6

DYNAMIC INTERACTIONS AMONG STOCK PRICES, INTEREST RATES, REAL ACTIVITY AND MONEY IN MALAYSIA

BY CHONG CHIN SIENG (EGAX 01009)

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND ADMINISTRATION, UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ECONOMICS

OCTOBER 2002



ABSTRACT

This study investigates the causal relations and dynamic interactions among stock prices, real interest rate, real money balances and real activity in Malaysia using monthly data from January 1987 to December 2001. This full sample period is also divided into two sub-periods for analysis. The first sub-period covers January 1987 to August 1998 while the second sub-period covers September 1998 to December 2001.

The conventional unit root tests suggest that all variables are integrated of order one. This finding is further supported by the results of the unit root test which allows for a structural break in the series. All the variables are cointegrated for the entire sample period and the two sub-periods. The existence of cointegration among stock prices with macroeconomic variables suggests evidence against the efficient market hypothesis for the Malaysian stock market.

For the full sample period, when money supply is defined as M1, real interest rate and real money balances adjust to disequilibrium. The results of Granger causality test suggest that industrial production and stock prices Granger-cause real money balances. Bi-directional causality between real interest rate and real money balances is found. On the other hand, if the M2 measure of money is used, all variables adjust to disequilibrium except stock prices. All variables tend to lead industrial production. The finding shows evidence that stock prices acted as a leading indicator of future economic activity. In addition, stock prices tend to lead real interest rate.

When M2 is used, the results of the first sub-period show that all the variables respond to disequilibrium and acted as leading indicators of industrial production. Industrial production and real money balances tend to lead stock prices. Nevertheless, the results for the second sub-period indicate that only stock prices and real interest rate respond to disequilibrium. This implies that since September 1998, real money balances are no longer an active monetary tool. Interest rate, however, remains an important monetary tool. In fact, a significant causal relation is found from industrial production to real interest rate during the second sub-period. This offers evidence of interest rate targetting. Real money balances only Granger-cause industrial production before the imposition of selective capital controls regime. Besides that, stock prices no longer act as a leading indicator of economic activity.

The main finding of the impulse response function is that more variables response to onetime shocks in the second sub-period compared with the first sub-period and the response period also takes longer time to settle down. This suggests that the economy is more sensitive towards changes in macroeconomic variables.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to convey my heartfelt thanks and appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Goh Kim Leng for his guidance and supervision of this dissertation. This study would never have materialized without his encouragement, patience, valuable advice and time.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of the lecturers who have taught me and enriched my knowledge throughout the Master of Economics programme. They are Dr. Yap Su Fei, Dr. Kwek Kian Teng, Dr. Yeoh Kok Kheng, Professor Dr. Kok Kim Lian, Associate Professor Dr. Tan Eu Chye, Associate Professor Dr. P. Sellappan and Dr. Rosli bin Yaakop. Not forgetting my sincere thanks to Associate Professor Dr. Siti Rohani bte Hj. Yahya for her valuable advice and opinion since my undergraduate days.

I would also like to express my grateful thanks to all my friends and course-mates who have assisted me in completing this study. I appreciate very much their kindness.

Last but not least, to my dearest parents, brothers and sisters, I am grateful and thankful for their unlimited support, understanding and encouragement during this period of my study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 An Overview	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	2
1.3 Organization of the Study	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Studies on Other Countries	5
2.3 Studies on Malaysia	13
CHAPTER 3: DATA	16
3.1 Period of Analysis	16
3.2 Data and Sources	16
 3.3 Details on Data Computation 3.3.1 Index of Industrial Production and Seasonally Adjusted Index of Industrial Production 	25 25
3.3.2. Kuala Lumpur Composite Index	27

	3.3.3 Real Interest Rate 3.3.4 Real Money Balances	28 29
СН	APTER 4: METHODOLOGY	30
4.1	Introduction	30
4.2	Unit Root Tests 4.2.1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller Unit Root Test 4.2.2 Phillips-Perron Unit Root Test 4.2.3 Unit Root Test with a Structural Break	30 31 33 34
4.3	Cointegration and Vector Error Correction Model	35
4.4	Adjustments to Disequilibrium and Granger Causality Test	40
4.5	Impulse Response Function	43
СН	APTER 5: FULL SAMPLE ANALYSIS	45
5.1	Introduction	45
5.2	Unit Root Tests 5.2.1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller and Phillips-Perron Unit Root Tests 5.2.2 Unit Root Test with a Structural Break	45 46 49
5.3	Cointegration	51
5.4	Vector Error Correction Model 5.4.1 Adjustments to Disequilibrium 5.4.2 Granger Causality	53 56 58
CE	IAPTER 6: SUB-PERIOD ANALYSIS	61
6.1	Introduction	61
6.2	Cointegration	62

6.3 Vector Error Correction Model6.3.1 Adjustments to Disequilibrium6.3.2 Granger Causality	65 69 71
6.4 Impulse Response Function	73
CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSIONS OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION	80
7.1 Introduction	80
7.2 Summary for the Full Sample Period	81
7.3 Summary for the Two Sub-Periods	82
7.4 Discussions of Findings	84
7.5 Limitations of the Study and Suggestions for Further Research	89
BIBLIOGRAPHY	91

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1: KLSE Composite Index Components (As At 31/10/2001)	19
Table 5.1: Tests for Unit Root (In Levels)	47
Table 5.2: Tests for Unit Root (In First Differences)	48
Table 5.3: Unit Root Test with a Structural Break (In Levels and First Differences)	50
Table 5.4: Johansen Cointegration Test for the First System (M1)	52
Table 5.5: Johansen Cointegration Test for the Second System (M2)	52
Table 5.6: The Vector Error Correction Model for the First System (M1)	54
Table 5.7: The Vector Error Correction Model for the Second System (M2)	55
Table 5.8: F-Test for Adjustments to Disequilibrium	57
Table 5.9: Granger Causality Test for the First System (M1)	59
Table 5.10: Granger Causality Test for the Second System (M2)	60
Table 6.1: Johansen Cointegation Test for the First Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M1	63
Table 6.2: Johansen Cointegation Test for the First Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M2	63
Table 6.3: Johansen Cointegation Test for the Second Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M1	64
Table 6.4: Johansen Cointegation Test for the Second Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M2	64
Table 6.5: The Vector Error Correction Model for the First Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M1	66
Table 6.6: The Vector Error Correction Model for the First Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M2	67

Table 6.7: The Vector Error Correction Model for the Second Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M1	68
Table 6.8: The Vector Error Correction Model for the Second Sub-Period with Monetary Aggregate M2	69
Table 6.9: F-Test for Adjustments to Disequilibrium (First Sub-Period)	70
Table 6.10: F-Test for Adjustments to Disequilibrium (Second Sub-Period)	70
Table 6.11: Granger Causality Test for the First Sub-Period	72
Table 6.12: Granger Causality Test for the Second Sub-Period	73

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 3.1: KLSE Composite Index	20
Figure 3.2: Seasonally Adjusted Industrial Production Index (1985 = 100)	21
Figure 3.3: Nominal and Real Interest Rates	23
Figure 3.4: Real Money Balances	25
Figure 6.1(a): Response of $\Delta lnIIPS_t$ to Shocks in ΔRIR_t for the First Sub-Period	75
Figure 6.1(b): Response of $\Delta lnIIPS_t$ to Shocks in ΔRIR_t for the Second Sub-Period	75
Figure 6.2(a): Response of ΔRIR_t to Shocks in $\Delta lnIIPS_t$ for the First Sub-Period	75
Figure 6.2(b): Response of ΔRIR_t to Shocks in $\Delta InIIPS_t$ for the Second Sub-Period	76
Figure 6.3(a): Response of ΔRIR_t to Shocks in $\Delta lnRB2_t$ for the First Sub-Period	76
Figure 6.3(b): Response of ΔRIR_t to Shocks in $\Delta lnRB2_t$ for the Second Sub-Period	76
Figure 6.4(a): Response of ΔRIR_t to Shocks in $\Delta lnSP_t$ for the First Sub-Period	77
Figure 6.4(b): Response of ΔRIR_t to Shocks in $\Delta InSP_t$ for the Second Sub-Period	77
Figure 6.5(a): Response of $\Delta lnSP_t$ to Shocks in $\Delta lnIIPS_t$ for the First Sub-Period	77
Figure 6.5(b): Response of $\Delta lnSP_t$ to Shocks in $\Delta lnIIPS_t$ for the Second Sub-Period	78
Figure 6.6(a): Response of ΔlnSP _t to Shocks in ΔlnRB2 _t for the First Sub- Period	78

Figure 6.6(b): Response of ΔlnSP _t to Shocks in ΔlnRB2 _t for the Second Sub- Period	78
Figure 6.7(a): Response of $\Delta lnSP_t$ to Shocks in ΔRIR_t for the First Sub-Period	79
Figure 6.7(b): Response of $\Delta lnSP_t$ to Shocks in ΔRIR_t for the Second Sub-Period	79
Figure 7.1: Expansionary Monetary Policy under Fixed Exchange Rate	86