

**LINGUISTIC AND NON-LINGUISTIC FEATURES AND
FUNCTIONS OF “BAD LANGUAGE” BY MALAYSIAN
NETIZENS**

NARSIS TAYYEBIAN

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGLISH AS
A SECOND LANGUAGE**

**FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2015

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: **NARSIS TAYYEBIAN**

(I.C/Passport No: **L95236802**)

Registration/Matric No: **TGB090023**

Name of Degree: **MASTER OF ENGLISH AS A SECOND**

LANGUAGE

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/Dissertation/Thesis ("this Work"):

**LINGUISTIC AND NON-LINGUISTIC FEATURES AND FUNCTIONS OF "BAD LANGUAGE"
BY MALAYSIAN NETIZENS**

Field of Study: **SOCIOLINGUISTIC AND DISCOURSE ANALYSIS**

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature

Date **27/11/2015**

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness's Signature

Date **27/11/2015**

Name: Dr. Kuang Ching Hei, Associate Professor
Designation:

ABSTRACT

Bad language is one feature of language through which strong emotions such as anger, disgust, frustration and surprise is revealed. People use bad language which includes categories such as cursing, swearing, obscenity, blasphemy, profanity, obscenity, vulgarism, insults and slurs, epithets, slangs and scatology. All these categories vary in their themes in terms of the varying degrees of offensiveness. One of the contexts in which bad language occurs is the social media network sites such as Facebook which has become an important part of one's daily lives since it is used as a common form of communication and interaction mode. Facebook is the media termed as technology of self because it is perceived as the site where people do things with words (Foucault cited in Basel, 2010). In this regard, Facebook can be seen as a representative site where appealing features of language use can be detected and in the context of this study, appealing features of bad language. This study aims to unravel the use of bad language by Malaysian netizens. Data were extracted from Facebook posts communicated among Malaysians from February 2013 to August 2014. Bad language used in Facebook page, "Only in Malaysia", by Malaysian netizens as a way of expressing were extracted and then classified and categorized according to specific linguistic and non-linguistic features predominantly by using Thelwall's (2008), McEnery's (2005) and Anderson (2002) model. Moreover, the study aims to determine the types and functions of bad language based on the model proposed by Andersson, and Trudgill (1990). Using discourse analysis as an approach, this study also uses questionnaires and interviews to triangulate the methodology for a more comprehensive finding. The study reveals that bad language used among Malaysian users of English on Facebook has unique and distinctive aspects and features. It was found that the most favored taboo theme used by Malaysian netizens were those related to stupidity, animals and sexual relations. They were used mostly

by the netizens as personal insult. This study also showed that Malaysians mostly prefer to use mild bad language for their various intentions and that the abusive functions denoted through the use of bad language was the result of anger.

ABSTRAK

Bahasa kesat merupakan satu ciri utama bahasa di mana emosi yang kuat seperti marah, meluat, kecewa dan terkejut diturunkan. Orang menggunakan bahasa buruk yang termasuk kategori seperti mengutuk, angkat sumpah, fitnah, kata-kata kesat, keluahan, perkataan kasar, penghinaan dan penghinaan, julukan, slangs dan scatology. Semua kategori ini berbeza-beza dalam tema mereka dari segi pelbagai peringkat offensiveness. Salah satu konteks di mana bahasa buruk berlaku adalah laman rangkaian media sosial seperti Facebook yang telah menjadi bahagian penting dalam kehidupan harian seseorang kerana ia digunakan sebagai satu bentuk biasa komunikasi dan interaksi mod. Facebook adalah media yang disebut sebagai teknologi diri kerana ia dilihat sebagai tapak di mana orang melakukan perkara-perkara dengan kata-kata (Foucault dinamakan di Basel, 2010). Dalam hal ini, Facebook boleh dilihat sebagai tapak di mana ciri civi penggunaan bahasa boleh dikesan dan dalam konteks kajian ini, ciri-ciri bahasa yang tidak baik. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk membongkar penggunaan bahasa buruk oleh netizens pengguna Facebook Malaysia. Data dipetik daripada posting Facebook disampaikan di kalangan rakyat Malaysia dari Februari 2013 hingga Ogos 2014. Bahasa kesat yang digunakan dalam laman Facebook yang, "Only in Malaysia", oleh netizen Malaysia telah dipetik dan kemudian dikelaskan dan dikategorikan mengikut ciri linguistik dan bukan linguistik tertentu kombinasi 'model' dengan menggunakan Thelwall (2008), McEnery (2005) dan Anderson (2002). Selain itu, kajian ini yang bertujuan untuk menentukan jenis dan fungsi bahasa kesat berdasarkan model yang dicadangkan oleh Andersson, dan Trudgill (1990). Dengan menggunakan analisis discourse sebagai pendekatan, kajian ini juga menggunakan soal selidik dan temu bual untuk triangulate perkaedahan bagi dapatan yang lebih menyeluruh. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa bahasa kesat yang digunakan kalangan pengguna Malaysia di Facebook mempunyai

aspek dan ciri-ciri unik dan tersendiri. Didapati bahawa tema Bahasa kesat yang paling digemari yang digunakan oleh netizens Malaysia adalah berkaitan dengan kebodohan, haiwan dan hubungan seksual. Mereka telah digunakan kebanyakannya oleh netizens sebagai penghinaan peribadi. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa netizen Malaysia lebih suka menggunakan kata-kata kesat yang ringan untuk pelbagai niat dan fungsi yang ditandakan melalui penggunaan bahasa kesat itu adalah akibat kemarahan.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express the deepest appreciation to my respected supervisor, Dr. Kuang Ching Hei, whom I am overwhelmingly indebted to, for her informative guidance, continuous support, and constructive feedback during every stage of my endeavor on this study. She did not stop supporting me while suffering and enduring lots of pain in the last stages of this study. Without her patience and assistance, this seemingly insurmountable task would not be fulfilled. My deepest appreciation as well goes to my unforgettable and appreciated ex-supervisor, Prof. Maya Khemlani David, who had inspired me to conduct the present study and supported and provided constant help till the last days of her retirements. I will always cherish and benefit from her invaluable experience.

My completion of dissertation could not have been accomplished without the support and love of my dearest friends, Ali and Masoumeh, Mahdi and Zahra for their understanding and encouragements, care and friendship, and being always available for my joy and depression throughout my study.

My deepest gratitude goes to my dearest parents, Kambiz Tayyebian and Homa Vosough, for all the love and sacrifices to bring me up. Thank you for tolerating my absence at home as well as instilling the importance of hard work and higher education. I am also grateful to my dear sisters Pardis and Armita for being truly supportive and helping me in every aspect they could.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest love and gratitude to my caring, loving, and supportive husband, Saeed Hossein Pour. Whose encouragement and support in rough times are much appreciated and duly noted. Most importantly, his confidence and belief that I could be

successful in my study was a great motivation successful in my study was a great motivation.

My heartfelt thanks.

Dedications

I wish to dedicate this research to my Gracious Almighty God for granting me health, wisdom and determination and illuminating my road of life with lights and miracles.

PREFACE

CONTENTS	PAGE
Title Page.....	i
Original Literary Work Declaration Form.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	vii
Dedication.....	ix
Table of Contents.....	x
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Tables.....	xv
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Definition of Bad Language.....	1
1.1.1 Bad Language Gamut:	2
1.2 Background of the Study:.....	4
1.3 Statement of the Problem	6
1.4 Objective of the Research	9
1.5 Research Questions	9
1.6 Significance of the Study.....	10
1.7 Scope and Limitation of the Study.....	12
1.8 Definition of Terms	12
1.9 Summary	18
CHAPTER 2	20

LITERATURE REVIEW	20
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 Bad Language	20
2.3 Studies on Swearing	22
2.4 Studies on Taboo Words.....	24
2.5 Studies on the Frequency Bad Words	27
2.6 Studies on Categorization of Bad Language.....	28
2.7 Studies on Swearing in Social Media.....	37
2. 8 Studies on Swearing Types	39
2.8.1 Expletive	40
2.8.2 Abusive Terms	42
2.8.2.1 Insults, Name-calling and Unfriendly suggestions.....	42
2.8.2.2 Ritual Insults.....	43
2.8.2.3 Name-calling.....	43
2.8.2.4 Unfriendly Suggestion.....	45
2.8.2.5 Sarcastic Expressions	45
2.8.3 Humorous	46
2.8.4 Auxiliary	46
2.8.5 Euphemism	48
2.9 Studies on Bad Words' offensiveness	49
2.10 Malaysian Culture and Swearing	50
2.11 Social Media	53
2.11.1 Facebook Status in Malaysia	54
2.11.2 Malaysian Online Activities	56
2.12 Summary	58
CHAPTER THREE	60

METHODOLOGY	60
3.1 Introduction	60
3.2 Pilot Study	61
3.3 Theoretical Framework	62
3.4 The Primary Model for Discourse Analysis.....	63
3.5 The Secondary Model	68
3.6 Instrument.....	69
3.7 Data Collection Procedure.....	70
3.8 Primary Data	74
3. 8.1 Rational of the Primary Data	75
3.8.2 Participants of Primary Data	75
3.9 Data Analysis	76
3.10 Questionnaire.....	78
3.10.1 Part I	79
3.10.2 Part II and III	80
3.11 Online Interview	81
3.12 Summary	83
CHAPTER 4	84
ANALYSIS OF DATA	84
4.1 Introduction	84
4.2 Common Words and Phrases, Considered as Bad Language, Used by Malaysian netizens on Facebook?	85
4.2.1 Common Bad Words	90
4.3 What are the distinctive characteristics of these words/phrases, also considered as bad language, used by Malaysian netizens on the Facebook?	102
4.3.1 Bad Words' Referents	102
4.3.2 Bad Language Linguistic Types and Syntactic Forms	122

4.3.3 Degree of Offensiveness.....	125
4.3.4 Implicit Bad Words	126
4.4 Functions Performed by Bad Language.....	127
4.5 Summary of Corpus Analysis.....	134
4.6 Questionnaire Analysis	134
4.6.1 Analysis of Part I	135
4.6.2 Analysis of Part II.....	138
4.6.3 Analysis of Part III	142
4.7 Summary of Questionnaire Findings.....	158
4.8 Online Interview Analysis	161
4.9 Summary of Online Interview.....	166
CHAPTER 5	167
DISCUSSION	167
5.1 Introduction	167
5.2 Summary of Findings of the Study	168
5.3 Pedagogical Implications	174
5.4 Suggestion for Further Studies	174
5.5 Summary.....	176
References	176
SUPPLEMENTARY	186
CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS	186
Appendix A	187
Appendix B.....	190
Appendix C	195
Appendix D	204
Appendix E.....	206

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. Classification of Expletive Interjections.....	41
Figure 3.1 Referents sub-categories.....	64
Figure 3.2 The Degree and Offensiveness of Bad language.....	65
Figure 3.3. An Analytical Construct of “An Analysis of Bad Language in Facebook”	74

List of Tables

Table2.1 Broad Categorization of BLWs.....	30
Table 2.2 Linguistic categorization of bad language word.....	32
Table 2.3 Categorization of BLWs Based on Their Offence Scale.....	34
Table 3.1. Classification System of BL (Bad Language) (Adapted from Thelwall, 2008, p.3-5).....	63
Table 3.2 Classification of Bad Language Based on Their Linguistic Type.....	65
Table 4.1 The Frequency occurrence of common bad words.....	89
Table 4.2 The Frequency of Words According to their Referents.....	104
Table. 4.3 Researcher's Classification of Bad words' Referents for Remaining Bad word.....	121
Table. 4.4 Linguistic Type of Bad Words.....	124
Table 4.5 The Offensives Degree of Bad Words used by Malaysian Netizens.....	126
Table 4.6 Functions performed by Bad Language.....	128
Table 4.7: Non-contextualized Rating of Bad Words	138
Table 4.8: Strength of Bad Words in Both Contextualized and Non-contextualized Situations.....	159
Table 4.9: The Strength of Other Bad Words in the Data.....	160
Table 4.10: Participants' Intention of Using Specific Bad Words.....	162

Table 4.11: Abusive and Humorous Types of Bad Language.....	166
Table 5.1: The Comparative Analysis of Bad Words' Offensiveness.....	172