

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Original Literary Work Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstrak	v
Acknowledgement	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Abbreviations	xviii
List of Appendices	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Rationale for Improving Building Maintenance Management by Adopting the Most Appropriate Procurement Strategy for Universities' Buildings in Malaysia	6
1.4 The Aspects of Procurement Method Selection Process that Need Improvement	10
1.5 Research Aim and Objectives	13
1.6 Research Methodology	13
1.7 Scope and Limitation of Study	18
1.8 Benefits of the Study	19
1.9 Structure of Thesis	20
1.10 Summary	22
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW: PROCUREMENT METHOD FOR MAINTENANCE PROJECT	
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Asset, Facility and Building Maintenance Management	23
2.2.1 Asset Management	23
2.2.2 Facility Management	25
2.2.3 Building Maintenance Management	27
2.2.3.1 Definition of Building Maintenance	28
2.2.3.2 Importance of Building Maintenance	31
2.2.3.3 Type of Building Maintenance	32
2.2.3.4 Development of Building Maintenance Management in Malaysia	33
2.3 Background of Universities in Malaysia and their management system	37
2.3.1 Introduction to Universities in Malaysia	38
2.3.2 Building Maintenance Management for Public Universities in Malaysia	42
2.4 Procurement Method	46
2.5 Procurement Method in Building Maintenance	49
2.5.1 Direct Labour or Inhouse	51

	2.5.2 Outsourcing	54
	2.5.3 Out-tasking	65
	2.5.4 Public Private Partnership (PPP)	66
	2.5.5 Total Facilities Management (TFM)	67
	2.5.6 Traditional	67
	2.5.7 Partnering	68
2.6	Procurement Selection Criteria	71
	2.6.1 Client Requirements and Characteristics	74
	2.6.1.1 Experienced contractor availability	75
	2.6.1.2 Quality level	75
	2.6.1.3 Knowledge of the strategy	75
	2.6.1.4 Degree of Responsibility	76
	2.6.1.5 Client's financial capability	76
	2.6.1.6 Price competition	77
	2.6.1.7 Time certainty	78
	2.6.1.8 Speed	78
	2.6.1.9 Public accountability	79
	2.6.1.10 Clarity of scope	79
	2.6.1.11 Involvement of owner in the project	79
	2.6.1.12 Working relationship	80
	2.6.1.13 Intuition and past experience	80
	2.6.1.14 Client's in house technical capability	80
	2.6.1.15 Price or Cost certainty	81
	2.6.1.16 Risk allocation or avoidance	82
	2.6.1.17 Dissatisfaction with previous process used	83
	2.6.1.18 Degree of Complexity	83
	2.6.1.19 Degree of Flexibility	84
	2.6.2 Project Characteristics	85
	2.6.2.1 Existing building condition	85
	2.6.2.2 Project size	85
	2.6.3 External environment or factors	85
	2.6.3.1 Objective or policy of organization	85
	2.6.3.2 Government policy	86
	2.6.3.3 Disputes and arbitration	86
	2.6.3.4 Political issues/constraint	86
	2.6.3.5 Culture differences	87
2.7	Current practice of procurement method selection	87
2.8	Current practice of procurement method selection of public universities in Malaysia	91
2.9	Process of Implementing Sourcing Strategy	94
2.10	Performance of sourcing strategy	95
2.11	Summary	96
CHAPTER 3	LITERATURE REVIEW : DECISION MAKING USING ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)	
3.1	Introduction	99

3.2	Decision Analysis (DA)	101
3.3	Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM)	103
	3.3.1 Multiple Attribute Utility Theory (MAUT)	108
	3.3.2 The Elimination and Choice Translating Reality (ELECTRE)	109
	3.3.3 Preference Ranking organization Method for Enrichment Evaluation (POMETHEE)	110
	3.3.4 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	111
	3.3.4.1 Basic principles of the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	113
	3.3.4.2 Steps for conducting study using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	122
	3.3.4.3 Advantages of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	123
3.4	Justification for using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	126
3.5	Summary	130
CHAPTER 4	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
4.1	Introduction	131
4.2	Research Design	133
4.3	Identification of Research Population and Criteria for Selecting Respondents	142
4.4	Phase 1: Literature Review	146
4.5	Phase 2: Main Data Collection (Postal Questionnaires Survey)	148
	4.5.1 Piloting the questionnaire	149
	4.5.2 Questionnaire Design	151
	4.5.3 Conducting the survey	154
4.6	Phase 3: Developing decision making framework	157
4.7	Phase 4: Validation of the framework (Structured interview)	159
	4.7.1 Interviewee Selection	160
	4.7.2 Interviewee Instrument	163
	4.7.3 Conducting Structured interview (Validation of the framework)	165
4.8	Data Transformation	166
4.9	Summary	167
CHAPTER 5	QUANTITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	
5.1	Introduction	168
5.2	Descriptive Statistic Analysis	169
	5.2.1 Respondent's Particular and Background	169
	5.2.2 Building and Project Characteristic	173
	5.2.3 Criteria for Procurement Selection	181
	5.2.4 Type of Procurement	182
	5.2.5 Decision Making in Procurement Strategy Selection	191
	5.2.6 Performance of Procurement Method	194
5.3	Summary	195

CHAPTER 6	DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF DECISION MAKING FRAMEWORK USING AHP AND EXPERT CHOICE SOFTWARE	
6.1	Introduction	197
6.2	Determination of Selection Criteria	199
6.3	Determination of Procurement Method Option	201
6.4	Developing the Decision-Making Framework	202
	6.4.1 Integration of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique and principles	203
	6.4.1.1 Define the problem and determine its goal	205
	6.4.1.2 Structure the hierarchy	206
	6.4.1.3 Construct a set of $n \times n$ pair-wise comparison matrices	210
	6.4.1.4 Establish priorities by making pairwise comparison	210
	6.4.1.5 Synthesis the pairwise comparison and obtain the overall priority ranking	211
	6.4.1.6 Evaluate the consistency for the entire hierarchy	212
	6.4.2 Adaption of Expert Choice Software as Development Tool	213
6.5	Implementation and Validation of Decision Making Framework	216
	6.5.1 Interviewees Profiles	218
	6.5.2 Results	219
	6.5.2.1 Research Universities	219
	6.5.2.1 (a) University RA	219
	6.5.2.1 (b) University RB	226
	6.5.2.1 (c) University RC	232
	6.5.2.2 Comprehensive Universities	239
	6.5.2.2 (a) University CA	239
	6.5.2.2 (b) University CB	245
	6.5.2.3 Focused Universities	252
	6.5.2.3 (a) University FA	252
	6.5.2.3 (b) University FB	258
	6.5.2.3 (c) University FC	265
	6.5.2.3 (d) University FD	271
6.6	Summary of the Results in Comparison with Interviewees' Decision	277
6.7	Procurement Methods Comparison	278
6.8	Overall Evaluation Results for Decision Making Framework for Procurement Method Selection of Building Maintenance Management for Public Universities	281
6.9	Summary	283
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
7.1	Introduction	285
7.2	Summary of Finding	285
	7.2.1: Objective 1: To identify criteria for procurement methods selection in building maintenance project	288

	7.2.2: Objective 2: To investigate the current procurement method option for public universities in Malaysia	290
	7.2.3: Objective 3: To review the current practices adapted by public universities organization in selecting procurement method	291
	7.2.4: Objective 4: To establish a decision making framework using AHP as a basis of development	292
7.3	Contribution of the Research	296
7.4	Recommendation for Future Research	297
7.5	Summary	298
	BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCE	299