# ASSEMBLAGE, RECRUITMENT AND ECOLOGY OF FISH LARVAE IN MATANG MANGROVE ESTUARY AND ADJACENT WATERS, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

**OOI AI LIN** 

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR 2012

### ABSTRACT

Spatio-temporal distribution of larval fish in the Matang mangrove estuaries and adjacent coastal waters was examined from monthly surface horizontal tows from May 2002 to October 2003 at seven stations located 10.6 km upstream to 16 km offshore. A total of 92,934 fish larvae, representing 19 families were identified. A further 3 families were recorded in the mangrove waters during diel studies but not found during monthly samplings. Larval fish community using mangrove estuaries and nearshore waters mainly consists of a few key families of residents (e.g. Gobiidae) and euryhaline fishes (e.g. Engraulidae), whereas the wider diversity of other fish families in the estuary that were not collected as larvae suggest that they must have entered the estuary as juveniles. Larval fish assemblages were dominated by Gobiidae (50.1%) and Engraulidae, mainly *Stolephorus baganensis* and *Thryssa kammalensis* (38.4%).

Larval fish abundance including their ontogenetic stages differed spatially and temporally. Three peaks of total larval fish were observed; March 2003 (992  $\pm$  986 N.100m<sup>-3</sup>), October 2003 (980  $\pm$  1,440 N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) and August 2002 (656  $\pm$  457 N.100m<sup>-3</sup>). These peaks coincided with the intermonsoon periods of variable winds and high rainfall, except the August peak when wind forcing was high. Two peaks of recruitment time were identified for Gobiidae in March and October. Spawning and resulting preflexion larvae of Engraulidae occurred between June to December in offshore waters, followed by the higher abundance of postflexion larvae between October-January in mangrove estuaries. Estuarine preflexion gobiid larvae were ubiquitous in the mangrove estuaries and coastal waters. Larval stages of euryhaline species such as Engraulidae and Clupeidae that spawned in offshore waters were largely advected into mangrove estuaries at the postflexion stage. Larvae of other euryhaline fishes (e.g. Sciaenidae, Blenniidae and Ambassidae) that likely spawned inside the estuary were, however, exported to offshore waters.

Higher larval abundance was recorded during the wet period with twelve families identified for each period in the eight 24- hour samplings of surface and bottom layers in the lower estuary in July 2003 (dry period) and November 2003 (wet period). The yolk-sac stage of Gobiidae was more abundant at night during new moon when tidal inundation was highest. High numbers of preflexion gobiids were particularly observed at the end of ebb or flood tide (slack water), a strategy adopted for feeding during reduced water movement so as to maintain their position and retention within the estuary. Total Engraulidae larvae were more abundant at neap tide and younger preflexion larvae tended to aggregate in surface water during flood tide and day time. Postflexion larvae remained at the bottom of the estuary during ebb tide. The engraulid larvae appear to adopt a strategy for upstream penetration using the selective tidal transport during flood tide.

Larval fish advection into or away from the estuary is by tidal currents but the final result of advection appears to be modulated by salinity and turbidity gradients, larval food availability, as well as larval stage and possibly larval behavior.

### ABSTRAK

Spatio-temporal bagi larva ikan di muara sungai bakau kawasan Matang dan perairan laut di sekitarnya dikaji. Penyampelan di permukaan air pada setiap bulan dari bulan Mei 2002 ke Oktober 2003 dilakukan di tujuh stesen yang terletak 10.6 km ke arah sungai dan 16 km ke arah laut. Sebanyak 92,934 ikan larva yang mewakili 19 famili telah dikenal pasti. Tiga lagi famili telah direkodkan dalam muara sungai dalam satu lagi kajian harian yang tidak direkodkan dalam kajian bulanan. Komuniti larva ikan yang menggunakan muara sungai bakau dan perairan laut sekitarnya terdiri daripada beberapa famili utama iaitu ikan pemastautin (contoh Gobiidae) dan ikan 'euryhaline' (contoh Engraulidae). Diversiti ikan lain yang didapati di dalam muara sungai yang tidak ditangkap sebagai larva ikan dianggapkan bahawa mereka mesti memasuki muara sungai pada peringkat juvana. Larva ikan diwakili oleh Gobiidae (50.1%) dan Engraulidae (38.4%) yang sebahagian besarnya terdiri daripada *Stolephorus baganensis* dan *Thryssa kammalensis*.

Kelimpahan larva ikan termasuk peringkat ontogenetik mereka adalah berbeza dari segi ruang dan waktu. Tiga puncak daripada jumlah ikan larva telah dikesan; Mac  $2003 (992 \pm 986 \text{ N}.100 \text{m}^{-3})$ , Oktober 2003 (980  $\pm 1440 \text{ N}.100 \text{m}^{-3})$  dan Ogos 2002 (656)  $\pm$  457 N.100m<sup>-3</sup>). Puncak ini bertepatan dengan tempoh peralihan angin yang berubahubah dan hujan yang tinggi, kecuali puncak yang bulan Ogos di mana angin adalah kuat pada masa itu. Dua puncak masa perekrutan untuk Gobiidae telah dikenal pasti pada bulan Mac dan Oktober. Pembiakan ikan Engraulidae dan terhasilnya peringkat 'preflexion' yang tinggi berlaku antara bulan Jun hingga Disember di perairan luar pesisir. Ini diikuti oleh penghasilan banyak larva ikan peringkat 'postflexion' antara bulan Oktober-Januari di muara bakau. Peringkat 'preflexion' larva Gobiidae dikesan di sekitar muara bakau dan juga di perairan pantai. Sebahagian besar peringkat larva spesies euryhaline seperti Engraulidae dan Clupeidae yang biasanya membiak di perairan luar pesisir berhijrah ke muara bakau di peringkat 'postflexion'. Walau bagaimanapun, larva ikan 'euryhaline' yang lain (contohnya Sciaenidae, Blenniidae dan Ambassidae) yang berkemungkinan membiak di dalam muara sungai dieksport ke perairan luar pesisir.

Kelimpahan larva ikan yang lebih tinggi direkodkan dalam musim lembap, dengan dua belas famili dikenal pasti dalam lapan kajian 24-jam penyampelan di permukaan dan lapisan bawah di muara sungai pada bulan Julai 2003 (musim kering) dan November 2003 (musim lembap). Lebih banyak larva ikan peringkat *yolk-sac* Gobiidae didapati pada waktu malam semasa bulan baru apabila air pasang surut adalah paling tinggi. Bilangan tinggi peringkat 'preflexion' Gobiidae biasanya didapati pada peringkat akhir air surut atau air pasang. Ini merupakan strategi pemakanan yang digunakan semasa pergerakan air adalah kurang untuk mengekalkan kedudukan mereka dalam muara. Larva ikan Engraulidae pula didapati lebih banyak pada air perbani dan peringkat 'preflexion' larva pula cenderung untuk berkumpul di permukaan air semasa air pasang di waktu siang. Larva peringkat 'postflexion' pula kekal di bawah muara sewaktu air surut. Larva ikan Engraulidae didapati menggunakan strategi untuk menembusi hulu sungai melalui aliran air pasang.

Pergerakan larva ikan ke dalam atau ke luar muara sungai dikawal oleh arus pasang surut tetapi hasil akhir daripada pergerakan ini dipengaruhi oleh cerun kemasinan dan kekeruhan air, makanan larva, peringkat hidup larva dan juga tingkah laku larva ikan.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It would not have been possible to complete this thesis without the support and encouragement of many persons. First and foremost, I would like to thank Prof. Chong Ving Ching for his valuable guidance, advice and constructive criticisms on the preparation of this thesis. My sincere appreciation goes to Dr. Yoshinobu Konishi for his valuable guidance in larval fish identification and illustration. His generous hospitality during my visits to his laboratory in Terengganu is greatly cherished. My gratitude also goes to Dr. A. Sasekumar for his advice and supply of useful reading materials.

I wish to express my gratitude to University of Malaya for the award of the Pascasiswazah scholarship, Vote F research grants and the research facilities in undertaking this research project. Thanks also to Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS) for the generous research fundings.

I am also grateful to the Fisheries Department Malaysia for permission to carry out research in the coastal waters and estuaries of the MMFR, Malaysian Meteorological Department for providing rainfall data. Many thanks go to Mr. Lee Chee Heng, the boatman, Mr. Raymond Rajoo and Mr. Lee Thian Heng for their assistance during field work.

My gratitude also goes to all my colleagues in Lab B201 especially my best sampling mate, Chew Li Lee (小姐, 你是哪里人?中国?) who endured with me through the good and bad times during our Ph.D journey, John Madin, Amy Then, Moh Heng Hing, Ng Ying Pei, Dagoo, Loo, Raymond, Raven, Teoh, Chai Ming and Adam. Your support and companionship are greatly appreciated!

To all my family members, ah pa, ah ma, Ai Wei, Ai Lee, thanks for your unconditional love, patience and putting up with me for the last 8 years, bugging and emotionally supporting me. Also to my in-laws and family, thank you for the encouragement. Finally, I can proudly tell them that I have completed my thesis.

To my beloved husband, Tommy, thank you for your love, patience and encouragement. You are always there when I have difficult moments. To my darling son, Sebastian: hey.....finally Mummy has completed her thesis, and can spend more time with you.

To my friends, Dr. Harinder, Ching Lee, Hui Yin, Yoke Mui, Evelyn, Lindayani, Ali, Suh Chuen, Lig Huey, Audrey, Nicholas, Erick, Wey Lim, Yong Ai Lin, Wooi Boon and others, thanks for your endless encouragement and motivation. To Li Ying and Teoh, thanks for proof reading a few chapters, really appreciate that!

Finally, it is done!

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiv
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Fish Communities of Mangrove Estuary	1
1.2 Fish Larva	4
1.3 Recruitment of Fish Larvae into Estuary	5
1.4 Factors Affecting Dynamics of Fish Larvae in Mangrove Estuary	8
1.4.1 Behaviour	9
1.4.2 Biogeochemical, Hydrogeochemical and Hydrological Processes	9
1.4.3 Predation	12
1.4.4 Food	12
1.5 Ichthyoplankton of Mangrove Estuaries	13
1.6 Significance of Present Study	16
1.7 Research Questions	18
1.8 Scope and Overall Objective of Study	18
CHAPTER 2 STUDY AREA AND METHODOLOGY	20
2.1 Study Area	20
2.2 Sampling Design and Field Collection	21
2.2.1 Monthly Sampling (18 months)	21
2.2.2 Diel Sampling	24
2.3 Measurement of Environmental Parameters	24
2.3.1 Water Parameters	24
2.3.2 Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	25
2.3.3 Tides	25
2.3.4 Metereological Data	25

Page

2.4 Laboratory Analysis	29
2.4.1 Zooplankton Biomass	29
2.4.2 Sorting and Identification of Fish Larvae	29
2.4.3 Larval Fish Illustration and Measurements	31
2.4.4 Description of Fish Larva Family	32
2.4.5 Chlorophyll a Analysis	33
2.4.6 Stable Isotope Analysis of Fish Larvae	34
2.5 Computational and Statistical Analysis	35
2.5.1 Rainfall and Water Parameters	35
2.5.2 Chlorophyll a and Zooplankton Biomass	36
2.5.3 Calculation of Larval Density	37
2.5.4 Univariate Analysis	37
2.5.4.1 Monthly Sampling	37
2.5.4.2 Diel Sampling	38
2.5.4.3 Testing the Match-Mismatch Hypothesis	39
2.5.5 Multivariate Analysis	40
2.5.5.1 Monthly Sampling	40

# CHAPTER 3 DESCRIPTION OF EARLY LIFE STAGES OF FISH LARVAE

43

3.1 INTRODUCTION	43
3.2 RESULTS	44
3.2.1 Family Gobiidae	45
3.2.2 Family Engraulidae	48
3.2.3 Family Clupeidae	51
3.2.4 Family Sciaenidae	53
3.2.5 Family Ambassidae	54
3.2.6 Family Blenniidae	56
3.2.7 Family Cynoglossidae	58
3.2.8 Family Scatophagidae	60
3.2.9 Family Mugilidae	61
3.2.10 Family Belonidae	62
3.2.11 Other Families	63
3.2.11.1 Family Scorpaenidae	63
3.2.11.2 Family Syngnathidae	64

	3.2.11.3 Family Carangidae	64
	3.2.11.4 Family Platycephalidae	64
	3.2.11.5 Family Leiognathidae	65
	3.2.11.6 Family Bregmacerotidae	65
	3.2.11.7 Family Terapontidae	65
	3.2.11.8 Family Trichonotidae	66
	3.2.11.9 Family Triacanthidae	66
	3.2.11.10 Family Mullidae	66
	3.2.11.11 Family Tetraodontidae	66
	3.2.11.12 Family Hemiramphidae	67
3.2.12	2 Identification Key to the Main Fish Families of Fish Larvae	67
3.3 DISCUS	SION	69
3.4 CONCLU	USIONS	73
CHAPTER LARVAE	4 SPATIO-TEMPORAL CHANGES IN ABUNDANCE (	OF FISH 74
4.1 INTROD	DUCTION	75
4.2 RESULT	`S	76
4.2.1	Larval Fish Assemblages	76
4.2.2	Spatio-temporal Changing Patterns of the Abundance of Tota	l Larval
Fishe	S	76
4.2.3	Spatio-temporal Variations in Abundance of Fish Larvae	84
	4.2.3.1 Gobiidae	84
	4.2.3.2 Engraulidae	88
	4.2.3.3 Clupeidae	94
	4.2.3.4 Sciaenidae	99
	4.2.3.5 Ambassidae	104
	4.2.3.6 Blenniidae	104
	4.2.3.7 Cynoglossidae	113
	4.2.3.8 Scorpaenidae	113
	4.2.3.9 Syngnathidae	122
	4.2.3.10 Carangidae	122
	4.2.3.11 Platycephalidae	122
	4.2.3.12 Scatophagidae	122
	4.2.3.13 Leiognathidae	123

4.2.3.14 Bregmacerotidae	123
4.2.3.15 Mullidae	123
4.2.3.16 Terapontidae	123
4.2.3.17 Triacanthidae	123
4.2.3.18 Trichonotidae	124
4.2.3.19 Mugilidae	124
4.2.3.20 Unidentified fish	124
4.3 DISCUSSION	124
4.3.1 Fish Larval Assemblages	124
4.3.2 Recruitment	127
4.3.3 Mangrove Estuary as Nursery Area	131
<b>4.3.4 Disconnection betweenJuvenile Fish Assemblage and Existing Fish Populations</b>	Larval 134
4.4 CONCLUSIONS	138
CHAPTER 5 DIEL AND LUNAR STUDY	140
5.1 INTRODUCTION	141
5.2 RESULTS	143
5.2.1 Environmental Parameters and Plankton	143
5.2.1.1 Dry Season	144
5.2.1.2 Wet Season	154
5.2.1.3 Seasonal Effects of Dry and Wet Season	158
5.2.2 Fish Larval Assemblages in Diel Study	159
5.2.3 Seasonal and Diel Pattern of Larval Fish in Relation to Parameter and Plankton Biomass	Water 162
5.2.3.1 Gobiidae	162
5.2.3.2 Engraulidae	169
5.2.3.3 Sciaenidae	175
5.2.3.4 Blenniidae	177
5.2.3.5 Clupeidae	179
5.2.3.6 Cynoglossidae	179
5.2.3.7 Ambassidae	182
5.2.3.8 Other families	184
5.3 DISCUSSION	188
5.3.1 Seasonality and Tidal Effect	188

5.3.2 ]	Lunar Phase Effect	193	
5.3.3 Diel Activity Patterns			
5.3.4 Effects of Physical Factors and Plankton			
5.4 CONCLU	JSIONS	200	
CHAPTER 6	5 RELATIONSHIP OF LARVAL FISH ABUNDANCE WITH	I	
ENVIRONM	IENTAL FACTORS	201	
6.1 INTROD	UCTION	201	
6.2 RESULT	S	204	
6.2.1	Environmental Parameters	204	
	6.2.1.1 Rainfall	204	
	6.2.1.2 Monthly and Spatial Variations of Water Parameters	206	
	6.2.1.3 Chlorophyll a and Zooplankton Variations	218	
6.2.2 Paran	Relationship between Fish Larval Assemblages and neters	Physical 219	
	6.2.2.1 Gobiidae	226	
	6.2.2.2 Engraulidae	226	
	6.2.2.3 Clupeidae	227	
	6.2.2.4 Sciaenidae	227	
	6.2.2.5 Ambassidae	237	
	6.2.2.6 Blenniidae	237	
	6.2.2.7 Other families	237	
6.2.3	Match-Mismatch Hypothesis	243	
6.3 DISCUSS	SION	246	
6.4 CONCLU	USIONS	249	
CHAPTER 7	GENERAL DISCUSSION	251	
7.1 What are	e the Strategies Adopted by Larval Fish to Utilize Mangrove I	Habitats? 251	
7.2Limitation	ns of Present Study and Recommendations for Future Study	257	
7.3 CONCLUSIONS			
REFERENCES			

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Ontogenetic stages of fish larva (modified from Kendall et al., 1984). 4

Figure 1.2. The migration circuit of temperate fish species (adapted from Harden Jones, 1968). 7

Figure 1.3. Example of a migration circuit of tropical fish species based on data from Selangor (Chong et al., 1990) and Matang, Perak (Sasekumar et al., 1994a) in Malaysia.

Figure 2.1. Sampling locations (numbered 1-7) in Sepetang, Sangga Besar, Sangga Kecil rivers and adjacent waters in Matang mangrove forest reserve (MMFR), Perak.

Figure 2.2. Annual standardized precipitation index (SPI) from 1995 to 2006 at Taiping (data provided by Malaysian Meteorological Department). May-Sept (SW monsoon), Nov-Mac (NE monsoon), Apr & Oct (Intermonsoons). See Table 2.2 for SPI descriptions. 27

Figure 2.3. Total monthly rainfall of Taiping (Perak) area from January 2002 toDecember 2004. (Study duration for monthly sampling was from May 2002 to October2003). Arrows show diel and lunar study in July and November 2003.28

Figure 2.4. Plankton sorting cell (not to scale) (Chong, 1993).30

Figure 2.5. Example on how peak abundance of larval fish, copepods and chlorophyll a constitute a match. 'a' indicates lag period between peak of larval fish abundance and chlorophyll a. 'b' indicates lag period between peak of larval fish abundance and copepods (adapted from Cushing, 1990). 40

Figure 3.1. Gobiidae. Larvae series of morphospecies GOB1 (*Periophthalmus* sp.?) from Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal waters. A – C Preflexion, D – Flexion.
46

Figure 3.2. Gobiidae. Larvae of morphospecies GOB2 (A), morphospecies GOB3 (B),morphospecies GOB4 (C), morphospecies GOB5 (D), morphospecies GOB6 (E),morphospecies GOB7 (F) from Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal waters.A - C Preflexion.D - E Flexion. F - Early postflexion.47

Figure 3.3. Gobiidae. Larvae of morphospecies GOB8 (A) and morphospecies GOB9 (B) of Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal waters. A - Flexion. B - Early postflexion. 48

Figure 3.4. Engraulidae.Larvae series ENG1 (Stolephorus baganensis) from Matang<br/>mangrove estuary. A- B. Flexion. C - D. Postflexion. E. Juvenile.49

Figure 3.5. Engraulidae. Larvae series ENG2 (*Thryssa kammalensis*) from Matang<br/>mangrove estuary. A- D. Postflexion.50

Figure 3.6.Clupeidae.Larvae series of morphospecies CLU1 (Anodontostoma<br/>chacunda?) from Matang mangrove estuary: A - D. Preflexion.E - F. Flexion.G.Postflexion.52

Figure 3.7. Sciaenidae. Larvae series of morphospecies SCI1 (*Johnius* spp.) from plankton tows in Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal waters. A. Preflexion. B - C. Flexion. 54

Figure 3.8. Ambassidae. Larvae of morphospecies AMB1 (Ambassis gymnocephalus)from Matang mangrove estuary: A - E. Postflexion.55

Figure 3.9. Blenniidae. Larvae series of BLE1 (*Omobranchus* sp.?) from Matangmangrove estuary: A - B. Preflexion. C - D. Flexion. E - F. Postflexion.57

Figure 3.10. Larvae of *Cynoglossus* spp. from Matang mangrove estuary and adjacentcoastal waters: A - B. Preflexion. C. Flexion. D. Transformation almost complete(Note: Both elongate dorsal rays broken).59

Figure 3.11. *Scatophagus argus* at 5.9 mm SL. (Note: myomere omitted). 61

Figure 3.12. Mugilidae. Larva of morphospecies MUG1. Larvae either of *Liza melinoptera* or *L. subviridis* from Matang mangrove estuary: A - B. Postflexion. 62

Figure 3.13. Strongylura strongylura from Matang mangrove estuary. 7.1 mm SL.63

**Figure 3.14**. Main categories  $(A_1, A_2)$  and subcategories  $(B_1, B_2, B_3)$  which families in this study were allocated to help in the identification of their larvae. **68** 

**Figure 4.1.** Monthly variation of mean density ( $\pm$ SD) of total fish larvae (N.100 m<sup>-3</sup>) in the Matang mangrove estuary and offshore waters from May 2002 to October 2003.

82

Figure 4.2. Monthly variation of mean total density (±SD) of larval fish by stationsfrom May 2002 to October 2003. (Note different scale bar)83

**Figure 4.3.** Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of mean abundances ( $\pm$ SD) of the total fish larvae and larval gobiids (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) in Matang Mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and offshore waters (Station 6 & 7). **86** 

Figure 4.4. Mean density (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) of larval Gobiidae at different stations from May2002 to October 2003. (Note different scale bar)87

**Figure 4.5**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of the relative abundances of larval Gobiidae by developmental stages in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. Mean abundance  $(N.100 \text{ m}^{-3})$  at each month and station is indicated. **88** 

Figure 4.6. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of mean abundances ( $\pm$ SD) of the total fish larval and larval engraulids (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. 91

Figure 4.7. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of the relative abundances of larval Engraulidae by developmental stages in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. Mean abundance  $(N.100m^{-3})$  at each month and station is indicated. 92

Figure 4.8. Mean density of larval.Engraulidae at different stations from May 2002 toOctober 2003. (Note different scale bar)93

**Figure 4.9**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of mean abundances  $(\pm SD)$  of the total fish larvae (right vertical axis, dotted line) and larval clupeids (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) (left vertical axis, bar graph) in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. **96** 

**Figure 4.10**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of the relative abundances of larval Clupeidae by developmental stages in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. Mean abundance  $(N.100 \text{ m}^{-3})$  at each month and station is indicated. **97** 

Figure 4.11. Mean density (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) of larval Clupeidae at different stations fromMay 2002 to October 2003. (Note different scale bar)98

**Figure 4.12.** Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of mean abundances ( $\pm$ SD) of the total fish larvae (right vertical axis, dotted line) and larval sciaenids (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) (left vertical axis, bar graph) in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. **101** 

**Figure 4.13**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of the relative abundances of larval Sciaenidae by developmental stages in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. Mean abundance  $(N.100m^{-3})$  at each month and station is indicated. **102** 

Figure 4.14. Mean density (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) of larval Sciaenidae at different stations fromMay 2002 to October 2003. (Note different scale bar)103

**Figure 4.15**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variation of mean abundances  $(\pm SD)$  of the total fish larvae (right vertical axis, dotted line) and larval ambassids (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) (left vertical axis, bar graph) in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. **106** 

Figure 4.16. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of the relative abundances of larvalAmbassidae by developmental stages in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters.Mean abundance  $(N.100m^{-3})$  at each month and station is indicated.107

Figure 4.17. Mean density (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) of Ambassidae at different stations from May2002 to October 2003. (Note different scale bar)108

**Figure 4.18**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variation of mean abundances ( $\pm$ SD) of total fish larvae (right vertical axis, dotted line) and larval blenniids (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) (left vertical axis, bar graph) in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. **110** 

**Figure 4.19**. Temporal (a) and spatial (b) variations of the relative abundance of Blenniidae by developmental stages in Matang Mangrove estuary and offshore waters. Mean abundance  $(N.100m^{-3})$  at each month and station is indicated. **111** 

Figure 4.20. Mean density (N.100m<sup>-3</sup>) of larval Blenniidae at different stations from<br/>May 2002 to October 2003.112

Figure 4.21. Monthly mean density of larval a) Cynoglossidae, b) Scorpaenidae, c) Syngnathidae and d) Carangidae in Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent offshore waters. 118

Figure 4.21 (continued). Monthly mean density of larval e) Platycephalidae, f) Scatophagidae, g) Leiognathidae and h) Bregmacerotidae in Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent offshore waters. 119

**Figure 4.21 (continued)**. Monthly mean density of i) Mullidae, j) Terapontidae, k) Triacanthidae and l) Trichonotidae in Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent offshore waters. **120** 

**Figure 4.21** (continued). Monthly mean density of larval m) Mugilidae and n) unidentified fish larvae in Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent offshore waters.

121

Figure 5.1. Surface and bottom temperature by lunar phase during dry (a-d) and wet (e-h) seasons. LS - low slack; HS- high slack 146

Figure 5.2.Surface and bottom salinity by lunar phase during dry (a-d) and wet (e-h)seasons. LS - low slack; HS - high slack147

Figure 5.3. Surface and bottom dissolved oxygen by lunar phase during dry (a-d) and wet (e-h) season. LS - low slack; HS - high slack 149

Figure 5.4 Surface and bottom turbidity by lunar phase during dry (a-d) and wet (e-h)season. LS - low slack; HS - high slack150

Figure 5.5. Surface and bottom pH by lunar phase during dry (a-d) and wet(e-h) season.LS - low slack; HS - high slack151

**Figure 5.6**. Fluctuations of surface chlorophyll *a* and zooplankton biomass (surface and bottom) at different lunar phase during dry season. LS – low slack; HS – high slack **152** 

**Figure 5.7**. Fluctuations of surface chlorophyll *a* and zooplankton biomass (surface and bottom) at different lunar phase during wet season.LS – low slack; HS – high slack **156** 

**Figure 5.8**. Surface (top bar) and bottom (bottom bar) distribution of Gobiidae at different developmental stages by lunar phase during dry season; (a) preflexion, (b) flexion and (c) postflexion.1Q - First Quarter; FM - Full Moon; 3Q - Third Quarter; NM - New Moon; NE - night ebb; NF - night flood; DE - day ebb; DF - day flood. Shaded area represents night time. (Note different scale bar) 165

**Figure 5.9.** Surface (top bar) and bottom (bottom bar) distribution of Gobiidae at different developmental stages by lunar phase during wet season; (a) yolk-sac stage, (b) preflexion, (c) flexion, (d) postflexion and (e) juvenile.1Q - First Quarter; FM - Full Moon; 3Q - Third Quarter; NM - New Moon; NE - night ebb; NF - night flood; DE - day ebb; DF - day flood. Shaded area represents night time. Arrow shows slack water. (Note different scale bar) **168** 

**Figure 5.10**. Surface (top bar) and bottom (bottom bar) distribution of Engraulidae at different developmental stages by lunar phase during dry season; (a) preflexion, (b) flexion and (c) postflexion.1Q - First Quarter; FM - Full Moon; 3Q - Third Quarter; NM - New Moon; NE - night ebb; NF - night flood; DE - day ebb; DF - day flood. Shaded area represents night time. (Note different scale bar) **172** 

**Figure 5.11**. Surface (top bar) and bottom (bottom bar) distribution of Engraulidae at different developmental stages by lunar phase during wet season. (a) preflexion, (b) flexion, (c) postflexion and (d) juvenile. 1Q - First Quarter; FM - Full Moon; 3Q - Third Quarter; NM - New Moon; NE - night ebb; NF - night flood; DE - day ebb; DF - day flood. Shaded area represents night time. (Note different scale bar) 174

Figure 6.1. Total monthly rainfall of Taiping (Perak) area from January 2002 to December 2004. (Study duration for monthly sampling was from May 2002 to October 2003). 205

Figure. 6.2. Surface monthly mean values of temperature, salinity, dissolve oxygen, turbidity and pH in Matang mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent offshore waters (Station 6 & 7). 210

Figure 6.3. Surface monthly mean temperature (±SE) and 95% confidence intervals atdifferent stations from May 2002 to October 2003.211

Figure 6.4. 3-D Surface plot of temperature (°C) at different stations from May 2002 toOctober 2003.212

Figure 6.5. Surface monthly mean salinity (±SE) and 95% confidence intervals atdifferent stations from May 2002 to October 2003.213

Figure 6.6. 3-D Surface plot of salinity (‰) at different stations from May 2002 to October 2003. 214

Figure 6.7. Surface monthly mean dissolved oxygen (±SE) and 95% confidenceintervals at different stations from May 2002 to October 2003.215

Figure 6.8. 3-D Surface plot of dissolved oxygen (mgL<sup>-1</sup>) at different stations from May2002 to October 2003.216

Figure 6.9.Surface monthly mean turbidity (±SE) and 95% confidence intervals at<br/>different stations from May 2002 to October 2003.217

Figure 6.10. Surface monthly mean pH (±SE) and 95% confidence intervals at differentstations from May 2002 to October 2003.220

Figure 6.11. 3-D Surface plot of pH at different stations from May 2002 to October 2003. 221

Figure 6.12.Surface mean chlorophyll  $a (\pm SE)$  and 95% confidence intervals atdifferent stations from May 2002 to October 2003.222

**Figure 6.13**. 3-D Surface plot of chlorophyll  $a (\mu g L^{-1})$  at different stations from May 2002 to October 2003. **223** 

Figure 6.14. Surface mean of zooplankton biomass  $(gm^{-3})$  (±SE) and 95% confidenceintervals at different stations from May 2002 to October 2003.224

**Figure 6.15.** Biplots of larval fish abundance (various symbols) in relation to environmental factors (arrows). Only 6 families (Gobiidae, Engraulidae, Clupeidae, Sciaenidae, Ambassidae and Blenniidae) are presented in developmental stages. Legend to larval fish families and developmental stages are given in right boxes. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chl a – Chlorophyll a, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton. **225** 

**Figure 6.16**. CCA attribute biplots of Gobiidae larval abundance (various plots according to stations) in relation to environmental factors (arrows), a) preflexion stage, b) flexion stage and c) postflexion stage. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chl a – Chlorophyll a, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton. **229** 

**Figure 6.17**. Stable isotopes of primary producers and consumers (fishes) in the Matang Mangrove Forest estuaries.

Primary producers denote values from mangrove, seston (Hayase et al., 1995) and benthic diatoms (from Okamura et al., 2010). Zooplankton 1 denotes values from copepods, *Acetes*, mysids, *Lucifer*, ostracods. Zooplankton 2 denotes chaetognaths, porcellanid larvae, stomatopod larvae, caridean larvae and brachyuran larvae. Fish larvae include the larvae of dominant fish larvae belonging to gobiids, engraulids and blenniid (data from the present study). Juvenile fish includes ten major fish species (data from Then, 2008). 230

**Figure 6.18.** CCA attribute biplots of Engraulidae larval abundance (various plots according to stations) in relation to environmental factors (arrows), a) preflexion stage, b) flexion stage, c) postflexion stage and d) postlarvae stage. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chla – Chlorophyll a, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton. **231** 

**Figure 6.19.** CCA attribute biplots of Clupeidae larval abundance (various plots according to stations) in relation to environmental factors (arrows), a) preflexion stage, b) flexion stage and c) postflexion stage. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chla – Chlorophyll a, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton. **234** 

**Figure 6.20.** CCA attribute biplots of Sciaenidae larval abundance (various plots according to stations) in relation to environmental factors (arrows), a) preflexion stage, b) flexion stage and c) postflexion stage. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chla – Chlorophyll a, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton. **236** 

**Figure 6.21.** CCA attribute biplots of Ambassidae larval abundance (various plots according to stations) in relation to environmental factors (arrows), a) preflexion stage, b) flexion stage and c) postflexion stage. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chl*a* – Chlorophyll *a*, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton. **238** 

**Figure 6.22.** CCA attribute biplots of Blenniidae larval abundance (various plots according to stations) in relation to environmental factors (arrows), a) preflexion stage, b) flexion stage and c) postflexion stage. Sal – salinity, Temp – temperature, DO – dissolved oxygen, Turb – turbidity, Chla – Chlorophyll a, Zoo 500 – wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton. **240** 

**Figure 6.23**. Monthly variations of preflexion gobiids, copepods and chlorophyll *a* in (a) Matang estuary and (b) adjacent coastal waters. The zero baseline corresponds to the mean of these three parameters over the 18 months of survey. Values above this baseline indicate a larval density of plankton production above the average whereas negative values indicate a density of production below the average. **241** 

Figure 6.24. Monthly variations of preflexion Engraulidae larvae, copepods and chlorophyll a in (a) Matang estuary and (b) adjacent coastal waters. The zero baseline corresponds to the mean of these three parameters over the 18 months of survey. Values above this baseline indicate a larval density of plankton production above the average whereas negative values indicate a density of production below the average. Abundance of flexion and postflextion larvae were also plotted in the graph. The boxed area in (a) indicates a mismatch.

Figure 7.1. A conceptual model of early life history strategies of tropical mangrove fishes, in particular for Matang waters. Arrows indicate movements between habitats by long distance migrant species (LDM) e.g. Lutjanidae and Serranidae and short-distance migrant species (SDM) e.g. Engraulidae andSciaenidae. Resident species (R) e.g. Gobiidae, spawn and mature within the mangrove estuary. 257

### LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 2.1</b> . Detailed information of location and sampling period.	23
Table 2.2. Standard precipitation index (SPI) and precipitation categories.	26
<b>Table 4.1</b> . Numbers of sampled fish larvae and their mean density (N.100m <sup>-3</sup> ) by and station. Matang mangrove estuary (stations 1-5) and adjacent coastal (stations 6-7).	family waters <b>79</b>
<b>Table 4.2</b> . Mean density of fish larvae families by month in Matang mangrove and adjacent coastal waters.	estuary 80
<b>Table 4.3.</b> Monthly mean density of Gobiidae from May 2002 to October 2 relation to their developmental stages.	003 in 85
<b>Table 4.4</b> . Mean density of Gobiidae at seven stations (1-5: mangrove estuar offshore waters) and $P$ value of one-way ANOVA among the stations in relation the developmental stages.	y, 6-7: to their <b>86</b>

Table 4.5. Monthly mean density of Engraulidae from May 2002 to October 2003 in relation to their developmental stages. 90

Table 4.6. Mean density of Engraulidae at seven stations (1-5: mangrove estuary, 6-7: offshore waters) and P value of one-way ANOVA among the stations in relation to their developmental stages. 90

Table 4.7. Mean density of Clupeidae from May 2002 to October 2003 in relation to their developmental stages. 95

Table 4.8. Mean density of Clupeidae at seven stations (1-5: mangrove estuary, 6-7: offshore waters) and P value of one-way ANOVA among the stations in relation to their 95 developmental stages.

Table 4.9. Mean density of Sciaenidae from May 2002 to October 2003 in relation to their developmental stages. 100

Table 4.10. Mean density of Sciaenidae at seven stations (1-5: mangrove estuary, 6-7: offshore waters) and P value of one-way ANOVA among the stations in relation to their developmental stages 100

Table 4.11. Mean density of Ambassidae from May 2002 to October 2003 in relation to their developmental stages. 105

Table 4.12. Mean density of Ambassidae at seven stations (1-5: mangrove estuary, 6-7: offshore waters) and P value of one-way ANOVA among the stations in relation to their developmental stages. 105

Table 4.13. Mean density of Blenniidae from May 2002 to October 2003 in relation to their developmental stages. 109

Page

Table 2.1	. Detailed	information	of location	and sampli	ing period.	23
1 4010 201	Detuneu	mormation	or rocation	und sumpri	ing period.	-0

**Table 4.14**. Mean density of Blenniidae at seven stations (1-5: mangrove estuary, 6-7: offshore waters) and *P* value of one-way ANOVA among the stations in relation to their developmental stages.**109** 

**Table 4.15.** Monthly mean density of other fish larval families from May 2002 toOctober 2003 in Matang mangrove estuary and offshore waters.114

**Table 4.16**. Life history stages of fish families in estuaries of Matang Mangrove ForestReserve, and adjacent coastal waters, Malaysia.136

**Table 5.1**. Mean readings of water parameters ( $\pm$ SD) and summary of 4-way ANOVAin dry season (July 2003) in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light.**145** 

**Table 5.2.** Mean values of chlorophyll a and zooplankton biomass recorded in dryseason for each effect (lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light). Summary of 3-wayANOVA results on chlorophyll a and 4-wayANOVA on zooplankton biomass ofdifferent fractions are shown.153

Table 5.3. Mean readings of water parameters (±SD) and summary of 4-way ANOVAin wet season (November 2003) in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light.155

**Table 5.4**. Mean values of chlorophyll a and zooplankton biomass recorded during wetseason for each effect (lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light). Summary of 3-wayANOVA results on chlorophyll a and 4-way ANOVA on zooplankton biomass ofdifferent fractions are shown.157

**Table 5.5**. Mean values of environmental parameters ( $\pm$ SD) and summary of one-way ANOVA results between dry and wet season. Min = minimum, Max = maximum. **159** 

**Table 5.6.** Mean density (±SD) of fish larval families and non-parametric Kruskal-<br/>Wallis ANOVA in dry season (July 2003) and wet season (November 2003).**161** 

Table 5.7. Mean total density (±SD) and summary of non parametric Kruskal-WallisANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dry season and wetseason.162

**Table 5.8**. Mean density of Gobiidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dry season.

164

**Table 5.9**. Mean density of Gobiidae ( $\pm$  SD) and summary of non-parametric Kruskal-<br/>Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wet season.167

Table 5.10. Mean density of Engraulidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dryseason.171

Table 5.11. Mean density of Engraulidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wetseason.173

Table 5.12. Mean density of Sciaenidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dryseason.175

Table 5.13. Mean density of Sciaenidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wetseason.176

Table 5.14. Mean density of Blenniidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dryseason.177

Table 5.15. Mean density of Blenniidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wetseason.178

**Table 5.16**. Mean density of Clupeidae ( $\pm$ SD) and summary of non-parametric Kruskal-<br/>Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth and tide during wet season.**180** 

Table 5.17. Mean density of Cynoglossidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dryseason.181

Table 5.18. Mean density of Cynoglossidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wetseason.182

Table 5.19. Mean density of Ambassidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dryseason.183

Table 5.20. Mean density of Ambassidae (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wetseason.184

Table 5.21. Mean density of other families (±SD) and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during dryseason186

Table 5.22. Mean density of other families  $(\pm SD)$  and summary of non-parametricKruskal-Wallis ANOVA in relation to lunar phase, depth, tide and light during wetseason.187

**Table 6.1**. Mean surface water parameter readings from May 2002 to October 2003 in<br/>mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and offshore stations (Station 6 & 7) in the Matang<br/>Mangrove Forest Reserve.**208** 

**Table 6.2**. Mean surface water parameter readings in the mangrove estuary (stations 1-5) and offshore waters (6 & 7) in Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve.209

**Table 6.3**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with different developmental stages of Gobiidae in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton.

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\**P*<0.05; \*\**P*<0.01; \*\*\**P*<0.001) **228** 

**Table 6.4**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with different developmental stages of Engraulidae in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\**P*<0.05; \*\**P*<0.01; \*\*\**P*<0.001) 232

**Table 6.5**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with different developmental stages of Clupeidae in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001). 233

**Table 6.6**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with different developmental stages of Sciaenidae in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500µm' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500µm' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250µm' zooplankton

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001). **235** 

**Table 6.7**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with different developmental stages of Ambassidae in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250 $\mu$ m' zooplankton

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001). **239** 

**Table 6.8**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with different developmental stages of Blenniidae in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250 $\mu$ m' zooplankton

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001). 241

**Table 6.9**. Spearman rank correlation between abiotic factors and zooplankton with other larval fish families in mangrove estuary (Station 1-5) and adjacent coastal waters (Station 6 & 7).

Temp- temperature; Sal- salinity; DO - dissolved oxygen; Turb - turbidity; Chla - chlorophyll a; Zoo 500- wet weight of '>500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton; Zoo 250 – wet weight of '250-500 $\mu$ m' zooplankton, Zoo 125 – wet weight of '125-250 $\mu$ m' zooplankton

Asterisk indicates a *P*-value statistically significant (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001). 242

## LIST OF APPENDICES

### Page

**Appendix 2.1a**. Monthly volume of water filtered  $(m^{-3})$  for 363µm plankton net from May 2002 to October 2003 in the mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal water. **279** 

**Appendix 2.1b.** Monthly volume or water filtered ( $m^{-3}$ ) for 180 $\mu$ m plankton net from May 2002 to October 2003 in the mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal water. **280** 

Appendix 2.2. Volume or water filtered for 160μm plankton net during two 24-hourssampling in July and November 2003 in the mangrove estuary.281

**Appendix 2.3a**. Distance of one revolution of the 363µm net flow meter (F value) used from May 2002 to October 2003. **283** 

**Appendix 2.3b**. Distance of one revolution of the 180µm net flow meter (F value) used from May 2002 to October 2003. **284** 

Appendix 4.1. Mean density and percentage of larval fish families in Matang mangroveestuary and adjacent coastal waters.285

**Appendix 5.1**. Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post-hoc Newman Keuls test results on temperature in dry season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. **286** 

**Appendix 5.2**. Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post-hoc Newman Keuls test results on salinity in dry season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. **288** 

Appendix 5.3.Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post-hoc Newman Keuls test results on dissolved oxygen in dry season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. 290

**Appendix 5.4**. Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post-hoc Newman Keuls test results on turbidity in dry season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. **291** 

Appendix 5.5. Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post-hoc Newman Keuls test results onpH in dry season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light.293

Appendix 5.6. Summary of 3-way ANOVA results on chlorophyll a and 4-wayANOVA results on zooplankton biomass in dry season in relation to lunar phase, depth,tidal phase and light.295

**Appendix 5.7**. Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post hoc Newman-Keuls test results on temperature in wet season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. **296** 

Appendix 5.8.Summary of 4-way ANOVA results on salinity in wet season in relationto lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light.297

**Appendix 5.9**. Summary of 4-way ANOVA and post hoc Newman Keuls test results on dissolved oxgen in wet season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light.

298

Appendix 5.10. Summary of 4-way ANOVA results on turbidity in wet season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. 300

Appendix 5.11. Summary of 3-way ANOVA results on chlorophyll *a* in wet season in relation to lunar phase, depth, tidal phase and light. 301

Appendix 6.1. Larval fish assemblages in a tropical mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal waters: offshore - inshore flux of marine and estuarine species. 302

Appendix 6.2. Results of canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) based on the density-standardized, log-transformed, occurrence of 19 fish larval families from Matang mangrove estuary and adjacent coastal waters. 303