LIST OF FIGURES

| Figures 2.1 : MSW composition by economic level classification. | 12 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.2 : Urban metabolism of Brussels, Belgium in the early 1970s. | 21 |
| Figure 2.3 : Example of a urban metabolism analysis in forest management. | 22 |
| Figure 2.4 : A multi-purpose family of tools and overall architecture | 27 |
| of MFA and related tools. | |
| Figure 2.5: Waste management at an urban city Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. | 35 |
| Figure 2.6 : Comparison of two system (factory and landfill) versus time. | 37 |
| Figure 2.7 : Comparison between the sustainable landfill model | 38 |
| (tolerable emission within 30 years) and a traditional landfill scenario |) |
| Figure 2.8 : Contribution from waste to climate change in a waste | 42 |
| management field. | |
| Figure 2.9 :Carbon flows through major waste management systems | 43 |
| including C storage and gaseous C emissions. | |
| Figure 2.10 : Simplified Landfill Methane Mass Balance showing | 44 |
| pathways for GHG emissions from landfills and leachate systems. | |
| Figure 2.11: Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions In 2000 and Anthropogenic | 46 |
| Methane Sources. | |
| Figure 2.12 : Malaysian's emission intensity compared to global average | 48 |
| for the energy sector in 2007. | |
| Figure 2.13: Potential pathways of nitrogen transformation | 50 |
| and/or removal in a sanitary landfill | |
| (Reproduced from Berge & Reinhart, 2005) | |
| Figure 3.1: Proposed procedure for the analysis | 59 |

| Figure 3.2: A simplified system to show water balance in a sanitary | 62 |
|---|-----|
| landfill condition | |
| Figure 3.3: Hydrologic balance of landfill. | 63 |
| Figure 3.4: Graphical User Interface or GUI of STAn. | 67 |
| Figure 3.5 : MFA system definition and analysis shown in a graphic | 69 |
| representation of qualitative system analysis of JSL | |
| Figure 3.6: The typical results display for a sanitary landfill. | 70 |
| Figure 3.7: Data table with grouped input data. | 71 |
| Figure 3.8 : Calculation box for C and N input | 72 |
| Figure 4.1 : Tonnage of monthly landfilled waste at JSL from 2007 until 2010. | 77 |
| Figure 4.2 : 3 major sources of incoming waste (PBT : Municipality/Council, | 78 |
| SOWACO : Solid Waste Company, Others, Special). | |
| Figure 4.3 : Weekly gas monitoring for mature compost for 12-weeks in JSL. | 80 |
| Figure 4.4 : System Boundary in identified in Jeram Sanitary Landfill study | 82 |
| Figure 4.5 : Mass Flow Analysis (in tonne/year) of Jeram Sanitary Landfill. | 84 |
| Figure 4.6 : Seasonal precipitation in terms of rainfall in JSL from | 85 |
| year 2007 until 2013. | |
| Figure 4.7: Percentage of C in landfilled waste | 92 |
| Figure 4.8: Percentage of N in landfilled waste. | 94 |
| Figure 4.9 : Gap scenario between LFG generation and capture rate in JSL | 96 |
| Figure 4.10: Landfill Gas (LFG) concentration at selected wells in JSL. | 97 |
| Figure 4.11 : Headspace gas composition from gas wells using | 99 |
| gas chromatography. | |
| Figure 4.12 : NH ₃ gas distribution and concentration at JSL | 100 |
| Figure 4.13 : Substance Flow Analysis for N in JSL (tones/year). | 106 |
| Figure 4.14 : Substance Flow Analysis for C in JSL (tones/year) | 118 |

LIST OF PLATES

| Plates 3.1: Satellite Image shows the JSL location. | 54 |
|---|----|
| Plates 3.2 : Gas Analyser Model Binder GA-M. | 57 |
| Plates 3.3 :Gas Chromatograph (Model GC-8A Shimadzu). | 57 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 : Global Perspective of Municipal Solid Waste Generation | 8 |
|---|----|
| Rates and The Respective Management Costs. | |
| Table 2.2 : Waste Generation Projections for 2025 Region by Income | 10 |
| from reliable sources. | |
| Table 2.3: MSW Disposal by Income (million tonnes) | 10 |
| in low-income and upper middle-income countries. | |
| Table 2.4 : Specific milestone targets for solid waste management | 14 |
| and performance in Malaysia | |
| Table 2.5 : Total Number of operating landfill/dump sites, end-of-life sites | 15 |
| and sanitary landfills according to Malaysian states | |
| as at 31st December 2012 | |
| Table 2.6 : Summary of MFA study at the national level. | 29 |
| Table 2.7 : Summary of MFA study at the regional level. | 30 |
| Table 2.8 : Summary of MFA study at the industrial level. | 31 |
| Table 2.9: Global warming potential (GWP) for a given time | 40 |
| Table 2.10: Emissions estimates and projections on Global GHG emission trend. | 45 |
| Table 3.1: Available information on good or substance flows in | 56 |
| preparation for the landfill material balance in JSL. | |
| Table 4.1: Waste composition in JSL. | 75 |
| Table 4.2 : Cumulative of waste disposed into JSL from 2007 till June 2013 | 76 |
| Table 4.3 : Actual waste received compared to monthly target in JSL | 77 |
| operation from 2007 until 2010. | |
| Table 4.4: Chemical Analysis for Carbon and Nitrogen for rainwater and | 78 |
| raw leachate from JSL. | |

xi

| Table 4.5: Landfill leachate classification versus age | 88 |
|--|-----|
| Table 4.6 : Physico-chemical characteristics of leachate taken from | 90 |
| the leachate treatment plant. (EQA B is for Malaysian | |
| Environmental Quality Act Standard B). | |
| Table 4.7 : Extrapolation for LFG generation and capture in JSL from | 95 |
| 2009 until 2021 | |
| Table 4.8 : N from various waste samples. | 103 |
| Table 4.9: Complete process list for N mass flow | 108 |
| (actual and calculated) in JSL. | |
| Table 4.10: Initial C analysis in domestic waste, bulky waste and | 115 |
| garden waste in JSL. | |
| Table 4.11: Complete process list for carbon mass flow (actual and calculated) | 121 |
| | |

in JSL.