Phosphate buffer saline (PBS) pH 7.2

The PBS was prepared using 1.52 g of disodium hydrogen orthophosphate anhydrous $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{BDH}\right), 0.58 \mathrm{~g}$ of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate $\left(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{BDH}\right)$ and 8.5 g of sodium chloride $(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{BDH})$, which were dissolved in 1 litre of sterile distilled water. The solution was stirred and the pH was adjusted to pH 7.2. The solution was then filter into a sterilized bottle using filter paper (Whatman 541). The solution was autoclaved and kept at room temperature.

## 0.4\% Tryphan blue

$0.4 \%$ Tryphan blue was prepared by dissolving 0.2 g of powdered tryphan blue (sigma) in 50 ml sterile distilled water. The solution was kept at room temperature.

## Neutral red cytotoxic activity assay

## Preparation of solutions

## Neutral red stock solution

0.04 g of neutral red (ICN, Biomedicals Inc.) was dissolved in 10 ml of sterile distilled water to provide a final concentration of $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. The stock solution was wrapped with aluminium foil and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Neutral red medium

The neutral red stock solution was further diluted (1:80) in treatment culture medium to give a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ in a centrifuge tube. The solution was wrapped with aluminium foil and centrifuge twice at 1500 rpm for 10 minutes to remove any precipitate of dye crystals.

## Neutral red washing solution

The washing solution consisted of 1 ml of formaldehyde (Sigma) and 1 g of calcium chloride $\left(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\right.$, Sigma), which were dissolved in 100 ml distilled water and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Neutral red resorb solution

The resorb solution was prepared by adding 1 ml of glacial acetic acid (Merck) into a mixture of 50 ml absolute ethanol (Hamburg) and 49 ml distilled water. The solution was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Appendix E: Pollen morphology in heterostylous variety of $\boldsymbol{P}$. chinensis var. chinensis

Pin flower, highland

|  | Length <br> of style <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | thickness <br> of <br> stigma <br> (mm) | width <br> of <br> stigma <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | length of <br> filaments, <br> mm <br> (distinct <br> stamen) | length of <br> filaments, <br> (em <br> (epipetalous <br> stamen) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.41 | 0.125 | 0.242 | 1.68 | 0.99 |
| 2 | 2.38 | 0.146 | 0.21 | 1.62 | 1.04 |
| 3 | 2.23 | 0.119 | 0.256 | 1.56 | 1.03 |
| 4 | 2.39 | 0.141 | 0.245 | 1.61 | 1.01 |
| 5 | 2.36 | 0.144 | 0.234 | 1.59 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 2.45 | 0.118 | 0.223 | 1.58 | 0.98 |
| 7 | 2.17 | 0.129 | 0.223 | 1.64 | 1.04 |
| 8 | 2.29 | 0.142 | 0.219 | 1.60 | 1.02 |
| 9 | 2.36 | 0.133 | 0.212 | 1.57 | 1.02 |
| 10 | 2.58 | 0.147 | 0.246 | 1.57 | 1.03 |
| 11 | 2.67 | 0.152 | 0.267 | 1.64 | 1.01 |
| 12 | 2.57 | 0.145 | 0.225 | 1.58 | 1.05 |
| 13 | 2.28 | 0.154 | 0.269 | 1.57 | 1.02 |
| 14 | 2.29 | 0.138 | 0.263 | 1.56 | 0.97 |
| 15 | 2.48 | 0.142 | 0.223 | 1.62 | 0.99 |
| 16 | 2.49 | 0.141 | 0.244 | 1.61 | 1.00 |
| 17 | 2.4 | 0.15 | 0.225 | 1.61 | 1.05 |
| 18 | 2.63 | 0.135 | 0.23 | 1.63 | 1.10 |
| 19 | 2.33 | 0.133 | 0.232 | 1.59 | 1.12 |
| 20 | 2.67 | 0.156 | 0.226 | 1.63 | 1.09 |
| 21 | 2.43 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 2.37 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 2.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 2.36 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 2.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 2.43 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 2.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 2.32 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 2.42 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EV | 0.122 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 0.032 | 0.040 |
| ean | 2.41 | 0.140 | 0.236 | 1.603 | 1.028 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Length <br> of style <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | thickness <br> of <br> stigma <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | width <br> of <br> stigma <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | length of <br> filaments, <br> mm <br> (distinct <br> stamen) | length of <br> filaments, <br> mm <br> (epipetalous <br> stamen) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.17 | 0.171 | 0.255 | 2.16 | 1.52 |
| 2 | 1.16 | 0.172 | 0.266 | 2.10 | 1.72 |
| 3 | 1.13 | 0.197 | 0.265 | 2.06 | 1.62 |
| 4 | 1.06 | 0.172 | 0.261 | 2.19 | 1.50 |
| 5 | 1.12 | 0.156 | 0.268 | 2.21 | 1.48 |
| 6 | 1.18 | 0.204 | 0.282 | 2.09 | 1.63 |
| 7 | 1.06 | 0.137 | 0.255 | 2.02 | 1.52 |
| 8 | 1.17 | 0.159 | 0.268 | 2.28 | 1.58 |
| 9 | 1.14 | 0.161 | 0.225 | 2.26 | 1.63 |
| 10 | 0.99 | 0.175 | 0.277 | 2.13 | 1.52 |
| 11 | 1.04 | 0.17 | 0.258 | 2.16 | 1.50 |
| 12 | 1.03 | 0.17 | 0.285 | 2.14 | 1.64 |
| 13 | 1.00 | 0.164 | 0.272 | 2.05 | 1.69 |
| 14 | 1.00 | 0.174 | 0.25 | 2.21 | 1.70 |
| 15 | 1.09 | 0.179 | 0.23 | 2.08 | 1.54 |
| 16 | 1.15 | 0.19 | 0.252 | 1.99 | 1.62 |
| 17 | 1.13 | 0.173 | 0.257 | 2.06 | 1.52 |
| 18 | 1.08 | 0.183 | 0.268 | 2.15 | 1.57 |
| 19 | 1.14 | 0.174 | 0.273 | 2.01 | 1.64 |
| 20 | 1.11 | 0.162 | 0.275 | 2.15 | 1.62 |
| 21 | 1.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1.08 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1.08 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 1.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 1.06 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEV | 0.056 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.081 | 0.073 |
| Mean | 1.08 | 0.172 | 0.262 | 2.125 | 1.588 |


|  | Length <br> of style <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | thickness <br> of <br> stigma <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | width <br> of <br> stigma <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | length of <br> filaments, <br> mm <br> (distinct <br> stamen) | length of <br> filaments, <br> mm <br> (epipetalous <br> stamen) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.859 | 0.184 | 0.292 | 2.42 | 2.07 |
| 2 | 0.871 | 0.192 | 0.265 | 2.41 | 1.99 |
| 3 | 0.828 | 0.180 | 0.285 | 2.77 | 2.15 |
| 4 | 0.847 | 0.199 | 0.267 | 2.6 | 2.01 |
| 5 | 0.849 | 0.196 | 0.315 | 2.73 | 2.02 |
| 6 | 0.891 | 0.200 | 0.305 | 2.73 | 2.12 |
| 7 | 0.908 | 0.152 | 0.289 | 2.62 | 1.91 |
| 8 | 0.91 | 0.175 | 0.290 | 2.62 | 2.2 |
| 9 | 0.899 | 0.171 | 0.258 | 2.5 | 2.22 |
| 10 | 0.886 | 0.177 | 0.277 | 2.61 | 2.12 |
| 11 | 0.871 | 0.181 | 0.286 | 2.74 | 2.03 |
| 12 | 0.882 | 0.172 | 0.262 | 2.68 | 1.98 |
| 13 | 0.891 | 0.167 | 0.285 | 2.73 | 2.21 |
| 14 | 0.926 | 0.162 | 0.282 | 2.62 | 2.2 |
| 15 | 0.872 | 0.180 | 0.273 | 2.57 | 2.18 |
| 16 | 0.913 | 0.175 | 0.268 | 2.71 | 2.09 |
| 17 | 0.865 | 0.165 | 0.290 | 2.67 | 2.1 |
| 18 | 0.908 | 0.200 | 0.307 | 2.69 | 2.08 |
| 19 | 0.882 | 0.184 | 0.278 | 2.63 | 2.13 |
| 20 | 0.902 | 0.178 | 0.294 | 2.62 | 2.16 |
| 21 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 0.873 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 0.877 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 0.854 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.881 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 0.862 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 0.909 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 0.902 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 0.904 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.892 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STDEV | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.100 | 0.087 |
| Mean | 0.88 | 0.180 | 0.283 | 2.634 | 2.099 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Pin flower, highland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | polar length | equatorial diameter |
| 1 | 36.083 | 42.764 |
| 2 | 35.420 | 42.201 |
| 3 | 36.374 | 41.272 |
| 4 | 37.063 | 41.949 |
| 5 | 36.987 | 40.683 |
| 6 | 36.077 | 41.783 |
| 7 | 35.400 | 42.390 |
| 8 | 37.818 | 39.329 |
| 9 | 35.664 | 42.143 |
| 10 | 35.826 | 41.060 |
| 11 | 36.520 | 40.674 |
| 12 | 37.400 | 41.407 |
| 13 | 37.833 | 41.798 |
| 14 | 37.374 | 41.534 |
| 15 | 36.148 | 40.794 |
| 16 | 35.717 | 41.274 |
| 17 | 37.278 | 41.035 |
| 18 | 37.932 | 43.997 |
| 19 | 36.935 | 41.477 |
| 20 | 37.672 | 40.869 |
| 21 | 34.268 | 40.123 |
| 22 | 35.754 | 40.044 |
| 23 | 35.056 | 41.050 |
| 24 | 34.876 | 41.779 |
| 25 | 34.232 | 40.505 |
| 26 | 37.297 | 41.344 |
| 27 | 34.292 | 40.563 |
| 28 | 36.907 | 42.127 |
| 29 | 36.557 | 42.644 |
| 30 | 36.160 | 41.944 |
| 31 | 37.148 | 40.591 |
| 32 | 36.068 | 41.960 |
| 33 | 35.440 | 42.126 |
| 34 | 36.999 | 41.869 |
| 35 | 35.090 | 42.102 |
| 36 | 35.732 | 41.081 |
| 37 | 35.421 | 40.559 |
| 38 | 36.023 | 41.604 |
| 39 | 37.212 | 41.416 |
| 40 | 35.297 | 41.892 |
| STDEV | 1.022359 | 0.854936189 |
| Mean | 36.234 | 41.444 |

Thrum flower, highland

| polar <br> length | equatorial diameter | polar <br> length | equatorial diameter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.874 | 47.499 | 45.220 | 49.091 |
| 40.231 | 46.891 | 45.555 | 47.993 |
| 37.752 | 46.546 | 41.002 | 47.117 |
| 42.473 | 46.009 | 43.017 | 48.970 |
| 41.232 | 46.104 | 44.956 | 48.791 |
| 42.517 | 46.131 | 44.753 | 49.473 |
| 41.407 | 48.978 | 42.884 | 47.161 |
| 39.927 | 45.933 | 46.231 | 46.369 |
| 41.461 | 47.798 | 43.655 | 48.070 |
| 41.030 | 45.176 | 44.767 | 49.002 |
| 41.740 | 47.598 | 46.442 | 47.564 |
| 41.867 | 45.312 | 42.181 | 47.110 |
| 41.575 | 47.730 | 44.953 | 49.980 |
| 41.760 | 45.828 | 45.527 | 43.654 |
| 41.029 | 47.599 | 46.001 | 50.646 |
| 42.862 | 46.116 | 47.013 | 45.540 |
| 42.122 | 46.602 | 45.940 | 49.065 |
| 40.110 | 45.234 | 44.736 | 50.004 |
| 41.065 | 45.449 | 44.942 | 50.228 |
| 36.035 | 45.063 | 43.521 | 43.643 |
| 39.006 | 45.834 | 45.701 | 51.752 |
| 41.808 | 46.927 | 45.698 | 47.554 |
| 41.820 | 47.200 | 44.653 | 43.691 |
| 41.897 | 45.383 | 42.420 | 48.009 |
| 41.334 | 45.882 | 47.636 | 48.771 |
| 40.639 | 47.099 | 47.851 | 49.656 |
| 39.790 | 45.975 | 47.691 | 49.799 |
| 41.767 | 45.160 | 49.200 | 47.802 |
| 40.403 | 47.368 | 43.471 | 49.164 |
| 39.217 | 46.435 | 45.223 | 48.140 |
| 40.414 | 45.549 | 45.908 | 51.689 |
| 42.836 | 46.299 | 47.985 | 51.597 |
| 39.709 | 46.431 | 41.140 | 46.312 |
| 42.401 | 46.147 | 42.422 | 47.270 |
| 42.499 | 46.846 | 44.691 | 45.604 |
| 40.162 | 45.688 | 42.918 | 44.404 |
| 39.160 | 44.729 | 42.560 | 48.617 |
| 39.964 | 45.484 | 41.341 | 49.510 |
| 40.526 | 47.826 | 44.575 | 50.197 |
| 40.328 | 46.782 | 44.119 | 48.265 |
| 1.39842712 | 0.952472 | 1.964752 | 2.098153 |
| 40.869 | 46.366 | 44.762 | 48.182 |

## Welch Two Sample t-test (Table 3.8 \& 3.9)

```
length of style (highland pin vs highland thrum)
t = 54.1446, df = 40.867, p-value < 2.2e-16}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0.0001)
confidence interval = 1.275895 1.374772
mean of pin =2.407333, mean of thrum =1.082000
thickness of stigma (highland pin vs highland thrum)
t=-8,df = 34.921, p}\mathrm{ -value =2.089-9}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0.0001}
confidence interval = -0.04093608 -0.02436392
mean of pin =0.13950, mean of thrum =0.17215
width of stigma (highland pin vs highland thrum)
t = -5.0566, df = 37.269, p-value = 1.164ee
confidence interval =-0.3697599 -0.01582401
mean of pin =0.2357, mean of thrum =0.2621
filament - distinct (highland pin vs highland thrum)
t=-26.7669, df = 24.695,p-value <2.2e}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{-16}}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0.0001}
confidence interval =-0.5621897 -0.4818103
mean of pin =1.603, mean of thrum =2.125
filament - epipetalous (highland pin vs highland thrum)
t=-30.2256, df = 29.315, p-value < 2.2e-16 (P<0.0001)
confidence interval = 0.597875 -0.522125
mean of pin =1.028, mean of thrum =1.588
```

number of pollen grains in one anther (highland pin vs highland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=17.1585, \mathrm{df}=29.308, \mathbf{p}$-value $<\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{e r}^{-\mathbf{1 6}}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=46.3146 \quad 58.8433$
mean of pin $=151.947$, mean of thrum $=99.368$
polar length of pollen grain (highland pin vs highland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-16.9222, \mathrm{df}=71.426, \mathbf{p}$-value $<\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{1 6}}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-5.181057-4.088893$
mean of pin $=36.23375$, mean of thrum $=40.86872$
equatorial diameter of pollen grain (highland pin vs highland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-24.3225, \mathrm{df}=77.107, \mathrm{p}$-value $<\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathrm{e}^{-16}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-5.325058-4.519142$
mean of pin $=41.4439$, mean of thrum $=46.3660$
length of style (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=17.7738, \mathrm{df}=38.769$, p-value $<\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{1 6}}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=0.1753448 \quad 0.2203886$
mean of highland thrum $=1.082$, mean of lowland thrum $=0.8841$
thickness of stigma (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-1.6652, \mathrm{df}=37.555, \mathbf{p}$-value $=\mathbf{1 . 0 4 2} \mathrm{e}^{-4}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-0.016289066 \quad 0.001589066$
mean of of highland thrum $=0.17215$, mean of lowland thrum $=0.17950$
width of stigma (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-4.3962, \mathrm{df}=38, \mathrm{p}$-value $=8.574 \mathrm{e}^{-5}(\mathrm{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-0.03110845 \quad-0.01149155$
mean of of highland thrum $=0.2621$, mean of lowland thrum $=0.2834$
filament - distinct (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-17.646, \mathrm{df}=36.455, \mathbf{p}$-value $<\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{1 6}}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-0.5669178 \quad-0.4500822$
mean of of highland thrum $=2.1250$, mean of lowland thrum $=2.6335$
filament - epipetalous (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-20.1143, \mathrm{df}=36.845, \mathbf{p}$-value $<\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{1 6}}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-0.5619321 \quad-0.4590679$
mean of of highland thrum $=1.5880$, mean of lowland thrum $=2.0985$
polar length of pollen grain (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-10.2115, \mathrm{df}=70.445, \mathbf{p}$-value $=1.547 \mathbf{e}^{-15}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-4.654162 \quad-3.133338$
mean of of highland thrum $=40.86872$, mean of lowland thrum $=44.76248$
equatorial diameter of pollen grain (highland thrum vs lowland thrum)
$\mathrm{t}=-4.9841, \mathrm{df}=54.419, \mathbf{p}$-value $=\mathbf{6 . 6 8 5} \mathbf{e}^{-6}(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1})$
confidence interval $=-2.546158 \quad-1.085542$
mean of of highland thrum $=46.36600$, mean of lowland thrum $=48.18185$


Bagging experiment, population H2

| No. | Flowers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 58 | 2 |
| 2 | 51 | 2 |
| 3 | 41 | 7 |
| 4 | 43 | 9 |
| 5 | 63 | 17 |
| 6 | 49 | 9 |
| total | 305 | 46 |

Population H3

| No. | Flowers | fruits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 31 |  |
| 2 | 30 | 5 |
| 3 |  | 64 |
| 4 | 59 | 12 |
| 4 | 48 | 8 |
| 5 | 37 | 3 |
| total | 269 | 45 |

Population H5

| No. | Flowers | fruits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 92 | 20 |
| 2 | 49 | 9 |
| 3 | 40 | 6 |
| 4 | 36 | 4 |
| 5 | 81 | 16 |
| 6 | 79 | 13 |
| 7 | 40 | 5 |
| 8 | 30 | 4 |
| 9 | 102 | 19 |
| 10 | 49 | 10 |
| 11 | 53 | 11 |
| 12 | 42 | 9 |
| 13 | 62 | 16 |
| total | 755 | 142 |

## Appendix G: Cytotoxic activity of the highland and lowland varieties of $\boldsymbol{P}$. chinensis var. chinensis

| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against CaSki cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
|  | $67.06 \pm 1.86$ | $76.52 \pm 0.63$ | $75.00 \pm 3.17$ |
| 75 | $58.06 \pm 2.13$ | $48.43 \pm 0.90$ | $64.48 \pm 2.57$ |
| 50 | $48.71 \pm 0.65$ | $31.68 \pm 1.45$ | $44.71 \pm 1.17$ |
| 25 | $28.25 \pm 2.29$ | $19.44 \pm 0.80$ | $23.89 \pm 0.67$ |
| 10 | $15.16 \pm 2.34$ | $9.21 \pm 0.51$ | $11.78 \pm 0.58$ |
| 1 | $6.09 \pm 2.48$ | $3.15 \pm 0.14$ | $3.92 \pm 0.43$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against CaSki cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $85.91 \pm 0.91$ | $68.44 \pm 0.93$ | $77.42 \pm 1.12$ |
| 75 | $74.52 \pm 0.88$ | $36.94 \pm 0.22$ | $67.08 \pm 1.04$ |
| 50 | $70.75 \pm 1.08$ | $21.55 \pm 1.73$ | $46.35 \pm 0.49$ |
| 25 | $60.16 \pm 2.02$ | $12.15 \pm 0.11$ | $30.04 \pm 2.45$ |
| 10 | $48.72 \pm 2.14$ | $8.22 \pm 0.92$ | $16.84 \pm 2.04$ |
| 1 | $34.96 \pm 1.14$ | $1.71 \pm 1.79$ | $6.18 \pm 1.26$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the highland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against CaSki cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $45.62 \pm 0.93$ | $90.52 \pm 1.42$ | $65.02 \pm 5.12$ |
| 75 | $29.55 \pm 5.34$ | $59.72 \pm 3.54$ | $43.06 \pm 1.52$ |
| 50 | $21.19 \pm 3.80$ | $35.33 \pm 4.31$ | $35.35 \pm 5.08$ |
| 25 | $12.01 \pm 4.40$ | $18.00 \pm 2.51$ | $25.61 \pm 3.10$ |
| 10 | $7.64 \pm 3.99$ | $12.46 \pm 4.24$ | $10.78 \pm 3.56$ |
| 1 | $1.02 \pm 1.21$ | $7.94 \pm 2.17$ | $6.40 \pm 3.48$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the lowland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against CaSki cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $77.51 \pm 2.60$ | $73.94 \pm 2.07$ | $75.53 \pm 1.86$ |
| 75 | $56.01 \pm 2.00$ | $63.60 \pm 2.12$ | $65.43 \pm 1.07$ |
| 50 | $29.68 \pm 0.87$ | $42.56 \pm 3.56$ | $46.12 \pm 3.50$ |
| 25 | $21.65 \pm 3.29$ | $28.89 \pm 2.23$ | $34.68 \pm 3.76$ |
| 10 | $8.24 \pm 5.10$ | $18.18 \pm 1.08$ | $22.10 \pm 3.87$ |
| 1 | $2.38 \pm 0.79$ | $9.65 \pm 1.58$ | $13.00 \pm 1.51$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against CaSki cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $49.08 \pm 2.57$ | $35.77 \pm 2.78$ | $62.91 \pm 5.22$ |
| 75 | $46.29 \pm 3.50$ | $28.17 \pm 4.85$ | $50.23 \pm 4.13$ |
| 50 | $29.31 \pm 2.47$ | $17.10 \pm 1.04$ | $30.90 \pm 3.99$ |
| 25 | $21.70 \pm 1.12$ | $8.68 \pm 2.24$ | $16.84 \pm 4.78$ |
| 10 | $14.25 \pm 3.80$ | $3.57 \pm 2.65$ | $7.93 \pm 4.23$ |
| 1 | $5.44 \pm 1.83$ | $2.02 \pm 1.54$ | $4.21 \pm 1.64$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against CaSki cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $37.59 \pm 3.09$ | $32.09 \pm 0.39$ | $52.20 \pm 4.70$ |
| 75 | $32.66 \pm 0.86$ | $21.86 \pm 2.16$ | $35.14 \pm 0.62$ |
| 50 | $28.12 \pm 1.91$ | $14.71 \pm 3.33$ | $24.20 \pm 3.06$ |
| 25 | $17.05 \pm 1.12$ | $9.66 \pm 2.07$ | $14.25 \pm 1.92$ |
| 10 | $6.38 \pm 0.96$ | $2.21 \pm 1.13$ | $7.86 \pm 1.30$ |
| 1 | $2.09 \pm 0.28$ | $1.05 \pm 0.80$ | $1.26 \pm 0.82$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against SKOV-3 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
|  | $91.43 \pm 0.50$ | $86.81 \pm 1.17$ | $89.85 \pm 0.46$ |
| 75 | $90.55 \pm 0.65$ | $77.47 \pm 1.73$ | $79.53 \pm 0.89$ |
| 50 | $82.89 \pm 1.10$ | $61.48 \pm 4.51$ | $62.12 \pm 0.57$ |
| 25 | $59.82 \pm 1.42$ | $29.97 \pm 1.90$ | $54.16 \pm 1.35$ |
| 10 | $33.57 \pm 1.78$ | $27.39 \pm 1.60$ | $35.21 \pm 1.63$ |
| 1 | $13.14 \pm 0.18$ | $14.94 \pm 0.96$ | $16.60 \pm 0.61$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against SKOV-3 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $80.38 \pm 1.72$ | $80.11 \pm 0.43$ | $76.02 \pm 0.82$ |
| 75 | $78.46 \pm 0.25$ | $57.21 \pm 0.32$ | $67.86 \pm 2.53$ |
| 50 | $66.20 \pm 1.20$ | $26.12 \pm 1.31$ | $55.81 \pm 1.47$ |
| 25 | $28.22 \pm 2.97$ | $17.80 \pm 0.91$ | $15.35 \pm 1.36$ |
| 10 | $18.76 \pm 1.69$ | $13.87 \pm 1.34$ | $4.62 \pm 1.48$ |
| 1 | $15.26 \pm 0.90$ | $2.52 \pm 0.26$ | $2.82 \pm 0.64$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the highland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against SKOV-3 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $63.79 \pm 1.17$ | $59.15 \pm 0.71$ | $60.72 \pm 1.19$ |
| 75 | $39.44 \pm 0.59$ | $33.43 \pm 1.32$ | $35.06 \pm 0.87$ |
| 50 | $32.59 \pm 1.02$ | $12.89 \pm 0.93$ | $22.32 \pm 0.95$ |
| 25 | $18.71 \pm 1.78$ | $9.89 \pm 1.91$ | $12.73 \pm 0.63$ |
| 10 | $7.99 \pm 1.67$ | $5.32 \pm 0.62$ | $6.67 \pm 1.41$ |
| 1 | $3.37 \pm 0.07$ | $1.07 \pm 0.40$ | $3.76 \pm 0.35$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the lowland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against SKOV-3 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $64.71 \pm 0.10$ | $59.69 \pm 1.53$ | $61.05 \pm 0.69$ |
| 75 | $48.52 \pm 1.34$ | $46.23 \pm 1.94$ | $47.46 \pm 3.16$ |
| 50 | $36.91 \pm 2.06$ | $30.56 \pm 2.69$ | $31.33 \pm 1.77$ |
| 25 | $19.00 \pm 1.81$ | $13.91 \pm 1.28$ | $21.63 \pm 1.27$ |
| 10 | $14.84 \pm 2.96$ | $8.12 \pm 1.31$ | $11.08 \pm 2.20$ |
| 1 | $5.23 \pm 0.45$ | $3.35 \pm 0.28$ | $4.47 \pm 0.69$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against SKOV-3 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $45.17 \pm 0.87$ | $41.38 \pm 1.09$ | $45.53 \pm 0.66$ |
| 75 | $30.74 \pm 0.74$ | $22.00 \pm 1.51$ | $37.06 \pm 1.21$ |
| 50 | $16.69 \pm 1.64$ | $15.68 \pm 0.82$ | $29.35 \pm 0.88$ |
| 25 | $6.32 \pm 0.92$ | $10.60 \pm 1.52$ | $15.69 \pm 1.32$ |
| 10 | $3.35 \pm 1.45$ | $4.56 \pm 0.58$ | $3.13 \pm 0.45$ |
| 1 | $1.04 \pm 0.46$ | $3.09 \pm 0.80$ | $1.97 \pm 0.21$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against SKOV-3 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $81.34 \pm 2.11$ | $31.46 \pm 3.11$ | $69.72 \pm 1.90$ |
| 75 | $69.21 \pm 1.33$ | $17.97 \pm 1.48$ | $51.37 \pm 3.62$ |
| 50 | $27.13 \pm 2.65$ | $12.75 \pm 1.77$ | $35.77 \pm 1.66$ |
| 25 | $21.03 \pm 2.81$ | $8.17 \pm 1.00$ | $26.31 \pm 1.30$ |
| 10 | $15.49 \pm 0.62$ | $6.48 \pm 1.74$ | $18.94 \pm 1.33$ |
| 1 | $9.96 \pm 0.89$ | $4.95 \pm 0.98$ | $13.64 \pm 0.54$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against HT-29 cell line |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants.


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against HT-29 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $71.73 \pm 1.75$ | $66.58 \pm 3.43$ | $68.83 \pm 4.81$ |
| 75 | $65.42 \pm 4.42$ | $44.12 \pm 2.16$ | $58.13 \pm 4.08$ |
| 50 | $47.94 \pm 1.93$ | $32.11 \pm 1.73$ | $41.52 \pm 1.52$ |
| 25 | $34.42 \pm 0.35$ | $23.60 \pm 1.56$ | $23.73 \pm 3.18$ |
| 10 | $16.06 \pm 3.38$ | $13.42 \pm 2.87$ | $14.25 \pm 2.05$ |
| 1 | $6.52 \pm 1.68$ | $3.40 \pm 1.05$ | $5.49 \pm 0.46$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the highland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against HT-29 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $77.40 \pm 1.73$ | $90.86 \pm 1.26$ | $77.34 \pm 2.97$ |
| 75 | $59.50 \pm 2.12$ | $89.54 \pm 1.15$ | $73.90 \pm 3.01$ |
| 50 | $47.01 \pm 1.48$ | $83.90 \pm 2.91$ | $69.52 \pm 2.83$ |
| 25 | $33.67 \pm 2.88$ | $70.26 \pm 3.18$ | $54.79 \pm 5.09$ |
| 10 | $15.91 \pm 2.20$ | $44.58 \pm 1.76$ | $31.89 \pm 1.99$ |
| 1 | $5.29 \pm 0.87$ | $19.26 \pm 0.50$ | $15.60 \pm 0.99$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the lowland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against HT-29 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $73.06 \pm 2.32$ | $63.35 \pm 3.87$ | $62.42 \pm 1.04$ |
| 75 | $61.16 \pm 3.68$ | $56.22 \pm 2.51$ | $51.99 \pm 1.63$ |
| 50 | $37.83 \pm 0.09$ | $38.64 \pm 3.31$ | $41.56 \pm 1.35$ |
| 25 | $22.23 \pm 0.48$ | $13.15 \pm 1.82$ | $33.97 \pm 2.55$ |
| 10 | $5.87 \pm 0.78$ | $4.11 \pm 0.83$ | $13.53 \pm 0.72$ |
| 1 | $2.66 \pm 0.35$ | $1.27 \pm 0.72$ | $3.72 \pm 1.41$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against HT-29 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $31.94 \pm 1.42$ | $29.31 \pm 1.85$ | $34.68 \pm 2.51$ |
| 75 | $24.01 \pm 1.93$ | $21.96 \pm 1.04$ | $25.46 \pm 3.02$ |
| 50 | $14.15 \pm 1.74$ | $17.95 \pm 1.88$ | $21.01 \pm 2.97$ |
| 25 | $11.18 \pm 1.96$ | $12.50 \pm 1.11$ | $9.98 \pm 1.64$ |
| 10 | $3.43 \pm 2.78$ | $7.79 \pm 0.68$ | $6.30 \pm 1.02$ |
| 1 | $2.01 \pm 1.57$ | $3.54 \pm 1.56$ | $3.37 \pm 0.76$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against HT-29 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $40.00 \pm 1.17$ | $34.06 \pm 0.51$ | $38.53 \pm 0.62$ |
| 75 | $33.53 \pm 1.87$ | $24.73 \pm 2.31$ | $29.59 \pm 0.88$ |
| 50 | $25.81 \pm 0.95$ | $16.98 \pm 1.70$ | $20.64 \pm 0.95$ |
| 25 | $19.13 \pm 0.19$ | $10.65 \pm 3.03$ | $10.34 \pm 2.66$ |
| 10 | $7.55 \pm 0.66$ | $3.33 \pm 1.10$ | $6.34 \pm 2.22$ |
| 1 | $3.08 \pm 0.97$ | $1.15 \pm 0.92$ | $2.70 \pm 1.87$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against A549 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
|  | $66.06 \pm 3.77$ | $52.55 \pm 2.30$ | $60.76 \pm 0.92$ |
| 75 | $55.64 \pm 2.59$ | $31.88 \pm 1.95$ | $51.20 \pm 4.92$ |
| 50 | $44.61 \pm 2.77$ | $20.35 \pm 1.54$ | $38.80 \pm 1.17$ |
| 25 | $21.40 \pm 1.31$ | $14.40 \pm 1.75$ | $17.51 \pm 3.09$ |
| 10 | $15.79 \pm 0.50$ | $6.13 \pm 1.68$ | $5.26 \pm 1.30$ |
| 1 | $12.90 \pm 0.91$ | $3.03 \pm 0.96$ | $2.43 \pm 0.85$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against A549 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $76.27 \pm 3.39$ | $65.57 \pm 2.89$ | $75.27 \pm 2.36$ |
| 75 | $68.92 \pm 3.28$ | $49.69 \pm 1.69$ | $64.59 \pm 2.27$ |
| 50 | $52.85 \pm 0.54$ | $21.38 \pm 0.44$ | $40.03 \pm 2.17$ |
| 25 | $20.69 \pm 1.09$ | $15.23 \pm 0.60$ | $24.26 \pm 1.56$ |
| 10 | $13.84 \pm 2.24$ | $12.01 \pm 1.48$ | $13.30 \pm 1.88$ |
| 1 | $5.63 \pm 0.47$ | $5.71 \pm 0.47$ | $8.06 \pm 0.56$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the highland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against A549 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $66.95 \pm 1.50$ | $65.74 \pm 0.57$ | $54.71 \pm 2.08$ |
| 75 | $62.36 \pm 1.64$ | $54.18 \pm 2.30$ | $33.69 \pm 1.41$ |
| 50 | $48.94 \pm 0.27$ | $47.26 \pm 1.68$ | $24.79 \pm 1.84$ |
| 25 | $44.16 \pm 0.53$ | $27.19 \pm 0.49$ | $19.67 \pm 0.52$ |
| 10 | $35.76 \pm 1.17$ | $18.15 \pm 2.82$ | $15.16 \pm 1.66$ |
| 1 | $23.85 \pm 1.04$ | $8.14 \pm 0.27$ | $8.88 \pm 0.52$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the lowland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against A549 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $49.78 \pm 0.10$ | $55.70 \pm 1.53$ | $52.73 \pm 0.69$ |
| 75 | $42.86 \pm 1.34$ | $51.58 \pm 1.94$ | $43.79 \pm 3.16$ |
| 50 | $34.27 \pm 2.06$ | $41.72 \pm 2.69$ | $37.01 \pm 1.77$ |
| 25 | $15.71 \pm 1.81$ | $33.04 \pm 1.28$ | $26.76 \pm 1.27$ |
| 10 | $8.20 \pm 2.96$ | $19.13 \pm 1.31$ | $11.47 \pm 2.20$ |
| 1 | $4.16 \pm 0.45$ | $10.78 \pm 0.28$ | $6.31 \pm 0.69$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against A549 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $19.38 \pm 1.76$ | $30.22 \pm 0.44$ | $26.30 \pm 0.66$ |
| 75 | $12.56 \pm 0.63$ | $18.70 \pm 1.38$ | $20.04 \pm 0.51$ |
| 50 | $8.76 \pm 1.17$ | $12.62 \pm 0.58$ | $16.81 \pm 1.84$ |
| 25 | $7.43 \pm 1.68$ | $9.49 \pm 0.28$ | $9.61 \pm 1.41$ |
| 10 | $3.34 \pm 0.86$ | $5.33 \pm 1.58$ | $6.55 \pm 0.60$ |
| 1 | $1.20 \pm 0.50$ | $1.54 \pm 0.36$ | $2.54 \pm 0.48$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against A549 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $22.84 \pm 0.60$ | $29.28 \pm 1.26$ | $26.04 \pm 0.65$ |
| 75 | $15.87 \pm 1.25$ | $22.95 \pm 1.51$ | $21.64 \pm 0.80$ |
| 50 | $11.56 \pm 0.72$ | $14.05 \pm 1.23$ | $10.22 \pm 1.34$ |
| 25 | $7.95 \pm 1.72$ | $6.97 \pm 0.45$ | $9.31 \pm 3.75$ |
| 10 | $3.80 \pm 1.75$ | $4.30 \pm 1.38$ | $3.17 \pm 1.08$ |
| 1 | $1.65 \pm 0.53$ | $1.90 \pm 0.75$ | $1.14 \pm 0.92$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MCF7 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $71.70 \pm 3.20$ | $90.22 \pm 0.25$ | $73.97 \pm 3.73$ |
| 75 | $65.76 \pm 3.44$ | $84.88 \pm 2.16$ | $61.78 \pm 0.71$ |
| 50 | $49.20 \pm 3.05$ | $50.32 \pm 1.63$ | $42.85 \pm 2.32$ |
| 25 | $34.24 \pm 1.18$ | $36.26 \pm 2.92$ | $36.06 \pm 2.99$ |
| 10 | $18.88 \pm 1.22$ | $23.88 \pm 1.14$ | $22.41 \pm 3.07$ |
| 1 | $11.09 \pm 3.65$ | $12.63 \pm 1.92$ | $13.19 \pm 2.02$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MCF7 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $76.96 \pm 1.63$ | $74.30 \pm 4.43$ | $81.59 \pm 1.49$ |
| 75 | $72.76 \pm 1.68$ | $55.38 \pm 2.29$ | $71.11 \pm 2.86$ |
| 50 | $69.35 \pm 2.95$ | $31.82 \pm 2.89$ | $34.77 \pm 4.05$ |
| 25 | $46.01 \pm 3.15$ | $23.67 \pm 1.86$ | $26.53 \pm 4.09$ |
| 10 | $29.11 \pm 1.41$ | $13.23 \pm 1.35$ | $20.38 \pm 4.68$ |
| 1 | $10.12 \pm 3.34$ | $7.95 \pm 2.44$ | $10.01 \pm 3.26$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the highland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against MCF7 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $79.68 \pm 1.44$ | $88.78 \pm 2.08$ | $65.38 \pm 2.14$ |
| 75 | $54.80 \pm 0.89$ | $56.46 \pm 2.25$ | $37.99 \pm 3.46$ |
| 50 | $30.91 \pm 1.95$ | $41.19 \pm 1.84$ | $39.37 \pm 4.03$ |
| 25 | $21.41 \pm 2.68$ | $15.78 \pm 1.26$ | $18.95 \pm 2.64$ |
| 10 | $12.75 \pm 4.17$ | $5.78 \pm 0.83$ | $4.17 \pm 1.57$ |
| 1 | $5.07 \pm 3.30$ | $2.34 \pm 0.27$ | $0.93 \pm 0.37$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the lowland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against MCF7 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $48.70 \pm 2.36$ | $47.17 \pm 3.54$ | $43.01 \pm 2.70$ |
| 75 | $34.57 \pm 3.04$ | $43.22 \pm 2.90$ | $37.58 \pm 2.74$ |
| 50 | $19.47 \pm 1.35$ | $32.82 \pm 2.15$ | $24.35 \pm 1.67$ |
| 25 | $12.29 \pm 1.80$ | $18.40 \pm 1.25$ | $16.30 \pm 4.11$ |
| 10 | $6.62 \pm 1.44$ | $10.27 \pm 2.02$ | $7.20 \pm 2.21$ |
| 1 | $5.23 \pm 1.03$ | $7.00 \pm 2.56$ | $3.17 \pm 1.58$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MCF7 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $58.28 \pm 2.13$ | $39.70 \pm 3.29$ | $64.13 \pm 4.11$ |
| 75 | $46.60 \pm 1.74$ | $28.34 \pm 5.11$ | $59.88 \pm 4.66$ |
| 50 | $37.18 \pm 1.69$ | $20.39 \pm 3.49$ | $39.12 \pm 3.57$ |
| 25 | $26.83 \pm 1.41$ | $10.48 \pm 2.61$ | $24.39 \pm 1.33$ |
| 10 | $6.08 \pm 0.67$ | $3.98 \pm 1.27$ | $3.87 \pm 3.21$ |
| 1 | $1.60 \pm 0.24$ | $0.45 \pm 0.26$ | $1.85 \pm 2.51$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MCF7 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $55.64 \pm 3.37$ | $45.86 \pm 1.24$ | $59.60 \pm 3.81$ |
| 75 | $47.80 \pm 4.18$ | $40.08 \pm 1.25$ | $46.67 \pm 2.91$ |
| 50 | $32.13 \pm 0.86$ | $24.57 \pm 3.27$ | $35.20 \pm 2.26$ |
| 25 | $22.71 \pm 2.23$ | $11.78 \pm 0.75$ | $22.67 \pm 4.05$ |
| 10 | $13.15 \pm 1.89$ | $4.26 \pm 0.99$ | $11.09 \pm 2.88$ |
| 1 | $5.62 \pm 1.29$ | $1.18 \pm 0.57$ | $2.91 \pm 1.72$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MRC-5 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
|  | $47.78 \pm 1.81$ | $55.58 \pm 3.45$ | $48.51 \pm 2.17$ |
| 75 | $40.25 \pm 3.95$ | $46.11 \pm 0.10$ | $33.10 \pm 2.43$ |
| 50 | $31.50 \pm 2.65$ | $39.87 \pm 3.98$ | $23.83 \pm 0.58$ |
| 25 | $22.07 \pm 1.29$ | $26.96 \pm 1.60$ | $8.87 \pm 0.31$ |
| 10 | $10.73 \pm 1.01$ | $14.25 \pm 0.63$ | $4.24 \pm 0.99$ |
| 1 | $0.78 \pm 0.17$ | $5.63 \pm 3.84$ | $2.54 \pm 0.95$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude methanol extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MRC-5 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $35.90 \pm 2.26$ | $41.71 \pm 1.00$ | $38.12 \pm 3.12$ |
| 75 | $26.39 \pm 1.51$ | $30.58 \pm 0.68$ | $26.29 \pm 1.31$ |
| 50 | $20.24 \pm 1.36$ | $22.42 \pm 0.73$ | $19.20 \pm 1.96$ |
| 25 | $13.85 \pm 3.66$ | $16.50 \pm 0.32$ | $13.41 \pm 1.14$ |
| 10 | $5.07 \pm 2.67$ | $11.20 \pm 0.69$ | $5.52 \pm 0.21$ |
| 1 | $2.04 \pm 1.56$ | $7.08 \pm 1.20$ | $1.79 \pm 0.37$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the highland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against MRC-5 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $56.13 \pm 2.59$ | $73.22 \pm 2.22$ | $61.41 \pm 1.98$ |
| 75 | $42.88 \pm 1.11$ | $55.20 \pm 1.89$ | $45.43 \pm 3.66$ |
| 50 | $28.54 \pm 1.30$ | $43.69 \pm 1.01$ | $32.66 \pm 2.62$ |
| 25 | $19.76 \pm 1.46$ | $29.90 \pm 0.49$ | $23.59 \pm 2.77$ |
| 10 | $6.46 \pm 0.55$ | $10.81 \pm 0.51$ | $13.53 \pm 0.72$ |
| 1 | $3.06 \pm 0.96$ | $4.49 \pm 1.14$ | $3.48 \pm 0.40$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude dichloromethane extracts of the lowland variety <br> Persicaria chinensis var. chinensis against MRC- 5 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $53.21 \pm 1.13$ | $47.19 \pm 3.23$ | $48.32 \pm 1.51$ |
| 75 | $44.66 \pm 1.50$ | $39.05 \pm 2.86$ | $42.75 \pm 1.07$ |
| 50 | $41.40 \pm 1.32$ | $30.77 \pm 1.17$ | $35.48 \pm 1.05$ |
| 25 | $30.43 \pm 0.81$ | $22.83 \pm 1.41$ | $26.81 \pm 1.32$ |
| 10 | $20.20 \pm 0.95$ | $13.50 \pm 0.60$ | $16.93 \pm 1.42$ |
| 1 | $6.08 \pm 2.63$ | $3.67 \pm 0.45$ | $4.44 \pm 0.89$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the highland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MRC-5 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $58.28 \pm 2.13$ | $39.70 \pm 3.29$ | $64.13 \pm 4.11$ |
| 75 | $46.60 \pm 1.74$ | $28.34 \pm 5.11$ | $59.88 \pm 4.66$ |
| 50 | $37.18 \pm 1.69$ | $20.39 \pm 3.49$ | $39.12 \pm 3.57$ |
| 25 | $26.83 \pm 1.41$ | $10.48 \pm 2.61$ | $24.39 \pm 1.33$ |
| 10 | $6.08 \pm 0.67$ | $3.98 \pm 1.27$ | $3.87 \pm 3.21$ |
| 1 | $1.60 \pm 0.24$ | $0.45 \pm 0.26$ | $1.85 \pm 2.51$ |


| concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Average percentage (\%) and standard deviation of 3 tests of growth <br> inhibition by crude water extracts of the lowland variety Persicaria <br> chinensis var. chinensis against MRC-5 cell line |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | leaves | stem | whole plants |
| 100 | $34.76 \pm 1.24$ | $40.78 \pm 1.16$ | $38.09 \pm 1.34$ |
| 75 | $27.39 \pm 1.88$ | $29.12 \pm 0.64$ | $27.04 \pm 1.93$ |
| 50 | $17.88 \pm 1.26$ | $22.04 \pm 0.54$ | $19.98 \pm 0.29$ |
| 25 | $12.06 \pm 1.19$ | $13.10 \pm 1.33$ | $11.67 \pm 0.70$ |
| 10 | $3.27 \pm 0.62$ | $3.77 \pm 1.25$ | $3.46 \pm 2.13$ |
| 1 | $1.23 \pm 0.14$ | $2.23 \pm 0.53$ | $1.14 \pm 0.64$ |

