PENDIX 1 : BUYER POWER ANALYSIS	BUY	ER G	ROUF	S
. 7	M	М	L	S
	N	N	0	P
	C	C	C	1
	E	J	Α	
	&		L .	
▼ 2	<u>A</u>			1
the buyer group concentrated - i.e. comprised of a few	2	1	2	1
		1	2	0
ominant buyers? (If yes, a source of power) oes the buyer group purchase large volumes relative to the	2	1	-	٠,
	1	1	1	2
the same division of hy the hillyer group reproductive	1			_
ignificant fraction of their costs? (II yes, buyer will				
	1	1	2	0
i ii i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
andifferentiated—i.e., commodity like? (If yes, buyer can find				t e
	1	. 1	2	0
to the state of th	•	·		
and celler to another? (If ves. buyer will be pro-				
current seller; thus, not a source of power.)	0	0	2	0
	0	1	0	0
Do members of the buyer group pose a credible threat of				
backward integration—i.e., can triey make				
buy? (If yes, a source of power.)	2	2	0	2
buy? (If yes, a source of power.) Is the seller's product relatively important to the quality of the	r			
				•
quality but less so for price; thus, a source of power.)	1	1	2	0
quality but less so for price; thus, a source of process the buyer group possess a good deal of information Does the buyer group possess a good deal of information profit margins? (If yes, a				
about the seller's pusifiess e.g., promote				
source of power.)	2	2 2	0	2
source of power.) Does the buyer group have expertise in the purchasing	_	J	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
function? (If yes, a source of power.)		. T = 5 3	1 40	7
Total (maximum of 20 for each buyer)	12	2 11	13	7
Total (maximum of 20 for the same)			Post	18
			Page	7 40

ENDIX 2: SUPPLIER POWER ANALYSIS		0.105	V IFO	CBO	LIDE	
	SUPPLIER GROUPS			-		
í	F	F		Α	R	R
•		(
	L	1	L	1	L	1
	0	М	0	М	0	М
	C	Р	C	Р	С	P
	A	ò	A	0	A	0
	1 2 1			2000		R
™)	L	R	L,	R	L	
e e		T		J	$\overline{}$	エ
		_				
the supplier group concentrated—i.e. dominated by a few	2	0	2	1	2	2
ompanies – or is it more concentrated than the industry it						
ells to? (The more concentrated the group, the greater the						
ells to: (The more concentrated the group, the greater and	1 1					
ource of power.)	1	2	2	2	2	2
Are there viable substitues to the products provided by the		_	_	_	_	
supplier? (If yes, powerful suppliers can be checked with		l û				1
substitute products; thus, not a source of power.)						1
s the industry an important customer of the supplier?	0	1	1	0	1	•
(If yes, supplier is less prone to exercise power; thus, not						
a source of power.)						
Is the supplier's product important to the buyer's business?	2	2	2	. 2	2	2
/If you a neuron of neuron						
(If yes, a source of power.)	0	0	1	2	0	2
Are the supplier's products differentiated? (If yes, moving from						
one supplier to another may be difficult; thus, a source of						
power.)		0	. 1	2	0	1
Would significant switching costs be involved in changing from	0	U	1		U	• 1
one supplier to another? (If ves. a source of power.)						
Does the supplier poses a credible threat of forward integration	2	2	1	0	0	1
-i.e. can it make what its customers buy? (If yes, source of			1	1		1
power.)	1					
Government Restriction	2	1	1	1	2	1
. Government Restriction			Ì			
			A			
Total (maximum of 16 for each supplier)	9	8	11	10	9	12
Total (maximum of to for each supplier)						
					Page	49
,						

APPENDIX 3: ANALYSIS OF BARRIER TO ENTRY

r t	To a Great Extent	To a Moderate Extent	To Little or No Extent
To what extent is your industry characterized by high economies of scale — i.e. unit costs decrease as production increases		Χ ,	
2. To what extent are firms in the industry highly differentiated — i.e. brand identification and customer loyalty exist for specific distributors (e.g. through services offered)?			×
3. To what extyent are the capital requirements for entry into the industry high?	Х		
4. To what extent are switching costs high (i.e. do buyers pay a "fine" for switching to a new entrant)?			×
5. To what extent are distribution channels limited or already captured by incumbent firms?		,	×
6. To what extent do incumbent firms having favourable geographic locations with respect to buyers preclude new entrants from obtaining similar geographic advantages?		X	
7. To what extent do incumbent firms have proprietary knowledge, technology, and/or personnel that are not readily available to new entrants?	X		
To what extent does government policy limit or foreclose entry into the industry?		Х	
			Page 50

Page 50

PENDIX 4. : ANALYSIS OF THREAT OF SUBSTITUTIONS

tion the unsignature that I consider the two two tests of the first that it, illustration many		Substitute
oducts/Services	Customer Function(s)	Products/Services
Barrier Films/ Protection	o Required shelf-life o Preserved taste/origin of contents o Eliminate defects due to inadequate protection	* Glass/Polyester bottle * Aluminium/Tin cans *Multi-layer laminates or co-extruded films
Less Bulkiness/ Less weight	o Ease of handling & distributions o Less costly packaging o Convenience o Less municipal waste — Environment Friendly	* Aluminium/Tin cans
. Product appeal/ presentation	o Attraction, interest, desire & action (AIDA) to purchase	*Paper board *Halographic films
. Various sizes at low cost	o Differentiation strategy o Cost saving	* Plastic containers
AND IN THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		Page 51

PENDIX 5 : COMPETITIVE RIVALRY ANALYSIS

	YES	NO
Is the number of firms in the industry high? (If yes, rivalry tends to be high)	Χ,	
Are the firms in the industry relatively balanced in terms of sales? (If yes, rivalry tends to be high)	Х	
Is growth in the industry relatively slow? (If yes, firms expand market share at each other's expanse; thus, rivalry tends to be high)		Х
Are fixed costs in the industry high? (If yes, firms tend to cut price in order to cover costs; thus, rivalry tends to be high. This frequently occurs in industries where capacity must be added in large increments—e.g. fertilizer plants)	X	
Are storage costs in the industry high? (If yes, firms tend to sell off inventory cheaply during slow periods; thus, rivalry tends to be high)		Х
Are costs associated with switching from one firm to another high for the buyer? (If yes, rivalry tends to be low)	* "	Х
. Are products highly differentiated from one firm to another in the industry? (If yes, rivalry tends to be low)		, X
Are competitors within the industry different in terms of strategies, personalities, and/or relationships with a parent company? (If yes, rivalry tends to be relatively high as competitors are not likely to undrestand each other, a necessary condition for market equilibrium)	X	
Do firms have high strategic stakes in the industry? For example is it important for a diversified conglomerate to achieve success in your industry through a subsidiary, even if that subsidiary is unprofitable? (If yes, rivalry tends to high)	X	
IO. Do high exit barriers exist in the industry? For example, are assets highly specialized or are costs encountered upon exit? (If yes, rivalry tends to be high)	Χ.	
		Page 52