TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract iii - iv
Abstrak v - vi
Acknowledgement vii
Table of Contents viii - xi
References xii
Appendices xii
List of Tables xiii - xv
List of Figures xvi

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.0 The Importance of Science and Technology 1 - 3
1.1 Background of the Study 3 - 4
1.2 The Education Policy: the 60:40 Policy 4 - 5
1.3 Definition of Policy and Implementation 5 - 13
1.4 Creation of Education Policy in Malaysia 13 - 14
1.5 Vocational and Technical Education 14 - 16
1.6 Statement of the Problem 16 - 25
1.6.1 The 60:40 Policy 16 - 17
1.6.2 Enrolment of Students in the S/T studies 17 - 18
1.6.3 Implementation of the S/T Policy 19 - 23
1.6.4 Various Players involved in the Policy 24
1.6.5 Macro versus Micro level of policy formation & implementation 24 - 25
1.7 The Model for the Research 25 - 26
1.8 The Purpose of the Research 26 - 27
1.9 Research Questions 27
1.10 Significance/Importance of the Research Study 27 - 28
1.11 Definitions of Terms 28 - 32
1.12 Conclusion 32 - 33
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction 34 - 37

2.1 Information-Communication Technology (ICT) 37

2.2 Status of Science and Technology Education in Malaysia 37 - 44
  2.2.1 The Philosophy and Aims of Science Education 38 - 39
  2.2.2 Science Education 39
  2.2.3 Technology Education 39 - 40
  2.2.4 Issues relating to the Development of Technical/Vocational Education 40 - 41
  2.2.5 Financial Issues in Technical/Vocational Secondary School 41 - 44

2.3 Smart Schools (Sekolah Bestari) Project 44 - 46

2.4 Challenges in Education in Southeast Asia 46 - 47

2.5 The Asian ‘Tiger Economies’ 48

2.6 Education, Economic Growth and National Competitiveness 48 - 57
  2.6.1 National Level of Competitiveness 48 - 55
  2.6.2 The Organisational Level 55 - 57

2.7 Investment in Education 57 - 65
  2.7.1 Investment in Education and Economic Growth 57 - 60
  2.7.2 Human Capital and the Wealth of the Nation 60 - 61
  2.7.3 Education and K-Workers 61 - 64
  2.7.4 The Benefits of Education 64 - 65

2.8 Multinational Companies, Foreign Direct Investment and Education 66 - 70

2.9 Reality of Implementation of the 60:40 Policy 70 - 74

2.10 Factors Pertaining to Students 74 - 76

2.11 Family and Society 76 - 78

2.12 School Factors 78 - 82

2.13 Environment and Society 82 - 86

2.14 Analysis for Low Demand of Science and Technology Studies in Secondary Schools 86 - 94

2.15 Conclusion 94 – 96
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

3.0 Introduction 97

3.1 Rationale for choosing a Qualitative Approach 97 - 98

3.2 The Research Methodology 98 - 115
   3.2.1 The development of the conceptual model for the Research Study (Stage 1) 100 - 101
   3.2.2 Determination of issues in the model (Stage 2 & Stage 3) 101 - 102
   3.2.3 The Six Big Questions (Stage 4) 102 - 103
   3.2.4 The Research Design (Stage 5) 103 - 104
   3.2.5 The Research Instruments (Stage 6) 105 - 107
   3.2.6 Getting the Primary Data (Stage 7) 107 - 115

3.3 Reliability and Validity in the Qualitative Research 115 - 118
   3.3.1 Reliability 115 - 116
   3.3.2 Validity 116 - 117
   3.3.3 Ensuring Credibility in the Research Study 117 - 118

3.4 Limitation of the Study 118 - 122

3.5 Summary 122 - 123

3.6 Epistemology of Qualitative Research 123 - 126

CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS

4.0 Introduction 127

4.1 Analysis of Data from the Questionnaires 127 - 173
   4.1.1 The First Research Question 127 - 152
   4.1.2 Second Research Question 152 - 164
   4.1.3 Third Research Question 164 - 167
   4.1.4 Fourth Research Question 167 - 173

4.2 Summary of the Findings 173 - 174

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.0 Introduction 175

5.1 The Effectiveness of the Research Model 175 – 176

5.2 Summary of the Findings 176 - 179
5.3 Discussion of the Key Findings
  5.3.1 School Factors
  5.3.2 Factor Conditions
  5.3.3 The Support and Related Factors
  5.3.4 Prevailing Demand Conditions

5.4 Implications of the Study
  5.4.1 Teachers’ Perception of the 60:40 Policy
  5.4.2 Ability to add value to the teaching-learning process
  5.4.3 Teaching of S/T and the Concepts of Jerome Bruner and Jean Piaget
  5.4.4 Ability to give value to the students in the learning of S/T
  5.4.5 Emphasis of S/T Education at the Secondary Schools
  5.4.6 Policy Planners and Implementers not interacting
  5.4.7 Teachers’ Perception of their Students
  5.4.8 Supporting Role of the Government to the Secondary Schools
  5.4.9 Strategy
  5.4.10 Structure and Culture
  5.4.11 Leadership
  5.4.12 Incentives for studying S/T in Schools
  5.4.13 Economic Growth in the long term
  5.4.14 Students and Family Incomes
  5.4.15 New-Knowledge based Industries

5.5 The 60:40 Policy Implications
  5.5.1 Science and Technology Education
  5.5.2 Economic Growth
  5.5.3 Investment in Human Resource
  5.5.4 Knowledge Workers
  5.5.5 Multinational Enterprises in Human Resource Development
  5.5.6 National Competitiveness

5.6 Management Implications

5.7 Recommendations
  5.7.1 Centralization of S/T Schools
  5.7.2 Supply and Demand for S/T Education
  5.7.3 A Systems Approach
  5.7.4 Spiral Curriculum for S/T Education from Primary to Secondary Schools
  5.7.5 Recognition of the Teachers

5.8 Conclusion
REFERENCES

APPENDICES

Appendix A  Analysis of Questionnaire Data from the Three Secondary Schools  245 – 255
Appendix B  Data from 100 students pursuing Science and Technology Students in Three Secondary Schools  256 - 260
Appendix C  Recordings of Interviews with Teachers in the Three Secondary Schools  261 - 275
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Human Resource Capital Indicators</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Contributions to the study of Implementation of Policy</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Number of MOE Secondary Schools</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Technical and Vocational Education</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Number of Students in the Technical and Vocational Schools (2006-2015)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Enrollment of Science and Technology Students in Form 4 and Form 5 in 1988</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Enrollment of Science and Technology Students in Form 4 and Form 5 in 2010 - 2012</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Percentage Enrollment of Students in Science and Arts in Public Higher Institutions of Learning in Malaysia 1990 - 1999</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Courses studied by Parents</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Unemployment rates and GDP (2001 - 2012)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>Employment by Major Occupational Groups, 2006 - 2015</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Estimation of Development Cost-Benefits of Technological and Vocational Education</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Growth Rate of Selected East Asian Economies</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Estimated Educational Expenditure by Purpose and Against Total Government Expenditure and Gross National Product (GNP) 1995 – 2006 (summarized from Table 1)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Federal Government Development Allocation and Expenditure by Sector 2001 – 2010</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Development Expenditure and Allocation for Education and Training 2001 – 2010</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Countries and Average Years of School</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>PISA Scores in Reading, Mathematics &amp; Science in 2009 &amp; 2012</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8 Malaysia’s Education Expenditure, Percentage of GNP and Percentage of Total Federal Budget
2.9 Enrollments of students at the Public Universities and Private Higher Education Institutions 2000-2010
2.10 Enrollment of Students for first degree in five instances
2.11 Employment by Major Occupational Groups 2006-2015
3.1 Characteristics of the Three Secondary Schools
3.2 Information about the teachers and their participation in the 60:40 policy
3.3 Information about the Participating Students
4.1 Six Types of facilities for teaching & learning in critical situation
4.2 Thirteen situations relating to students
4.3 Factors influencing students to opt for the Science and Technology Stream
4.4 Students’ satisfaction/dissatisfaction of studying S/T
4.5 Students’ evaluation of the teaching of S/T in the schools
4.6 Pursuing science and technology education at the higher level
4.7 Factors encourage students to further their education at higher level
4.8 Feasible/not feasible to encourage more students to take up S/T
4.9 Teachers’ readiness towards the 60:40 policy
4.10 Teaching of S/T should or should not be strongly emphasized in secondary schools
4.11 Study of S/T is crucial in secondary schools
4.12 Confidence of 60:40 policy will come true
4.13 The 60:40 policy is for the country to become a developed nation
4.14 The School Culture
4.15 Transactional or Transformational Leadership Style
4.16  Head Teachers’ relationship with teachers  
4.17  Head teachers’ share vision with teachers  
4.18  Head Teachers encouraging trust worthiness among teachers  
4.19  Head Teachers encourage cross-subject team formation  
4.20  Providing support and specific forms of professional development for S/T teachers  
4.21  Magnitude of flexibility among teachers  
4.22  Team player attitude in the three secondary schools  
4.23  Problems between policy makers and teachers (implementers)  
4.24  Parental support  
4.25  Support from the Ministry of education and the Education Department  
4.26  Family Incomes  
4.27  Educational level of Parents  
4.28  Jobs of the Parents  
5.1  Teachers’ Disposition towards the Implementation of the Policy  
5.2  Percentage of students studying in the science streams  
5.3  Employment by Major Occupational Group 2006 - 2105 (from Table 4.31, p. 171)  
5.4  Family Incomes
## LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Institutional Model</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>The Group Model</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>The Elite Model</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>The Flexible Model</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Van Meter and Van Horn’s Model of the Policy-Implementation Process</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>The Research Model</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Policy Implementation Chart</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Porter’s Diamond</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Process of Investment in Education and Economic Growth of a Country</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Driving Forces of a Globalizing Economy</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Factors Relating to Students’ Academic Performance</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>A Model Indicating Factors that Influence Students to take up the Science and Technology Courses</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>A Model of Factors and Special Influences that Influence Decision for Life Long Involvement in Science and Technology</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>The Research Methodological Process</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Research Model for the implementation of the 60:40 policy</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>A Collective Case Study</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>The Perfect Flower</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>The Research Model for the Implementation of the 60:40 Policy</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Factor Conditions Chain Activities</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>A System Approach for Implementation of 60:40 Policy</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>