APPENDIX E : Research Questionnaire-Round 2 Delphi Technique.



RESEARCH QUESTIONNAIRE-ROUND 2 OF DELPHI TECHNIQUE

Date 12th January 2012

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Re: Exploring Strategies for Monitoring and Key Indicator Framework for Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of World Heritage Cities of Melaka and George Town

Notes and instruction to an expert:

1.Based on your experience and judgment indicate your opinion on **Strategies for Monitoring and Key Indicator** Framework for Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of World Heritage Cities of Melaka and George Town 2.Please review (where is necessary) your previous position on each item; and if you think that you want to make amendment. Please thick your responses using the following: Agree, Not Agree and Not Sure. 3.In the event that your respond to particular question were more than one classification away from the groups, please state your reason(s) for differing from the majority opinion.

Your sincerely,

Sumarni Ismail (Ph.D Candidate) Department of Architecture Faculty of Design and Architecture University Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang Selangor, Malaysia Tel: +603 8946 4031 E-Mail: <u>sumarni.upm@gmail.com</u> Associate Professor Sr. Dr. Yahaya Ahmad Research Supervisor Faculty of Built Environment University of Malaya 50603 Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Tel: Email: <u>yahaya@um.edu.my</u>

PART A: RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

Name :	e-mail address:

Exploring Strategies for Monitoring and Key Indicator Framework for Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of World Heritage Cities of Melaka and George Town

This Survey consists of Part B, C, and D, which is the previous proposal. Part E is comments received from Round #1 for your response.

PART B: MONITORING STRATEGIES FOR URBAN FORM AND URBAN FABRIC AND HERITAGE BUILDINGS

There are eleven (11) Proposed Strategies and fifty two (58) Indicators for Urban Form and Urban Fabric and Heritage Buildings at WH Cities: Melaka and George Town, Malaysia identified from several workshops held at WH cites: Melaka and George Town (from 2009-2011). Do you agree with the following?

Please state your opinion on the monitoring strategies for developing of the key indicator for Urban Form and Urban Fabric and Heritage Buildings framework

		Strategies	Objective	Yes	No	Not
A: Urban Form and Urban Fabric	A1	The new developments (infill)	To monitor the impact of new development including new infill projects, new infrastructure works, new structures etc. within core and buffer zones.			sure
	A2	Renovation works	To monitor the impact of the restoration and conservation of heritage buildings on overall heritage values			
	A3	Landscape	To monitor effect on the overall landscape and the setting of the sites.			
	A4	Infrastructure works (services)/ Facilities	To monitor impact of the new infrastructure works at sites			
	A5	Visual Link and Cognition (Images)	To monitor impact on the visual setting, characteristic and setting of the sites			
	A6	Traffic & circulation and Pedestrian	To monitor the impact of circulation at the site from both vehicle and human being to the heritage building and townscape			
B: Heritage	B7	Building Condition.	To monitor consequences on condition of the heritage buildings			
Building	B8	Building under disaster/damage	To monitor impact of building damage due to disaster.			
	B9	Building Use.	To monitor the impact on building inappropriately used.			
	B10	Intervention & Repair	To monitor the consequences of intervention and repair to heritage buildings			
	B11	Signage	To monitor the effect of inappropriate signage on the building to the overall character of the heritage buildings			

Item	Theme	Strategies	Indicators
А	Urban Form and Urban Fabric	6	36
В	Heritage Buildings	5	22
	Total	11	58

Please suggest new strategy it not appropriate.

PART C: INDICATORS FOR URBAN FORM AND URBAN FABRIC

Following are key indicators for the above strategies:

А		Urban Form and Urban Fabric			
	Proposed Strategies	Proposed Indicators	Agree	Not Agree	Not Sure
A1	The new developments (infill) To ensure the integrity and	 Number of approved and completed projects yearly within core and buffer zones (w/ +ve HIA) Number of proposals/projects rejected (
	settings of urban form and	technically due to negative HIA reports)			
	urban fabric are retained and strictly controlled, especially within the property zone. -To ensure traditional urban fabrics; such as infrastructure, roads, pedestrians are sensitive to heritage setting	3 Number of on-going/completed projects that deem to threaten integrity and general settings. (high profile projects)			
		4. Number of new developments completed yearly that did not comply with the present guidelines. (high profile projects)			
		5. Number of completed projects that deem to threaten integrity and overall heritage			
	-To ensure new developments within the core and buffer	values due to early approval before site being listed.			
	zones are sensitive to the existing settings, and enhancing overall heritage values.	6.Number of stop works			

-to ensure resto carried out with	Restoration works -to ensure restoration work are	7.Number of application made yearly	
	carried out with proper manner	8.Number of approved restoration work (with amendment) yearly	
	according to conservation practice. (method of repair,	9.Number of application rejected	
	materials used and appropriate technology applied)	10 Number of project approved to current guide lines	
		11.Number of project completed according to current guidelines	
		12.Number of stop works (identified threats/ inappropriate)	
		13. Number of illegal renovation works detected yearly	

A3	Landscape	14. Number of new works approved annually		
	To ensure the softscape and	15.Number of completed projects that enhance the OUV		
	hardscape works are properly maintained, designed, appropriate and in-harmony with the character of the towns.(% green area provided)	16. Number of completed projects that deem to threaten integrity and overall of heritage characteristic.		

A4	Infrastructure works (services)/ Facilities	17 Number of new works approved annually		
	-to ensure the infrastructure	18 11.Number of completed projects that enhance the OUV		
	works (new/	19 Number of completed projects that deem to threaten integrity and overall heritage characters.		

sensitive to the existing setting.(e.g; road,	20. Number of the maintenance works yearly
drainage/sewerage, power supply, light pole,	21. Number of new facilities being integrated into buildings
telecommunication cable -To ensure the existing facilities are being maintained and	22. Number of reports on inappropriate equipment placed on the buildings (a/conditioning blower, aerial TV,ASTRO etc)
serviced regularly, and does not cause possible harm. To ensure new facilities are	
being designed and integrated harmoniously without	
threatening the authenticity of the properties	

A5	Visual Link and Cognition (Images) -To ensure the elements/character along the street entraps the eye with	23 Number of new elements (eye catch –up) that being introduced in the heritage setting (physical environment) (obtained approval from authority) that give negative impact to the heritage value.		
	heritage values (e.g.; Landmarks, edges, paths, streets, squares etc, texture of the town, topography and	24. Number of buildings (new / extension) are of different height (Sky line and the roofscape) give negative impact to the heritage value.		
	waterfront/edge)	25. Number of reclaimed area approved along the edge/waterfront yearly.		
		26 Number of completed reclaimed area within core and buffer zones that enhance the OUV		
		27 Number of reclaimed area within core and buffer zones that deem to threaten the OUV.		

A6		28. Survey of the traffic volume annually	
	circulation -To improve the existing traffic	29. Number of the road maintenance yearly	
	condition and to encourage	20. Statistic of accidents reported annually	
	sustainable mode of transport. - To provide pedestrian linkage	31 Number of approved road works yearly	
	from one place to another place.	32Number of approved pedestrian way yearly	
	piece	33 Number of rejected proposal for traffic circulation yearly	
		34 Number of rejected proposal for pedestrian way yearly	
		35 Number of works that enhance the heritage value	
		36 Number of completed work that deem to weaken the OUV	

PART D: INDICATOR FOR HERIATGE BUILDING

В	Heritage Buildings						
	Proposed Strategies	Proposed Indicators	Agree	Not Agree	Not Sure		
B7	Building Condition. To ensure the buildings are in good state of repair -rate of condition of the	37. Number and percentage of buildings that are in good, fair, poor and ruined conditions.38. Number of buildings that structurally					
	buildings are driven to the state	dangerous and not safe					
	of obsolescence through neglect and poor up-kept. -To ensure the buildings are safe to use.	39. Number of common defects reported by homeowner /stakeholders/users					
B8	Building under disaster/ damage - To ensure the buildings are	40. Number of buildings involved in natural disaster (Flood, earth quake, storm, tsunami etc)					
	protected/ prepared from nature and man-made disaster.	41. Number of buildings involved in manmade disaster (fire)					

В9	B9 Building Use. To ensure the buildings retain their traditional use; and/ or have acceptable use	42. Records of building use when inscribed (2008)		
		43. Records of building use annually.		
		44 Number of licensed buildings		
		45 Number of unlicensed buildings (illegal use such as bird nests)		
		46 Number of compound to buildings owners		
		47 Number of court cases recorded		

B10	Intervention & Repair	48. Record of intervention annually	
	 -to ensure the authenticity and integrity of the heritage building is maintained and 	49. Number of projects that won local, national and international awards	
	retained -To ensure repair and conservation works are	50. Number of projects funded by government or other agencies	
	sensitively done and in accordance to the acceptable	51. Number of projects (minor repair) carried out by homeowner themselves	
	conservation practices. To ensure the internals layout of traditional buildings,	52. Number of completed projects that deem to threaten integrity and overall heritage values.	
	especially, the shophouses and townhouses are generally retained, and any intervention should respect traditional	53.Number of project need to re -instate (to regained the authenticity of the heritage buildings)	
	layout and values. -To ensure traditional materials, tiles, decorative elements and other parts and		
	details and are being		

conserved, repaired and/o	r		
replaced with appropriat	e		
materials and using suitabl	e		
techniques.			
-To ensure overall design,			
proportion and details of front			
facades are retained, and to			
minimize integration with new			
materials.			
-to ensure the repaired works			

B11	Signage To ensure building signage are	54. Number of buildings with signage compliance to new guidelines	
	sensitively placed and not covering details of the front facades. Many heritage buildings facades are being covered/screened by advertisement boards that disguise the unique feature of these building.	55. Number of new application for signage annually	
		56. Number of no rejected application that deems to threaten the heritage value.	
		57. Number of licensed signage that give positive impact on the building as well as the overall character of the building	
		58. Number that refused to remove that gives negative impact to the building and heritage value.	

PART E. These are suggestion from Round #1 for Monitoring Strategies and Indicators to be considered for monitoring WH sites. Please state your opinion

.

Item	Descriptions	Agree	Not	Not
			agree	sure
1	Amount of works being carried out without approval			
2	Number of projects using expert heritage advice			
3	Access to traditional building trades and materials			
4	Authenticity of fabric, spaces, streetscapes			
5	Diversity of traditional building uses remaining			
6	Diversity of traditional trades / crafts / shop uses remaining			
7	Street vendors remaining			
8	Other street uses remaining (markets, play, food, festivals)			
9	Diversity of transport inc. traditional (rickshaws)			
10	Cross section of population inhabiting areas (balance of low to			
11	medium income			
	earners) (balance of different religions, cultural practices)			
12	Items for sale produced locally (including food)			
13	Archaeological issues addressed			
14	Interpretive strategies integrated into project?			
15	Projects that had early consultation with heritage bodies			
16	Percentage of tourism related uses			
17	Retention of domestic commercial uses (egg chemist, baker,			
	hairdresser, doctor etc?) in WH area (and not all relocated to			
	shopping malls)			

Comments:

ONCE AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION