CHAPTER 2
LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction

The 60:40 policy has become a prerequisite for the achievement of Vision 2020 (Aini Abdullah & Madinah Hussin, 1996,)

The driving forces for Malaysia to achieve the said policy are due to the following developments:

(1) Malaysia has to import foreign works for the plantations, construction industry, domestic help, restaurant and service stations. In the long term this foreign workforce will become costly to maintain and the costs of production and service will eventually increase.

(2) The manufacturing sector is facing competition from Thailand, Indonesia, China, Vietnam and Cambodia with their low wages and rich resources. Furthermore, Malaysia does not have the capabilities and competencies that exist in South Korea, Japan and Taiwan. If the manufacturing sector adopts the modern production technology, it still has to depend on foreign skilled workers even though it is possible to outsource parts and services from other places with intensive labour.

(3) It is also statistically determined that if the employment continues to increase (e.g. 3.4%, 1996), Malaysia will still be short of labour because the natural growth rate of the local force (e.g. 2.18% in 1995) cannot match the increase in demand (Aini Abdullah & Madinah Hussin, 1997).

(4) Globalization has created a convergent impact on countries in terms of common goods and services. As a consequence, goods and services can be sourced from different places by the firms to take advantage of their costs and production qualities in order to reduce the overall cost or to gain better quality or functionality of their product offerings. All these enable organisations to compete more effectively. Malaysia
requires the capability to meet global challenges and to compete with the global products and services (Azman Mohd. Yusof, 1997).

(5) Countries are liberalizing their economies and removing the barriers to trade and investment and business organisations are able to reach the economies of scale to produce quality products at a lower cost. Countries with their firms attaining strategic competitiveness are able to gain competitive advantage over their neighbouring countries. Malaysia needs the human capability to sustain its competitiveness with the neighbouring countries.

(6) In this 21st century, knowledge has become the principal driver of economic growth. Countries that are able to develop their workers to become knowledge-based are able to produce products or services that are of higher technological value and of greater benefits to the users. In this way, these countries can gain a better competitive edge over those that do not have the knowledge-based workers (or k-workers).

(7) Information Communication Technology (ICT) has made manufacturing processes more flexible, improved the quality of products and the manufacturing cost as well as customer services. As a consequence, firms are able to expand their businesses to other countries. Furthermore, ICT is also the facilitator of learning and knowledge advancement among the people in terms of lifelong learning.

Malaysia therefore needs to develop the human resources to meet the challenges in the competitive global markets or it will lose its competitiveness.

Education has been the key player to bring about the development of human resources as seen in the developed countries and in countries that do not have other natural resources like Japan, Taiwan, Holland, Denmark and Singapore. Malaysia has gone through a period of capital investment to spearhead economic growth and moved towards science and technological education with the strategic intent to make the country a developed nation since the 1990s.
Knowledge in science and technology creates values in the economic activities of a country and brings about economic growth. For example, Germany and the Scandinavian countries invest heavily in education, vocational training and research on the fundamental basis to create “a highly educated and skilled workforce” as well as “for the development of advanced scientific and technological knowledge” (Harrison et. al., 2000, p.287). According to Gary Hamel and C. K. Prahalad (1996):

*In the information age, things are ancillary, knowledge is central. A company’s value derives not from things, but from knowledge, know-how, intellectual assets, competencies – all embedded in people.*

This implies that industries need the necessary knowledge to be able to create values in their activities and products or services to meet the needs of the buyers. This has become a prerequisite for industries to remain strategically competitive. The industries can only do this effectively if they have workers who possessed the knowledge in science and technology. This aspect is further emphasized by Dess and Lumpkin (2003, p.119) when they said:

*Human capital is the foundation of intellectual capital and that for companies to be successful organizations they must recruit talented people – employees at all levels with the proper sets of skills and capabilities coupled with the right values and attitudes. Such skills and attitudes must be continually developed, strengthened, and reinforced.*

As existing industries acquire more knowledge, they in turn create new knowledge-based industries which become the important contributors of employment opportunities, exports and the economic growth of the country. Eventually, knowledge in science and technology becomes a valuable commodity.

The idea to improve the labour force with tertiary education is to create a critical mass of scientific and technical manpower to attain the knowledge economy. This reinforces the importance of the 60:40 policy in the schools which are the providers of the student inputs for the tertiary education institutions to create the required scientific and technical manpower. A paradigm shift was made in 2002 for the mathematics and science subjects to be taught in English at the primary schools and secondary schools.
from 2003. The seriousness of the Government towards the 60:40 policy, has led to the creation of the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) in 2002 to enhance the development of the higher education in the country. Prior to this development, the Private Higher Educational Institutions Act 1996 has enabled more than 500 private universities and colleges to be established to complement and supplement the efforts of public sector to provide higher education opportunities. Subsequently it is shown that the percentage of 17-23 cohorts having access to higher education had increased to 29.9% in 2006 from a mere 12% in 1985. The Government set up the National Higher Education Fund (PTPTN) to enable students to get access to higher education. To date more than RM13 billion are disbursed from the Fund benefitting more than 1.1 million students in both private and public sectors.

2.1 Information-Communication Technology (ICT)

The adaptation of ICT in the schools is to restructure the teaching-learning practices and school management in preparing children for the information age. The memory-based learning approach is to be replaced by the enquiry or discovery learning approach where students take responsibility for their own learning with the aid of computer generated programmes especially in the science and technology subjects. The ICT is expected to enable more students to participate in the science streams in schools and to achieve the 60:40 policy (The Malaysian Smart Schools Project: An Innovation to Address Sustainability, 2006).

2.2 Status of Science and Technology Education in Malaysia

As Malaysia inspired to become a developed nation by 2020, it has drawn the attention of the government of the important role of science and technology to bring about the necessary transformation. It recognizes the importance of science and technology to
develop a scientific and progressive society that is creative enough to respond to environmental changes and capable of contributing to the scientific and technological advances for the country. The developed countries have achieved more than 5 in their human resource capital indicators. It implied that each developed country has at least 5 professionals, scientists and technocrats in every 1,000 population. The Scandinavian countries have more than 10 in their human resource capital indicators, while Japan has about 7 and Singapore 8. These developed countries have the necessary knowledge-based workforces to sustain their competitive advantages in terms of productivity and innovations.

Malaysia has to improve its number of scientists and technocrats from 2 to 10 in every 1000 population by 2020 (Malaysia, Ministry of Science, Technology & Innovation, 2008). With a population of 30 million, Malaysia should have a pool of 300,000 professionals, scientists and technocrats to be in the position of a developed nation.

The creation of the scientists and technocrats has to start from the secondary schools and that more students should be encouraged to study science and technology. These schools are the cradles for such students to provide the inputs for the institutions of higher learning. Unfortunately only about 30% of the students in the upper secondary schools are interested to study science and technology subjects (Malaysian Education Statistics, MOE, July 2012). The position of the science and technology education in the secondary schools can be viewed from five aspects:

2.2.1 The Philosophy and Aims of Science Education

The National Philosophy of Science Education stated that science education should “nurture a science and technology culture.”

According to Sharifah Maimunah (2000), science education is directed:
To develop the potential abilities of individual citizens to become scientifically and technologically literate, competent in scientific skills, practice good moral values, capable of coping with the changes of scientific and technological advances and be able to manage nature with wisdom and responsibility for the betterment of mankind.

2.2.2 Science Education

Science is a compulsory subject for all students in the primary and lower secondary schools. At the upper secondary schools students are given the choice to study pure science or science electives. The science curriculum is designed to enable students to master three scientific skills:

(a) Process skills – mental processes that encourage critical, creative, analytical and systematic thinking.

(b) Manipulative skills – psychomotor skills used in scientific investigations such as proper handling of scientific equipment, substances, living and non-living things.

(c) Thinking skills – creative thinking and innovation, problem solving, reasoning and thinking critically.

From the teaching approaches students learn the desirable values and attitudes to appreciate the learning of science and technology subjects.

2.2.3 Technology Education

Elements of technology-based education are introduced at the upper primary level i.e. year 4 to year 6 through the living skills curriculum, which covered various aspects of manipulative skills. This subject is taught to all students until the lower secondary level. The central aim is to enable the students to have an early prevocational education at the primary level of schooling.

At the upper secondary level, technology-based education courses become more specialized and are offered as electives. These include invention,
information technology, engineering drawing (offered in general academic schools) and the very highly specialized technical and vocational subjects are done in the technical and vocational schools.

The technical education is aimed at developing the potentials of students who have the interest and inclination towards a technology-oriented programme. On the other hand, the vocational education is to provide students with general and technical subjects towards providing them with employable skills and a good foundation for admission into polytechnics and other institutions of higher learning.

According to Sharifah Maimunah (2000) the “main problems encountered in the teaching of science and technology” are that the teaching is too much examination-oriented with little opportunities for learning of scientific thinking skills and individual practical activities; abstract topics are taught and questions are not challenging to the higher intellectual level skills of students; inquiry-discovery approach of teaching and learning is not applied; science and technology teachers are not enough and dissemination of curricular changes at the federal and state levels are not well coordinated. However, with the incorporation of the information communication technology (ICT) into the school systems the teaching and learning environment is expected to improve but it has not turned out to be so.

### 2.2.4 Issues Relating to the Development of Technical/Vocational Education

According to Sufian Hussin (2004) the fundamental purpose of the technical and vocational education is to produce a group of young semi-skilled entrepreneurial for the various requirements in industries to bring about the economic and
technological advances in the country. He is of the opinion that such an education should have objectives such as:

(1) It should be more practical oriented, more professional and more intensive and to make these things possible, the technical and vocational students should be given the opportunities to study at the polytechnics and then to the institutions for further professional training. This should enable them to have more and effective practical training from 2 to 3 years. On the other hand, the school sessions for vocation training should be extended to three times a week.

(2) The technical and vocational students should be trained in entrepreneurship and given the opportunities to work in other agencies.

(3) The technical and vocational education should be an alternative non-academic education to those students who completed the SMR.

(4) The quality of the curriculum and teaching of technical and vocational subjects should be improved through getting the local firms and government agencies involved in the apprentice programmes and entrepreneurial courses.

2.2.5 Financial Issues in Technical and Vocational Secondary Education

Technical and vocational secondary schools involve higher cost per student compared to other secondary schools. As a consequence, technical education is offered to a small number of students as seen in Table 1.4 page 19, only 4.4% of the schools offer such courses. The cost and benefits of the provision of technical and vocational education at the secondary schools are provided by Sufean Hussin (2004) in his book Dasar Pembangunan Malaysia, Teori dan Analisis. Here he provides an illustration of how the cost-benefit ratio is derived when investing in
vocational education in schools. The cost of development of the technical and vocation education is based on four aspects namely the construction cost of a vocational school; the cost of providing the teachers; the cost of practical materials required for training purposes and the cost of apprenticeship and other practical training (Table 2.1).

Table 2.1: Estimates of development cost-benefits of technical and vocation education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost per year</th>
<th>RM (Million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction cost of more vocational schools</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15 units x RM3 million]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of teaching services</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15 teachers x 15 x RM25,000]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of practical materials for intensive vocational training</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[80000 students x RM120]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of cooperative apprenticeship &amp; entrepreneurial courses</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[80000 students x RM40]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost per year</td>
<td>63.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benefits per year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits per year</th>
<th>RM (Million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual subscription = 80000 students x RM60</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit from supply of skilled technical human resources = 70000 persons x 12000/year</td>
<td>840.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit derived from economic development of private sector = 10000 persons x RM24000/year</td>
<td>240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit from foreign exchange from foreign workers = 80000 persons x RM1200</td>
<td>960.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit to income tax = 10% x RM1080 million</td>
<td>108.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total benefit short term and repeating</td>
<td>2152.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The returns from the cost of development of the technology and vocation education are from annual subscriptions from students, the supply of skilled technical human resources, the foreign exchange of foreign workers and income tax benefits. The cost to benefit ratio is 1:34 i.e. by investing RM1.00 the expected return of this investment is RM34.00 after 3 or 4 years (after the vocational/technical students entered the job market). The financial returns from vocational/technical schools are very high. The above financial calculations justify the development of vocational/technical education.

According to Sufean Hussin (2004):

*Even though the beneficial returns far outweigh the initial capital cost, the other more important factor is the making of the availability of skilled technical human resources for the country without having to depend on foreign workers and the saving of many millions in foreign exchange. It will bring about more equitable opportunities to our children the choice to study vocational and technical subjects according to their abilities and interests. The ultimate objective is to see that the technical and vocational education helps to develop our human resources to meet the country needs and its economic advancement.*
Other possible benefits from the investment in the vocational and technical education are the increase in productivity and according to Machlup (1970, cited in Alexander and Salmon, 1995, p. 68) this can be derived from five positive effects such as:

(1) Better working habits and discipline, increase labour efforts and greater reliability are achieved.

(2) Better health exists through more wholesome and sanitary ways of living.

(3) Improved skills prevail due to better comprehension of working requirement and increase efficiency.

(4) Quick adaptability is made possible to momentary changes especially in jobs which require quick evaluation of new information and in general, fast reactions.

(5) Increased capability prevails to move into more productive occupation when opportunities exist.

According to Brown (1977, cited in Alexander and Salmon, 1995, p.68) the productivity of workers brings about improvements in:

(1) Producing more and better quality of products or services.

(2) Producing more highly prized goods and services.

(3) Lessening loss of time due to unemployment and illness.

(4) Assessing own talents, achieving better skills and becoming more receptive to new technologies, new products and new ideas.

(5) Tending to have greater job satisfaction.

Other studies done on vocational education programmes found that the rates of returns were high for both the individual (private) and the State (society). For example, Hu, Lee, Stromdorfer and Kaufman (1969) found that by comparing a vocational educational programme to the academic programmes at the secondary
school level in three large cities, the private rates of return were found to be extremely high with an estimated Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of 56.8%. This was further supported by the findings of Alexander and Melcher (1980) of the substantial rates of return to certain post-secondary education courses for licensed practical nursing and heating and air conditioning, but found negative private and social returns for cosmetology. However, Corazzini (1968) and Taussig (1968) found lower rates of return in vocational education (cited in Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p. 65). As with regard to undergraduate and graduate programmes, the rates of return varied substantially among the courses and programmes in vocational education.

The general opinion is that vocational education appears to be quite productive (Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p. 65).

### 2.3 Smart Schools (Sekolah Bestari) Project

In 1996 the Ministry of Education introduced the Smart Schools Project. A Smart School is defined as a school that is equipped with the “state-of-the-art multimedia/computing equipment and comprehensive teaching and learning materials in four subject areas, Bahasa Malaysia, English, Science and Mathematics” (Malaysia 2000: 373). On 9/3/2008, an article entitled “Smart schools shaping up well” appeared in the New Sunday Times. It reported that there are actually 88 smart schools in the country and at the end of 2007 (9 years after the project started in 1999), 47 have done well while 41 still need to be given special attention. Of these 47 Smart schools, 25 are given a 5 star ranking the maximum rating under the Smart School Qualification Standards (SSQSs) while all the other smart schools have achieved 2 to 4 stars ranking.

A team from the University of Kebangsaan Malaysia’s School of Language Studies and Linguistic did the research on the efficacy of Smart schools in 2004, and after
updating the findings in 2007, they found four main problems are faced by the Smart Schools:

(1) Students and teachers become more IT literate and have learnt the basic skills no doubt, but the better students have not benefitted from the interactive learning because the learning programmes are too basic and taken from the textbook.

(2) Teachers are not creative enough to generate learning programmes for individual needs or to bring about interactive teaching but are only concerned to complete the syllabus. Some teachers have no idea of the Smart teaching concept.

(3) There are not enough computers and no funds for maintenance of the hardware and no system analyst to assist the teachers.

(4) Teachers, administrators and students cannot use ICT creatively for teaching and learning purposes.

Fundamentally the team advised that it needs a cultural shift to occur first for the Smart School concept to work. The process of such a transformation requires more than just the facilities. It requires first of all the right teachers who possessed the skill, knowledge and competency to bring about the required school cultural changes. Perhaps Edward Victor and Marjorie S. Lerner (1975, pp. 189-190) can help to provide the idea of what the school cultural changes are expected to be in the teaching and learning of science and technology. They said that “wherever possible the discovery approach should be used to teach science. This approach enables students to acquire knowledge by discovering it for themselves.” They pointed out that “the use of audio-visual aids like the computers can definitely facilitate self-discovery learning by the students.” They also emphasized that “science teaching and learning could be made effective if appropriate problems are given to the students to solve through the discovery learning approach” and that “teachers actually play the important role to
select or create appropriate learning programmes for the students to learn science by the
discovery approach.” The activities to be done by the students “should challenge their
intellectual ability and extend their knowledge.” Furthermore, Frederick H. Bell (1978,
p.242) conferred that discovery learning serves four useful and beneficial purposes:

(1) Students on their own will learn to figure out things.
(2) Students will develop the attitudes, practices and strategies in problem-solving.
(3) Students will enhance their ability to analyze, synthesize and evaluate
information in a rational manner. These were the higher forms of cognitive
development.
(4) Students will attain intrinsic rewards in terms of interest and satisfaction in
learning tasks and discovering things and becoming motivated to learn better.

These are possible ways by which Smart schools can do to facilitate the teaching and
learning of science and technology subjects. By encouraging the creative learning
process, students will continue to learn science and technology on their own when they
move on to the institutions of higher learning or when they decide to work. The
teachers are to play a crucial role as smart and innovative facilitators. They can use the
enquiry or discovery approach to bring about the learning of science and technology
among the students and develop creative learning programmes to challenge the
intellectual capability of the students. In this way more students will be encouraged and
motivated to study science and technology because of the intrinsic rewards they will receive.

2.4 Challenges in Education in Southeast Asia

Looking at the development of the education systems in the countries in this region of
the world provides a better perspective of how these countries respond to the challenges
of globalization. At the same time it shows the position of Malaysia in relation to these countries in the advancement of education. According to Arief S. Sadiman (2004) other countries in “South-East Asia like Brunei, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam” have similar emphasis on education as Malaysia. These countries are interested to make education accessible to all their people. They enhance the quality of education and encourage the study of science and technology in schools and higher institutions of learning. However the rapid expansion of education has lowered the quality of education. They have their unique problems like differences in population size, geography, culture and social-economic development. They lack schools, facilities, good text books and teaching materials, financial resources and shortage of teachers. Other than Singapore and Brunei, they have problem with poverty for example “Lao PDR (40%), Philippines (40%), Vietnam (37%), Cambodia (36%), Indonesia (27%), Myanmar (25%), Thailand (10.4%) and Malaysia (8%)” (The World Fact book, 2004).

The countries in this part of the world are making attempts to improve their education systems but with different outcomes. On the other hand, Brunei provides free education up to the university level while Singapore has free education only at the primary school level and Malaysia has fee education up to the secondary school level. Basing on the human resource capital indicators in 2011, Singapore has achieved a value of 7.9, while Malaysia attained a value of 2 which is better than Thailand and Indonesia. Malaysia is second to Singapore in its development of science and technology education in this part of the world. Currently Malaysia has a population size which is about six times that of Singapore and requires more financial resources and time to reach a human resource capital indicator of 10 per 1000 population.
2.5 The Asian ‘Tiger Economies’ (http://student.bton.ac.uk)

The Asian ‘Tiger Economies’ like South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore have common aims and purposes of higher education and the needs of the economy. They are interested “to improve the quality and relevance of courses offered so as to match national manpower requirements; increase the capacity of enrolment in science, engineering and technical-related courses and increase the capacity and capability to undertake research and development.” Furthermore there is also the emphasis on “the economic role of higher education on the balance of subjects to be taken at the undergraduate level.” For example in Taiwan 55% of students studied either engineering or business administration while in Singapore the emphasis is on science and technology. The second aspect of the role of higher education is “the development of social and moral values.” South Korea is under pressure to increase the number of higher education places from the current 30% level. Singapore is planning for a modest increase to 60% participation in the higher education level.

From here, Malaysia’s 60:40 policy and the 40% participation of higher education are realistic when compared with those of the Asian Tigers. Even China too advocates Science and Education to revitalize the nation; its education has been geared to cater for China’s industrialization and modernization.

2.6 Education, Economic Growth and National Competitiveness

If education can help to improve the economic environment of a country then it will be of strategic importance to any country. In order to understand how this is possible it is necessary to explore the concept of how nations achieved their competitiveness at two different levels i.e. national level and organizational level.

2.6.1 National Level of Competitiveness

At this national level three aspects concerning national competitiveness are examined:
1. Porter’s Diamond

2. Factor Endowments and cultural Values

3. Malaysian Situation

1. Porter’s Diamond
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Figure 2.1: Porter’s Diamond (1990)

Porter concluded that there are four broad factors that contributed to the competitiveness of a nation and he termed them as “the diamond of national advantage.” These factors are:

(a) Factor conditions refer to the highly skilled labour and specialist support services to contribute to the national production than the natural resources, climate and the general skills of the labour force. *The country has the human resource pool to draw upon.*

(b) Demand conditions refer to the size of the home market demand for the industry’s products or services. It must be big enough to enable firms to reach economies of scale and to enable the firms to enhance their technological development. In this way an advanced competitive industry can evolve in the country. Educated and affluent consumers tend to demand for more innovative products or services and such demand conditions force firms to become creative and innovative.
(c) The related and supporting industries are those firms that produce the necessary inputs such as raw materials, parts and other resources for the manufacturing industries to produce the final goods. These supporting industries possessed the skilled and technological capabilities to support the manufacturing industries. *The skills and technological capabilities of the workers are derived from formal education and the training from the industry.*

(d) Firm structure, strategy and rivalry refer to the conditions in the nation governing how firms are created, organized and managed, as well as the nature of domestic rivalry. Strong consumer demand, supplier bases and high entrants of related industries create intense competition that is beneficial to the country. They make the firms to become more efficient in the home market and later on to compete in the foreign markets.

Porter’s diamond (Figure 2.1) provides a creative insight of how a country is able to reach the level of competitive advantage in a globalized world. He has shown that the role of the government is basically to develop the infrastructures, the financial system and the education system, and to provide the support for research and development. But above all, education in terms of science and technology is the critical path to the economic growth and long term development of a country. The sustainability of a nation’s economy and competitiveness is dependent on its pool of scientists and technocrats. For example India is able to achieve a high level of competitiveness in the software industry because it has the second largest annual output of scientists and engineers in the world, next to the United States (Dess & Lumpkin, 2003, p. 232).

2. Factor Endowments and Cultural Values

The size and quality of the natural resources have been used to determine the economic development of a country. Therefore, countries with plenty of agricultural land, mineral resources, a favourable climate or a strategic geographical location are expected to be
highly developed economies for example in the United States and Canada. Norway has made good use of its North Sea oil reserves, Spain of its favourable climate and Hong Kong of its strategic location at the hub of the Asia Pacific region. But there are many countries which are underdeveloped in spite of natural advantages: Nigeria and several other OPEC members have rich oil deposits but remain poor; Ukraine has high-quality coal, iron ore, oil and chemical raw materials but remains poor economically; Turkey in a key strategic location between Europe, Asia and the Middle East cannot sustain its political and economic importance. Japan, with few natural resources apart from its people becomes one of the most developed countries in the world (Harrison et al, 2000, p.119). It is also one of the triad nations standing side-by-side with USA and EU.

Natural resources are important to a country but it is the way in which a country developed its resources that is important. A country may not have natural resources but it has the ability to acquire them. For example Holland has limited land space but it has the technical and scientific capability, determination and creativeness to reclaim land from the North Sea to increase the size of the land and then to use it to grow flowers, fruits and vegetables to supply the world markets. In fact, Holland becomes the world exporter of cut-flowers (Harrison et al, 2000, p.225). Similarly Japan has little landmass and the building of warehouses to store inventory is a very expensive affair. Japanese companies resolved this situation by introducing the just-in-time inventory management and achieved the advantage over companies that have expensive warehouse inventories (Dess & Lumpkin, 2003, p.230). South Korea has little natural resources but it possessed a culture of strong work ethic with a large number of engineers and systems of large firms that are experienced in manufacturing (Hanson et al, 2002, p.282). A similar situation occurs in Japan (Hill, Charles W.L., 2009, p.190). Japan and the East Asian tiger economies resolved their problem of a shortage of natural resources by acquiring raw materials, technology and skill from abroad. More recently
they improved the quality of their resources by concentrating on education, the quality of their workforce and work practice, and investment in research and development.

The East Asian culture is also a significant factor contributing to the economic success of a country e.g. the Japanese culture – “Japanese workers have a strong sense of pride in their work and supported by close-knit, authoritarian structures of Japanese society” (Harrison et al, pp.199-200). They produce quality goods and export them to other countries. The emphasis on education, particularly mathematical and technological education, has a cultural root in countries like Japan, Singapore, South Korea and Taiwan. Japan has become one of the world’s most educated and most education-conscious nations. It consistently provides a larger allocation of its GDP to education than any European or other Asian nation (Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p. 58).

However, Krugman (1973) has warned that “economic growth depended heavily on government investment, government-supported bank lending, or through the mutual support of company networks” has no sustainability for long term development. This is because the economic growth is limited by the supply of resources and the law of diminishing returns. He has emphasized the importance of productivity growth as the means to economic growth (Harrison et al, 2000, p. 200). Therefore the quality of education, the quality of workforce and work practice, the required cultural values, and investment in research and development as seen in the developed countries, are the necessary factor endowments for sustainable economic growth of a country. The quality of education refers to the science and technology education, the prime factor for a country to attain competitiveness and for sustainable economic growth (Harrison et al, 2000, p.225).

3. Malaysian Situation
Malaysia has the natural resources such as oil, rubber, timber, oil palm, minerals and 30 million people. However it does not have the skilled and capable human resources for
the industries to reach the sophisticated technological level to gain the competitiveness of advanced countries. It has become imperative for Malaysia to develop the science and technology education in order to reach the level that is comparable with the developed nations.

According to Swanson, G.I. (1959, pp. 300-303) “the society must ensure that education provided the means for the full development of the students to enable them to blossom for what they are worth” and that “opportunities must prevail for them to study science and technology education to enable them to attain higher skills and technical knowledge”. He said that “education must be accessible to students according to their preferences or inclinations” and that “teacher should identify the abilities of students as early as possible to provide for their needs and enable them to become useful and productive persons in the society.”

Swanson also gave an insight of what science and technology education can do for the students. He cautioned that it is:

* A fallacy just to choose to study only engineering, science and professional courses and failed to realize that there are other vocations that are vital to the society such as the electrician, the mechanic, the toolmaker, the farmer, the chef, the surgeon and the clerk.

He said that it is wrong to assume that “if a student failed in one area of study, he or she could not succeed in other areas.” Swanson recommended that schools should provide “opportunities for science and technology education to save many students to enable them to become useful and productive persons in the society.”

Swanson has provided a foresight of the importance of the 60:40 policy and that through science and technology education in the schools many students can be saved to contribute to the economy of the country.

Malaysia is not different from other countries in the development of its education system and policy to make education to be accessible to all citizens and to maintain its quality, equity and management. It has to make a difference. It has to have the strategic
ability to create a pool of professionals and technocrats as quickly as possible to have the scientific and technological capability to develop highly skilled technological industries for the country to gain the competitive advantage. It has to begin by achieving the 60:40 policy.

As shown in Table 2.2, in 1977–1985 and 1986–1996, Malaysia experienced economic growth rates that ranged from an average of 6.3% per annum to an average of 7.8% per annum respectively.

Table 2.2: Growth Rates of Selected East Asian Economies
(Average % per annum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) World Bank East Asia & Pacific Economic Update 2012 Vol 1– Appendix: Table 2 p. 88 (2006 & 2011)

Table 2.2 also illustrates the growth rates of the best performing economies in East Asia and South-East Asia in the 1980s and 1990s. Except Philippines the remaining countries have experienced being the Tiger economies in this region. Malaysia became a tiger economy in early 1990s.

When the Asian financial crisis started in mid 1997, Thailand, South Korea, Indonesia and Malaysia were badly affected. However, in the subsequent years after the financial crisis, Malaysia together with Thailand, South Korea and Indonesia started to recover economically. By 2006 Malaysia attained a growth rate of 5.8% which was comparable with Thailand and South Korea and 5.5% in 2011. Malaysia has learnt
from this past experience that it is not just the quantity of investment but its quality that really matters.

The economic growth can no longer be based on the use of large quantities of factor inputs but on productivity growth. The East Asian model is not the sound basis for long term development. For the last 15 years, Malaysia has taken the necessary steps to recover its economic position.

It has established key industries (the car industry, petrochemical industry, and construction industry) to stimulate economic growth; invested in infrastructures; established institutions for learning and training; instituted sound monetary and fiscal policies and promoted confidence in businesses, consumers and investors.

It has brought about many changes to transform the institutions of learning and the industries to play a critical role to provide the education and to bring about the transformation of the human capability to sustain the country’s economic growth and competitiveness. However the course of actions to transform the human resources towards the science and technology aspects has faltered along the way and by 2011 Malaysia has achieved only a value of 2 in its human resource capital indicator while South Korea has been steadfast in its course of action and attained a value of 6.9 in its human resource capital indicator. South Korea has achieved the status comparable to Japan since 2011 (Table 1.1). Malaysia was in the same position with South Korea in 1997.

2.6.2 The Organisational Level

According to Gary Hamel and C. K. Prahalad (1996), “knowledge, know-how, intellectual assets and competencies” are the important things in organisations. Furthermore, “knowledge, information, intellectual property and experience” are known
as the intellectual capital (Tom Stewart, a *Fortune* writer, cited in Dess & Lumpkin, 2003, pp.116-117).

Therefore the intellectual capital i.e. the intangible assets that are imbedded in human resources is the source of the wealth of a nation. It is through the firms that this intellectual capital creates wealth for the nation.

This concept is based on the resource-based view which stipulates that the intangible assets in firms provide the means to utilize the tangible resources (assets) to create capabilities. Many of these capabilities are related to scientific and technological processes in the production activities. When these capabilities become rare, valuable, difficult to be imitated or substituted, they create sustainable core competencies for firms to attain sustainable competitive advantage (J.B. Barney, 1991). Therefore when firms in the country are capable of achieving competitive advantages, the country in which these firms operate attains competitive advantage over other countries.

Intellectual capital is therefore the thing to bring about productivity growth before it is possible to create wealth for the nation. The process of building intellectual capital requires “attracting, recruiting and hiring the best and the brightest as the first critical step” (Dess & Lumpkin, 2003, p.119). The sources of supply of these people are from the institutions of learning such as schools, colleges and universities where the science and technology education plays a pivotal role to provide the best and the brightest for the country to meet the challenges of this new century.

Globalization has made the world a big playing field and created so much competition among organizations in almost all industries and services in terms of technological improvements, management processes and innovations. The rapid rate at which technological developments are taking place is aided by the advancement of information-communication technology (ICT).
ICT has caused information to flow rapidly to those who need it and this sharing of information has led to greater creativity and the innovation of more value-added products and services. A consequential effect is the shortening of the product’s life cycle. This has created the impetus for organisations to look for people who are educated in science and technology to make changes to meet the new challenges (Dess & Lumpkin, 2003, p.230). This is a serious challenge for the country to achieve the 60:40 policy.

2.7 Investment in Education

This section examines four aspects of investment in education:

1. Investment in Education and Economic Growth;
2. Human Capital and the Wealth of the Nation
3. Education and K-Workers
4. Benefits of Education

2.7.1 Investment in Education and Economic Growth

Adam Smith (1776) gave a wrong concept that human was a fixed capital and was eventually consumed as part of a product cost. Seventy two years later, Horace Mann (1848) recognised the worth of human intelligence as the true wealth of a nation (cited in Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p.49). In 1858, the economist H. Von Thunen affirmed the fact that human is part of a nation’s capital and that a more highly educated person earned a much higher income than an uneducated person and that this higher intellectual ability is acquired through an educational process (cited by Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p.49). After this, economists and educators become interested to quantify the value of human capital.
The World Bank in 1991 reported that sustained development in many countries, especially the Scandinavian countries after 1870 and the East Asian economies after World War 2, is due to education. In the same year, the Economist reported that the rise of the economic Tigers of Asia namely China, South Korea, Hong Kong, Taiwan, Malaysia, Singapore and Indonesia, is mainly due to their investment in education and that has been the single biggest source of comparative advantage in terms of making available well-educated workers for the industries. Then in 1992 the Economist identified 3 powerhouse economic systems of the world – USA, Europe and Asia (Japan in particular) and predicted that their future depended on “their ability to educate their workforces and to create high value-adding jobs” (Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p.47).

Further impetus is given to education as an economic tool to national advancement, because in 1992 John W. Meyer and others referred to “education as a critical path to economic development and progress.” Many countries begin to see education as an economic tool to national advancement. As a result, most countries want to have comparable level of student enrollments in institutions of learning because they know that education can improve their societies in terms of skills, attitudes, behaviours and knowledge. This affirms what Psacharopoulos (1981) has pointed out that the development of a country will greatly improve if there is investment in education (cited in Cullen & Parboteeh, 2005, p.102).

Companies compete intensely in the global market and education has implications to organizations that intend to go international. At a very fundamental level, educational levels give an indication of the skills and productivity of workers in any society. Workers who are more educated have more skills and they are more likely to contribute to a country’s production, in term of products and services. A country with an abundant supply of well-educated individuals has the advantage to be able to absorb the technology from developed countries. For example if a country’s education system
is not set for high level technical skills then it is incapable to get involved in high technological projects (Barro, R. J. & Jong, W. L., 2000).

Undoubtedly education is an investment for any country to achieve its long term well-being and that this human capital investment provides the way to a productive economy. Psacharopoulos (1991) has affirmed that education makes important contribution to economic growth in terms of two aspects:

(1) It increases the total number of educated people and gives rise to a society more prepared to face the development of a country.

(2) It prepares a knowledgeable and skillful human capital that will contribute the expertise for the technological progress and economic development.

Malaysia has invested in its human capital especially in the fields of science and technology. This aspect of education has become the indicator of the human resource inventory because it contributes to technological development (OECD, 1999). The areas that provide high investment returns are found to be in the engineering, science and medicine. The research done by MOE with Harvard University in 1997 has shown that the rate of return in Malaysia is very high at the higher education (BPPDP, 1997). Investment in education provides returns to individuals and to society because it improves the living standard and the health of a society as well as reduces the crime rate (OECD, 1999). In Malaysia it is found that increasing education level provides higher returns to individual and society (BPPDP, 1997 cited by Sufean & Norliza, 2009, p. 22).

However, the main problem faced by Malaysia and other developing countries is the lack of candidates at the higher education level in the science and technology courses (MOE, 2001; OECD, 1999). This has put the country in a disadvantaged position in not having sufficient workforce for innovative process in technology and new product development for the world market (Chew & Lee 2001, 1995). This is because knowledge and skill in science and technology are like agents to achieve economic
development of a country especially in this era of challenging globalization (Malaysia, 2001).

Figure 2.2 summarises the process of investment in education and economic growth of a country.

![Figure 2.2: Process of Investment in Education and Economic Growth of a Country](image)

2.7.2 Human Capital and the Wealth of the Nation

Frederick H. Harbison (1973) has maintained that the human resources are responsible for the wealth of nations. He has stressed that if a country cannot “develop the skills and knowledge of its people” or “to utilize them effectively in national economy” then it will not be able to develop anything else.

It is inappropriate to measure the wealth of a nation purely in term of GDP or GNP without taking into consideration of the value and conditions of human capital. It is because the strength of the national economy depends on the capability of the human resources. If there is an economic problem because of underdevelopment or underutilization of human resources, education is the primary instrument to resolve this problem (Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p.50).

Lewis, W. A. (1977) has observed that a certain level of education is necessary for the people to be able to appreciate and to take advantage of technological advances. He
has advocated that government should invest in elementary and secondary schools to provide the foundation of a quality education to enable the absorption of technological advances. This is because more people can be benefited at the elementary and secondary schools than fewer people at the high education levels. He is referring to the science and technology education.

2.7.3 Education and K-Workers

Malaysia has identified education as the best machinery to bring about the transformation of a production based economy to a k-economy and the institutionalization of a flexible, creative and innovative and a morally ethical culture. The Education Development Plan (2001-2010) identified four broad aspects to create k-workers: accessibility to education, equity in education, quality of education and effectiveness and efficiency in education management and they are similar to those of the US (Alexander & Salmon, 1995, pp. 12-13). The US has a history of 365 years of development in its education system (Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p.3) while Malaysia has a history of about 55 years. For a country to attain the state of k-economy it needs k-workers to provide the intellectual capital. This can only be made possible by the education system (Becker, 1993 cited in Dess & Lumpkin 2003, p.118).

K-workers are those who have acquired the knowledge and skills especially in science and technology and which are critical to organizations for operational sustainability and also to improve their intellectual capitals. They never stop learning and that their knowledge, skills and creativity continue to enhance the intellectual capital and the worth of organisations. They become the most important assets in organisations (Hamel & Prahalad, 1996).

In the k-economy, wealth is constantly being created through the effective management of k-workers but not by the efficient control of physical and financial
assets. Knowledge is coming more important not only indicated by the labour market but by the way companies are being acquired or by which investments are made in companies. In essence, investments or acquisitions are made based on the talents, capabilities, experience, skills, knowledge and information in the organisations but not so much on the tangible assets of the organisations (Dess & Lumpkin, 2002, p. 116). This is because intellectual capital brings about the generation of creative ideas for the development of innovative products and services and which help organizations to achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

The k-worker is recognized as an important key besides others, to succeed in the market place. It is found that “more than 50% of the GDP in developed economies is by knowledge-based i.e. based on intellectual assets and intangible people skills” (Dess & Lumpkin, 2003, pp. 116).

Table 2.3: Estimated Educational Expenditure by Purpose and Against Total Government Expenditure and Gross National Product (GNP) 1995 – 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>(a) Total Education Expenditure</th>
<th>(b) Total Federal Expenditure</th>
<th>(c) GNP at Market Price</th>
<th>(a) against (b) (%)</th>
<th>(a) against (c) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>9,734,107,320</td>
<td>48,797,932,300</td>
<td>202,389,000,000</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14,079,737,820</td>
<td>78,025,291,600</td>
<td>295,843,000,000</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>16,719,469,500</td>
<td>117,444,984,600</td>
<td>436,157,000,000</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>19,784,645,200</td>
<td>136,748,522,510</td>
<td>436,157,000,000</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In Malaysia the Federal Government is the sole provider of funds for the national education development because the educational system is centralized at the federal level. The Government allocates about 4% of the country’s GNP for education development as shown in Table 2.3. The total education expenditure increases from RM9.7 billion in 1995 to RM19.7 billion in 2006 corresponding to the increasing annual economic growth.
Table 2.4 indicates that 23% of the funds under the social sector are allocated for education and training from 2001 to 2010.

Table 2.4: Federal Government Development Allocation and Expenditure by Sector, 2001 – 2010 (RM million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Development Allocation</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Private Finance Initiative</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agriculture</td>
<td>65,446</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>89,886</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>91,180</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mineral Resource</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Commerce &amp; Industry</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Transport</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Communications</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Energy &amp; Public</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Feasibility study</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- R &amp; D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
<td>69,377</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>74,954</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>11,915</td>
<td>86,869</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Education</td>
<td>43,729</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>41,114</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>9,472</td>
<td>50,586</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Health</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Information &amp;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcasting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Housing</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Culture, Youth</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Local authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Welfare Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Villages &amp; Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Security</strong></td>
<td>22,042</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>21,203</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4,276</td>
<td>25,479</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Administration</strong></td>
<td>13,135</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>13,967</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>16,472</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>170,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 9th Malaysia Plan, Table A-1, p. 529

Of the funds allocated for education and training programmes in the 8th and 9th Malaysia Plans (Table 2.5), most of it (90%) is for education development. The tertiary education receives the most i.e. 35% in the 8th MP and 39.8% in the 9th MP. The remaining funds are for the development of school education as well as teacher
education and the provision of supporting teaching programmes. About 11% are for industrial, commercial and management trainings.

Table 2.5: Development Expenditure and Allocation for Education and Training, 2001-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>8 MP Expenditure (%)</th>
<th>9 MP Allocation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>37,922.0 (89)</td>
<td>40,356.5 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-school</td>
<td>215.7</td>
<td>807.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>6,369.3</td>
<td>4,837.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Education</td>
<td>8,748.1</td>
<td>6,792.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government &amp; Government Schools (Academic)</td>
<td>7,931.2</td>
<td>5,549.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARA Junior Science Colleges</td>
<td>433.1</td>
<td>614.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government &amp; Government-aided Schools (Technical &amp; Vocational)</td>
<td>383.8</td>
<td>629.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary Education</td>
<td>13,403.9 (35)</td>
<td>16,069.0 (39.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Education</td>
<td>1,368.1</td>
<td>577.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Educational Support Programme</td>
<td>8,816.9</td>
<td>11,272.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>4,450.9 (11)</td>
<td>4,792.6 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Training</td>
<td>3,930.6</td>
<td>4,103.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Training</td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>179.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Training</td>
<td>361.7</td>
<td>509.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42,372.9</td>
<td>45,149.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Economic Planning Unit, Table 11-8, p.260.

2.7.4 The Benefits of Education

Many studies have shown that education is a good investment for both the individual and the state. On the other hand, many methods are used to calculate the benefits derived from educational investment but the most acceptable approach is based on the internal rates of return (IRR). In 1981, Psacharopoulos analyzed the findings of 53 studies in 32 countries and found that “investments in education give higher returns than investment in physical capital” and that “returns to individual are higher than to society”.

As with regard to vocational education it is generally found that the rates of return are quite high for both the individual and the State. At the secondary level the private rates of return are found to be extremely high, with an estimated IRR = 56.8% (Hu, Lee, Stromsdorfer & Kaufman, 1969). Post secondary education courses like nursing and
healing and air-conditioning substantial rates of return are achieved. However it is found that cosmetology gives negative private and social returns (Alexander and Salmon, pp.62-66).

From the national point of view according to Alexander & Salmon (1995, pp. 66-74) investment in education provides advantages such as:

(1) It improves efficiency in terms of increase in “labour productivity” where more and better quality and higher priced goods and services are produced in a given time.

(2) Educated workers are more receptive to new technologies, new products and new ideas. They are more consistent in their work and have fewer problems in terms of absenteeism and turnover.

(3) There is more effective use of inputs resulting in decreasing the costs of production as well as adding values to the products. Both outcomes reinforce the competitiveness of the country.

(4) There is a reduction of the “need for other services” and the government expenditures for crime prevention, fire protection, public health and medical care are reduced. Furthermore, people who are educated are healthier, more employable and therefore do not depend on welfare assistance from the government or commit crimes.

(5) Education increases the individual’s capacity to earn a better income and to improve saving. On the other hand, better educated mothers spend more time with their children and bring about the “intergenerational transfer of knowledge”.

(6) It makes the people to be more effective in contributing to national productivity and improving national GDP and economic growth where more goods and services are produced and more employment opportunities created.
2.8 Multinational Companies, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Education

Multinational companies (MNCs) normally gauge the educational levels of various countries they want to get involved to determine what to expect from their workers. They are interested in the skills and experience that they can gain from the education of the country. If the countries are engaging in high-level research and development, they want to locate their operations in these countries. Many MNCs are located in “East Asian countries like Japan, Hong Kong, South Korea and Singapore” because they “have very active and innovative economic sectors and they rely heavily on scientific progress” (Cullen & Parboteeah, 2004, p. 103).

The education level of a country can be found from the mean years of education or educational attainment scores especially in mathematics and science to get an idea of human capital potential in a society. A country with an abundant supply of well-educated citizens facilitates the absorption of technology from developed countries and it becomes attractive for foreign direct investments from high-technology enterprises.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Average Years of School in 2013 (Educational Attainment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Master.com, 2013

Malaysia’s educational attainment score is 6.8 years just slightly behind Singapore but is better than China and Thailand. Malaysia is about 1.7 years ahead of India and
Indonesia. On the other hand, Malaysia is 5 years behind the USA and New Zealand, and about 2 years behind Japan (Table 2.6). The score differences among countries provide an indication of how education has affected the economic structure and the nature of the workforce in countries.

For Malaysia to go into the manufacturing sector of the economy its education system should be capable to produce the workforce for the needs of the manufacturing industries. If it wanted to get involved in high-level research and development then the workforce should reach the level of skills in science and mathematics.

Table 2.7: PISA Scores in Reading, Mathematics and Science in 2009 and 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai-China</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>580</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>52/47</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>52/48</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>51/50</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>55/59</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>57/52</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>53/53</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>62/61</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>68/64</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>66/64</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The Asian countries like Japan, South Korea, Singapore, Hong Kong and Shanghai have attained prominent scores in reading, mathematics and science in the 2009 and 2012 PISA scores (Table 2.7). In 2009, 74 countries participated in this assessment programme and in 2012, only 65 countries were involved. In both instances Malaysia has attained the rank within the 50s for the three subjects.

The education attainment scores of countries also provide the yardstick to determine the educational potentials of societies. Some countries only emphasize academic education whereas the more developed countries are interested in academic and vocational aspects like the European and Scandinavian countries. The PISA
scores provide an idea of the availability of the future workforce and the emphasis in terms of science and mathematics and reading proficiency. These scores are of interest to MNCs for the location of their companies in other countries.

Malaysia, Thailand and Indonesia have achieved scores around the 400 range for the three subjects. This achievement has two implications:

1. An MNC can invest in any of the three countries based on the PISA scores. Other factors are needed to decide on the preference of the country for investment such as low wage, ample supply of workforce, political-economic stability and government incentives and encouragement.

2. The level of cognitive ability of the 15 years old students in problem-solving in these three countries is not up to the level with many other countries. This implies that the teaching of the three subjects in the secondary schools needs to be improved and that qualified and creative teachers are required. The PISA scores from the three subjects indicate that the 15 years old students in Malaysia have less than 3 years of schooling compared to those in Singapore, South Korea, Hong Kong and Shanghai.

Alexander and Salmon (1995, p.66) has cited three ways to support the fact that employers looked for educated candidates from the labour market:

(1) Employers believe that “the education of the employees improves the financial potential of the firm and that a definite positive relationship prevails between the amount of formal education of employees and the amount on-the-job training they received” (Jacob Mincer, 1962).

(2) Employers select candidates who are educated from the labour market. They recognize that “educated workers have favourable influence on other workers
and on the firm” and this interdependence between the two parties “have a financial interest in the education of fellow workers” (Weisbrod, B.A., 1971).

(3) Firms find that “greater productivity is achieved with less cost by investing in the more educated employees” and that “greater benefits can be obtained by grafting job training to the knowledge already acquired from formal education” (Perlman, R., 1973).

J. Ronnie Davis (1970) found that “inexperienced and uneducated workers who earned less than the minimum wages have higher unemployment” because “better educated workers have the edge in communication, discipline of the mind, flexibility and adaptability” as well as “be receptive to new idea and knowledge”(cited by Alexander & Salmon, 1995, pp. 67-68). Therefore better educated workers are more effective to contribute to economic competitiveness.

John D. Owen (1974) cautioned that eventually the “less educated labour force” will be replaced by advanced technology and production process (cited by Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p. 67). The Economist (1989) identified:

People who leave school early rapidly run out of rungs on the earnings ladder; university graduates not only find plenty of rungs, they also discover that each step upwards is increasingly remunerative. One reason for this is that the well-educated land jobs that provide them with more training, while the uneducated are locked out of opportunities to improve their skills (Cited in Alexander & Salmon, 1995, p.67).

It is apparent that education in science and technology is the way to prevent being replaced by technological advances.

Malaysia, like other countries, has nurtured educational development in order to attain economic competitiveness. A consistent education expenditure budget prevails over the years as shown in Table 2.8 from 1961 to 2006. On the average, about 4.4% of the GNP is allocated for the development of education in each year and this is equivalent to 16.8 % of the Federal Expenditure Budget.
Table 2.8: Malaysia’s Education Expenditure, Percentage of GNP and Percentage of Total Federal Budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Education Expenditure (Million RM)</th>
<th>Percentage GNP</th>
<th>% Total Federal Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>531.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>2786.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>6032.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>9734.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14079.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>16719.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>19784.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Federal Expenditure and Economic Report, Ministry of Finance

2.9 Reality of Implementation of the 60:40 Policy

The 60:40 policy aims to bring about a paradigm shift in the development of the human resources in the schools and institutions of higher learning from the dominantly arts and social science towards the science and technology. This is because the knowledge of science and technology is imperative for the long term economic progress of the nation. This policy encourages the development of a pool of scientists, engineers and technocrats in diverse areas to bring about technological advances to enhance the economic development for Malaysia to become comparable with the developed nations. This is because a developed nation has mature economy, high GDP, high level of trade and investment and high employment opportunities for higher skilled workers (Cullen & Parboteeah, 2005, p.6).

Currently (ranked from the largest) USA, Germany, Japan, France and UK are the five largest developed economies but the situation is expected to change by 2020 where USA, Japan, China, India and Indonesia will be the five economies in the world (Harrison et. al., 2000, p.189). Asia will be the home of four of the world’s five leading economies by the year 2020 and it is the expectation that there will be much
economic development especially in terms of technological advances and the development of advanced industries in this region of the world.

Malaysia has to be more scientifically and technologically prepared to benefit from this expected development in this region. It has to face the challenges (driving forces) of a globalizing economy nearer home as shown in Figure 2.3. The demand for scientists and engineers has become the challenge for Malaysia and other developing countries in this side of the Pacific Rim. China, India and Indonesia are expected to follow the trend of developed nations to source parts and services from developing countries to lower their cost structure and for the quality to meet their needs. This process of globalization of production then will create FDI into the developing countries as well as large job opportunities for the people. “This sustained flow of foreign investment into developing nations is an important stimulus for economic growth in these countries” (Charles Hill, 2005, p. 20) and they in turn will become developed nations one day. Malaysia needs the capability and capacity to direct the FDI into the country.

![Diagram of Driving Forces of a Globalizing Economy](image)

However there are prevailing issues that act to hinder the implementation of the 60:40 policy:

1. The institutions of higher learning
They need to have the capacity and capability to encourage the enrollment of students to take up the courses offered (Wetzel et al, 1998 cited by Sufean & Norliza, 2009, p.3). The World Development Indicator 2000 has shown that Malaysia committed the least expenditure allocation for science and technology development (0.24% of GDP) compared to USA (2.63%) and Japan (2.8%) (cited in Sufean & Norliza, 2009, p.12). There is a tendency to limit rather than encourage student enrollments into the public universities (Education Development Plan 2001-2010, p. 6, paragraph 6.33). This issue has given rise to restrictions such as “the intake quota, vacancy of places limited and students may not get the choices of the university or the courses”.

The consequential effect is good students choose to go to private universities and the enrolments at the public universities decline over the years. For example the total enrollments of Private Higher Educational Institutions are consistently higher than those at the Public Universities in 2005 and 2010 (Table 2.9).

Table 2.9: Enrollment of students at the Public Universities and Private Higher Education Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public Universities</th>
<th>Private Higher Edn. Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>258,314</td>
<td>212,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>309,054</td>
<td>313,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>462,780</td>
<td>541,629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia

Table 2.10: Enrollments of students for first degree in four instances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st degree enrollment</td>
<td>50,127</td>
<td>75,709</td>
<td>170,794</td>
<td>209,148</td>
<td>274690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual increase</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Education Development Plan 2001-2010 & Planning, Research & Policy Coordination Division, MOE, 2000-2012.

Table 2.10 shows that the enrollments of students for the first degree in 5 different years. In terms of annual increase of the first degree enrollment, it shows an increasing
trend in the first three instances but at the fourth and fifth instances the enrollments have declined considerably.

This situation is not consistent with the findings by MOE and Harvard University (1977) that in Malaysia the rates of return in education for individuals is the highest at the tertiary level (35.7%) compared to primary level at 21.6% and secondary level at the lowest 12%. In fact more students should be encouraged to have a tertiary education. According to MOE in 2001, every public university should have 20,000 student enrollments a year and that appropriate funds should be provided to fulfill this role. But the government could not provide the necessary funds and as a consequence MOE had to limit its activities (Education Development Plan 2001-2010, p.6.8, paragraphs 6.32-6.33).

The impact of this mismatching phenomenon at the universities slows down the pace of economic development in the country. This is in line with the findings of Ramli (1996) and Ramirez & Lee (1990) that a positive correlation exists between the level of number of science and technology students at the university and the level of growth of the economy in developing countries and the third world (cited by Sufean & Norliza, 2009, pp. 11-13). Therefore it is really difficult to ignore the 60:40 policy.

(2) The employment opportunities.

In Malaysia, the manufacturing industry relies on labour-intensive and low-value added technology. In the absence of heavy capital investment the manufacturing sector faces a slow process to move to high-technology, knowledge-based and capital intensive industries. Besides, it needs technological expertise to enable the production process to move-up the activities in the value chain. The consequential effect is that the creation of job opportunities to absorb the science and technology graduates becomes limited.
These situations of slow economic activity and poor demand for scientists who just passed out become factors that affect the success of the 60:40 policy.

Another important aspect is the kind of science and technology courses offered at the higher institutions of learning. The traditional courses are not attractive to the students. Students are attracted to courses that can bring about economic activities such as agriculture, biotechnology, biomedicine, genetic engineering, petrochemical sciences, technological processes and food sciences (Sufean & Norliza, 2009, p. 29). University courses are not flexible to match the needs of the industries and the market demand. Furthermore new courses that are to be developed should diversify the programmes of study and widen the professional opportunities. These are the forces of change universities have to face to improve student enrollments in the science and technology areas.

(3) From the student’s perspectives.

Students play a role in increasing the enrollment in the university when they accept the offers made by the university (Wetzel, O’Toole & Peterson, 1998). Researchers found many factors that influence the interest of students to follow the science and technology courses at institutions of higher learning such as academic achievement, family background, school environment, teachers, education aspiration, work aspiration, the society and higher education policy (Artwater, Gardner & Wiggins, 1995; Chew, Lee & Quek, 1995; Gallagher, 1994; Fouts & Myers, 1992).

2.10 Factors Pertaining to Students

Factors influencing students to follow the study of science and technology are found to be:

(1) Self-confidence/Self-concept
A student who has constantly achieved good results in the study of science or technology has the confidence and readiness to continue the study of science or technology at the higher level (Cavallo & Laubach, 2001 and Freedman, 1997). When students have lost their self-confidence their interest to study science and mathematics diminishes especially among girl students (Seymour, 2001 and Jones & Young, 1995). Sufean and Norliza (2009) found that students who studied science and technology at the university have the desire to improve and widen their knowledge and to obtain skill and professional expertise in their fields of interest in order to get good jobs.

(2) Concept Pertaining to Science

Ferguson & Fraser (1998) found that the environmental situation and the relationship between students and teachers have positive influence on the students’ attitude towards science and mathematics. This occurs especially in the primary school level where the relationship between teachers and girl students is intimate. Jones (1991) found that girl students consider physical science and engineering to have images of masculine attributes, unfriendly and impersonal. This caused the girl students to favour the biological science like medicine or studies not related to science. However Vochell & Labone (1981) discovered that girl students in all girls’ schools do not considered science to have masculine or unfriendly images. They are more interested to study science at the higher level. Other studies have shown that male students have positive attitude towards science and they prefer professions like engineering, while the girl students are more interested in professions like science (Simpson & Oliver, 1990; Cansambis, 1995; Kahle et. al. 1983). Sufean and Norliza (2009) found that students who have done pure sciences in the secondary schools are more certain to enter the university to do the science, medicine, dentistry, engineering and pharmacy courses and that most of them have done the matriculation or the STPM to get to the university. These students realized that more opportunities prevail for them in studying science and
technology and earning better incomes. In their study of 270 students from 5 local public universities, Sufean and Norliza found that personal factors generally encourage both sexes to take up the study of science and technology at the universities but boys have a greater tendency than girls to do so. However, the male and female students have similar career aspiration for studying science and technology fields.

(3) Motivation for Success

Artwater, Gardner & Wiggins (1995) and Simpson & Oliver, (1990) found that students who are used to a form of teaching tend to obtain good achievement and be motivated to learn the subject. But students who are frightened and uncomfortable towards science subjects have little interest towards science. According to Crawley & Black (1992) students who have no confidence to get good results consider science to be difficult from the early stage and they will not further their study in science at the higher level.

It is found that the secondary schools do not provide a good indication of the students’ choices of study at the university or their entry to the university (Sufean and Norliza, 2009). It implies that students who do science or technology subjects at the secondary school level may not necessary enter the university to do the same courses but instead do the arts courses.

2.11 Family and Society

According to Jean Piaget (1952) (cited by Barry J. Wadsworth (1977, p.21) “intellectual development of a child depended on the interaction between the child and the environment.” This same principle applies to the development of a child’s interest in the field of science if a child has been engaging in game activities in science or has been integrating with parents and society with respect to activities in science (Farenga & Joyce, 1999 and Halliman, 1994). Furthermore, Khale and others (1993) found that socialization process at the children stage has caused boys to be more interested in the
field of science because the games boys have played are exploratory in nature. They also found that encouragement and support from people who are close like parents, teachers and colleagues influence a student to follow courses in science and mathematics.

Furthermore, Woolnough (1994) found that a relationship exists between the education background of the parents and the interest of the students towards science and engineering. They also found that a parent or a relative who is close to the student and who has succeeded in the field of science, the student tends to follow that field of study.

Sufean & Norliza (2009), Panizzon & Levin (1997) and Thomas & Schibeci (1986) found that images and information about science and technology from the media of various sources influence the students’ interest in science and technology subjects.

Young, Fraser & Woolnough (1997) found that students are more likely to choose the professions that they have experienced from the socio-cultural aspects in terms of the family, community and school environment beside their interest and achievement in school.

Stein & McRobbie (1997) and Talton & Simpson (1986) found that professional aspiration is a precursor toward the choice of work of a person and this has started as early as in preschool but the important time for connecting the interest towards a particular profession starts as early as 9 to 10 years old. On the other hand, Thomas (1986) discovered that a student from a poor family in the rural area has more knowledge and experience about working conditions than a student from the same social status in the urban area and that such a student has greater aspiration for a science and technology profession.

Sufean & Norliza (2009) found that most of the students (72%) who studied science and technology at the universities are from families with low incomes (RM501 to
RM2,500 per month) and this is followed by families with high incomes (above RM2,500 per month) and a few from very high incomes (above RM5,500 per month).

Furthermore it is found that the parental education level or their involvement in the science and technology fields do not influence the students to take up the study of science or technology at the university. On the other hand, many students admitted that their parents and family members encouraged them to study science and technology.

2.12 School Factors

Many studies have shown that the role of teachers and the presence of positive climate for study have improved the interest and achievement of students in the academic area. Fouts & Myers (1992) found that the “teacher’s enthusiasm and attitude towards science attracted students’ interest towards science”. This is confirmed by Cavallo & Laubach (2001) that students, who are “perceptive of the teacher's positive attitude and experience in the area of science, take up science and technology studies at the higher level”. The same situation is found by Sufean and Norliza (2009) that the teacher’s encouragement and the way of their presentation of the lessons play a role in nurturing the interest of the students in science and technology.

The research report from TIMSS-R (2001) has caused a great concern when it is found that 70% of the “science teachers in Malaysia do not have the preparation and conviction to teach science subjects or the enthusiasm and motivation for teaching science subjects”. Furthermore research done by HEFCE (2003) (cited by Sufean & Norliza, 2009, p. 47) found “that lecturers who educate students to become teachers at the secondary and primary schools do not provide effective knowledge and this phenomenon continues until the university”.

However, King (1991) found that the understanding of new teachers who are teaching science is slightly better than their students. It is found that these teachers have
studied science by rote learning of the factors and concepts without understanding. These teachers have a shallow understanding of concepts in science. The problem of preparation of science teachers who do not have the experience has still occurred and it has remained difficult to be resolved.

The studies done by TIMSS-R (2001) and Roth & Robottom (1998) found that teachers do not teach with high conviction because they themselves cannot integrate science concepts with daily life. The possible explanations for such an occurrence are:

(a) According to Anderson (1983) teachers have failed to understand differences between “the formulated curriculum and the implemented curriculum for science”.

(b) Costa (1995) has found that the curriculum for science is not related to “the students’ character, experience and relevance in their daily lives”, but “only has taken it just as a subject for study” and therefore it “makes teaching boring and students become uninterested in the science and have considered it to be very difficult.”

(c) Gray (1999) and Tan (1991) found that “the form of science concepts” at the student level is difficult to be implemented in Malaysia because of “mismatch” between the formation of the curriculum and the background of students. They attributed to the fact that the curriculum is adapted from developed countries and it does not fit into the conditions in a developing country.

(d) Gray (1999) and Olugbemiro (1997) said that “science itself is universal but culture and language caused its acceptance to be different in different countries.”

The relevance of science education that is taught in schools and the daily livelihood are factors that influenced students’ interest in science (Panizzon & Levins, 1997). The study done by Woolnough (1994) found that students who do science have
different views from students who do not do science. Those who studied science said “that the understanding of the theories and factors are important” in studying science, “experiments that are done” have improved knowledge in the area of science and “co-curriculum activities such as science society and science competition” are very beneficial. Young et al (1997) and Woolnough (1994) supported the fact that co-curriculum activities pertaining to science and technology have very positive correlation with participation in science but this has been given less emphasis in schools e.g. administrators give less attention to co-curriculum activities.

Science students are more delighted in study that is laboratory centered because they are given more responsibility to carry out their respective duties. Past studies have shown that laboratory centered and inquiry based teaching resulted in the students going for science courses at the higher level (Cavallo & Laubach, 2001; Freedman, 1997; Gallagher, 1994). Unfortunately one of the reasons for not having practical done in school is because the teachers are “not confident to conduct experiments and not experienced in the enquiry approach to teaching, thereby causing the objectives for learning science not to be achieved” (Cavallo & Laubach, 2001; Gray, 1999; Freedman. 1997; Young et. al. 1997).

Simpson & Oliver (1990) discovered that conducive classroom environment enhances the student’s desire to study science subjects. They reasoned that the classroom is a place where strategy and innovation in teaching and studying occur, where events like communication between teachers and students exist and where teacher’s involvement and encouragement of students take place.

Furthermore, studies done by Woolnough (1994) and Remick & Miller (1978) found that the attitude and integrity of teachers in teaching encourage the students to enjoy studying science. The study done by Cavallo & Laubach (2001) found that the
lack of emphasis on experiments in science while studying affects the motivation of the students to study science.

According to the measuring scale for science used in TIMSS-R, many students in Malaysia have very low level of scientific skill. About 85% of the students in Form 2 who studied science only remember and know few factors about the life and physical sciences in daily language. Malaysian students still have not achieved the high scientific skills in the secondary level and this has caused them to have low interest in studying science at the higher level (Molly et. al., 1996; Sharifah et. al., 1993). The study done by BPPDP (Education Ministry, 1996) pertaining to the academic achievement of students in the rural areas has affirmed the study done internationally and come up with 4 school factors that influence academic performance of students in the rural areas in all subjects of study including science and mathematics as shown in Figure 2.4.

Diagram 2.4: Factors Relating to Student’s Academic Performance

(1) The teacher

The teacher plays the key role in the teaching and learning process in the classroom but to be able to successfully accomplish this demanding role they need experience, skill, knowledge, creativeness, leadership, initiative and emotional intelligence.
(2) Curriculum and students

The curriculum for science or mathematics that is developed for a student at a particular grade should match the intellectual level of the student to enable learning to take place effectively. Students should be mentally alert, in good health and motivated to learn.

(3) School resources and organization

The structure of the school and its environment should have the facilities, tangible and intangible resources and management capability to enable the development of a climate that is conducive for teaching and learning to take place.

(4) Environment and society

It is not just the internal environment; the external environment too played a supportive role for the students in the school to achieve academic success. The society makes demand on the kind of citizens it wants to have coming out from the schools and higher institutions of learning. The industries the kind of job prospects and the employees they want. It is necessary to supervise this development and funds to be provided by the government for the schools to facilitate the study science and technology subjects.

2.13 Environment and Society

It is a norm to use academic achievement as the indicator to determine the courses of study to be taken by students at the higher level in many developing countries (Suiefan & Norliza, 2009, p. 51). In secondary schools the Form 3 examination results (SRP) are used to place students in the arts or science streams in Form 4 classes. It leads to more girls further their studies at the higher level compared with boys. For example Chew, Lee and Quek (1995, pp. 30 & 37) found that more girls are studying in Form 5 and Form 6 classes. The girls prefer to study arts-based stream while boys the science stream. On 21/7/2011, the Sin Chew Daily reported that at the tertiary education, there
are more girls (65%) than boys (35%). Two reasons have attributed to this development:

(1) The girls are more precocious and have better understanding of the need to study hard than boys and that they have a solid foundation at the primary schools.

(2) Boys on the other hand, only begin to realize later that they need to study hard but they have a weaker foundation at the primary school level.

Beside the gender factor, the community also influences the interest of the students towards science and technology. Chew and others (1995, p. 74) found that in Malaysia the community influences the choice of study at the higher education level. They found that the Indian community prefers the study medicine and sciences; this is followed by the Chinese community and lastly the Bumiputra community.

Young and others (1997) and Woolnough (1994) found that students who followed the science and engineering studies are convergent in their thinking. On the other hand, students who do not follow the sciences are more divergent in their thinking. Young and others (1997) also found that students who studied science and technology have positive self-concept, are resourceful and have the determination to succeed. It is found that the boys do better in science than the girls. It is also discovered that academic achievements have enabled students to follow the science and technology fields, but those who have attained average achievements do not go for the science and technology studies (Fitzgerald, 1993). Sufean and Norliza (2009) found that students who achieved good results in the science and technology subjects at the secondary schools pursue those studies at the universities.

Chew et. al. (1995, 2001) did a longitudinal study and found that students, whose fathers with high levels of income and education, tend to have high education aspiration and high expectation and they prefer to study medical science, natural science,
engineering, mathematics and computer science. On the other hand, students with lower academic achievements tend to opt for the arts-based courses.

Woolnough (1994) found that the quality of teachers and the involvement in co-curriculum activities influence the desire of students to go for science and engineering at the higher educational level. He pointed out that there are factors outside the school that influenced students to take up science and engineering such as work experience and societal values towards professions in science and engineering. Figure 2.5 shows the relationships of factors that influence students to study science and technology.

Figure 2.5: A Model Indicating Factors that Influence Students to take up the Science and Technology Courses.

Actually there are many factors that influence the decision of students whether or not to study science and technology subjects starting from the time they start schooling. For example, Simpson & Oliver (1990) found that the family with flexible background and involved in science strongly influences the student to follow the science courses. They also discovered that the self-concept of a 16 years old student determines his choice of science courses at the higher education level. They came up with a model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Factors</th>
<th>Working Environment</th>
<th>Other Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Type of school</td>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td>1. Working experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. School location</td>
<td>Secondary School</td>
<td>2. Industrial &amp; School relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Curriculum issues</td>
<td>Primary School</td>
<td>3. Image of Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Student activities</td>
<td>Student Group</td>
<td>4. Parental advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Formal curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Scholarship, Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Resources: teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. School Ethics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Career guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INPUT</th>
<th>Societal Demand/Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student’s Capability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleverness &amp; personality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Figure 2.6) to show the interactions of various factors relating to the decision of a person to follow the science and technology areas in his life time. The model shows the four important stages in decision making to come to the conclusion to be involved in the life-time learning of science, starting from primary stage, lower secondary, upper secondary and post-secondary.

![Figure 2.6: A Model of Factors and Special Influencers that Influence Decisions for Life Long Involvement in Science and Technology. Source: Adapted from Simpson & Oliver, 1990, cited by Sufean Hussin & Norliza Zakuan, 2009, p.55.]

Another encouraging factor is the higher rate of return for science graduates than the arts graduates and that the science graduates earn higher starting salaries than the arts graduates. The salaries are highest among the engineering graduates, followed by the science graduates. The commerce (business, economics and accounting)
graduates enter the labour market at a low starting salary; they enjoy increments that greatly superseded the earnings of the arts graduates in the first few years of their career (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, pp. 204-205).

2.14 Low demand of science and technology studies in secondary schools

Chew et al (1995, p.101) found that only 17% of the Fifth Form students and 11.5% of the Sixth Form students are interested to pursue engineering and science at the higher level of education.

One of the most crucial and pertinent findings of the research done by Sufean and Norliza (2009) is that students who studied pure science in secondary school and at the STPM level, enter the university to do science, medicine, dentistry, engineering and pharmacy courses. This clearly indicates the path of studies the students have taken after doing pure science at the secondary school and at the STPM level when they enter the university.

However after the introduction of the KBSM programme in the secondary school in 1989, the situation started to change, there are fewer students going for the science and technology courses at the higher institutions of learning. As a consequence, less science and technology graduates are available to meet the need of the country. This has affected the achievement of the 60:40 policy and the process to create a k-economy becomes uncertain. The consequential effect is the delaying of the transformation process to make Malaysia a developed nation. Students are not attracted to pursue science and technology studies in secondary schools, even though there are significant advantages such as:

- The private rate of returns is the highest for science graduates compared with arts and business graduates where the rate of returns is the lowest.
- There is a demand for science and technological graduates in the country.
Industries are looking for workers who are skilled and knowledgeable in the scientific and technological areas.

What possibly has gone wrong to the implementation of the 60:40 policy?

Some of the answers:

(1) Absence of incentives.

The government wants the 60:40 policy to be implemented in order to have the necessary human resource educated in science and technology knowledge to bring about the transformation of the nation into a developed economy. Furthermore, industries prefer to have workers with the science and technology knowledge and skills to complement their human resource requirements.

These two convergent factors should play an important role to encourage more students to take up science and technical studies in the secondary schools. In reality it has not happened. Students are not motivated by these two factors to take up the challenge to study science and technology based subjects. The possible explanation is that these two factors have no immediate effect on the students in spite of the findings that students are encouraged to pursue formal education because of their interest in the course; ability to pursue the course; belief that the course will enable them to obtain an interesting job; belief that the course provides good financial returns; and family members urged them to do so.

From the point of expectancy there are no rewards to induce the students to take up the study of science and technology. They prefer immediate rewards but not what they will get in the future. Therefore scholarships, bursaries, loans and other incentives are necessary to encourage and motivate students to go for the science and technology streams in the secondary schools as well as to instill in them the sense of importance and pride as the future contributors to the economic and social development of the
These rewards will in a way give them some form of certainty of their future.

(2) Absence of career guidance and counseling.

Chew et al. (1995, p.49 & p.102) found that most students in the fifth and sixth forms admitted that career guidance and counseling they have received in their schools is very useful. Therefore they strongly emphasized the importance of giving proper career guidance and counseling in orienting students towards the fields of specialization in science and technology as early as possible.

(3) Inadequate concern for upgrading science and technology facilities.

The total enrollment in tertiary education has increased, but the proportion of students in the science-based courses in relation to total enrollment is relatively low. There is an apparent lack of comprehensive strategy to upgrade science and technical facilities in the secondary schools for teaching and learning, increasing the quality and quantity of science and technology teachers and introducing special incentives. (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, p.268).

Several research findings (for example, Leong et al. 1990; Siow et al., 1999, 2007) have shown that adequate physical facilities, instructional materials and effective teaching are positively related to academic achievement (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, p.262). Therefore strategies should be developed to upgrade science facilities in the primary and lower secondary schools as well.

(4) Limited accessibility to courses at the tertiary education.

More up-to-date science and technology-based courses at the tertiary education should be made available to provide for diversified interests among the students in their areas of study. Augmenting to this aspect of development should be the creation of greater accessibility to the study of science and technological courses for students from the nation (Lee, Quek & Chew, 2001, p. 174).
secondary schools. These developments would then encourage more students to study science and technical subjects in schools.

(5) No creative students.

Sufean and Norliza (2009, p.93) identified that the ability to generate creative ideas and the attainment of intellectual satisfaction has been among the factors that encourage students to take up courses of study involving science and technology at the university. This implies that these students want to have the knowledge to solve problems in a creative way, open discussion with others and the intellectual ability to think divergently and independently as well as the personality to cope with criticism of their ideas. They are also highly motivated and prepared to experiment and take risk. They want the implicit capabilities of a creative person (DuBrin, A.J., 2005, pp. 89-91).

Creative students tend to join the science-based stream but there are not many of them at the secondary school level. This aspect of the teaching and learning to generate creative students is apparently absent in the schools (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, p.268).

(6) No risk-taking or experimentation

The research findings of a longitudinal study done on two cohorts (Form V and Form VI) of school-leavers started by a group of researchers at the Faculty of Education, University of Malaya in 1989 and completed in 1996 found that about 6% of the 1697 respondents are willing to take risk while the 94% of the respondents have the other four behavioural traits such as autonomy (independence), complexity, motivation and openness. Since all the five behavioural traits are crucial to creativity it is apparent that the current output of the educational system falls short of providing the five characteristics of creativity, particularly in understanding the importance of risk-taking in terms of experimenting. Risk-taking through experimentation is considered necessary to bring about learning and discovery of new ideas. However, the absence of creative
thinking discourages students from entering the science stream in the secondary schools. This is because science by its nature is creative (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, p. 249).

(7) Lacking of opportunity for creative learning.

Creativity is a gift to some people. Children can be taught the creative skills from the primary school level. Children by nature are curious and this provides a good opportunity to parent them on creative skill development right from the time they enter prior primary school level, and through the primary school level to the lower secondary level. This is be done by creating a rich, nurturing and stimulating environment filled with interesting materials, toys, games and books to lay the foundation for children to explore and exercise all of their intelligence and learn the skills of creativity. Students who have these kinds of experience know many ways to learn almost anything, especially science and technical areas.

However, a “memory-based learning designed for the average student” system of education tends to kill creativity among the children, rather than a system that stimulates and fosters creative thinking and excellence (Ministry of Education, 1997, p. 9) is no longer appropriate for this 21st century. Memorization and rote-learning together with the emphasis on conformity and uniformity and risk-aversion, hinders the development of creative thinkers.

The approach to encourage more students to take up the study of science and technology is to get them to become creative individuals from the early age. By the time these students reach the upper secondary school level they will able to appreciate the creative nature of science and technology and that real learning will take place through the process of enquiry and discovery but not the memorization of facts. This approach does not seem to take place in schools (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009 pp. 246-251).
Narrow scope of courses at the university

Not every student who followed the science-based study wants to pursue the traditional courses at the university. Many of them prefer other courses of study that are of practical demand in today’s world and universities should cater for their needs.

Open policy of SPM discouraging students

The open policy of SPM allows students to make choices of studies and dilutes science knowledge with other unrelated areas. The consequential effect is that it creates a barrier that hinders the implementation of the 60:40 policy.

Divergent objectives

The achievement of the 60:40 policy is affected by the manner in which it is being implemented. The objectives of the planners of the policy and the implementers are not converging. Implementation of the policy has become a political issue rather than the long term interest of the nation. There is no consistent and integrated effort to ensure the success of the 60:40 policy.

Poor employment opportunities

Table 2.11: Employment by Major Occupational Group, 2006-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Occupational Group</th>
<th>2006 (%)</th>
<th>2007 (%)</th>
<th>2008 (%)</th>
<th>2009 (%)</th>
<th>Estimated 2010 (%)</th>
<th>Estimated 2012 (%)</th>
<th>Estimated 2015 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Officials and Managers</td>
<td>903.9 (8.1)</td>
<td>832.1 (8.0)</td>
<td>810.4 (8.1)</td>
<td>906.4 (8.3)</td>
<td>941.9 (8.0)</td>
<td>100.9 (8.1)</td>
<td>1097.7 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionals</td>
<td>613.8 (5.5)</td>
<td>649.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>671.4 (6.7)</td>
<td>697.2 (7.8)</td>
<td>741.7 (6.7)</td>
<td>827.9 (7.8)</td>
<td>1031.6 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technicians &amp; Associate Professionals</td>
<td>1417.2 (12.7)</td>
<td>1515.9 (14.1)</td>
<td>1620.7 (15.5)</td>
<td>1656.1 (17.0)</td>
<td>1660.0 (14.1)</td>
<td>1915.3 (15.5)</td>
<td>2248.4 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical Workers</td>
<td>1048.9 (9.4)</td>
<td>1177.0 (9.7)</td>
<td>1146.1 (9.8)</td>
<td>1115.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>1142.0 (9.8)</td>
<td>1210.9 (9.8)</td>
<td>1256.4 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Workers &amp; Shop &amp; Market Sales Workers</td>
<td>1729.6 (15.5)</td>
<td>1846.5 (16.5)</td>
<td>1933.3 (17.1)</td>
<td>1917.4 (17.2)</td>
<td>1942.6 (17.1)</td>
<td>2112.9 (17.2)</td>
<td>2274.8 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Agricultural &amp; Fishery Workers</td>
<td>1450.7 (13.0)</td>
<td>1470.3 (11.0)</td>
<td>1377.6 (10.8)</td>
<td>1347.9 (9.3)</td>
<td>1295.1 (10.8)</td>
<td>1297.4 (9.3)</td>
<td>1230.0 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craft &amp; Related Trade Workers</td>
<td>1249.8 (11.2)</td>
<td>1231.0 (10.7)</td>
<td>1250.3 (10.3)</td>
<td>1196.9 (10.3)</td>
<td>1259.7 (10.3)</td>
<td>1272.7 (10.3)</td>
<td>1322.6 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant &amp; Machine Operators and Assemblers</td>
<td>1528.8 (13.7)</td>
<td>1458.9 (12.7)</td>
<td>1458.1 (11.1)</td>
<td>475.8 (10.3)</td>
<td>1495.2 (11.1)</td>
<td>1371.6 (10.3)</td>
<td>1362.2 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary Occupants</td>
<td>1216.3 (10.9)</td>
<td>1276.6 (10.9)</td>
<td>1308.1 (10.9)</td>
<td>1313.2 (10.9)</td>
<td>1295.1 (10.9)</td>
<td>1346.9 (10.9)</td>
<td>1401.9 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employment</td>
<td>11159.0 (100)</td>
<td>11398.0 (100)</td>
<td>11576.5 (100)</td>
<td>11620.5 (100)</td>
<td>11773.3 (100)</td>
<td>12356.5 (100)</td>
<td>13225.6 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Economic Planning Unit & Department of Statistic Malaysia, Table 12, Appendix 1, pp. 380-381, 10th Malaysia Plan.
Students are not encouraged to take up the study of science and technology when there are poor employment opportunities for science and technology graduates.

Table 2.11 (portrayed in Table 1.11 in page 21) shows that there were 102,000 jobs created annually from 2006 to 2010 for the first three categories of the professional groups. However, from 2011 to 2012 a decline of 250,000 jobs annually is expected. It is estimated that from 2013-2015 the increase in jobs for the professional groups would exceed half a million a year. There is apparently more uncertainty about the prospect of job opportunities for the professional and technocrats in the near future.

The industries prefer qualified personnel because they do not want to spend time and money to train unqualified people to reach the expected level of knowledge and skills. However, by 2020 the country is expected to require 500,000 science and technology graduates as crucial for the Malaysian development and that the MOE has allocated RM300 million to improve and upgrade secondary schools and primary schools laboratories, reintroduce practical science examination and increase pure science subjects’ teaching hours to 5 times a week. This is to reinforce the 60:40 policy in the secondary schools to get more science students to universities (Universities News, 14/7/2013).

In 2011 there were only 180,000 undergraduates studying science and technology courses at the public universities and it was expected that 40% of them would switch to the arts courses, resulting in 102,000 of them continued their study in science and technology courses. It is not be possible to provide the science and technology graduates required in 2020. The situation is further compounded by the declining enrollment of students at the public universities (Table 2.9 in p. 72). The situation of poor employment opportunities for science and technology graduates discourages students to study science and technology subjects at the secondary school level.
(12) Lacking of flexibility and interdisciplinary of courses.

Chew, Lee and Quek (1995, pp. 72-73) found that most of the students aim to enter the university and the increase of the university fees do not discouraged them. Their parents are prepared to bear the costs for their university study. The team also found that most of the students prefer the arts-based courses at the university.

The team suggested that a shift has to be made to include “science based and professional based courses into the fully arts based courses” thereby creating “interdisciplinary courses” to improve the job opportunities of the graduates and to improve “their flexibility and adaptability in their workplace” (Lee, Quek & Chew, 2001, p.174 & Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, p.268-269). This approach enhances the implementation of the 60:40 policy.

(13) Mismatch with the need of labour market

Organizations generally prefer to have people who possessed knowledge and specialization in few areas such as “science, law, business administration, accountancy, production process and human resource management”. In like manner, “a non-professional graduate should have studied some elements of professional or science based courses” in order to be more employable (Lee, Quek & Chew, 2001, p. 174).

In schools students need to acquire “skills beyond basic mathematics, science, languages and humanities” to include “critical thinking, interpersonal skills, intrapersonal skills” to enhance their intellectual capabilities to meet life challenges in this globalized world (Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, pp. 263-264). Then the students are able to make better decisions and choices to succeed in their lives. They can see better the wisdom in science and technology. This is in line with the words of Hobbs and Chernotsky (2007, p. 2) “First students need to develop an increasing awareness of the world’s complexity and interdependence. Second they must appreciate
differences. Third, they will require the ability to communicate across cultures” (cited in Nagaraj, Chew, Lee & Rahimah, 2009, p. 264).

2.15 Conclusion
The process of globalization has generated so much of interest in terms of competition and rivalry among firms everywhere. It has brought new demands on firms to make the necessary responses to the shortening of the product life cycles, market volatility and sophisticated and knowledgeable customers. Firms are literally pressured to become more flexible, creative and innovative in order to remain competitive in a dynamic and changing landscape. On the other hand, the advances in ICT has made information so readily available to firms thereby enabling them to make better decisions and to gain competitive advantages. Furthermore, manufacturing firms are adopting new technologies to support the strategy of flexibility and align their structures and management processes to reap the benefits of the new technologies.

Adapting to new technologies and production processes also requires the role of the human resource to bring about changes and improvements. It also involves shifting a rigid and traditional culture to a flexible and learning culture among the people in organisations like schools. The people in the organisations must be prepared to learn all the time in order to acquire the knowledge, skills and capabilities to meet the challenges brought about by globalization. The organisations need the knowledge-based workers (in short k-workers) in order to succeed in a competitive landscape of this information era.

Firms that are dealing in international business are basically the Multinational Enterprises (MNEs) and they dominate the world trade and foreign direct investments. Most of the MNEs are found in the developed economies such as the USA, EU and Japan and they do most of their investments and trading in these regions. This is an
expected outcome because the kinds of workers they need are found in these developed nations i.e. the k-workers. Therefore any country that wants to attract FDI must have the k-workers or the MNEs will by-pass the country and go to those that have the k-workers. Attracting FDI into a country is imperative to bring about economic growth and technological skill advancement, besides creating job opportunities.

Knowledge actually is referred to as “a conclusion that is drawn from the information after it is linked to other information and compared to what is already known” (Daft, 2007, p.297). This is what is expected of the k-workers. However before they are able to become k-workers they have to learn about science and technology which is about the orderly acquisition of knowledge. The 60:40 policy is conceptualized to bring about this development in the students while they are still in schools and tertiary educational institutions.

In the Education Development Plan 2001–2010, it is noted that the 60:40 policy has created so much impact on the restructuring of the education system in this 10-year timeframe for example the creation of the Smart schools and the emergence of private colleges and foreign universities.

The wealth of a nation can no longer be based only on the physical capital but more importantly on the human capital. This is because the quality of the human capital determines the effective and efficient use of the physical resources to achieve economic growth and economic competitiveness. This quality of the human capital is derived from its intellectual capital that is found in the human resources. The sources of this capital are the schools, colleges and universities where the “best and brightest” are found. A nation can have all the necessary resources but without the education to enhance the knowledge and skill of its people, it will not grow.

The 60:40 policy challenges the government to provide adequate funds for the development and implementation of the science and technology education in the
country. Investing in education is a form of human capital investment that leads the way to more productive economy and economic growth. Having an abundant supply of well-educated individuals enables the country to facilitate the absorption of technology from developed countries and the development of high technological projects. Furthermore the type and quality of education especially in science and technology indicates the type of products and services that can be offered in the country and to the world.

The 60:40 policy, therefore, is the programme for Malaysia to transform its education system to bring about the institutionalization of a set of cultural attributes in the creation of k-workers. Factors should be made attractive for students and parents to want their children to study science and technology to make the 60:40 policy works. So far the efforts to get more students to take up the science and technology courses at the higher learning institutions have not been encouraging. There is much to be done to motivate students in the secondary schools to do these courses.

Many factors have been identified as the possible causes for the lack of interest among the secondary school students to study science and technology subjects at the schools such as lack of science teachers, ill-equipped laboratories, poor teaching methods and lack of career incentives. So much has been known about the negative aspects that slowed down the achievement of the 60:40 policy and yet there is little that has been achieved to bring about the positive change.

The value of education is an undisputed fact that it is of paramount importance to the advancement and survival of a nation in a competitive landscape in this century.

The 60:40 policy on the other hand is to encourage more students to study science and technology-based areas in order to establish a society with a scientifically creative human resource to transform the nation into a modern world.