

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Original Literary Work Declaration Form .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b><i>Abstrak</i> .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of Symbols and Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Research Motivation .....	2
1.3 Problem Statement .....	3
1.4 Research Questions .....	3
1.5 Research Aims and Objectives .....	4
1.6 Expected Contributions .....	4
1.7 Research Scope .....	5
1.3 Organization of the Thesis .....	6

<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Digital Image watermarking .....	8
2.1.1 Digital watermarking .....	8
2.1.2 Digital watermark properties .....	8
2.1.3 Watermark domains .....	11
2.1.4 Watermarking applications .....	12
2.1.5 Attacks category and their countermeasure .....	12
2.1.6 Blind watermark detection .....	14
2.2 Properties of Human Visual System/ Perceptual modelling of a digital watermark..	14
2.2.1 Luminance .....	15
2.2.2 Contrast .....	16
2.2.3 Entropy .....	17
2.2.4 NVF .....	19
2.2.5 Watson perceptual model .....	21
2.3 Perceptual Modelling using AI techniques .....	24
2.3.1 Genetic Programming .....	24
2.3.2 Typical representation of a genetic program .....	25
2.3.3 A basic GP algorithm .....	26
2.3.4 Perceptual model based watermarking techniques .....	28
2.3.5 Fuzzy logic .....	31
2.4 RST Invariant Domain .....	33
2.4.1 Robust image watermarking and RST attacks .....	33
2.4.2 Watermarking techniques using RST invariant domain .....	34

2.4.3 Riesz Transformation .....	37
2.4.4 Watermarking techniques in polynomial transform domain .....	38
2.5 Watermarking techniques using 3D image representation DIBR .....	47
2.5.1 Multi-dimensional discrete wavelet transforms .....	49
2.5.2 Related work .....	49
2.6 Chapter Summary .....	51

### **CHAPTER 3: GENETIC PROGRAMMING FOR PERCEPTUAL MODELLING**

#### **WITH DYNAMIC BLOCK SIZE ..... 53**

3.1 Methodology .....	53
3.2 Human Visual System (HVS).....	56
3.3 Experimental Results Discussion .....	59
3.3.1 Filtering Attack .....	63
3.3.2 Noise Attack .....	65
3.3.3 Compression Attack .....	65
3.3.4 Cascading Attacks.....	66
3.3.5 Geometric Attacks .....	66
3.4 Chapter Summary .....	67

### **CHAPTER 4: INVARIANT DOMAIN WATERMARKING USING RIESZ**

#### **TRANSFORMATION.....68**

4.1 Methodology .....	69
4.1.1 Watermark Embedding .....	69
4.1.2 Watermark Detection .....	72

4.2 Experimental results discussion .....	73
4.2.1 Imperceptibility .....	74
4.2.2 Robustness .....	75
4.2.3 Comparison with Existing Methods .....	84
4.3 Chapter Summary .....	85

**CHAPTER 5: INVARIANT DOMAIN WATERMARKING USING FRACTIONAL  
CALCULUS ..... 86**

5.1 Sinc Function .....	87
5.2 Heaviside Function .....	89
5.3 Methodology .....	92
5.3.1 Using FSC .....	92
5.3.2 Using HFOA .....	95
5.4 Experimental results and discussion using FSC .....	99
5.4.1 Imperceptibility .....	100
5.4.2 Robustness .....	101
5.5 Fractional Rotation matrix expression .....	104
5.6 Experiments results and discussion using HFOA .....	105
5.6.1 Imperceptibility .....	105
5.6.2 Robustness .....	106
5.7 Chapter Summary .....	110

**CHAPTER 6: FUZZY LOGIC AND WAVELET TRANSFORM BASED  
WATERMARKING FOR 3D IMAGES ..... 111**

6.1 Initial study of wavelet based watermarking for 3D Images .....	111
---	-----

6.2 Multidimensional wavelet based watermarking for depth image based rendering	
3D images .....	115
6.3 Methodology .....	116
6.3.1 Watermark embedding and detection .....	117
6.3.2 Hole filling .....	120
6.4 Experimental Results and Discussion .....	121
6.4.1 JPEG Compression attack .....	122
6.4.2 Gaussian Noise Addition attack .....	122
6.4.3 Rotation attack .....	123
6.4.4 Comparison with existing techniques .....	125
6.5 Chapter Summary .....	126
<b>CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>127</b>
7.1 Achievement of the Objectives .....	128
7.2 Future Works .....	130
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>PUBLICATIONS .....</b>	<b>141</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Human Eye and its different parts .....	15
Figure 2.2: GP Simulation for evolving best candidate .....	27
Figure 2.3: GP search flow .....	28
Figure 2.4: Overview of IT2FLS .....	32
Figure 2.5: Depth image base rendering procedure .....	48
Figure 2.6: One-level 3D DWT structure .....	49
Figure 3.1: Dynamic block watermarking Technique flow diagram. Perceptual analysis part is performed by the GP .....	55
Figure 3.2: Comparison of imperceptibility of the proposed multi-block technique on different images with different block sizes .....	61
Figure 3.3: Comparison of PSNR and MSE values for the low pass filter attack on image using different block sizes .....	64
Figure 3.4: PSNR and MSE values for the median filtering attack of varying strength on images using different block sizes .....	64
Figure 3.5: Comparison of adding Gaussian noise with different variance, such as 0.5k, 1k, 5k, 10k, 15k on image using different block sizes .....	65
Figure 3.6: Comparison of JPEG attack of varying quality factors, together with Gaussian noise attack with different variance on images using different block sizes .....	66

Figure 4.1: Frequency responses (real / imaginary part) of the components filters of the first, second and third order Riesz transformation. The origin of the 2-D frequency domain is in the center and the intensity is stretched linearly for maximum contrast. (Unser & Van De Ville, 2010) .....	69
Figure 4.2: Overview of input and output of IT2FLS .....	71
Figure 4.3: Process flow of the proposed technique .....	72
Figure 4.4: Watermark detection for the invariant domain.....	73
Figure 4.5: Original (a-e) and watermarked test images (f-j).....	74
Figure 4.6: Robustness against RST attack at scale 2 of Riesz Transformation: Correlation in five test images: (a) Average correlation of five test images after scaling attack. (b) Average correlation of five test images after rotation attack. (c) Average correlation of five test images after translation attack zero with padding along y-axis. (d) Average correlation of five test images after translation attack zero with padding along x-axis .....	81
Figure 4.7: Robustness against rotation attack using LPM and RT of five test images .....	82
Figure 5.1: The Sinc Function .....	87
Figure 5.2: Heavisde step function .....	90
Figure 5.3: Watermark embedding scheme for the Invariant domain .....	93
Figure 5.4: Watermark Detection.....	94
Figure 5.5: (a-e) Original Test Images, (f-j) Watermarked Test Images .....	100
Figure 5.6: Robustness of Proposed Technique against different types of attacks. (a) Gaussian Noise. (b) JPEG Compression. (c) Aspect ratio attack. (d) Row Column removal attack. (e) Scaling attack. (f) Sharpening attack .....	101

Figure 5.7: Experimental results:(a) to (e) show the watermarked test images manipulated by using aspect ratio of 2:7 in relation to x and y axis; (f) to (j) represent watermarked images corrupted by using sharpening attack;(k) to (o) illustrate the watermarked images are compressed with JPEG compression attack with quality factor 50;(p) to (t) display the scaled down to 50% of the image size .....	103
Figure 5.8: (a-d) Rotation achieved using fractional rotation expression having angle 15, 25, 30 and 45 respectively .....	105
Figure 5.9: (a-e) Watermark test images: Random bending attack with wrap factor value changes from 2 to 4. ....	107
Figure 5.10: (a-e) Original Test Images, (f-j) Attacked watermarked Test Images: Rotation attack for different values of the angels are taken as 5,10,15,30 and 45 respectively.....	107
Figure 5.11: Robustness against scaling and circular shift Attack: (a) Comparison of the Correlation and Threshold values of five watermarked test images against the circular shift attack. (b-f) Comparison of Correlation values of five images after scaling attack of the proposed technique.....	108
Figure 5.12: Robustness of Proposed Technique against Rotation attacks. Five standard images are tested for the rotation attack. Rotation angle is taken of different values such as 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 degrees.....	109
Figure 6.1: Overview of IT2FLS inputs and output for the proposed scheme .....	116
Figure 6.2: Proposed watermarking Embedding Scheme. ....	119



Figure 6.3: Watermark detection in the proposed scheme.....120

Figure 6.4: 3D original center images and depth images..... 121

Figure 6.5(a): Comparison of PSNR and MSE values of five standard watermarked images using constant weight factor and the weight factor determined using IT2FLS respectively. ....123

Figure 6.5(b): Comparison of PSNR values of watermarked Book images after the Gaussian Noise and JPEG compression attack respectively.....124

Figure 6.5(c): Comparison of PSNR values of watermarked depth image of Doll after the JPEG compression and Gaussian Noise addition attack.....124

Figure 6.5(d): Comparison of PSNR values of watermarked Moeibus and Doll images after the JPEG compression and Gaussian Noise addition attack respectively...124

Figure 6.6: Rotation and Gaussian noise attack effect on different 3D watermarked center images.....125

## List of Tables

Table 2.1 : Watermarking Attack Classifications .....	13
Table 2.2 : Basic function $\lambda\theta$ amplitude for 6-level 1st level for a 9/7 dwt .....	23
Table 2.3 : Parameters for DWT threshold model .....	23
Table 3.1 : Basic function amplitude for 1st level for a 9/7 dwt (Liu et al.,2006) .....	58
Table 3.2 : Parameters for DWT threshold model (Liu et al., 2006). .....	58
Table 3.3 : GP control parameters.....	60
Table 3.4 : Comparison of cascading attack of varying strength, on image using different block sizes. ....	62
Table 4.1 : PSNR and SSIM value of watermarked images at second level of RT. ....	75
Table 4.2 : Performance of the proposed technique at second orders first, second and third scale of RT.....	76
Table 4.3 : Performance of the proposed technique and comparison of robustness test with other techniques. ....	83
Table 5.1 : PSNR and SSIM value of sample test images in the proposed FSC Domain.....	100
Table 5.2 : PSNR and SSIM value of sample test images in the proposed HFOA Domain.....	106
Table 6.1 : PSNR, MSE and BER values of watermarked center testing Images. ....	112
Table 6.2 : PSNR, MSE and BER values of Watermark Center Image after applying Gaussian Noise Attack of Different Variance Such As 50, 100, 150, 200. ....	113

Table 6.3 : PSNR, MSE and BER values of Watermark Center Image after Applying JPEG  
Compression Attack of Different Quality Factors such as 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100.

.....114

Table 6.4 : PSNR, MSE values of Watermarked center image show the watermark  
detection in the rendered right image with calculated bit error rate. ....121

## List of Symbols and Abbreviations

2D	:	Two Dimensional
3D	:	Three Dimensional
AI	:	Artificial Intelligence
BER	:	Bit Error Rate
DCT	:	Discrete Cosine Transformation
DIBR	:	Depth Image Based Rendering
DWT	:	Discrete Wavelet Transformation
FSC	:	Fractional order of Sinc
GP	:	Genetic Programming
HFOA	:	Heaviside Fractional Order of Alpha
HVS	:	Human Visual System
IT2FLS	:	Interval Type 2 Fuzzy Logic System
JND	:	Just Noticeable Distortion
LPM	:	Log Polar Mapping
MDWT	:	Multidimensional Wavelet Transform
MSE	:	Mean Square Error
NVF	:	Noise Visibility Function
PSNR	:	Peak Signal_to_Noise Ratio
RST	:	Rotation Scaling Translation
RT	:	Riesz Transformation
SSIM	:	Structure Similarity Index Measure