ADOPTION OF CLARIFIED INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF AUDITING (ISAs) AND INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF QUALITY CONTROL (ISQC) IN SMALL AND MEDIUM PRACTICE (SMP) IN MALAYSIA

ANG SOON YONG

FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ACCOUNTANCY UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

ADOPTION OF CLARIFIED INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF AUDITING (ISAs) AND INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF QUALITY CONTROL (ISQC) IN SMALL AND MEDIUM PRACTICE (SMP) IN MALAYSIA

ANG SOON YONG FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ACCOUNTANCY UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

2013

ADOPTION OF CLARIFIED INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF AUDITING (ISAs) AND INTERNATIONAL STANDARD OF QUALITY CONTROL (ISQC) IN SMALL AND MEDIUM PRACTICE (SMP) IN MALAYSIA

Ang Soon Yong

Bachelor of Accounting (Pass with Distinction)

University of Malaya

2006

Chartered Certified Accountant

Associations of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA) Member

Malaysian Institute of Accountants (MIA) Member

2010

Submitted to the Graduate School of Business
Faculty of Business and Accountancy
University of Malaya, in partial fulfillment
of the requirement for the Degree of
Master of Business Administration

January 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | ٧ |
| LIST OF TABLES | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | ix |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.0 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 3 |
| 1.1.1 Development of Clarified International Standard of Auditing (ISAs) | |
| and International Standard of Quality Control (ISQC) | 3 |
| 1.1.2. Adoption of Clarified ISAs and Clarified ISQC among the Small | |
| and Medium Practice (SMP) in Malaysia | 5 |
| 1.1.3 Resource preparedness of SMP on Clarified ISAs and Clarified | |
| ISQC in Malaysia | 11 |
| 1.1.4 Timeliness of audit report | 14 |
| 1.2 Research Questions | 16 |
| 1.3 Research Objectives | 18 |
| 1.4 Motivation of study | 18 |
| 1.5 Significance of study | 21 |
| 1.6 Organization of study | 22 |
| CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW | 24 |
| 2.0 Introduction | 24 |
| 2.1Backgroud of resource based view theory | 24 |
| 2.2 Resource based view theory and Clarified ISAs and ISQC | 29 |
| 2.3 Intellectual resource and Clarified ISAs and ISQC | 31 |
| 2.4 Human Resource preparedness and Clarified ISAs and ISQC | 35 |

| 2.5 I | Financial Resource preparedness and Clarified ISAs and ISQC | 38 |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.60 | Organizational Resource Preparedness and Clarified ISA and ISQC | 4 |
| 2.7 | The timeliness of audit report | 4 |
| 2.8. | Timeliness of audit report and Clarified ISAs and ISQC | 48 |
| 2.9 | Summary | 5 |
| CHA | APTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 5 |
| 3.0 | Introduction | 5 |
| 3.1 | Research design | 5 |
| 3.2 | Research framework | 5 |
| 3.3 | Hypothesis development | 5 |
| 3.4 | Measurement of research variable | 5 |
| | 3.4.1 Dependent variable | 5 |
| | 3.4.2 Independent variables | 5 |
| | 3.4.3 Mediating variable | 5 |
| 3.5 (| Questionnaire Design and Data Collection | 5 |
| 3.6 | Unit of Analysis | 5 |
| 3.7 | Measurement Development | 5 |
| | 3.7.1Questionnaire Design | 5 |
| | 3.7.2 Pre-testing | 6 |
| 3.8 (| Questionnaire Administration | 6 |
| 3.9 | Data Analysis | 6 |
| | 3.9.1 Pre-analysis Data Screening | 6 |
| | 3.9.2 Descriptive Statistic Analysis | 6 |
| | 3.9.3 Factor Analysis | 6 |
| | 3.9.4 Reliability Analysis | 6 |
| | 3.9.5 Hypothesis testing | 6 |
| 3.10 | Summary | 6 |
| CHA | APTER 4: RESEARCH FINDINGS | 6 |
| 4 0 1 | Introduction | 6 |

| 4.1 Pre- analysis Data Screening | 67 |
|---|-----|
| 4.2 Factor Analysis | 68 |
| 4.3 Reliability testing | 71 |
| 4.4Descriptive Analysis | 71 |
| 4.4.1 Characteristic of the responding firms | 72 |
| 4.4.2 Descriptive Analysis of Research Variables | 74 |
| 4.5 Pearson- Moment Correlation | 79 |
| 4.6 Hypothesis Testing | 81 |
| 4.7 Summary | 87 |
| CHAPTER 5:CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 88 |
| 5.0 Introduction | 88 |
| 5.1. Discussion of results | 88 |
| 5.2 Theoretical Implication | 93 |
| 5.3 Practical implication | 94 |
| 5.4 Limitation of study and Suggestion for future research | 96 |
| 5.5 Conclusions | 97 |
| REFERENCES | 99 |
| APPENDICES | 117 |
| APPENDIX A: Normality Test for Research Variables | 117 |
| APPENDIX B:Factor analysis For Research Variables | 129 |
| APPENDIX C: Reliability Testing for Research Variables | 139 |
| APPENDIX D: Descriptive Analysis for Research Variables | 142 |
| APPENDIX E: Multiple Regressions Analysis | 146 |
| APPENDIX F: Literature Sources of measurement Instrument adapted to | |
| this study | 151 |
| APPENDIX G: Questionnaire | 162 |

ABSTRACT

Recently, adoption of the Clarified International Standard of Auditing (Clarified ISAs) and International Standard of Quality Control (ISQC) have gain steady attention from various accounting practitioners and academicians. This Clarity project began in 2004 and was completed in February 2009. After 2 years of adoption of Clarified ISAs and ISQC, it is time for the practitioners to provide the feedback to the International Auditing and Assurance Standard Board (IAASB) on post implementation review of Clarified ISAs and ISQC. The study seeks to survey the internal resource preparedness of the Small and Medium Practice (SMP) for adoption of Clarified ISAs and ISQC by applying resource-based view theory. Further, impact of Clarified ISAs and ISQC on timeliness on audit report had been investigated. Standard multiple regression was used to analyse data from 115 respondents from Small and Medium Practice (SMP) in Malaysia. Based on the result of analysis, the study evidenced that the organizational resources and intellectual resources preparedness lead to the adoption of Clarified ISAs and ISQC among SMP in Malaysia. Besides, the adoption of Clarified ISAs and ISQC also affects the timeliness of audit report. Nevertheless, there are no significant association found between financial resource preparedness, human resource preparedness and the adoption of clarified ISAs and ISQC. Findings from the study have implications for academicians, regulatory bodies, auditing standard setters, professional accounting bodies, audit firms and auditees toward the adoption of Clarified ISAs and ISQC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge the assistance, support and encouragement from everyone who have assisted me to complete the research project. Without the guidance and assistance, the research project would not have been possible.

First and foremost, i would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Nurmazilah Mahzan for her endless support and guidance throughout the whole progress of the research project. Without her guidance, this research project would not be possibly done successfully.

In addition, I would like to thank all lecturers in Graduate Business School University Malaya throughout the 2 years study in MBA University Malaya. I believe the knowledge gained throughout the MBA is beneficial to me in the future. Besides, I would like to thank for Malaysian Institute Certified Public Accountant (MICPA) for the financial grant to support this research and participants from all small and medium practice in Malaysia for their valuable time in responding the survey.

Last but not least, loving appreciation is also dedicated to my family for their invaluable support, with necessary mention to my father, Mr Ang Kong Kiat, my mother, Mrs Lim Lee Eng, my sibling, Ang Soon Gin, Ang Sok Yee and Ang Sok

Ting. My sincere thanks to all my friends especially Phang Soon Yeow and Arison

Ho Sel Chuan for their guidance and moral support throughout the studies

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Table1.1.2 Significant changes of Clarified ISAs and ISQC | 7 |
| Table 4.3 Cronbach Alpha Values for each variable | 71 |
| Table 4.4.1 Profile of the Responding Firm | 73 |
| Table: 4.4.2(a): Summary of Descriptive of Research Variables | 74 |
| Table 4.4.2(b): Summary of descriptive for the adoption of Clarified ISAs | |
| and ISQC according to the ownership structure of the firm | 78 |
| Table 4.5 Correlation Coefficients of Variables | 79 |
| Table 4.6(a) :Collinearity Testing | 82 |
| Table 4.6(b): Mahalanobis Distance Test for Multivariate Outlier | 83 |
| Table 4.6(c): The result of multiple regressions | 84 |
| Table 4.6(d): Summary of Hypotheses Testing Results | 88 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|--------------------------------|------|
| | |
| Figure 3.2: Research Framework | .53 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACCA = Association Chartered Certified Accountant

AGAASB = Australian Government Auditing and Assurance Standard Board

AGM = Annual General Meeting

AOB = Audit Oversight Board

APB = Auditing Practices Board

CAATs = Computer Assisted Audit Technique CCM = Companies Commission of Malaysia

FRC = Financial Reporting Council

FA =Factor Analysis

GAS = Generalized Audit Software

IAASB = International Auditing and Assurance Standard Board

IAGs =International Auditing Guideline

IAPC = International Auditing Practice Committee

ICAEW =Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales

IFAC = International Federation of Accountant

ISAs = International Standard of Auditing

ISQC = International Standard of Quality Control

KMO =Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin

MCCG = Malaysia Code of Corporate Governance

MIA =Malaysia Institute of Accountant

MICPA = Malaysian Institute of Certified Public Accountant

MSA = Malaysia Standard of Auditing
PCA = Principal Component Analysis

PIE = Public Interest Entities

RBV = Resource based view of the firm

RMB = Reminbi

SC = Securities Commission

SME =Small and Medium Enterprise

SMP = Small & Medium Practice

VIF = Variance Inflation Factor

US GAAS =United States General Accepted Auditing Standard