

**TEACHERS' SELF-EFFICACY IN DEALING WITH BULLYING
AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS**

LEE JUN CHOI

**THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN EDUCATION**

**FACULTY OF EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2013

**UNIVERSITI MALAYA
PERAKUAN KEASLIAN PENULISAN**

Nama: LEE JUN CHOI

(No. K.P/Pasport: 710115-13-5295)

No. Pendaftaran/Matrik: PHA060038

Nama Ijazah: DOKTOR FALSAFAH

Tajuk Kertas Projek/Laporan Penyelidikan/Disertasi/Tesis (“Hasil Kerja ini”):
**“TEACHERS’ SELF-EFFICACY IN DEALING WITH BULLYING
AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS”**

Bidang Penyelidikan: PSIKOLOGI PENDIDIKAN

Saya dengan sesungguhnya dan sebenarnya mengaku bahawa:

- (1) Saya adalah satu-satunya pengarang/penulis Hasil Kerja ini;
- (2) Hasil Kerja ini adalah asli;
- (3) Apa-apa penggunaan mana-mana hasil kerja yang mengandungi hakcipta telah dilakukan secara urusan yang wajar dan bagi maksud yang dibenarkan dan apa-apa petikan, ekstrak, rujukan atau pengeluaran semula daripada atau kepada mana-mana hasil kerja yang mengandungi hakcipta telah dinyatakan dengan sejelasnya dan secukupnya dan satu pengiktirafan tajuk hasil kerja tersebut dan pengarang/penulisnya telah dilakukan di dalam Hasil Kerja ini;
- (4) Saya tidak mempunyai apa-apa pengetahuan sebenar atau patut semunasabahnya tahu bahawa penghasilan Hasil Kerja ini melanggar suatu hakcipta hasil kerja yang lain;
- (5) Saya dengan ini menyerahkan kesemua dan tiap-tiap hak yang terkandung di dalam hakcipta Hasil Kerja ini kepada Universiti Malaya (“UM”) yang seterusnya mula dari sekarang adalah tuan punya kepada hakcipta di dalam Hasil Kerja ini dan apa-apa pengeluaran semula atau penggunaan dalam apa jua bentuk atau dengan apa juga cara sekalipun adalah dilarang tanpa terlebih dahulu mendapat kebenaran bertulis dari UM;
- (6) Saya sedar sepenuhnya sekiranya dalam masa penghasilan Hasil Kerja ini saya telah melanggar suatu hakcipta hasil kerja yang lain sama ada dengan niat atau sebaliknya, boleh dikenakan tindakan undang-undang atau apa-apa tindakan lain sebagaimana yang diputuskan oleh UM.

Tandatangan Calon :

Tarikh :

Diperbuat dan sesungguhnya diakui di hadapan,

Tandatangan Saksi :

Tarikh :

Nama :

Jawatan :

UNIVERSITI MALAYA
ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: LEE JUN CHOI (I.C/Passport No: 710115-13-5295)

(I.C/Passport No: 710115-13-5295)

Registration/Matric No: PHA060038

Name of Degree: DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis (“this Work”):

“ TEACHERS’ SELF-EFFICACY IN DEALING WITH BULLYING AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS ”

Field of Study: EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
 - (2) This Work is original;
 - (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
 - (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
 - (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
 - (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature:

Date :

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness's Signature :

Date :

Name : _____

Designation :

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my wife Wong Siaw Min,
my sons Jason Lee Tian Yi and Jerry Lee Tian Zhi, and my daughter Jane Lee Qing
who have supported me all the way since the beginning of my studies and enabling
such a study to take place today. I also would like to dedicate this thesis to all those
who believe
in the richness of learning.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my thanks to my supervisor, Associate Prof. Dr. Loh Sau Cheong. This thesis would not have been completed without her sage and expert advice, insightful criticisms and unfailing patience. I am also most grateful for her faith in this study especially in the sometimes-difficult circumstances in which it was written. I would also like to acknowledge the support and assistance given to me by Prof. Dr. Ananda Kumar Palaniappan, and not forgetting Associate Prof. Dr. Mariani Md. Nor, Associate Prof. Dr. Haslee Shahril, Dr. Shahrir Jamaluddin and to all the non academic staff of Department of Educational Psychology and Counselling, Faculty of Education, University of Malaya.

I would like to express a special word of thanks to my friends and family who tirelessly listened to my ideas and offered encouragement when it was most needed. Finally I would like to thank my wife, Wong Siaw Min, who really supported me throughout the writing of this thesis and patiently assisting with words of assurance. I could not have completed this effort without her assistance, tolerance and enthusiasm.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------|
| ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION..... | ii |
| DEDICATION..... | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... | v |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | xiii |
| SYNOPSIS..... | xiv |

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| <i>CHAPTER ONE</i> | Introduction |
| Background of the Study | 1 |
| Rationale of the Study..... | 6 |
| Statement of the Problem..... | 9 |
| Purposes of the Study..... | 11 |
| Research Questions..... | 12 |
| Significance of the Study..... | 13 |
| Limitation of the Study | 16 |
| Definitions of Terms..... | 18 |
| Summary..... | 21 |
| <i>CHAPTER TWO</i> | Literature Review |
| Fundamental Human Capabilities..... | 22 |
| Reciprocal causation..... | 25 |
| Sources of influence on teacher self-efficacy..... | 28 |
| Teacher efficacy and teacher outcomes..... | 30 |
| The relationship between teacher's knowledge and teacher's self-efficacy..... | 33 |
| The Power of Teacher Efficacy in Controlling Students Behavior..... | 37 |
| Review of Research Regarding Teacher Self-Efficacy on It's Constructs and measures..... | 39 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Research Regarding Sources of Influence on Self-Efficacy..... | 48 |
| Teachers' Self-efficacy in Dealing With Bullying..... | 49 |
| Bullying in Schools..... | 50 |
| Type of Bullying..... | 56 |
| Consequences of Bullying in Schools..... | 61 |
| The Involvement/Engagement of Teachers in School Bullying..... | 64 |
| Theoretical Framework of the study..... | 69 |
| Conceptual Framework of the study..... | 78 |
| Summary..... | 80 |

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| <i>CHAPTER THREE</i> | Methodology |
| Research Design..... | 81 |
| Population of the study..... | 82 |
| Sampling Method..... | 84 |
| Instrument..... | 85 |
| Procedure..... | 108 |
| Pilot Test..... | 109 |
| Data Analysis..... | 110 |
| Summary..... | 111 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| <i>CHAPTER FOUR</i> | Findings |
| Descriptive Statistics | |
| Sources of influence on Teacher Self-efficacy in Dealing with Bullying in Secondary School..... | 113 |
| Teachers' Self-efficacy regarding Dealing with Bullying among Students in Secondary School, among In-service Teacher..... | 126 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Inferential Statistics | |
| Differences in Teacher Self- Efficacy in dealing with bullying according to post they are holding (senior assistant teachers, discipline teachers, counseling teachers, academic teachers)..... | 145 |

| | |
|--|---|
| Differences in Teacher Self- Efficacy in dealing with bullying by Gender..... | 146 |
| The relationship between Sources of Influence (mastery experience, vicarious experience, verbal persuasion, physiological arousal, contextual climate, demographic information) and Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing with bullying..... | 147 |
| Summary..... | 161 |
| CHAPTER FIVE | Discussion, conclusions and recommendations |
| Summary of Results..... | 164 |
| Discussion..... | 165 |
| (a) Descriptive results on Sources of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy in dealing with bullying among students..... | 165 |
| (b) Descriptive results on Teacher Self-Efficacy in dealing with bullying among students' levels..... | 172 |
| (c) Teacher Self-Efficacy and Demographic Information..... | 176 |
| (d) The Relationships between Predictor Variables and Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing with Bullying among Students in Secondary Schools..... | 180 |
| Implications and Suggestions for Educational Practice..... | 184 |
| Recommendations for Future Research..... | 189 |
| References..... | 191 |

APPENDIX

| | | |
|---|---|-----|
| A | Thought Listing Questionnaire..... | 229 |
| B | Questionnaire for Teachers..... | 241 |
| C | Factor Analyses for Sources of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy Scale Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School..... | 251 |
| D | Factor Analyses for Teacher Sense of Efficacy Scale Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School..... | 257 |
| E | Permission to use and modify some of the terms in the TSES from the Authors..... | 263 |
| F | Letters to the authorities concerned..... | 264 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 3.1 | Factor loadings and communalities based on principal components analysis with Varimax with Kaiser Normalization rotation for 40 items of Sources Of Influence on Teachers Self-Efficacy Scale Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School (N=300)..... | 93 |
| Table 3.2 | Code and Descriptions..... | 95 |
| Table 3.3 | Descriptive statistics for Sources Of Influence on Teachers Self-Efficacy Scale Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School (N =300)..... | 98 |
| Table 3.4 | Level of Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School..... | 101 |
| Table 3.5 | Factor loadings and communalities based on principal components analysis with Varimax with Kaiser Normalization rotation for 18 items of Teachers Self-Efficacy Scale Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School (N=300)..... | 104 |
| Table 3.6 | Code and descriptions..... | 105 |
| Table 3.7 | Descriptive statistics for the three Adolescent Coping Scale factors (N = 300)..... | 106 |
| Table 3.8 | Construct Dimensions, Variable Type and Level of Measurement of the Survey Items..... | 107 |
| Table 4.1 | Overall Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for each Subscales of the Sources of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School..... | 114 |
| Table 4.2 | General Agreement and Disagreement on Mastery Experience as Source of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School: Collapsed Columns..... | 116 |
| Table 4.3 | General Agreement and Disagreement on Verbal Persuasion as Source of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School: Collapsed Columns..... | 118 |
| Table 4.4 | General Agreement and Disagreement on Contextual Climate as A Source of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School: Collapsed Columns..... | 121 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.5 | General Agreement and Disagreement on Physiological Arousal as A Source of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School: Collapsed Columns..... | 122 |
| Table 4.6 | General Agreement and Disagreement on Vicarious Experience as A Source of Influence on Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School: Collapsed Columns..... | 124 |
| Table 4.7 | Teacher Self-efficacy Level Regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school: Frequency, Percentage and Mean Response on Likert Scale..... | 127 |
| Table 4.8 | Overall Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for each Subscales of Level of Behavioural Self-efficacy (BSE), Level of Cognitive Self-efficacy (CSE), and Level of Emotional Self-efficacy (ESE,) Regarding Dealing With Bullying in Secondary School..... | 128 |
| Table 4.9 | Level of Behavioural Self-efficacy(BSE) of Teachers Regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 130 |
| Table 4.10 | Level of Cognitive Self-efficacy (CSE) of Teachers Regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 131 |
| Table 4.11 | Level of Emotional Self-efficacy (ESE) of Teachers Regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 133 |
| Table 4.12 | Overall Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing with Bullying Among Students prior to post they are holding..... | 134 |
| Table 4.13 | Level of Self-Efficacy of Senior Assistants regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 136 |
| Table 4.14 | Level of Self-Efficacy of Discipline teachers regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 139 |
| Table 4.15 | Level of Self-Efficacy of Counselling teachers regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 141 |
| Table 4.16 | Level of Self-Efficacy of Academic teachers regarding dealing with bullying among students in secondary school..... | 143 |
| Table 4.17 | One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA): Differences in Teacher Self-Efficacy in dealing with Bullying in Secondary School by Post they are Holding(senior assistant, discipline teachers, counseling teachers, academic teachers) | 145 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.18 | Independent Samples t-Test: Differences in Teacher Self-Efficacy in dealing with bullying in Secondary School by Gender..... | 146 |
| Table 4.19 | Skewness and Kurtosis..... | 150 |
| Table 4.20 | Pearson Product-Moment Correlations of Sources of Influence Teacher Self-Efficacy in Dealing With Bullying Among Students... | 154 |
| Table 4.21 | Variables Entered/Removed..... | 158 |
| Table 4.22 | Model Summary..... | 159 |
| Table 4.23 | Anova..... | 159 |
| Table 4.24 | Coefficients..... | 160 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 1.1 | Model of Reciprocal Causation..... | 26 |
| Figure 2.1 | A Conceptual Framework of the Study..... | 79 |
| Figure 3.1 | Stratified Random Sampling..... | 84 |
| Figure 4.1 | Graphical representation of Univariate distribution: The Histogram..... | 151 |
| Figure 4.2 | Normal Probability plots and corresponding univariate distributions..... | 152 |
| Figure 4.3 | Bivariate scatter plots to examine linearity..... | 153 |
| Figure 4.4. | Relationship between predicted and residual of teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying scores..... | 155 |

Synopsis

Evidences from a number of studies suggest that generally teachers are not very effective when they address or deal with cases of bullying in schools. Although there are now quite a lot of literatures on how or what schools can do in dealing with cases of bullying, curiously enough there is little information available about what teachers actually feel, think, and do when bullying is going on at their schools. Scant attention has been paid to teachers' self-efficacy regarding bullying and what actually are their ability when they deal with this type of problem, particularly in Malaysia. There also relatively little is known about sources that have an impact on teacher self-efficacy regarding dealing with bullying in school, in the local context or probably in the international arena. The source of influence is predicted to be from behaviour factors (i.e. mastery experience); environmental factors (i.e. vicarious experience, verbal persuasion, and contextual climate) and personal factors (i.e. demographic information, and psychological arousal.) The first purpose of this study was to identify what are the levels of in-service teachers' self-efficacy in terms of dealing with bullying in secondary schools. The second purpose of this study was to identify whether there is any significant difference in the level of teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying according to (a) post they are holding (i.e. senior assistant teachers, discipline teachers, counseling teachers, academic teachers), and (b) gender . The third purpose of this study was to identify the overall source of influence that contributes to teacher self-efficacy among in-service teachers regarding dealing with bullying in secondary school. The fourth purpose of this study was to identify which of the following influences (i.e. mastery experience, vicarious experience, verbal persuasion, physiological arousal, contextual climate, demographic information) are significant predictors of teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying. This quantitative research utilizes a correlation method in order to examine the relationship between various sources of influence and

teacher sense of efficacy when dealing with bullying among students in secondary schools. The measurement for sources of influence on teacher self-efficacy scale in dealing with bullying and the measurement of teacher self-efficacy level when dealing with bullying in secondary school are validated through self-constructed questionnaire. Based on the finding of this study, the levels of teachers' self-efficacy in terms of dealing with bullying in secondary school among in-service teachers was moderately high ($M = 3.83$, $SD= 0.79$). This implies that majority of the in-service teachers were somewhat confident of themselves in having the ability to successfully perform their duty or responsibility in dealing with bullying among students in secondary school. This study also found that there was a significant difference [$F(3, 1916) = 52.416$, $p < .001$, $\alpha = .05$] in the level of teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying according to the post they are holding, whereby senior assistants yielded the highest overall mean score of 4.13 ($SD=0.77$) and academic teachers have the lowest of them all with overall mean score of 3.53 ($SD=0.79$). Based on the standardized regression coefficients (β s) indices of direct effects of each predictor variable on teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying among students, Mastery Experience contributed the highest direct effect or influence on teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying among students, followed by Verbal Persuasion and Contextual Climate. Although there is no documented record of local research that examine the sources of influence on teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying among students, one clear finding that arises from this study is that, mastery experience and verbal persuasion are prominent predictors of teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying among students in secondary schools. In addition, the interrelated and mediating roles of these two efficacy sources indicate that, to some extent, they function interdependently as in-service teachers gain new ways or maybe new skills in relation to deal with various bullying cases among students in secondary schools. It is recommended that teacher

preparation or teacher developmental programs regarding the issue of bullying among students in secondary schools, explicitly address these two influences with specific types of training and educational experiences that focus on mastery building through cognitive and meta cognitive strategies, cultivating self-regulation competencies, and establishing a social support system. Such strategies may offer useful treatment ingredients aimed at modifying self-efficacy in dealing with bullying among students, among in-service teachers in secondary schools. As noted earlier, the findings of this study showed that mastery experience consistently remained a crucial source of influence on teacher self-efficacy in dealing with bullying among students. Therefore, training for teachers as well as in-house training for in-service teachers, should focus on acquiring self-regulatory competence so that teachers are able to monitor their own performances. This would provide an important mastery building opportunity for self-efficacy enhancement.

Sinopsis

Bukti daripada beberapa kajian lepas menyatakan bahawa kebanyakan guru tidak dapat menangani kes-kes buli di sekolah secara berkesan. Walaupun dewasa ini terdapat banyak kajian tentang bagaimana dan apa yang pihak sekolah boleh lakukan dalam menangani kes-kes buli, namun masih terlalu sedikit maklumat berkaitan dengan apa yang sebenarnya guru rasa, fikir, dan lakukan setiap kali mereka berhadapan dengan kes buli dalam kalangan pelajar di sekolah. Selain daripada itu, didapati terlalu sedikit atau terhad perhatian yang diberikan kepada efikasi diri guru dan apakah sebenarnya keupayaan diri guru berkaitan dengan perkara ini, khasnya di Malaysia. Maklumat berkaitan dengan impak sumber-sumber pengaruh terhadap efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes-kes buli di sekolah juga terlalu sedikit. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti pengaruh-pengaruh utama yang menyumbang kepada aras efikasi diri guru dalam mengendalikan kes-kes buli dalam kalangan pelajar sekolah menengah. Kajian ini juga bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti tahap efikasi diri guru apabila mereka mengendalikan kes-kes buli di sekolah menengah. Tujuan ketiga kajian ini ialah untuk mengenal pasti sama ada terdapat sebarang perbezaan dari segi tahap efikasi diri guru apabila mereka mengendalikan kes-kes buli, bagi guru yang memegang jawatan atau portfolio tertentu iaitu (a) jawatan yang mereka sandang di sekolah (iaitu penolong kanan, guru disiplin, guru kaunseling, dan guru akademik), dan (b) jantina. Tujuan keempat kajian ini pula ialah untuk mengenalpasti yang manakah di antara pengaruh-pengaruh berikut (i.e. pengalaman masteri, pengalaman vikarious, pujukan verbal, rangsangan fisiologikal, suasana konteks, dan maklumat demografi) merupakan peramal yang signifikan berkaitan dengan efikasi diri guru apabila mengendalikan kes buli. Kajian kuantitatif ini menggunakan reka bentuk korelasi dengan tujuan untuk mengkaji hubungan di

antara pelbagai sumber pengaruh efikasi diri dengan efikasi diri guru dalam mengendalikan kes buli pelajar sekolah menengah. Skala pengukuran sumber-sumber pengaruh efikasi diri guru dan tahap efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes buli pelajar sekolah menengah adalah dibina sendiri oleh penyelidik dan telahpun disahkan. Berdasarkan dapatan kajian ini, tahap efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes buli di sekolah menengah adalah sederhana tinggi ($M = 3.83$, $SD= 0.79$).. Ini menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan guru-guru yang sedang berkhidmat mempunyai keyakinan serta kemampuan diri di dalam menjalankan tugas dan tanggungjawab mereka menangani kes buli di dalam kalangan pelajar di sekolah menengah. Kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan [$F(3, 1916) = 52.416$, $p < .001$, $\alpha = .05$] di dalam tahap efikasi diri guru apabila mereka menangani kes buli berdasarkan jawatan yang mereka pegang, yang mana penolong kanan menunjukkan skor markah purata yang tertinggi iaitu 4.13 ($SD=0.77$) dan guru akademik mempunyai skor markah purata yang terendah dengan skor markah keseluruhan sebanyak 3.53 ($SD=0.79$). Berdasarkan kesan langsung “standardized regression coefficients (β s) indices” terhadap setiap pembolehubah peramal (predictor) untuk efikasi diri guru di dalam menangani masalah buli di dalam kalangan pelajar, Pengalaman Masteri telah menyumbang kesan langsung atau mempunyai pengaruh yang tinggi ke atas efikasi diri guru di dalam menangani masalah buli di dalam kalangan pelajar, diikuti oleh Pujukan Verbal dan Suasana Konteks. Walaupun tidak terdapat sebarang dokumentasi pada kajian tempatan yang mengenalpasti sumber-sumber efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes buli di dalam kalangan pelajar, satu penemuan ketara hasil kajian ini ialah Pengalaman Masteri dan Pujukan Verbal adalah dua peramal penting terhadap efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes buli di dalam kalangan pelajar di sekolah menengah. Tambahan pula, hubungan serta peranan perantara kedua-dua sumber efikasi ini menunjukkan, sampai ke satu tahap, yang mana kedua-duanya berfungsi

dengan sendirinya sewaktu guru-guru dalam perkhidmatan memperolehi cara baru atau mungkin kemahiran baru berkaitan dengan menangani pelbagai kes buli di dalam kalangan pelajar di sekolah menengah. Oleh itu, dicadangkan bahawa penekanan yang lebih ketara terhadap kedua-dua sumber ini adalah perlu sekiranya sesuatu program peningkatan diri guru berkaitan dengan isu buli di sekolah menengah diadakan. Dengan memberi latihan yang spesifik dan juga pengalaman pendidikan yang berfokuskan pembinaan masteri melalui strategi kognitif dan metakognitif, pemupukan kompetensi regulasi diri, dan pengukuhan sistem sokongan sosial, berkemungkinan besar menawarkan komponen yang berguna ke arah modifikasi efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes buli di dalam kalangan pelajar sekolah menengah. Seperti yang pernah disentuh, dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pengalaman masteri adalah secara konsisten merupakan sumber pengaruh terhadap efikasi diri guru dalam menangani kes buli di dalam kalangan pelajar, yang sangat penting. Oleh itu, latihan perguruan serta latihan dalaman untuk guru dalam perkhidmatan seharusnya memberi fokus ke arah memperolehi kompetensi regulasi diri agar para guru dapat memantau prestasi diri mereka. Ini sudah tentu akan menyediakan peluang pembinaan masteri untuk peningkatan efikasi diri seseorang guru tersebut.

