

## **ABSTRACT**

Prior research has highlighted the limitations of traditional management control and performance measurement systems (PMS) whereby the systems were claimed to be inadequate for today's business environment. The shortcomings of traditional PMS have led to the use of more comprehensive PMS. Extant research indicates Comprehensive Performance Measurement System (CPMS) is widely used in organisations for decision facilitating and influencing purposes. Empirical evidences also show that the number of companies adopting multiple PMS (i.e. Balanced Scorecard) for performance measurement and evaluation is increasing. However, recent research provides inconsistent findings on the relationship between the use of CPMS and performance. These findings imply that CPMS may not necessarily lead to better performance, as the effectiveness of CPMS could be subjected to the limitation in the manager's cognitive abilities. In particular, the research in the context of evaluative judgement implies that there are drawbacks from CPMS as there are limitations in the manager's cognitive abilities. Information could be overloaded due to variations or too many measures used in a CPMS.

Review of the literature suggests that research that examines behavioural consequences of the informational characteristics of CPMS is lacking suggesting there may be an indirect relationship between CPMS and performance. Drawing from this gap in the literature, using cognitive motivational theory, this research examines whether the link between CPMS and job performance is influenced by role stress, organisational commitment and locus of control. This research will also contribute to provide further understanding of the effect of MAS, in the context of PMS on individual performance. Specifically, this research proposes that CPMS may affect job performance via mediating variables of role stress (role ambiguity and role conflict) and organisation commitment (affective commitment). Based on the social learning theory, this research also predicts that a manager's personality of traits, locus of control (internal and external manager) influences the relationship between the decision-facilitating role of CPMS and job performance. Data were collected using questionnaire survey and interviews. The sample comprised business unit (BU) managers of manufacturing companies, members of the Federation of Malaysian Manufacturer (FMM).

Based on useable survey responses of 120 business unit managers, the results show the role ambiguity (role stress dimension) and organisational commitment mediate the relationship between CPMS and job performance. However, there is no evidence on the mediation effect of role conflict in the relationship. This implies CPMS will enhance job performance through the presence of high level of organisational commitment and lower role ambiguity. The moderation effect of locus of control is only identified in the relationship between CPMS and role ambiguity. However, no support was found for the moderating effects of locus of control in each relation between CPMS and organisational commitment, role conflict and job performance. Finding implies manager's locus of control personality influence the use of PMS information and behaviour. Particularly, internal managers who perceive that they are in control of their performance will have higher motivation, thus would perform better than external manager. The interview results provide further insights and support for the survey findings. Role ambiguity and organisational commitment play important role in the use of CPMS to improve job performance. There is evidence indicating managers' locus of control personality influence the use of CPMS and managers' behaviour.

## ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan dahulu telah menekankan batasan kawalan pengurusan tradisional dan Sistem Pengukuran Prestasi (SPP) di mana sistem dikatakan tidak mencukupi untuk perniagaan hari ini. Kelemahan SPP tradisional membawa kepada penggunaan SPP yang lebih komprehensif. Kajian pada masa ini menunjukkan SPP komprehensif digunakan secara meluas dalam organisasi untuk membantu keputusan dan mempengaruhi peranan. Bukti empirikal menunjukkan bahawa bilangan syarikat yang mengguna pakai SPP pelbagai (iaitu Ukuran Skorkad Berimbang) untuk pengukuran dan penilaian prestasi semakin meningkat. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian baru-baru ini memberikan penemuan yang tidak konsisten dalam hubungan antara SPP komprehensif dan prestasi. Penemuan ini membayangkan bahawa SPP komprehensif mungkin tidak semestinya membawa kepada prestasi yang lebih baik kerana keberkesanan SPP komprehensif mungkin bergantung kepada kelemahan dalam kebolehan kognitif pengurus. Khususnya, penyelidikan dalam konteks penghakiman menilai membayangkan bahawa terdapat kelemahan dari SPP komprehensif kerana terdapat batasan dalam kebolehan kognitif pengurus. Maklumat boleh terbeban disebabkan variasi atau terlalu banyak indikator penilaian digunakan dalam SPP komprehensif.

Kajian literatur menunjukkan bahawa kekurangan penyelidikan mengkaji kesan tingkah laku dari ciri-ciri informasi SPP komprehensif mencadangkan mungkin ada hubungan tidak langsung antara SPP komprehensif dan prestasi. Berdasarkan jurang dalam literatur, menggunakan teori kognitif motivasi, penyelidikan mengkaji sama ada hubungan antara SPP komprehensif dan prestasi kerja dipengaruhi oleh tekanan peranan, komitmen organisasi dan lokus kawalan. Kajian juga akan menyumbang kepada memberi kefahaman lanjut kesan Sistem Perakaunan Pengurusan, dalam konteks SPP ke atas prestasi individu. Khususnya, kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa SPP komprehensif boleh mempengaruhi prestasi kerja melalui mediator tekanan peranan (kekaburuan peranan dan konflik peranan) dan komitmen organisasi (komitmen afektif). Berdasarkan teori pembelajaran sosial, kajian ini juga meramalkan personaliti pengurus, lokus kawalan (pengurus dalaman dan luaran) mempengaruhi hubungan antara peranan pemudah keputusan SPP komprehensif dan prestasi kerja. Data dikumpul dengan menggunakan soal selidik dan temubual separa berstruktur. Sampel terdiri daripada pengurus unit perniagaan (BU), syarikat-syarikat pembuatan, ahli Persekutuan Pengilang Malaysia (FMM).

Berdasarkan jawapan kajiselidik yang boleh digunakan dari 120 pengurus perniagaan, keputusan menunjukkan kecaburan peranan (dimensi tekanan peranan) dan komitmen organisasi mempengaruhi hubungan antara SPP komprehensif dan prestasi kerja. Walau bagaimanapun, tidak terdapat bukti kesan pengantaraan konflik peranan dalam hubungan. Ini membayangkan SPP akan meningkatkan prestasi kerja melalui kehadiran tahap komitmen organisasi yang tinggi dan rendah kecaburan peranan. Kesan moderator lokus kawalan hanya dikenal pasti dalam hubungan antara SPP komprehensif dan kecaburan peranan. Walau bagaimanapun, tiada sokongan ditemui untuk kesan moderator lokus kawalan dalam setiap hubungan antara SPP komprehensif dan komitmen organisasi, konflik peranan dan prestasi kerja. Penemuan membayangkan personaliti lokus kawalan pengurus mempengaruhi penggunaan maklumat SPP dan tingkah laku. Terutama sekali, pengurus dalaman yang melihat bahawa mereka dapat mengawal prestasi mereka akan mempunyai motivasi yang lebih tinggi akan melaksanakan kerja lebih baik dari pengurus luaran. Keputusan temubual memberi maklumat lanjut dan sokongan untuk hasil kajian soal selidik. Kekaburuan peranan dan komitmen organisasi memainkan peranan yang penting dalam penggunaan SPP komprehensif untuk memperbaiki prestasi kerja. Terdapat bukti yang menunjukkan personaliti lokus kawalan mempengaruhi penggunaan SPP komprehensif dan tingkah laku pengurus.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Many people have provided me with assistance and support in completing this research project. First of all, I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Che Ruhana Isa, for her support and guidance through the stages of the thesis process. I may not have been able to make it without her insightful directions and guides.

My thanks also go to the staff of the Graduate School of Business at University of Malaya, for their assistance and support during the program. Also staff and friends at the Faculty of Business and Accountancy; they deserve thanks for their encouragement, inspiration and guidance for me to go through the challenging paths in completing this study. I am also grateful to University Technology MARA for giving the opportunity and financial support for me to pursue this study. I am thankful to my friends Nurbarizah, Dr Kamisah and Dr Suria for their encouragement and friendship in the memorable four years of my life.

Special thanks and love to my family for their patience, encouragement and support through this process. First and foremost, my children, Irfan, Syafiqah, Haziq, Syafiah and Adlina; thank you for the love and understanding. All of you have given me constant motivation, provided me with strength in achieving this goal and at the same time reminding me about what is truly important in life. Last but not least, a special thanks and love to my dear husband, Johan, for his sacrifice, understanding and support throughout the journey.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi

## **CHAPTER**

<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Background	5
1.3 Research Problems	7
1.4 Research Objectives and Research Questions	11
1.5 Significance of the Study	12
1.6 Contribution of the Study	15
1.7 Scope of the Research	16
1.8 Operational Definitions of Constructs	17
1.8 Summary of Chapter	18
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 Performance Measurement System (PMS)	21
2.2.1 Limitations of Traditional PMS	21
2.2.2 Financial and Non-financial Measures	28
2.2.3 Definitions of PMS	36
2.2.3.1 Strategic Performance Measurement System (SPMS)	38
2.2.3.2 Comprehensive Performance Measurement System (CPMS)	40
2.2.3.3 The Important Roles of SPMS/CPMS	48
2.2.4 PMS and Organisational/Individual Performance	52
2.2.4.1 PMS and Organisational Performance	53
2.2.4.2 Behavioural Implication of PMS	58
2.2.4.2.1 PMS as a Decision-facilitating Role	64
2.2.4.2.2 PMS as a Decision-influencing Role	68
2.2.4.2.3 MAS and Performance	74
2.2.4.3 Behavioural Consequences of PMS (Negative)	78
2.3 Role Stress	87
2.3.1 CPMS and Role Stress	89
2.3.2 Role Stress and Organisational Commitment	92
2.3.3 Role Stress and Job Performance	94
2.3.4 Relevant Theories	98
2.4 Organisational Commitment	99
2.4.1 CPMS and Organisational Commitment	101
2.4.2 Organisational Commitment and Role Stress	109

2.4.3	Organisational Commitment and Job Performance	113
2.5	Locus of Control (LOC)	116
2.5.1	MAS/CPMS, Locus of Control and Performance	116
2.5.2	CPMS, Locus of Control and Role Stress	130
2.5.3	CPMS, Locus of Control and Organisational Commitment	132
2.5.4	Relevant Theories	134
2.6	Job Performance	135
2.6.1	Empirical Evidences	135
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH FRAMEWORK: AN INTEGRATIVE MODEL OF CPMS AND JOB PERFORMANCE</b>	
3.1	Underlying Theories	136
3.1.1	Cognitive Motivational Theory	136
3.1.2	Role Theory	140
3.1.3	Social Learning Theory	141
3.2	The Research Model of the Study	142
3.3	Previous Research	142
3.4	The Current Study	149
3.5	Development of Research Hypotheses	151
3.5.1	Definitions and Operationalisations of Constructs	154
3.5.2	Development of Research Hypotheses	160
3.5.2.1	Direct Effects between Constructs	160
3.5.2.2	Indirect Effects between Constructs	171
3.5.2.3	Interaction Effects between Constructs	172
<b>4</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
4.1	Introduction	177
4.2	Data Collection Methods	177
4.3	Mixed Methods	178
4.4	Questionnaire Survey	180
4.4.1	Mail Questionnaires	181
4.4.2	Respondents of the Questionnaire Survey	182
4.4.2.1	Pilot Testing	184
4.4.2.2	Administration of the Questionnaire Survey	185
4.4.2.3	Questionnaire Design	186
4.4.2.4	Instrumentation or Measurement of Variables	189
4.5	Semi-structured Interview	195
4.5.1	Respondents to the Semi-structured Interview	197
4.5.2	Design of the Interview Guide	198
4.6	Mode of Data Analysis	200
4.6.1	Data from the Questionnaire Survey	200
4.6.1.1	Partial Least Squares (PLS) Method	200
4.6.1.2	PLS Path Modeling	202
4.6.1.3	Reflective Measurement Model	203
4.6.1.4	Assessment of Reflective Measurement Model	203
4.6.1.4.1	Internal Consistency and Reliability	204
4.6.1.4.2	Validity	205
4.6.1.5	Evaluation of Structural Model	206
4.6.2	Data from the Semi-structured Interview	207

4.7 Chapter Summary	208
<b>5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
5.1 Introduction	210
5.2 Results of the Questionnaire Survey	210
5.2.1 Analysis of Respondents	210
5.2.2 Analysis of Response Bias	211
5.2.3 Preliminary Analysis of Data	213
5.2.3.1 Data Cleaning and Screening	213
5.2.3.2 Preliminary Analysis of Demographic Data	214
5.2.3.2.1 Individual Analysis	214
5.2.3.2.2 Business Unit	217
5.2.3.2.3 Organisation	217
5.2.3.3 Descriptive Statistics of the Main Variables	219
5.2.3.4 Descriptive Statistics of the Independent Variables	220
5.2.4 Preliminary Analysis	223
5.2.4.1 T-tests	223
5.2.4.2 ANOVA	227
5.2.5 Hypotheses Testing and Findings	231
5.2.5.1 Partial Least Squares (PLS) – Direct and Indirect Relation	231
5.2.5.2 PLS Measurement Model	232
5.2.5.3 PLS Structural Model	236
5.2.5.4 Test of Mediation	240
5.2.5.5 Test of Moderation	244
5.2.5.5.1 PLS - Multi Group Analysis	245
5.2.5.5.2 Moderated Regression Analysis (MRA)	248
5.3 Results of the Semi-structured Interview	256
5.3.1 Background Information	257
5.3.1.1 Profile of the Semi-structured Interview Participant	258
5.3.1.2 Background of Respondents' Firms	258
5.3.2 Issues Related to PMS Implemented in Malaysia	261
5.3.2.1 Implementation of the PMS	261
5.3.2.2 The Use/Content of PMS	264
5.3.2.3 Comprehensive PMS	266
5.3.2.4 Strategy Implementation and Information System	267
5.3.3 PMS Integrates with Strategy and Value Chain	269
5.3.3.1 Formal PMS and Performance Evaluation	269
5.3.3.2 Organisation Long-term Strategy	270
5.3.3.3 Goal Achievement	271
5.3.3.4 Cross Functional Activities	272
5.3.4 PMS Provides Performance Information	274
5.3.4.1 Broad Range of Information of Business Unit Operation	274
5.3.4.2 Diverse Set of Measures	275
5.3.4.3 Different Dimensions of Business Unit (BU) Performance	276
5.3.4.4 Variety of Information	277
5.3.4.5 Critical Area of Business Unit (BU)	278
5.3.5 Behavioural Implications of PMS	279
5.3.5.1 CPMS and Role Ambiguity	282
5.3.5.2 CPMS and Role Conflict	284

5.3.5.3 CPMS and Organisational Commitment	286
5.3.6 Influence of Managers' Personality	288
5.4 Chapter Summary	292
<b>6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	
6.1 Introduction	295
6.2 Summary of Research Findings	299
6.2.1 Summary of Research Findings from Questionnaire Survey	300
6.2.2 Research Objectives	302
6.2.2.1 Research Objective 1	302
6.2.2.2 Research Objective 2	305
6.2.2.3 Research Objective 3	306
6.2.2.4 Research Objective 4	307
6.2.2.5 Research Objective 5	308
6.2.3 Summary of Findings from Semi-structured Interview	310
6.3 Implications on Theory and Practice	314
6.3.1 Theoretical Implications	314
6.3.2 Practical Implications	320
6.3.3 Methodological Implications	322
6.4 Limitations of the Study	323
6.5 Suggestions for Future Research	325
6.6 Conclusion	327
<b>REFERENCES</b>	328
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
APPENDIX A: RESULT OF DATA ANALYSIS	346
APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY	363
APPENDIX C: INTERVIEW GUIDE	372

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Details	Page
Table 1.1	Research Questions, Research Objectives and Underlying Theories	12
Table 2.1	Comparison between Traditional and Non-traditional Performance Measure	25
Table 2.2	Empirical Studies on Behavioural Implications of PMS as a Decision-facilitating and Decision-influencing Role	59
Table 4.1	Mixed Method Strategy	178
Table 4.2	Summary of the Research Questions, Research Objectives, Hypotheses and Source of Instruments.	187
Table 4.3	Measurements of Variables	189
Table 4.4	Assessing Reflective Measurement Models	204
Table 4.5	Assessing Structural Model	207
Table 5.1	Analysis on Response Rate	211
Table 5.2	Test of Non-Response Bias	212
Table 5.3	Profile of Respondents	216
Table 5.4	Main Activity of the Business Unit	217
Table 5.5	Profile of Firms	218
Table 5.6	Descriptive Statistics of the Main Variables (n=120)	220
Table 5.7	Descriptive Statistics for CPMS	222
Table 5.8	T-test Results	224
Table 5.9	One way ANOVA Tests Results	228
Table 5.9 (A)	Ownership structure Scheffe's Test	228
Table 5.9 (B)	No of employees Scheffe's Test	228
Table 5.9	Properties of Measurement Model	233
Table 5.10	Construct Means, Standard Deviations and Inter Correlations from Measurement Model	235
Table 5.11	Cross-loading (full sample, n = 120)	236
Table 5.12	Path Coefficient and PLS Structural Model Results	239
Table 5.13	Direct and Indirect Effects	240
Table 5.14	Analysis of Indirect Effects (n=120)	242
Table 5.15	Descriptive Statistics for LOC Variable	245
Table 5.16	PLS Result for Testing Moderating Effects of LOC	247
Table 5.17	Hierarchical Regression Results for Testing Moderating Effects of LOC between CPMS and Role Ambiguity, Organisational Commitment, Role Conflict and Job Performance	249
Table 5.18	Hierarchical Regression Results for Testing Moderating Effects of LOC (Internal LOC and external LOC subgroups) between CPMS and Role Ambiguity	253
Table 5.19	Summary of the Hypotheses Testing Results and Findings	255
Table 5.20	Background of the Semi-Structured Interview Respondents	259
Table 5.21	Background of the Respondents' Firm	260
Table 6.1	Summary of Research Objectives, Hypotheses and Findings	301

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Details</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1	Overview of Prior PMS Related Research	85
Figure 2.2	Factors Related to Behavioural Consequences of Feedback and Their Dimensions	129
Figure 3.1	Conceptual Framework developed by Franco Santos et al. (2012)	147
Figure 3.2	Integrative Model of Comprehensive PMS and Job Performance	151
Figure 3.3	Integrative Model Showing Proposed Indirect Effect between Constructs	172
Figure 5.1	PLS Output Presents Standardised Path Coefficients	237
Figure 5.2	Empirical Model with Standardised PLS Path Coefficient	242
Figure 6.1	The Research Model of the Study	296

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

CPMS	Comprehensive performance measurement system
BSC	Balanced scorecard
JP	Job performance
JRI	Job relevant information
LOC	Locus of control
MAS	Management accounting system
MCS	Management control system
MRA	Moderated regression analysis
OC	Organisational commitment
PLS	Partial least squares
PM	Performance measure
PMS	Performance measurement system
RA	Role ambiguity
RC	Role conflict
RS	Role stress
SPMS	Strategic performance measurement system
SPSS	Statistical package for social science