

**ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE PRACTICE THROUGH
COMPARISON OF MULTIPLE RECORDINGS:
THE SONATA NO.1 IN C (OP.1) BY JOHANNES BRAHMS
(1833-1897)**

LEE SEOW PHING

**CULTURAL CENTRE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2015

**ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE PRACTICE THROUGH
COMPARISON OF MULTIPLE RECORDINGS:
THE SONATA NO.1 IN C (OP.1) BY JOHANNES BRAHMS
(1833-1897)**

LEE SEOW PHING

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL
FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF MASTER IN PERFORMING ARTS (MUSIC)**

**CULTURAL CENTRE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2015

UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: LEE SEOW PHING 690110-08-6898
Registration/Matric No: RGI 110004
Name of Degree: MASTER IN PERFORMING ARTS (MUSIC)
Title of Dissertation: ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE PRACTICE THROUGH
COMPARISON OF MULTIPLE RECORDINGS:
THE SONATA NO.1 IN C (OP.1) BY
JOHANNES BRAHMS (1833-1897)
Field of Study: COMPOSITION

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature

Date: 24TH JULY 2015

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness's Signature

Date: 24TH JULY 2015

ABSTRACT

This dissertation analyzes temporal deviations across for performance by different pianists' of the Sonata No.1 in C by Johannes Brahms, in order to posit inferences of emotional expression. The timing deviations were analysed using Audacity, then collated in Microsoft Excel 2010. The results were placed within two-dimensional graphs to show timing variations relative to the sections of Sonata form: Exposition, Development, Recapitulation and Coda. The timings were arranged according to the standard deviation (SDs) from the group mean of (495.705 ± 55.794) seconds, ranging from 418.230 seconds to 615.799 seconds. Interestingly, the lowest timing (fastest performance) was well over a minute faster than the mean and the highest timing (slowest performance) was over one and a half minutes from the mean. Grouping performances by similarities or differences within the 1SD, 2 SDs and beyond were useful guides to performing time in pianists. Inferences regarding a relation between timing and emotion were achieved through derivation in the standard deviation index (SDI) which is an analogue of emotional index (EI) through the variable of *Time*. Systematic treatment to emotion contours identified in excerpts from the 2nd themes in various sections were quantified in (EI) for contours should they contain significant structure signalling emotional features of music graded as levels of expression (EI) in undulating tension relaxation relationships.

ABSTRAK

Dissertasi ini menganalisa perubahan “pelbagai pemusik temporal” di antara piano dalam persembahan Sonata No.1 dalam C olahan komposisi Johannes Brahms. Perubahan “temporal” secara tidak langsung digunakan untuk membuat inferensi terhadap ekspresi emosi. Perhitungan “temporal” dalam istilah biasa iaitu pengiraan masa dianalisa menggunakan teknologi rakaman perkomputeran melalui perisian “Audacity”. Data yang terkumpul diproses menggunakan statistik “Microsoft Excel 2010”. Keputusan berbentuk graf dua-dimensi menunjukkan variasi masa antara 10 pemusik piano mengikut klassifikasi musik Sonata:”Exposition, Development, Recapitulation dan Coda”. Data disusun dalam urutan menaik mengikut perubahan piawai (SD) dari purata sebanyak (495.705 ± 55.794) saat. Persembahan dengan rakaman masa yang terendah sekali, 418.230 saat (tercepat) adalah kurang seminit daripada purata dan persembahan yang terpanjang sekali iaitu 615.799 saat melebihi purata ini sebanyak satu setengah minit. Penyusunan data mengikut persamaan dan perbezaan dalam urutan 1SD, 2SD memudahkan analisa perbandingan antara rakaman pelbagai pemain piano. Inferensi melalui perhubungan antara masa dan emosi dikira dari analog index perubahan piawai (SDI) iaitu Index Emosi (EI) menggunakan variabel masa . Kaedah Musikologi Sistemik memudahkan kuantifikasi kontor emosi di mana gradiasi pelbagai struktur musik emosi dalam thema kedua (2nd themes) Sonata ini menyerlah dari segi keterampilannya dalam ombak gabungan tensi-relaksi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Especially to Dr. Michael Edward Edgerton, the supervisor who is friendly and simple, his teaching and thoughts on music surpassed limitations found in many standard textbooks,

Thank you very much for the guiding light.

My gratitude and thanks are also dedicated to all the people who have made my study of 3 hardworking years possible at this university.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	<i>ii</i>
ABSTRAK	<i>iii</i>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	<i>iv</i>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	<i>v-viii</i>
LIST OF FIGURES	<i>ix-x</i>
LIST OF TABLES	<i>xi</i>
LIST OF APPENDICES	<i>xii</i>

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	History	1
1.3	Systematic Musicology: definitions.	3
1.4	Research Objectives	5

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	6
2.1.1	The Brahmsian Piano Performance Practice	6
2.1.2	The Sonata No.1 in C (op.1) by Johannes Brahms (1833-1897)	7
2.1.3	The Explicit Musical Expression a performing Science and Art	8
2.1.3.1	A Composer's Message.....	9
2.1.3.2	Listener's Perceptual Experience	11
2.1.3.2a	The Cognitive and Emotive in Music Expressions	11
2.1.3.2b	The 'Gestalt' Principle in Music	12
2.1.3.3	Expressive Intensions of Performer as a Study of Expressions in Music....	13
2.1.3.3a	Basic emotions	13
2.1.3.3b	Dimensional Approach : Valence_Arousal (VA_AR) and Kinematics Energy (KE) (Affective and Sensorial Spaces)	17
2.1.3.3c	Music in Multidimensional Spaces with emphasis on Emotions and Time (Affective and Temporal Spaces).....	21
2.1.3.3d	Continuous measurement of subject reactions during performance.....	24

2.1.3.3e	The Study of Time	27
2.1.3.3f	Analysis of Time by empirical measurements	29
2.1.3.3g	Mathematical Model	31
2.1.3.3h	KTH Rule System	33
2.1.4	Conclusion.....	35
2.1.5	Analysis of deviations in recorded human performances.....	36

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Time, as a parameter in calculation.....	39
3.3	Standard Deviation, σ	41
3.3.1	Standard Deviation Index , SDI	41
3.4	Emotion Index and Interpretation	42
3.5	Research Design.....	43
3.5.1	Overview	43
3.5.2	Design	45
3.6	Data Collection and Analysis of Time	47
3.6.1	Systematic analysis of timing deviations in 10 pianists	47

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS

4.1	Overview	48
4.2	Timing.....	49
4.3	Profiling Time according to Sections	51
4.4	The meaningful Internal Reference in Comparison Studies	53
4.5	Relationship of Time to Structure	57

CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION

5.1	Time as an indicator of interpretation	61
5.2	Data collection and analysis of Affect (emotional expression) in piano performances	66
5.3	Time and Structural Similarities in the Exposition and Recapitulation.....	71
5.3.1	Affect between sections within pianists (Horizontal Comparison)	71
5.3.2	Emotion Contours of the 2nd theme (Exposition, bar 43-50) within pianists.....	73
5.4	Time and Structural Differences in the Retransition.....	74
5.4.1	Affect within sections between Pianists (Vertical Comparison).....	74
5.4.2	Emotion Contours of the 2 nd theme (Retransition, bar 153-160) between Pianists.....	75

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION

6.1	Overview.....	78
6.2	Implication of Research.....	78
6.3	Limitation of Research.....	79
6.4	Suggestion for Future Research.....	79
6.5	Conclusion.....	79

REFERENCES.....	80-89
-----------------	-------

APPENDICES.....	90-119
-----------------	--------

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.0	The Performance Cycle	8
2.1	Hevner's adjectives mapped into the 2D Emotional Space <i>from</i> (Schubert,E.,1999)	15
2.2	Mean Emotionality Response Time Series for Beethoven(B)Mozart(M) and Tchaikovsky(T) <i>from</i> (Lucas B.J.,Schubert,E.&Halpern,A.R., 2010)	15
2.3	The Russell Circumplex model of Emotion, <i>from</i> (Russell,J.A.,1980)	16
2.4	Valence Arousal 2 Dimension Affective Emotion Space & Mixed Model of Valence <i>from</i> (Hunter,P.G. & Schellenberg E.G., 2010)	18
2.5	VA_AR (Affective) and Kinematics Energy (Sensorial) Spaces <i>from</i> (De Poli, 2003)	19
2.6	Music in Multidimensional Spaces	21
2.7	The experimental set-up for piano expression <i>from</i> (Nakahara, H.et.al., 2010)	25
2.8	A pianist's postural angle, <i>from</i> (Shoda,H.&Adachi,M.,2011)	26
3.1	Measure in music expression	46
4.0	Timing, Mean and Standard Deviations (Levey-Jennings chart)	50
4.1(a) & 4.1(b)	Performance of 10 pianists, Sonata No1 in C (op.1) 1st mvt by Johannes Brahms with TIME as an indicator	52-53

Figure		Page
4.2a	Comparison Study of 9 pianists against the Reference(Martin Jones) in 4 sections of the Sonata No.1 in C, Johannes Brahms	55
4.2b	Comparison study of 9 pianists against the Reference (Martin Jones) in 4 sections of the Sonata No.1 in C, Johannes Brahms	56
4.3	Study of time (within pianists) in the (2nd theme,) at different sonata sections (Exposition, bar (39-62) & Recapitulation, bar (198-221)	58
4.4a	Excerpts of the 2 nd theme (24 bars):Exposition	59
4.4b	Excerpts of the 2 nd theme (24 bars):Recapitulation	60
5.0	Study of Time against identified bars in the Development and Retransition sections in 10 pianists	63
5.1	Timing flow of 4 pianists from bars (88-172) at Development to Retransition Sections	64
5.2	Emotion Index EI at Exposition bar (43-50) in 10 pianists	66
5.3	Emotion Index EI at Recapitulation bar (202-209) in 10 pianists	66
5.4	Emotion Index EI at Retransition bar (153-160) in 10 pianists	66
5.5	Emotion Contour Comparison studies in 10 pianists	68
(5.2a)(5.3a) &(5.4a)	Excerpts of the 2 nd theme (8bars): Exposition, Recapitulation & Retransition	70
5.6	Abstraction of 2 nd theme bar (43-50) Exposition, Sonata No.1 in C	73
5.7	Abstraction of 2nd theme bar (153-160) Retransition, Sonata No.1 in C	75

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.0	Hevner's adjectives in Schubert's 2D Modal	14
3.0	Data on 10 pianists	38
3.1	Emotion Index	42
4.0	Timing, Mean and Standard Deviations	49
4.1	Data (Total Time) in ascending order :4 sections of the Sonata: Performance of 10 pianists : Piano Sonata No.1 in C (1st mvt) by Johannes Brahms	51
4.2a	Comparison study of 9 pianists against the Reference (Martin Jones) in 4 sections of the Sonata No.1 in C, Johannes Brahms	54
4.3	Comparison Studies in phrases of similar structure:Summary of structure between Exposition and Recapitulation (2 nd theme,)	57
4.4	Timing(within pianist) in structurally similar phrases of the (2 nd theme.) at Exposition and Recapitulation sections	58
5.0	Study of time against identified bars in 10 pianists performing the Development / Retransition sections	109
5.1	Study of time from bars (88-172) in 4 identified pianists	112
5.2	Calculation table on Emotion Index (EI) from bars 43-50, for 10 pianists, a selected 8 bar excerpt from the Exposition Section	114
5.3	Calculation table on Emotion Index (EI) from bars 202-209, for 10 pianists, a selected 8 bar excerpt from the Recapitulation Section	116
5.4	Calculation table on Emotion Index (EI) from bars 153-160 for 10 pianists, a selected 8 bar excerpt from the Retransition Section	118
5.5	Emotion Contours summarizing Fig.5.5 (Bars,EI,Peak,Valley)	69

APPENDICES

Appendix	Page	
A	Structure of the Sonata No.1 in C (1 ST Movement) by Johannes Brahms (1833-1897)	90
B	Score of the Sonata No.1 in C (op.1) by Johannes Brahms (1833-1897) <i>Peters Edition (1st mvt)</i>	93
C	Pictures of 10 pianists	104
D	Tables and Graphs	105-119
	Worksheet for Table 4.1: Average of 3 observations	105
	Worksheet for Table 4.4: Average of 3 observations: Timing within pianist in structurally similar phrases of the 2 nd theme at the Exposition (bar 9-62) and Recapitulation (bar198-221)	107
	Worksheet for Table 5.0: Average of 3 observations: Timing flow of 4 Pianists bar (88-172) from Development to Retransition sections	110
	Worksheet for Table 5.2: Average of 3 observations: Data from bar (43-50) Exposition Section for EI calculation	113
	Worksheet for Table 5.3: Average of 3 observations: Data from bar (202-209) Recapitulation Section for EI calculation	115
	Worksheet for Table 5.4: Average of 3 observations: Data from bar (153-160) Retransition Section for EI calculation	117
	Emotion Contour Comparison Studies in 10 pianists	119