

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 1.1	Malaysia MSW generation in 2003 and 2004 by states.	5
Table 1.2	Servicing areas according to disposal sites under the jurisdiction of AFSB.	8
Table 1.3	Areas covered by related landfills under the jurisdiction of Hulu Selangor District Council.	9
Table 2.1	Municipal solid waste generation in selected countries (1989).	18
Table 2.2	Municipal Solid Waste generation in selected countries for 1990.	18
Table 2.3	Waste generations rates of some Asian Countries according to the Gross National Income (GNI) for 2000.	21
Table 2.4	Typical municipal waste composition in Malaysia.	26
Table 2.5	Generation of waste at districts and township in Peninsular Malaysia in 1990.	27
Table 2.6	Sources and types of solid waste.	28
Table 2.7	Waste classifications.	30
Table 2.8	Waste composition among different types of households in Dalian, China.	36
Table 2.9	Simplified methods of composting technologies.	43
Table 2.10	Advantages and disadvantages of composting.	47
Table 2.11	Innovative Utilization of Compost (Source: US EPA, 1998).	48
Table 2.12	Benefits and disadvantages of vermicomposting process.	50
Table 2.13	Advantages and disadvantages of incineration plants.	54

Table 2.14	Advantages and disadvantages of different types of landfill.	64
Table 2.15	Siting guidelines related to hydro-geology.	65
Table 2.16	USEPA classification of sanitary landfill.	73
Table 2.17	Comparison between a single lining and a double lining system.	78
Table 2.18	Variables affecting the five elements of Environmental-Landfill Indexes	80
Table 2.19	Typical composition of MSW landfill gas.	82
Table 2.20	Leachate characteristics from selected landfills	92
Table 2.21	Physical process applicable for leachate treatment.	96
Table 2.22	Chemical treatment system applicable to treat leachate.	100
Table 2.23	Coagulants and flocculants in chemical treatment of wastewater	102
Table 2.24	Properties of some common coagulants.	103
Table 2.25	Advantages and disadvantages of aerobic treatment system.	107
Table 3.1	Summary of landfills under study.	122
Table 3.2	Detailed composition of MSW.	133
Table 3.3	Vermi-composting set-ups 1 (VCS1).	135
Table 3.4	Physico- chemical studies of leachate via coagulation and flocculation process.	139
Table 3.5	Series of leachate treatment trials with microbial cocktail.	140
Table 3.6	Percentages (% on wet weight) of different waste types received by landfills in Selangor.	143
Table 3.7	Density (kg/m ³) of waste received by the landfills in Selangor.	143
Table 3.8	Types of disposal sites in Selangor.	144

Table 3.9	Percentage of waste (on wet weight) from different sources.	145
Table 3.10	Food waste (% of wet weight) in the waste generated by different sectors.	146
Table 3.11	Average waste generation (kg per capita) by different income group in urban area.	159
Table 3.12	Average waste generation (kg per capita) by different income group in the sub-urban.	163
Table 3.13	Average waste generation (kg per capita) by different income group in the rural.	166
Table 3.14	Chemical Analysis of MSW from Selangor Landfills.	168
Table 3.15	Problem arises from VCS1.	170
Table 3.16	Analysis of vermi-compost in VCS1.	171
Table 3.17	Results of metal elements in vermi-compost (mg/kg) in VCS1.	172
Table 3.18	Analysis of the vermi-compost in VCS2.	174
Table 3.19	Metal elements in vermi-compost (mg/kg) from VCS2.	175
Table 3.20	Average values of leachate from nine landfills in Selangor.	183
Table 3.21	Correlation coefficient of various parameters in leachate analysis.	185
Table 3.22	Average concentration of metal elements in leachate from nine landfills in Selangor.	186
Table 3.23	Summary of the removal efficiency, correlation coefficient and coefficient of determination for different parameters at different concentration of FeCl ₃ .	194

Table 3.24	Summary of the removal efficiency, correlation coefficient and coefficient of determination for different parameters	198
Table 3.25	Rates of process for CH ₄ , O ₂ and CO ₂ .	210
Table 4.1	Landfill types and the average tonnage of waste daily.	217
Table 4.2	Annual MSW generation (tonnes) from rural, sub-urban and urban areas in Selangor, using the SWPlan application.	220
Table 4.3	SWPlan output on waste collection and waste transportation in Selangor.	221
Table 4.4	MSW recycled material in Selangor (annually) computed using SWPlan (%).	224
Table 4.5	Cost and revenues of recyclables from collection centers generated by SWPlan.	225
Table 4.6	Revenue and cost from recyclables computed using SWPlan for areas in Selangor.	226
Table 4.7	Data obtained from SWPlan computations for waste management in Selangor.	227
Table 4.8	Costs and revenue for landfilling activities incurred at different areas in Selangor (generated using SWPlan)	229
Table 4.9	Cost for recycling at different areas in Selangor (generated using SWPlan).	230
Table 4.10	Waste collection data for Selangor from SWPlan computations.	233
Table 4.11	Recyclable items collection for 2020 target as computed by SWPlan for Selangor.	233

Table 4.12	Recyclables collection of 2020 target, generated using SWPlan for Selangor.	235
Table 4.13	Annual revenues from recyclable at 22% recycling rate, as generated by SWPlan for Selangor.	236
Table 4.14	Revenue from second end market for food waste and garden waste as generated by SWPlan for Selangor as targeted in 2020 waste management proposal.	237
Table 4.15	Capital and operating costs for different waste management options for Selangor as generated by SWPlan using the target proposed in 2020 waste management plan.	238
Table 5.1	Brief description of the majority group among respondents of the survey.	247
Table 5.2	Cross-tabulation of waste separation activities among respondents (% responses).	277
Table 5.3	Perception of current waste management system (% of responses).	278
Table 5.4	Public consent on the PAYT system (% of responses).	280
Table 5.5	Public perception on recycling issues in all areas (% of responses).	281
Table 5.6	Issues of the improvement of environmental quality (% of responses).	283