THE DIFFICULTIES DIPLOMA STUDENTS FACE IN WRITING IN ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

NADIA HANIM BT MOHAMAD @ ABD RASHID

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR

2012

THE DIFFICULTIES DIPLOMA STUDENTS FACE IN WRITING IN ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

NADIA HANIM BT MOHAMAD @ ABD RASHID

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF LANGUAGES

AND LINGUISTICS

UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA, IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ENGLISH AS SECOND LANGUAGE

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: Nadia Hanim Bt Mohamad @ Abd Rashid

I.C/Passport No: 790416-11-5418	Registration/Matric No: TGB 060033	
Name of Degree: Masters of English as a Second Language		
Title of Research Report ("this Work"):		
The Difficulties Diploma Students Face in Writing in English as a Second language		
Field of Study: Second Language Acquisition		
I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:		
(1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;		
(2) This Work is original;		
(3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract any copyright work has been disclosed express Work and its authorship have been acknowledged.	from, or reference to or reproduction of ly and sufficiently and the title of the	
(4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ou of this work constitutes an infringement of any	•	
(5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copy Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owned any reproduction or use in any form or by any the written consent of UM having been first ha	er of the copyright in this Work and that means whatsoever is prohibited without	
(6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I other action as may be determined by UM.		
Candidate's Signature	Date:	
Subscribed and solemnly declared before,		
Witness's Signature Name: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Karen Kow Yip Cheng Designation: Supervisor	Date:	

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to gather information on the problematic areas faced by diploma students in writing in English as a second language. Sixty English compositions written by the students were scored according to the ESL Composition Profile. The profile has four levels of competence and looks at content, organisation, vocabulary, language use and mechanics. Nearly half or more students only scored 'Fair to Poor' in all areas except for mechanics. Among all areas, a significantly high percentage of students performed badly in language use.

Questionnaires were also distributed to teachers and students to learn about their perceptions of the most problematic areas in English writing. Both parties regard grammar, content, spelling and vocabulary as their main concerns. The results from this study provide evidence that students and teachers' perception are in line with students' writing. Areas that are perceived as problems for both teacher and students are reflected in students' work.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengumpul maklumat mengenai masalah yang dihadapi oleh pelajar-pelajar diploma secara bertulis dalam bahasa Inggeris sebagai bahasa kedua. Enam puluh komposisi Bahasa Inggeris yang ditulis oleh pelajar yang dinilai mengikut Profil Komposisi ESL. Profil mempunyai empat tahap kecekapan dan melihat kandungan, organisasi, perbendaharaan kata, penggunaan bahasa dan mekanik. Pelajar hampir separuh atau lebih hanya mendapat 'lemah' dalam semua bidang kecuali mekanik. Antara semua bidang, peratusan pelajar yang ketara tinggi prestasi yang buruk dalam penggunaan bahasa.

Soal selidik juga diedarkan kepada guru dan murid untuk mengenalpasti persepsi mereka terhadap masalah dalam penulisan Bahasa Inggeris. Kedua-dua pihak menganggap tatabahasa, kandungan, ejaan dan kosa kata sebagai kebimbangan utama mereka. Keputusan daripada kajian ini memberikan bukti bahawa pelajar dan persepsi guru adalah selaras dengan 'penulisan pelajar. Bidang yang dianggap sebagai masalah bagi kedua-dua guru dan pelajar dapat dilihat di dalam hasil penulisan pelajar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful and grateful to Allah the Almighty for given me the strength, physically, mentally and emotionally, to go through ups and downs in doing this research.

I would never have been able to finish my dissertation without the guidance of my supervisor, support from family and friends.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor, Associate Prof. Dr. Karen Kow Yip Cheng, for her excellent guidance, caring and patience for doing research.

I would also like to thank my parents, En. Abd Rashid and Pn. Rahmah and my sisters, Kak Rah, Rina, Elly, Acip and Adik. They were always supporting me and encouraging me with their best wishes.

I would like to thank my best friend, Mima. She has been supportive throughout the process of doing the research.

Finally, I would like to thank my husband, Zulkarnain Shazrain and my son, Omeir. They were always there cheering me up and stood by me through the good times and bad.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstrak	iv
Acknowledgement	V
CHAPTER 1	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 Views on difficulties in writing	5
1.2 Significance of the Study	10
1.3 Statement of the Problem	10
1.4 Rationale of the Study	11
1.5 Objectives of the Study	11
1.6 Research Questions	11
1.7 Methodology	12
1.8 Limitations of the Study	12
1.9 Conclusion	13
CHAPTER 2	14
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Quality Writing	14
2.3 Research on Writing	17
2.4 Research on Writing in Malaysia	19
2.4.1 Matching teaching and learning needs	23
2.5 Factors Contributing To Students' Attitudes towards Essay Writing	24
2.5.1 Feelings	24

2.5.2 'Writer's Block' and 'Writing Anxiety'	25
2.5.3 Negative Feedback	26
2.5.4 Influences from Parents and Peers	27
2.5.5 Teacher's Role	28
2.6 Perceptions towards ESL Writing	30
2.7 Students' Expectations and Lecturers' Requirements	32
2.8 Inter- rater Reliability	34
2.8.1 Holistic Scoring	35
2.8.2 Analytic Scoring	35
2.9 The ESL Composition Profile	36
2.10 Conclusion	38
CHAPTER 3	40
METHODOLOGY	40
3.1 Research Design	40
3.2 Sampling	40
3.3 Instrument	42
3.4 Validity and Reliability	47
3.5 Data Analysis	48
3.5.1 First Research Question	48
3.5.2 Second Research Question	48
3.5.3 Third Research Question	48
3.6 Conclusion	49
CHAPTER 4	50
FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	50
4.1 Analysis of Demographics	51
4.1.2 Students	51

4.2 Analysis of Findings	53
4.2.1 Students' Writing Scores	53
4.2.2 Students' Perceptions	58
4.2.3 SPM English Grades and Essay Writing Grades	64
4.2.4 Students' SPM English Grades, Language Use outside Classroom and Essay Scores.	70
4.2.5 Teachers	73
4.2.6 Teachers' Perceptions	75
4.2.7 Comparison on Students' and Teachers' Perceptions	78
4.2.8 Interviews	84
4.3 Conclusion	88
CHAPTER 5	89
SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH	89
5.1 Review	89
5.2 Summary of Findings	90
5.3 Curriculum implications	91
5.4 Pedagogical implications	93
5.4.1 Effective feedback and Error Correction	93
5.4.2 Suggestions	94
5.4.3 Integrating CALL into the Writing Curriculum	96
5.5 Future Research	97
5.6 Conclusion	98
References	99

LIST OF TABLES AND CHARTS

Table 1.1: Format of Different Types of Writing	2
Table 1.2: Similarities and Differences	5
Table 2.1: Students' Difficulties in Writing	17
Table 2.2: Teachers' Difficulties in Reading Students' Written Work	18
Table 3.1: Profile of Lecturers	41
Table 3.2: ESL Composition Profile	45
Table 4.1: Gender of Respondents	52
Table 4.2: Students' SPM English Language Grades	52
Table 4.3: Students' Scores in Essay Writing	53
Table 4.4: Students' Writing Grades	57
Table 4.5: Students' Perceptions	58
Table 4.6: Students' Perceptions	59
Figure 4.1 Percentages Regarding Problematic Areas in Writing	63
Table 4.7: Students' SPM English Grades Vs Essay Writing Grades	65
Figure 4.2: SPM English Grades vs. Students' Essay Scores	69
Table 4.8: Students' SPM English Grades, Language Use outside Classroom and	70
Table 4.9: Gender of Respondents	74
Table 4.10: Years of Teaching Experience	74
Table 4.11: Teachers' Perceptions	75
Table 4.12: Percentage of Agreement	76
Table 4.13: Students' Perceptions Vs. Teachers' Perceptions	79
Table 4.14: Comparison between Students' and Teachers' Ranking of Perceptions	81
Table 5.1: Students' Most Scored Level for each Category	91