

ABSTRACT

Scientists and other scholars need information to support their research activities. This information must be of high quality for them to perform their tasks effectively. Journals are one of the communication media used for disseminating scholarly information. While there are international indexing services that rank the quality of journals, these indexes have limited applicability to local journals or those in local languages. Using the Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development (IAARD) as a case, this study examined the quality, trust, and usability of nine agricultural journals in Indonesia. It also produced journal rankings and compare the ranking resulted from different approaches. Three journal assessment approaches, namely, quality, trust, and usability were employed using revealed preference and stated preference studies. A total of 229 researchers returned the questionnaire on trust and usability of IAARD journals. In parallel, 674 articles were examined using bibliometrics analysis. The data was then examined for ranking and the differences among the journals. The data showed that there were a total of 840 authors for the sample examined, and the number of authors for each paper ranged from 1 to 9. The authors were from Indonesia and 10 other countries, and affiliated to 118 organizations. Plant science and production were the fields of knowledge with the highest number of articles. The articles had low self-citation. The assessment found that journal quality index of IAARD journals reached 2.59. *Jurnal Hortikultura*, *Jurnal Agro Ekonomi* and *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* are the top three journals with the highest journal quality index. Meanwhile, Trust index of IAARD journals was 3.26. *Jurnal Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan* achieved the highest trust of IAARD journals with the index value reached 3.44 followed by *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* (3.40), and *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian* (3.39). On the other hand usability index of IAARD

journal is 1.96. *Jurnal Enjiniring Pertanian* is the journal with the highest usability index (2.19), followed by *Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim* with the usability index reached 2.03, *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* and *Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim* have similar the usability index of 1.98. Indices of IAARD journals quality varied from 2.52 to 2.71. *Jurnal Hortikultura* (2.71) is ranked first among IAARD journals, followed by *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* (2.66), *Jurnal Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan* (2.64), *Jurnal Agro Ekonomi* (2.63), *Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim* (2.64), *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian* (2.57), *Jurnal Ilmu Ternak dan Veteriner* (2.61), *Jurnal Penelitian Tanaman Industri* (2.58), dan *Jurnal Enjiniring Pertanian* (2.52).

ABSTRAK

Ahli-ahli sains dan cendekiawan yang lain memerlukan maklumat untuk menyokong aktiviti penyelidikan mereka. Maklumat ini mestilah berkualiti tinggi supaya dapat mendukung tugas-tugas mereka dengan berkesan. Jurnal adalah salah satu media komunikasi yang digunakan untuk menyebarkan maklumat ilmiah. Walaupun ada perkhidmatan pengindeksan antara bangsa yang berpangkat kualiti jurnal, indeks ini mempunyai kesesuaian yang terhad untuk jurnal tempatan atau orang-orang di dalam bahasa tempatan. Menggunakan *Agency for Agricultural Research and Development* (IAARD) sebagai kes, kajian ini mengkaji ciri-ciri dan kualiti, kepercayaan, dan kebolehgunaan sembilan jurnal pertanian di Indonesia. Ia juga mengeluarkan ranking jurnal dan membandingkan kedudukan jurnal sebagai akibat daripada pendekatan yang berbeza. Tiga pendekatan penilaian jurnal, iaitu, kualiti, kepercayaan, dan kebolehgunaan dilakukan dengan *revealed preference* and *stated preference studies*. Seramai 229 penyelidik mengembalikan soal selidik mengenai kepercayaan dan kebolehgunaan jurnal IAARD. Pada masa yang sama, 674 artikel telah diperiksa dengan menggunakan analisis bibliometrics. Data diperiksa untuk kedudukan dan perbezaan antara jurnal. Data menunjukkan bahawa terdapat sejumlah 840 penulis untuk sampel yang diperiksa, dan bilangan penulis untuk setiap kertas adalah di antara 1 hingga 9. Para penulis dari Indonesia dan 10 negara lain dan 118 organisasi. Sains tumbuhan dan pengeluaran adalah bidang ilmu yang mempunyai jumlah artikel paling banyak. Artikel dalam jurnal IAARD mempunyai petikan diri rendah. Kajian ini mendapati bahawa indeks kualiti jurnal IAARD mencapai 2.59. *Jurnal Hortikultura*, *Jurnal Agro Ekonomi* dan *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* adalah tiga jurnal atas dengan indeks kualiti jurnal tertinggi. Sementara itu, indeks kepercayaan dari jurnal IAARD adalah 3.26. *Jurnal Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan* mempunyai

kepercayaan tertinggi dengan nilai indeks yang mencapai 3.44 diikuti oleh *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* (3.40), dan Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian (3.39). indeks kebolegunaan daripada IAARD jurnal adalah 1.96. Jurnal Enjiniring Pertanian adalah jurnal dengan indeks kebolegunaan tertinggi (2.19), diikuti oleh Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim dengan indeks kebolegunaan mencapai 2.03, *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* dan Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim mempunyai indeks kebolegunaan yang sama (1.98). Indeks kualiti IAARD jurnal antara 2,52-2,71. Jurnal Hortikultura (2.71) berada di kedudukan pertama di kalangan jurnal IAARD, diikuti oleh *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science* (2.66), Jurnal Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan (2.64), Jurnal Agro Ekonomi (2.63), Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim (2.64), Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian (2.57), Jurnal Ilmu Ternak dan Veteriner (2.61), Jurnal Penelitian Tanaman Industri (2.58), dan Jurnal Enjiniring Pertanian (2.52).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I thank *Allah SWT* for providing me with the opportunity to study for a doctoral degree at the University of Malaya and for giving me the strength to complete it.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Diljit Singh and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abrizah Abdullah who provided me with advice and guidance from the beginning of my doctoral studies until the end. Without their help, it would have not been possible for me to complete the study. My gratitude also goes to the other academic staff at the Department of Library and Information Science, University of Malaya, for all their assistance.

I would also wouldlike to thank to Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development and the Indonesian Center for Agricultural Library and Technology Dissemination for providing me with a scholarship that enabled me to fulfill the financial obligations to University Malaya.

I am also obliged to my colleagues at the Indonesian Center for Agricultural Library and Technology Dissemination, the Indonesian Students Associations Malaysia and the Indonesian School of Kuala Lumpur who motivated and helped me when I was facing the difficulties in my study.

I believed that this dissertation would not have been finished without everlasting support and motivation from my loving wife and sons, Sunaryono family,

Sucipto family, and the other friends who are too many to mention individually. To all of them, a sincere thanks you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	vii
Table of Content	ix
List of Tables	xvii
List of Symbols and Abbreviations.....	xxiii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.1.1. The Need for Scientific Publication	1
1.1.2. The Needs for Identification of Publication Characteristics.....	6
1.1.3. The Needs for Quality Scientific Publication	7
1.1.4. Context of the Study: Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development (IAARD)	10
1.1.5. IAARD Scientific Publications.....	11
1.1.6. The Need for Assessment of IAARD Scientific Publications	12
1.2. Statement of the Problem	13
1.3. Objectives of the Study	15
1.4. Research Questions	16
1.5. Significance of the Study	17
1.6. Scope	20
1.7. Definitions	20
1.8. Organization of the Report	22
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	23
2.1. An Overview on Scientific Publications	24
2.2. Quality, Trustworthiness, and Usability of Scientific Publication	26

2.2.1. Concepts of Scientific Publication Quality	26
2.2.2. Benefits of Publication Quality	27
2.3. Assessment of Quality, Trustworthiness, and Usability of Journal	30
2.3.1. User Satisfaction Analysis for Assessing Trustworthiness and Usability of a Journal	32
2.3.2. Citation Analysis of Scientific Publications	38
2.4. Summary of Chapter Two	49
CHAPTER III: METHODOLOGY	51
3.1. Conceptual Model of the Study	52
3.2. Research Design	54
3.3. Population and Sample	57
3.4. Research Instrument	59
3.5. Data Source	61
3.6. Data Collection Procedure.....	63
3.7. Treatment of Data and Statistical Analysis Procedure	70
3.8. Validity and Reliability of Instrument	71
3.9. Summary of Chapter Three	72
CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS.....	74
4. 1. Evaluative Bibliometrics of the Indonesian Agricultural Journals and their Quality	74
4. 1. 1. Bibliographic Analysis of IAARD Journals	74
4.1.1.1. Authorship	74
4.1.1.2. Commodities, Field of Knowledge, and Languages of the IAARD Journals	85
4.1.1.3. Origin of cited articles: IAARD vs. Non IAARD	93
4.1.1.4. Authors of IAARD Journals' cited articles.....	94

4.1.1.5.	Languages of IAARD Journals' cited articles	96
4.1.1.6.	Knowledge Fields of IAARD cited articles	97
4.1.1.6.1.	Plant Science And Production	99
4.1.1.6.2.	Natural Resources And Environment	100
4.1.1.6.3.	Plant Protection.....	101
4.1.1.6.4.	Economics, Development And Rural Sociology	102
4.1.1.6.5.	Animal Science, Production and Protection ...	102
4.1.1.7.	Publication Types of Cited Articles on the IAARD Journals	104
4.1.1.7.1.	Cited Journals.....	106
4.1.1.7.2.	Cited Monographs.....	107
4.1.1.7.3.	Cited Proceedings/Papers of Seminars, Workshops, and Scientific Meetings	109
4.1.1.8	Cited Literatures in the IAARD Journals	112
4.1.1.9.	Cited Agricultural Commodities in the IAARD Publications.....	116
4.1.1.9.1.	Food Crops Commodities	117
4.1.1.9.2.	Estate Crop Commodities	119
4.1.1.9.3.	Horticultural Commodities	121
4.1.1.9.4.	Animal Husbandry Commodities	122
4.1.1.9.5.	Fisheries and Aquaculture Commodities	124
4.1.1.10.	Years Published of the Cited Articles in the IAARD Journals	126
4.1.1.11.	Fifteen Year Impact Factor	128
4.1.1.12.	IAARD Journals' Self-Citations	130

4.1.2.	Journal Quality Based on Bibliometrics Analysis	132
4. 2.	Trust And Usability Of Indonesia-Based Agricultural Science Journals	134
4.2.1	The Trust of the IAARD Journals	137
4.2.1.1.	Impartial preview	138
4.2.1.2.	Recognition of Authors	138
4.2.1.3.	Confidence	139
4.2.1.4.	Accuracy	140
4.2.1.5.	Correctness	141
4.2.1.6.	Objectivity	141
4.2.1.7.	Clarity	142
4.2.1.8.	Conciseness	142
4.2.1.9.	Ease of Understanding	143
4.2.1.10.	Clarity of Measurement Unit	144
4.2.1.11.	Currency	145
4.2.1.12.	Relevance	145
4.2.1.13.	All Necessary Values	146
4.2.1.14.	Comprehensiveness	147
4.2.1.15.	Adequacy	147
4.2.1.16.	Coverage	148
4.2.1.17.	Reliability	149
4.2.1.18.	Overall Trust	149
4.2.1.19.	Journal Assessment Based on the Trust	150
4.2.2.	Usability Of the IAARD Journals	152
4.2.2.1.	Journal Reading	153
4.2.2.2.	Obtaining Time	154
4.2.2.3.	Articles Read	154

4.2.2.4.	Reading Style	155
4.2.2.5.	Purpose of Reading	156
4.2.2.6.	The IAARD Journals Assessment Based on the Usability Indices	157
4. 3.	Internal Ranking of the Indonesian Agricultural Journals	158
4.4.	Comparison and Contrast of the Internal Ranking of Indonesian Agricultural Journals Based on Quality, Trust and Usability Using Gap Analysis	161
4.5.	Summary of Chapter Four	164
CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....		165
5.1.	Answering the Research Questions	166
5.1.1.	Quality of Indonesian-Based Agricultural Journals Based on Productivity and Impact.....	166
5.1.2.	Trust of the Agricultural Science Journals among Authors and Researchers	171
5.1.3.	Usability of the Agricultural Science Journals among Authors and Researchers	172
5.1.4.	Internal Ranking of the Indonesian Agricultural Journals Based on Quality, Trust and Usability Indicators	173
5.1.5.	The Difference of Internal Ranking Based on Quality, Trust and Usability Indicators.....	175
5.2.	Significance of the Study.....	175
5.3.	Contribution of the Study	176
5.3.1.	Theoretical contribution.....	176
5.3.2.	Methodological contribution	176
5.3.3.	Practical contribution.....	177
5.4.	Limitation And Recommendation For Future Research.....	178

5.5. Conclusion.....	180
REFERENCES	184
APPENDICES	204
Appendix A. Assessment of Quality, Trust and Usability of Indonesian Agricultural Journal Questionnaire.....	204
Appendix B. AGRIS/CARIS subject categories	213
Appendix C. Number of author per articles of IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)...	216
Appendix D. Geographic distribution of IAARD journal authors (1995 to 2010).....	217
Appendix E. Contribution of affiliation bodies of IAARD journals authors(1995 to 2010).....	218
Appendix F. Author contribution on IAARD journals with three articlesand above (1995 to 2010)	227
Appendix G. Agricultural grouped commodities of IAARD journals'articles (1995 to 2010)	235
Appendix H. Agricultural commodities of IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	236
Appendix I. Field of knowledge of IAARD journals based on mainAGRIS/CARIS Categorization scheme (1995 to 2010)	242
Appendix J. Language of IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	244
Appendix K. Cited authors of IAARD journals with 15 number of citation and above (1995 to 2010)	245
Appendix L. Languages of IAARD journals' cited articles (1995 to 2010)	251
Appendix M. Knowledge fields of cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	252
Appendix N. Publication types of cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	254

Appendix O. Cited journals of IAARD journals with ten and above number of citations (1995 to 2010).....	255
Appendix P. Cited monographs of IAARD journals with three and above number of citations (1995 to 2010).....	260
Appendix Q. Cited proceedings/papers of a seminar, workshops, and scientific meeting of IAARD journals with three and above number of citations (1995 to 2010).....	267
Appendix R Cited literatures of IAARD journals with three and above number of citations (1995 to 2010)	273
Appendix S Cited agricultural commodities of IAARD based on agricultural sectors (1995 to 2010)	288
Appendix T Impartial preview of IAARD journals.....	304
Appendix U Recognized Author of IAARD Journals	304
Appendix V Confidence of IAARD journals.....	303
Appendix W Accuracy of IAARD journals.....	303
Appendix X Correctness of IAARD journals	306
Appendix Y Objectiveness of IAARD journals.....	306
Appendix Z. Clarity of IAARD journals	307
Appendix AA Conciseness of IAARD journals	307
Appendix AB Easy of understanding of IAARD journals	308
Appendix AC Clarity of measurement unit of IAARD journals.....	308
Appendix AD Currency of IAARD journals.....	309
Appendix AE Relevance of IAARD journals	309
Appendix AF All necessary values of IAARD journals.....	310
Appendix AG Comprehensiveness values of IAARD journals.....	310
Appendix AH Adequacy values of IAARD journals	311

Appendix AI.	Coverage values of IAARD journals	311
Appendix AJ	Reliability values of IAARD journals.....	312
Appendix AK	Overall trust of IAARD journals.....	312

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.	Summary on dimension of credibility and reliability for assessing information quality	35
Table 2.2.	Criteria used in bibliographic and citation analysis studies.....	41
Table 3.1.	Number of the articles in IAARD journals in this study	63
Table 4.1.	The number of authors per article of the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	75
Table 4.2.	Geographic distribution of the IAARD journals' authors (1995 to 2010)	76
Table 4.3.	Classification of authors affiliation based on institution types and appearances (1995 to 2010)	78
Table 4.4.	The top ten most prolific affiliation bodies within the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=161).....	80
Table 4.5.	The contribution of authors in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).	82
Table 4.6.	The top 13 prolific authors of the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010). ..	84
Table 4.7.	The commodity group appearances in the IAARD journals based on agricultural sectors (1995 to 2010)	85
Table 4.8.	The top ten agricultural commodities in the IAARD journals 1995 to 2010 (n=478).....	86
Table 4.9.	Major fields of knowledge in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010). ..	88
Table 4.10.	The top ten minor fields of knowledge in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	89
Table 4.11.	Languages of articles in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	91
Table 4.12.	Origin of the cited articles of IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	93

Table 4.13	authors of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	95
Table 4.14.	Pattern of top ten of cited authors on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=17,957)	95
Table 4.15.	Language of the cited articles of IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)...	96
Table 4.16.	Knowledge fields of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	98
Table 4.17.	Plant science and production sub knowledge fields of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	100
Table 4.18.	Natural resources and environment sub knowledge fields of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	101
Table 4.19.	Plant protection sub fields of knowledge of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	101
Table 4.20.	Economics, development and rural sociology sub fields of knowledge of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	102
Table 4.21.	Animal science, production and protection sub knowledge fields of the cited articles on IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	103
Table 4.22.	Publication types of cited articles in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	105
Table 4.23.	Top eleven cited journals in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n = 4,895).....	106
Table 4.24.	The pattern of the top eleven journal citations in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=1796).....	107
Table 4.25.	The top 15 cited monographs in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=3157)	108
Table 4.26.	The pattern of monograph citations in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	109

Table 4.27.	The twelve top cited proceedings and papers of seminars, workshops, and scientific meetings in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=1,437).....	110
Table 4.28.	The pattern of proceedings and papers of seminars, workshops, and scientific meetings on citation in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	111
Table 4.29	The literatures that were cited in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=11,830)	113
Table 4.30.	The pattern of the cited literatures in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	114
Table 4.31.	The agricultural commodities of cited articles in the IAARD journals based on sub sectors (1995 to 2010)	116
Table 4.32.	The pattern of the cited agricultural commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	117
Table 4.33.	The top ten cited food crops commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n = 2132).....	118
Table 4.34.	The pattern of the cited food crops commodities in the IAARD IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	119
Table 4.35.	The top eleven cited estate crops commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n = 1126).....	120
Table 4.36.	The citation pattern of cited estate crops commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	120
Table 4.37.	The top ten cited horticultural commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n = 1008).....	121
Table 4.38.	The pattern of cited horticultural commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, n=230).....	122

Table 4.39.	The top ten animal husbandry commodities of cited articles in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, $n = 983$).....	123
Table 4.40.	The pattern of cited animal husbandry commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, $n=230$).....	123
Table 4.41.	The top five fisheries and aquaculture commodities of cited articles in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010, $n = 983$).....	124
Table 4.42.	The pattern of the cited fisheries and aquaculture commodities in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	125
Table 4.43.	Years published of the cited articles in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	126
Table 4.44.	The top fifteen year impact factor of the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010)	128
Table 4.45.	Comparison of Journal Hortikultura to other international horticultural journals	129
Table 4.46.	IAARD journals' self-citations (1995 to 2010)	130
Table 4.47.	IAARD journals' self-citations pattern in the IAARD journals (1995 to 2010).....	131
Table 4.48.	Journal quality of the IAARD journals based on citation analysis ...	133
Table 4.49.	Respondents' affiliations of the IAARD institutes and university ...	135
Table 4.50.	Distribution of respondents by level of job title	136
Table 4.51.	Distribution of the respondents according to their fields of research	136
Table 4.52.	English mastering language of the respondents	137
Table 4.53.	Impartial preview of IAARD journals	138
Table 4.54.	Recognition of authors from the IAARD journals.....	139
Table 4.55.	The confidence level of the respondents in the IAARD journals	139
Table 4.56.	The accuracy level of the IAARD journals.....	140

Table 4.57.	The level of correctness of the IAARD journals.....	141
Table 4.58.	The level of objective of the IAARD journals.....	142
Table 4.59.	The level of clarity of the IAARD journals.	142
Table 4.60.	The level of conciseness of the IAARD journals.....	143
Table 4.61.	Ease of understanding of the IAARD journals.	143
Table 4.62.	The level of clarity measurement unit of the IAARD journals.....	144
Table 4.63.	The current information level in the IAARD journals.....	145
Table 4.64.	The level of relevance in the IAARD journals.	146
Table 4.65.	All necessarily values in the IAARD journals.	146
Table 4.66.	The level of comprehensiveness in the IAARD journals.....	147
Table 4.67.	The adequacy level of the IAARD journals.....	148
Table 4.68.	Coverage of the IAARD journals.....	148
Table 4.69.	The reliability of the IAARD journals.	149
Table 4.70.	The overall trust level of the IAARD journals.....	149
Table 4.71.	The IAARD journals quality based on trust indexes	150
Table 4.72.	The IAARD journals reading by the respondents.....	153
Table 4.73.	The number of the IAARD journals' articles read by the respondents	154
Table 4.74.	The IAARD journals quality based on trust indexes	155
Table 4.75.	The number of the IAARD journals' articles read by the respondents based on their reading style	155
Table 4.76.	Reading purposes of the IAARD journals' readers: expanding knowledge	156
Table 4.77.	Reading purposes of the IAARD journals' readers: support research	157
Table 4.78.	The IAARD journals quality based on usability indices.....	158

Table 4.79.	The quality of the IAARD journals based on usability, trusts and quality indices	159
Table 4.80.	Accreditation results of the IAARD journals conducted by lipi year 2012.....	160
Table 4.81.	Comparison of the internal rankings of the IAARD journals based on usability and quality approaches.	162
Table 4.82.	Comparison of the internal rankings of the IAARD journals based on usability and trust approaches.	163
Table 4.83.	Comparison of the internal rankings of the IAARD journals based on trust and quality approaches.....	164

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AGFI	Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index
AGRIS	International Information System for the Agricultural Sciences and Techno
AIMQ	Assessment and Improvement of Management Quality
CARIS	Current Agricultural Research Information System
CEO	Chief executive officer
ERA	Excellence in Research for Australia
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GFI	Goodness of Fit Index
HOR	Jurnal Hortikultura
IAARD	Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development
ICALTD	Indonesian Center for Agricultural Library and Technology Dissemination
IDR	Indonesian Rupiah
ISSN	International Standard Serial Number
JAE	Jurnal Agro Ekonomi Pertanian
JAS	Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science
JEP	Jurnal Enjiniring Pertanian
JPP	Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian
JTP	Jurnal Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan
LIPI	Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia
LISA	Library and Information Science Abstracts
NAK	Jurnal Ilmu Ternak dan Veteriner
NFI	Normed Fit Index
PSP/IQ	Product and Service Performance/Information Quality
RMSR	Root Mean Square Residual

SPSS	Statistical Product and Service Solutions
TAN	Jurnal Tanah dan Agroklimat
TRI	Jurnal Penelitian Tanaman Industri