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ABSTRACT

Solar hydrogen generation from water electrolysis is a key target for the development of sustainable hydrogen economy for future energy system. The formation of self-organized TiO$_2$ nanotubes without bundling is essential for high efficiency in photoelectrochemical (PEC) water electrolysis application. This research project demonstrated an efficient approach to enhance the solar-driven photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting performance by incorporating an optimum amount of CdSe species into TiO$_2$ nanotubes film. Based on the results obtained, highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes (AR = 57.95, G = 379.04) were successfully synthesized through electrochemical anodization of Ti foil in ethylene glycol electrolyte containing 0.3 wt% of NH$_4$F and 5 wt% of H$_2$O$_2$ at 40 V for 1 h. Promising anodic TiO$_2$ nanotubes were further underwent heat treatment at 400 °C for 4 h. Continuous efforts have been exerted to further improve the PEC water splitting performance by incorporating an optimum content of CdSe species into TiO$_2$ nanotubes using chemical bath deposition technique. It was found that TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked in 5 mM CdSe precursor for one hour at room temperature exhibited higher photocurrent density (~2.50 mA/cm$^2$) compared to pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes (1.45 mA/cm$^2$). The presence of CdSe at approximately 1 at% in TiO$_2$ showed an improvement of photocurrent density because it acted as an effective mediator to trap the photo-induced electrons and minimizes the recombination of charge carriers. In contrast, excessive CdSe content of 1.5 at% loading and higher on the wall surface of TiO$_2$ nanotubes resulted in poor PEC water splitting performance because independent layers acting as recombination centers for the charge carriers was formed.
ABSTRAK

Penjanaan hidrogen solar dari elektrolisis air adalah sasaran utama dalam pembangunan ekonomi hidrogen mampu bagi sistem tenaga masa depan. Pembentukan nanotiub TiO₂ yang tersusun tanpa pemberkasan adalah penting untuk kecepatan dalam penggunaan elektrolisis air fotoelektrokimia yang tinggi. Projek penyelidikan ini menunjukkan satu pendekatan yang cekap untuk meningkatkan prestasi elektrolisis air fotoelektrokimia yang didorong oleh solar dengan penggabungan jumlah spesies CdSe yang optimum ke TiO₂ nanotube filem. Berdasarkan keputusan yang diperolehi, nanotube TiO₂ yang sangat tersusun (AR = 57.95, G = 379,04) telah berjaya disintesis melalui elektrokimia anodisasi filem Ti dalam glikol etilena yang mengandungi 0.3 wt% NH₄F dan 5 wt% H₂O₂ pada 40 V selama 1 h. Nanotiub TiO₂ anodik yang telah berjaya disintesis dirawat dengan suhu sebanyak 400 °C selama 4h. Usaha yang berterusan telah dikenakan dalam peningkatan lagi prestasi pembelahan air fotoelektrokimia dengan menggabung kandungan optimum spesies CdSe ke atas nanotiub TiO₂ malalui teknik pemendapan mandian kimia. Ia telah mendapati bahawa nanotiub TiO₂ yang direndam di dalam CdSe pelopor dengan kepekatan 5 mM selama sejam pada suhu bilik menghasilkan ketumpatan arusfoto yang lebih tinggi (~ 2.50 mA / cm²) berbanding dengan nanotiub TiO₂ tulen (1.45 mA / cm²). Kehadiran unsur CdSe lebih kurang 1% pada filem nanotiub TiO₂ menunjukkan peningkatan ketumpatan arusfoto kerana ia bertindak sebagai pengantara yang berkesan untuk memerangkap elektron teraruh oleh cahaya dan mengurangkan penggabungan semula pembawa cas. Sebaliknya, kandungan CdSe yang lebih daripada 1.5 at% mentap pada permukaan dinding nanotiub TiO₂ melemahkan prestasi pembelahan air fotoelektrokimia dengan sebab pembentukan lapisan bebas yang bertindak sebagai pusat penggabungan semula pembawa cas.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

It is well known that energy shortage is one of the most intractable challenges humankind are facing in this era. The drastic demand of energy is evidenced by the depletion of fossil fuel resources and increasing price of oil and gas, which results from exponential global population growth plus economic prosperity. This has remarkable impact towards our future generation. Moreover, the increasing of fossil fuels utilization that cause global warming from greenhouse effect has further exacerbated this phenomena. According to Yu and Chen, the extreme climate change is also caused by the greenhouse gases from human activities. It has wrought ravage towards our homeland since several years ago (Yu & Chen, 2009). Many are actually aware that human activities like burning fossil fuels for electricity, transportation, industry, and agriculture will release enormous quantity of greenhouse gasses to the atmosphere. As a result, environmental destruction such as global warming, ocean acidification, ozone layer depletion has become a worldwide problem (Ghicov & Schmuki, 2009). Worse still, if this problem prolonged, all the inhabitants will eventually extinct due to the changes of weather and climate patterns of earth.

One of the approaches taken by many countries in minimizing environmental problems is via sustainable development. The scientists believed that sustainable development is an ultimate goal of this modern era reflecting coeval demand for economic, social, political and ecological improvement. Therefore, executing researches for generating green and renewable energy resource are prioritized. This is essential because renewable energy is sustainable. Moreover, the discoveries of alternative clean energy source is likewise crucial to enhance our quality of life and the environment (Kreuter & Hofmann, 1998).
Hydrogen (H\textsubscript{2}) has been generally recognized as environmentally friendly fuel carrier and most likely the best replacement of fossil fuel as a green and sustainable energy. Since many are concerned about the rapidly depletion of traditional fossil fuels and the greenhouse effect caused by the combustion of fossil fuels, H\textsubscript{2} has been regarded as an attractive sustainable energy (Cortright \textit{et al.}, 2002). However, the hydrogen gas used in industry is mainly obtained through high-energy consumption process from non-renewable resources (e.g., fossil fuels). The production processes are neither environmentally friendly nor economical (Turner, 2004). Therefore, there is a great interest in developing a promising solution to reduce the implementation and associated costs of the production of H\textsubscript{2}. Since the pioneering discover by Fujishima and Honda (Fujishima & Honda, 1972) on the semiconductor-based photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting, it has drawn considerable development in this important field. The PEC water splitting has become a promising way for a sustainable and environmentally friendly production of H\textsubscript{2} under solar illumination. Hence, the scientists are getting more interested to power non-polluting vehicles by using H\textsubscript{2}. The major manufacturers have also put in enormous efforts on the hydrogen-powered cars (H\textsubscript{2} fuel cell vehicle), whereas the production processes of hydrogen generation are environmentally hazardous. Therefore, there is a great demand in the generation of environmentally benign H\textsubscript{2} using renewable energy since the development of H\textsubscript{2} application technologies are growing rapidly (Bak \textit{et al.}, 2001).

In order to satisfy our green energy demand, solar energy appear to be the most viable choice and abundancy as compare to other resources. The earth continuously receives 120,000 TW of energy from the sun. This figure dramatically exceeds the global primary energy consumption rate (17 TW per year) (Crabtree & Lewis, 2007). Thus, it signify that with very less amount of earth’s surface (~0.1%) is covered with solar cells, it would satisfy up to 10% of our current energy needs. Accordingly, today less than 0.1% of the
global energy consumption generated from sunlight could be achieved. Furthermore, the existing solar cells possess low efficiencies and required expensive manufacturing cost. Hence, Moniz et al. suggested that innovations are still encouraged to produce solar cell harvest incident solar photons with greater efficiency and economic feasibility (Moniz et al., 2015).

Among viable renewable H₂ production approaches, the use of photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting system has become one of the most promising methods to secure the future supply of green and sustainable H₂ energy (Grätzel, 2001; Liu et al., 2011). The general term for the chemical reaction of separating water into O₂ and H₂ by utilizing a semiconductor photocatalyst to catalyse the reaction is called PEC water splitting process (Kubacka et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2007). Figure 2.1 illustrates the reaction of PEC water splitting by a semiconductor photocatalyst schematically. PEC solar cell employs a semiconductor photocatalyst to drive the chemical energy, which turns to a high-energy state by illumination (hv). Under illumination, two phenomena are involved: (a) photocatalysis in which optical energy provides activation energy for reaction to occur (Equation 1.1)(Galinska & Walendziewski, 2005); and (b) photoelectrolysis whereby optical energy is converted to chemical energy (Equation 1.2 & 1.3) as presented below:-

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{hv} & > E_g \\
\text{TiO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2(e^- + h^+) \quad \text{(Equation 1.1)} \\
2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4h^+ & \rightarrow \text{O}_2 \text{(gas)} + 4\text{H}^+ \quad \text{(Equation 1.2)} \\
2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \text{(gas)} \quad \text{(Equation 1.3)}
\end{align*}
\]

Theoretically, PEC water splitting solar cell for hydrogen generation requires three main characteristic: (a) stability against photocorrosion in aqueous solution, (b) visible light photosensitivity, and (c) more electronegative conduction band related to H⁺ reduction level (H₂/H₂O) (Bak et al., 2002; Grätzel, 2001; Kubacka et al., 2011). A
number researches have been done on semiconductor materials to fulfill the requirements of the PEC process. Unfortunately, such a semiconductor with the properties of stable, efficient light absorption system coupled with favourable semiconductor-redox energetic has remain undiscovered. However, several success has been reported by employing metal oxide semiconductor, especially titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) (Aroutiounian et al., 2005; Bak et al., 2001; Macak et al., 2007).

TiO$_2$ possess many unique properties that are hardly found in other semiconducting materials like ZnO, ZnS, GaN, etc. The advantage properties include low production cost, exceptional photocorrosion resistance, nontoxicity and strong photocatalytic activity. However, TiO$_2$ is still insufficient to work alone as a practical semiconductor for PEC cell due to its large band gap post by its polymorph (3.2 eV on the anatase) which has poor responsive towards visible light and undesired photogenerated electron-hole pairs recombination, which is thermodynamically favoured (Ni et al., 2007). Since the process of electrons transfer to the conduction band of the oxide is a surface phenomenon, it is anticipated that the larger the surface area of the semiconductor, more photo-induced electrons can be transferred to the electrode. Therefore, it is inferred that one-dimensional (1D) nanostructure, such as nanotubular structure could enhance PEC water splitting process (Grimes, 2007; Mor et al., 2006).

Continuous efforts have been exerted to improve equilibrium reactions by simultaneous control of oxidation and dissolution reactions to grow highly-ordered nanotubes by incorporating a controlled amount of adsorbed small band gap semiconductor cadmium-selenide (CdSe) into TiO$_2$ nanotubes. In fact, this CdSe dopants is one of the popular Group II-VI semiconductor materials being used to sensitize wide band gap semiconductors due to its wider absorption range (below 730 nm) as compared with CdS dopants where its absorption range below the wavelength of approximately 550
nm. The conduction band edge of a small band gap CdSe is higher than that of the TiO$_2$ which has large extinction coefficient strongly absorb visible light. The photogenerated electrons in the small band gap CdSe can be injected to the conduction band of the TiO$_2$ and thereby, contribute to increase solar energy conversion (Lopez-Luke et al., 2008). Furthermore, band gap narrowing provides sites that slow down the recombination of charge carriers and improves visible light absorption. CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes forms a type II band alignment with TiO$_2$ which has large absorption cross sections, is thermally stable and do not easily photodegrade. As a result, the photocatalytic reaction can be initiated by any photoexcited electrons in the CdSe dopants which injected into TiO$_2$ and subsequently migrate to counter electrode for H$_2$ evolution. This type of heterostructured nanomaterial can facilitate charge separation by utilizing the visible or near-IR photons to prevent fast recombination (Wang et al., 2009).

1.2 Problem statement

Since the early of last century, TiO$_2$ has immerged as one of the most widely studied and used materials due to its inherent merits of physio-chemical properties. To date, well-aligned 1D TiO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized through electrochemical oxidation reaction on a Ti substrate has been widely reported. However, TiO$_2$ nanotubes photocatalyst has yet not sufficient from becoming a potential material for PEC water splitting for H$_2$ generation application. The poor visible-light absorption and high recombination losses of charge carries have restricted the widespread use of pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes photocatalyst in PEC water splitting H$_2$ generation application. In fact TiO$_2$ nanotubes only works effectively under UV region ($\lambda$<400nm) where our solar energy only contains about 4-5 % of UV rays. Hence, utilization of visible spectrum from our solar energy (40-45 %) is essential that lead to the higher photoconversion efficiency in solar-driven water-splitting applications.
Besides, oxidation and dissolution reactions also draw significant influences on the structural characteristics of nanotubes and its properties during electrochemical anodization. Although fluoride (F) ions could enhanced the growth rate of TiO₂ nanotubes with desired length, pore diameter and wall thickness, dis-equilibrium reactions between oxidation and dissolution while anodization but the optimum amount of ammonium fluoride interact in the ethylene glycol electrolyte contain of H₂O₂ still remain to be addressed. In order to get the right dimensions and morphologies for better PEC water splitting performance, a controlled synthesis procedure for the production of well-aligned and highly ordered TiO₂ nanotubes still remain a great challenging. Generally, highly ordered TiO₂ nanotubes will lead to undesirable bundling problems, which prominently reduce the photoconversion efficiencies in water splitting system. Thus in order to manufacture high efficient solar-driven PEC water splitting system using TiO₂ nanotubes as a photoelectrode is very defiant unless above mentioned issues are addressed.

1.3 Research objectives

The objectives of this research are as follow:-

- To synthesize and characterize the hybrid CdSe–loaded TiO₂ nanotubes film via electrochemical anodization (e.g., composition of electrolyte, time, voltage) technique followed by chemical bath deposition technique (e.g., temperature, molarity of precursors, time).
- To investigate hybrid CdSe–loaded TiO₂ nanotubes based photocatalysts for water splitting hydrogen generation system under solar illumination (e.g., photocurrent density, amount of H₂ generation).
1.4 Outline of Research Work

The three main sectors in this research study includes synthesis of the CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes film, data collection and analysis for materials characterization as well as evaluation of PEC water splitting performance under solar illumination was presented and discussed below. This research study aims to achieve high PEC water splitting performance using synthesized CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes film under solar illumination.

1.4.1 Synthesis of CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ Nanotubes Film

The CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes film was synthesized via chemical bath deposition technique. Ti foil was cut into the dimension of 50 mm $\times$ 10 mm and degreased by sonication in acetone for 30 min before rinsing with deionized water and subsequently dried in ambient condition before the anodization process. The anodization process was performed in a premixed ethylene glycol (EG) electrolyte consisting of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and ammonium fluoride (NH$_4$F). Ti foil served as anode anodized in two electrodes configuration whereas platinum rod served as cathode. In order to maintain a uniform current in the electrolyte, soft agitation (air bubbling) was applied near the Ti electrode. A DC power supply (CBS Scientific EPS-200X) was used to perform the anodization at desired potential and duration. Then, the as-anodized Ti foils was rinsed using deionized water and subjected to sonication for 1 min and further dried in ambient temperature. Heat treatment of annealing on as anodized nanotubes arrays plays an essential role to convert innate amorphous structure to the desired polymorph. It eventually determines their potential applications.

For the deposition of CdSe on TiO$_2$ nanotubes, two step procedures were involved. In the first step, both of the precursors of Se and Cd that are, sodium selenosulfite (Na$_2$SeSO$_3$) and Cd(NH$_3$)$_4$$^{2+}$ solution was prepared, which consequently allowed to react
with annealed anatase TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes by bathing it in the bath solution contained both Cd and Se ions precursors in the second step. The detail procedures used to prepare the samples are discussed in Chapter 3- Research Methodology.

1.4.2 Materials Characterization

The physical and chemical properties of CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes such as morphological, structural, chemical, optical, and electronic properties was characterized via various characterization techniques. The anodized sample’s surface and cross-sectional morphologies was studied by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), whereas the element analysis was performed by Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDX) analysis. Moreover, both Raman Spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to justify samples’ phase transition. The Photoluminescence Spectroscopy (PL) determined the structural defect state that appeared within the anodic growth of CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes. UV-vis Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS) was used to determine the optical properties of the CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes. Meanwhile, the elemental composition, chemical state, oxidation state and electronic state was determined and quantified by high sensitive X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) from the sample surface. The principle and the measuring conditions of each measurement are discussed in Chapter 3- Research Methodology.

1.4.3 Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting Performance Evaluation

The PEC water splitting properties of the samples was characterized using a three electrodes configuration PEC water splitting solar cell, with CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes as the working electrode, platinum sheet as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl as the references electrode under solar irradiation by using a 150 W Xenon arc lamp, AM 1.5 with UV-visibleinfra red wavelength range. 1 M KOH aqueous solution was used as electrolyte in the PEC cell. Three electrodes system was applied for electrochemical
measurements. All three electrodes are connected to the potentiostat operate by a controlling software NOVA. A linear sweep potential (LSP) swept from -1.0 V to 1.0 V at a scan rate of 5 mV/s was performed to measure the corresponding photocurrent. Water displacement technique was applied to collect the H₂ gas generated at platinum rod. As the H₂ gas is produced in the counter electrode in PEC water splitting cell, it bubbled up into inverted burette. By reading the gas level on the side of burette, the volume of H₂ gas was determined.

1.5 Outline of Thesis

This thesis is structured into total five chapters accordingly. Chapter 1 presents a brief introduction, problem statements, research objectives, research frame work and lastly a thesis overview. In Chapter 2, a comprehensive review on the synthesis methods of TiO₂ nanotubes arrays and functional application of TiO₂ nanotubes including solar H₂ generation production via the PEC water splitting reaction. Moreover, Chapter 2 encompasses literature review on the CdSe-loaded TiO₂ using different approaches as well as their potential applications. Chapter 3 details experimental procedures for this research. The specifications of the raw materials and research methodology to obtain hybrid CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotubes arrays are included. This epitomized also the characterization techniques and equipment employed in this study with brief explanation on the operation principles and their sample preparation. Chapter 4 presents the experimental results and the discussions on the formation of high aspect ratio CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotubes arrays. Three sections in total, first is regarding the growth behavior pure TiO₂ nanotubes arrays by varying anodic oxidation parameters (e.g., electrolyte composition, anodization time and applied voltage), 2) the formation of CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotubes arrays by chemical bath deposition, 3) the potential of CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotubes arrays and pure TiO₂ nanotubes arrays for solar energy harvesting
H₂ generation applications. Chapter 5 concludes this research and also some suggestions plus recommendations for the further research.
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

In 1991, Iijima's successfully discovered carbon nanotubes and since then generated unprecedented interest in the area of one-dimensional (1D) nanostructure in the field of nanotechnology due to its supreme molecular geometry properties with high surface area, distinct electrical properties like, high electron mobility, quantum confinement effect and high mechanical strength (Lieber, 1998; Rao et al., 2006). Indeed, this breakthrough has triggered subsequent interests in photocatalysis research and made 1D TiO$_2$ nanostructure as an important component in many practical applications, including solar cells (Ji et al., 2012), supercapacitors (Li et al., 2014a), biomedical devices (Li et al., 2015) and lithium-ion batteries (Chen et al., 2010). In this research studies, TiO$_2$ photocatalyst has been chosen as a photoelectrode in water splitting system due to it offers excellent promise for hydrogen generation water splitting applications. TiO$_2$ posted its unique characteristics such as non-toxic in nature, environmental friendly material, strong oxidation ability, good photocatalytic property and high photocorrosion resistant hence, emerged as the leading candidate as an efficient PEC water splitting system (Fujishima et al., 2000; Kudo & Miseki, 2009). However, its large band gap (3.0 to 3.2 eV) resulting in poor visible light response and the rapid recombination of electrons and holes pairs obviously hindrance to the widespread use of TiO$_2$ as a photoelectrode in water splitting system. Some ideas have been demonstrated by researchers on the improvement of the visible light absorption of TiO$_2$ (Lee et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2014b; Yang et al., 2010b). This can be done by coupling TiO$_2$ with another small band gap of semiconductor, which will lead to additional electronic state in the band-gap and in turn affect the optical, electronic and functionality of TiO$_2$. Other than poor response of TiO$_2$ to visible light, the multi-component semiconductors are complex materials. It is a very challenging task or rather impossible to make an intuitive guesses on the material’s properties in a research.
2.2 **Historical overview of PEC water splitting**

There is a significant increase in the exploitation of renewable energy sources in this era globally as to effectively alleviate the dependence on using fossil fuel. Sources that emerge as first priority and urgently needed are with the properties of high efficiency, economic and facile manufactured (Kreuter & Hofmann, 1998). Solar energy is one of those sources and which can be harvest through the excitation of semiconductor materials, later coined as semiconductor photocatalyst (Aroutiounian *et al.*, 2005; Tong *et al.*, 2012).

Back to 1839, Becquerel first reported the work on semiconductor photocatalysis. In his design, the counter electrode was a silver chloride electrode. When under illumination of sunlight, it generated a voltage and an electric current. From this discovery many researcher have been inspired on the capturing sunlight energy and converting into electric energy as an alternative energy sources. Around 50 years later, Charles Fritts demonstrated photoelectric effect with approximately 1% efficiency through a developed material selenium and gold pn junction device. However, in the early of 20th century, the literature regarding to the photocatalyst was still very limited.

An efficiency of 6% was achieved by a viable commercial pn junction solar cell designed by Bell Laboratories in 1954 brings revolution in the photovoltaic industry. Henceforth, initiated on the modifications to improve and make photovoltaic more approachability in the global energy market, especially silicon made solid-state junction devices (Okamoto *et al.*, 2011; Solanki, 2015). New generation of PEC water splitting cell has emerged to challenge this dominance where the photovoltaic system is integrated with an electrolyzer to produce clean and portable H₂ energy carrier. This has led in essential while facing operation issue of photovoltaic system during night time and the period of bad weather as chemical fuel in the H₂ form by the storage of energy (Barreca *et al.*, 2011; Currao, 2007).
H₂ fuel stored within a fuel cell can be utilized as clean energy and efficiently converted into electrical energy and it is available at all times. This cell is basically composed of a cheap and facile fabrication nanocrystalline material and together with other attractive properties like high flexibility and chemical stability in aqueous solution. In a PEC water splitting cell, these materials are expected to have high solar light irradiation to current response (Centi & Perathoner, 2009). The first reported on photoelectrochemical (PEC) water-splitting on a Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) electrode in 1972 by Honda and Fujishima has dragged the subsequent interests in photocatalysis research by scientists and researchers from all over the world on TiO₂ and made TiO₂ as an important component in many practical applications.

2.3 Basic principle of PEC water splitting

The process of PEC water splitting is a kind of chemical reaction catalyzed by a photocatalyst to separate water into O₂ and H₂ which also is known as solar-driven water splitting reaction (Equation 2.1). Figure 2.4 illustrated a general schematic diagram of the overall PEC water splitting reaction by a semiconductor photocatalyst.

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O (l)} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 \text{ (g)} + 2\text{H}_2 \text{ (g)} \]  

(Equation 2.1)
The PEC water splitting reaction belongs to a thermodynamic uphill reaction with large Gibbs free energy change for the process ($\Delta G^\circ = 237.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). It requires a minimum energy of 1.23 eV or 2.46 eV per molecule of H$_2$O. Therefore, higher photon energy is required to overcome the Gibbs free energy in order to perform the reaction (Moniz et al., 2015). The mechanism of photocatalytic water splitting process can be described with two half reactions: (1) water oxidized to form O$_2$, : (2) proton reduction to hydrogen fuel, i.e. H$^+$/H$_2$ is 0 V and O$_2$/H$_2$O is 1.23 V which in line to the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) (Kudo & Miseki, 2009).

\[
\text{TiO}_2 + 2 \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 + 2\text{h}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \quad (\text{Equation 2.2})
\]

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{h}^+ \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ \quad (\text{Equation 2.3})
\]

\[
2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad (\text{Equation 2.4})
\]
Semiconductor-based photocatalyst (i.e. n-type TiO$_2$) once triggered from an incoming photon with energy or greater than its band gap will be photoexcited and forms electrons and holes pairs. Photocatalyst absorbed photons and promotes electrons to the conduction band (CB) from the valence band (VB) by leaving a hole. Within the picosecond timescale these holes will then diffuse to the surface-electrolyte interface and then taking part in formation of O$_2$ and H$^+$ ions by oxidizing water molecules (Equation 2.3) whereas electrons move to the counter electrode through the external circuit. The reduction of H$^+$ ions to H$_2$ molecules (Equation 2.4) at platinum electrode is caused by electric field or under external bias. The lifetime and pathway of the photogenerated charge carriers are critically important which the energy conversion efficiency relies on how fast carriers recombine than the expected reaction. Hence, optimal efficiency can be attempted at the carriers ought to separate as far as possible, or as longer time as possible, on the surface of a photocatalyst (Moniz et al., 2015).

Several factors are involved in determining the rate of hydrogen generation through light driven photocatalytic water splitting. First, photons that adsorbed by the material delivering enough energy to the reaction to form excited e–h. Second, activities of photoexcited charge carriers like separation, recombination, trapping and migration. Third, surface chemical reactions (utilizing the surface active sites to perform surface reaction for the evolution of H$_2$ and O$_2$). When the conditions are favourable, photoexcited semiconductor (catalyst) then generates electrons and holes pairs and take part in catalysis (Babu et al., 2015).

However, photocatalysis is associated with unavoidable energy lost involving semiconductor photocatalyst under any solar energy. When solar light illuminates towards the photocatalyst, electrons and holes pairs are generated. These electrons and holes pairs might undergo rapid recombination and the dissipate energy is in the modality
of unwanted heat or photons (Grimes, 2007). Apart from electrons and holes recombination, energy lost also occurs via electrons transportation through lattice defects which act as charge carrier traps. Different crystal lattice structures (polymorphs) crystallinity (Jitputti et al., 2008) and form of nanostructures in a material further affect the migration of the photogenerated charge carriers (Yan et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the efficient catalytic activity can be promoted by lowering the density of defects with the increasing of crystallinity. Modify the size of the photocatalyst to nanoscale by providing better diffusion path is essential for the movement of photogenerated electrons and holes pairs before they are trapped or recombined (Martinson et al., 2006). Other possible reasons towards decreased photocatalytic activity are when electrons flows from the photoanode to the counter electrode or Joule heating resistant build up in external circuit when the electrons flow (Leung et al., 2010).

2.4 Material selection for PEC water splitting

By considering the energy losses within the solar light driven photocatalytic activities for water splitting hydrogen generation, the materials used as the photocatalyst have to possess several properties relative to the band gap energy and electrochemical properties, which is shown as follows:

i. Band edge position: A materials with CB level more electronegative than reduction of H²⁺/H₂ level (E_H²/H₂O) whereas, it’s VB level should be more electropositive related to H₂O oxidation level (E_O₂/H₂O) in order to generate H₂ efficiently (Ni et al., 2007).

ii. Band-gap: To utilize maximal of the solar light spectrum for photoexcitation on the materials, lower electronic band gap is necessary (Misra & Raja, 2010).

iii. Transportation of charge carriers: migration of photoexcited charge carrier with minimal recombination within the materials or losses when transferring from
photoanode to the counter electrode for high efficient H\textsubscript{2} generation (Grimes, 2007).

iv. Stability: The photocatalyst must be stable against photocorrosion in electrolyte under prolonged irradiation (Misra & Raja, 2010; Moniz et al., 2015).

Hence, the electronic structure of a photocatalyst is important in determining its light-harvesting ability. These energetic levels (VB and CB) of each material play a critical part in PEC water splitting where ionization potential and electron affinity are corresponded by their band edges. Various semiconductors with their CB and VB edges related to vacuum and the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) as standard for zero potential are illustrated in Figure 2.2. Each of the values is recorded in Table 2.2 for easy reference. Relatively, some of the materials shows wide band gap which poorly harvest the visible light spectrum. Altering band edges of the semiconductors remains as a great challenge in order to effectively increase the photocatalytic activity. Most of the semiconductors in Figure 2.2 with the VB edge are deeper than the O\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2}O oxidation potential, so the evolution of the O\textsubscript{2} is possible under illumination. In contrast, the evolution of H\textsubscript{2} is not possible for the semiconductors in Figure 2.2(b). This is because H\textsubscript{2}/H\textsuperscript{+} reduction potential is higher than their conduction band energy, the electrons excited at the conduction band has insufficient energy to reduce H\textsuperscript{+} and form H\textsubscript{2} (Izumi et al., 2009). Similarly, band gap of the semiconductors like MoS\textsubscript{2}, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and WO\textsubscript{3} cover the whole visible region of solar spectrum but they are not considered as active materials. It is due to their unsuitable band energies as compare to NHE. Furthermore, the semiconductors in Figure 2.2(b) are also known as photocorrosive materials since their CB minimum does not reach the requirement of water splitting thermodynamically (Kudo & Miseki, 2009).

It is important to note that the accessible of the flat band potential is essential criteria to fulfil the redox potentials of water splitting hydrogen production.
Figure 2.2: Thermodynamically (a) suitable and (b) unsuitable materials. Electronic structure of different semiconductors and the relative position of their band edge with respect to the NHE and vacuum (Vac) (Babu et al., 2015; Castelli et al., 2013).
Table 2.1: VB and CB of different semiconductors (Babu et al., 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semiconductor</th>
<th>Band energy with respect to NHE (eV)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>VB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KTaO$_3$</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaN</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrTiO$_3$</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$ (A)</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$ (R)</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaP</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdS</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO$_2$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaTiO$_3$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuTiO$_3$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTiO$_3$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO$_3$</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdFe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdO</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_2$O</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Self-organized TiO$_2$ Nanotubes film’s properties

Initially, the investigations of the photocatalytic activities were focused on 0D TiO$_2$ nanoparticles and they showed excellent photocatalytic performances in hydrogen production, solar cells, adsorbents and sensors due to their large surface area and broadened band gap (Han et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2010). However, they also showed unavoidable disadvantages such as fast recombination of electrons and holes, slow charge carrier transfer and high recycling cost (Ge et al., 2015b; Wu et al., 2014).
The synthesis of 2D nanostructured materials was comparably complex and required harsh experimental conditions (Lee et al., 2014a; Yao et al., 2014). Recently, 1D self-organized TiO$_2$ nanotubes film have been extensively studied due to their distinctive advantages like large surface area, short lateral diffusion length which provides a unidirectional electrical channel for photo-induced charge carrier transfer, where TiO$_2$ grains are stretched in the tube growth direction. Thus, vertical transportation of charge carriers could be enhanced and improves the PEC water splitting performances due to the low recombination losses at the grain boundaries. (Roy et al., 2011; Spadavecchia et al., 2013). In order to get the right dimensions and morphologies, a controlled synthesis procedure for the production of self-organized nanotube arrays must be investigated and optimized by tuning the length, wall thickness, pore diameter, and intertube spacing through the anodization process. Maximizing the specific surface area of TiO$_2$ nanostructure film is also crucial. Highly ordered self-organizing TiO$_2$ nanotubes film is considered an ideal photoelectrode in the water splitting system because of their inner and outer wall surface area of nanotube that greatly increases the density of the active sites available for photon absorption. As a result, the use of TiO$_2$ nanotubes film in the present study is much better and effective to improve the PEC water splitting performance (Albu et al., 2008; Grimes, 2007).

2.6 Formation of TiO$_2$ Nanotubes

Over the past few years, various synthesis methods to form 1D TiO$_2$ nanotubes have been explored including hydro/solvothermal method, template-assisted/sol-gel method, electrochemical anodization technique and etc. (Kasuga et al., 1998, 1999; Lakshmi et al., 1997; Sander et al., 2004; Suzuki & Yoshikawa, 2004). In the following section, different approach to synthesis TiO$_2$ nanostructures will be reviewed.
2.6.1 Hydrothermal/Solvothermal method

Hydrothermal method has found to be the most used method for fabrication of 1D TiO$_2$ nanotubes conducted using a stainless steel vessel and heated at high temperature and pressure. The main advantages for this method include simple procedure and low production cost. Besides, many literatures reported that nearly 100% conversion of the precursors to 1D TiO$_2$ nanostructured could be achieved within one single procedure under hydrothermal process. In 1998, Kasuga et al. first reported the preparation of TiO$_2$-based nanotubular materials by the hydrothermal method (Kasuga et al., 1998, 1999). The authors reported that amorphous TiO$_2$ powder mixed in a highly concentrated NaOH solution (10 M) was treated at high temperature (110 °C) for 20 h, and no sacrificial templates were needed. Since then, many investigations have been carried out by controlling the processing parameters, such as temperature, treatment duration and caustic concentration in order to synthesize the desired dimensional nanotubes (Armstrong et al., 2005; Bavykin et al., 2004).

In fact, this hydrothermal synthesis can be achieved either through acid-hydrothermal or alkali-hydrothermal by referring to the nature of the reactants (Tian et al., 2014). At the early stage, the reactants were composed of titanium salts and hydrochloric acid. Nevertheless, these reactants usually form TiO$_2$ nanorods structure rather than nanotubular structure. Thus, the applied reactants have been latter modified towards alkaline (high concentration of sodium hydroxide) approach with certain amount of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles in order to form TiO$_2$ nanotubes via dissolution–recrystallization mechanism (Tian et al., 2014). In 2005 and 2006, both Tanaka and Peng et al. investigated the effect of hydrothermal conditions on nanostructure formation by using Ti substrate as a precursor through alkaline hydrothermal treatment (Peng & Chen, 2006; Tanaka et al., 2005). Besides, Morgan and co-researchers have been reported that different morphologies and structures of TiO$_2$ such as nanoparticles, nanotubes, and nanoribbons
could be transformed accordingly by optimizing the caustic concentration and temperature treatment from Degussa P25 through alkaline hydrothermal treatment via the Kasuga method (Morgan et al., 2008) gives the result consistent with the ones obtained by both Tanaka and Peng et al. It is evident that under specific hydrothermal condition, TiO₂ with different phases and morphologies can be transformed to nanotubes (Lim et al., 2005; Nakahira et al., 2010).

The solvothermal method is also a common synthesis approach similar to the hydrothermal method which is usually conducted in a stainless steel vessel with high temperature and pressure to fabrication of 1D TiO₂ nanotubes (Nam et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013). Furthermore, these two methods are commonly use TiO₂ nanoparticles, TiCl₄ or tetrabutyl titanate as the precursor. However, the different where solvothermal with hydrothermal is it usually conducted in an organic solvent (ethanol, ethylene glycol, n-hexane, etc.), while the hydrothermal is done in water solutions (Hoa & Huyen, 2013). Wang et al. have successfully synthesized a bundle of both nanowires and open-ended TiO₂ nanotubes by the solvothermal method using ethanol and glycerol as solvents (Wang et al., 2006). Besides, Zhao's group also successfully fabricated vertically aligned TiO₂ nanorods by the solvothermal method use as photoanodes for dye-sensitized solar cells with the discussion of the thermal treatment effect on their performances (Zhao et al., 2014).

There are some drawbacks in this hydrothermal method. First, slow reaction kinetics leads to long reaction time. Second, varies length of nanotubes limits their wide range of applications. Thirdly, the diameters of the fabricated nanotubes are non-uniform on a large scale. Similar to the hydrothermal method, the understanding of the kinetic mechanism of each solvents towards the Ti precursors is the crucial part for the
solvothermal method in order to produce smaller range of high aspect ratio nanotubes which also limits its wide applications (Ge et al., 2016).

2.6.2 Template-assisted/ Sol-gel method

The template-assisted method is one of the most prominent and well-established process to produce TiO$_2$ nanotubes by using TiO$_2$ precursor and then coated into a template. In this case, an anodic aluminium oxide (AAO) nanoporous membrane with arrays of parallel nanopores with a controllable diameter and length are commonly used as a potential template. This template is removed by chemical etching after the deposition of TiO$_2$ (Sander et al., 2004). However, some precursors like tetrabutyl titanate or titanium isopropoxide mixed in acetic acid might need further treatment such as purging or hydrolysis process in order to form 1D TiO$_2$ nanotubes. In the last stage, the template was then removed by applying chemical etching method in order to obtain TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Several researchers have reported on the fabrication of TiO$_2$ nanotubes with controlled dimension by the template-assisted method (Hoyer, 1996; Lee et al., 2011; Sander et al., 2004).

Sol-gel synthesis is also widely employed due to its capability in controlling the textural and surface properties of the resulting TiO$_2$ nanotubes. In fact, this method is used primarily for the fabrication of mix-metal oxides, starting from a colloidal solution (sol). The sol acts as the precursor for the formation of integrated network (gel) or either discrete particles, which undergo various forms of hydrolysis and polycondensation reactions. The precursor typically is metal alkoxides, inorganic metal salts and metal-organic compounds, such as Titanium tetrachloride, alkoxide Ti solutions, polymeric bonded TiO$_2$) into a template and then undergo heat treatment at 150 °C or vigorous stirring in acetone to remove the template (Tan et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2002). Usually, sol-gel method is constructed by the combination of template-assisted
method to fabricate 1D TiO$_2$-based nanostructures. Joo et al. successfully synthesized TiO$_2$ nanorods with a diameter of about 5 nm by the sol–gel method (Joo et al., 2005). Qiu and Attar et al. also reported the fabrication of 1D well-aligned TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays, nanorods and nanowires by a modified template-assisted sol–gel method (Attar et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2006).

In summary, it was found that the preparation of TiO$_2$ nanotubes via template-assisted/sol-gel approaches involved high production cost and difficulties in template removal stage that might be damaged to the nanostructure (Hagen et al., 2014; Shin et al., 2004). In addition of that TiO$_2$ nanotubes formed by using titanium sol-gel precursor or template-assisted based process are all results in varies in length either heaping on together or loosen tubes dispersed in solution. With these drawbacks, it leads toward eliminations of many superiorities of the 1D directionality (Roy et al., 2011).

2.6.3 Electrochemical Anodization method

Electrochemical anodization method has been brought into great interest in the development of 1D TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays due to its facile synthesis procedure. In anodizing cell, Ti is used as an anode and it is connected to the positive terminal of power source, whereas platinum is used as cathode and being connected to the negative terminal of power source. A typical experimental setup of anodization process was shown in Chapter 3, Figure 3.2. The first literature was reported by Assefpour-Dezfuly and co-researchers about the use of electrochemical anodization technique to form TiO$_2$ porous in 1984. The authors reported that TiO$_2$ porous structure was synthesized via chemical etching in alkaline peroxide and then followed by electrochemical anodization in chromic acid solution (Assefpour-Dezfuly et al., 1984). A report in 1999 by Zwilling and co-workers reported that self-organized porous TiO$_2$ could be obtained by anodizing a Ti-based alloy in an acidic, fluoride-based electrolyte. This research finding has make a
breakthrough of work undertaken on anodized Ti porous/tubular over the last two decades (Zwilling et al., 1999). Since then, the electrochemical condition such as voltage, time, concentration of electrolyte, reaction temperatures, as well as pH of electrolyte have been studied extensively to control the morphological and structural of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. There are mainly five formation generations of TiO$_2$ nanotubes via electrochemical anodization technique have been reported so far and their details are summarized in Table 2.1.

Generally, the morphology of the self-ordered nanostructure is strongly affected by the type of electrolyte, pH, applied potential, time and temperature. In the first generation, hydrofluoric acid based water aqueous electrolytes are most commonly used in the fabrication of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. However, the length of the nanotubes obtained just merely a few hundreds nanometer (200 – 500 nm) which is insufficient to generate favourable photocurrent. However, further modifications have been done by using F-based inorganic and organic neutral electrolytes and showed longer and smoother nanotubes walls (Paulose et al., 2007). Basically, the anodization voltage alters the morphology of the formed nanostructures, while the anodization time mainly affects the length of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The temperature of anodization condition affects the dissolution rate. As a result, TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays are normally grown into optimum dimension at ambient condition (20–25 °C) (Ge et al., 2016). Appropriate selection of anodization parameters for the TiO$_2$ nanotubes formation is the key to the successfull growth of high quality nanotubes.

By comparison, electrochemical anodization approach led to the formation of self-organized nanotubes array and compactly aligned perpendicularly with its metal (substrate) surface. All of the tubes are attached with the metal herein is electrical conductive. Controlled geometry and formation of dense nanotubes layer makes electrochemical anodization an extremely versatile parallel structuring process.
2.7 Mechanism of formation of TiO$_2$ nanotubes

The TiO$_2$ nanotubes formation mechanisms are discussed in this section. It can be divided into three types or generations of the model of TiO$_2$ nanotubes formation via electrochemical anodization method. First reported by Choi et al. regarding the mechanistic formation model (Figure 2.1) of TiO$_2$ nanotubes based on the phenomena of the electrical breakdown of the TiO$_2$ in the formation of porous TiO$_2$ (Choi et al., 2004). At the beginning of the process, anodization voltage was applied and formed a barrier layer of TiO$_2$ on the substrate and grows thicker with increased voltage. The barrier layer will then undergo crystal structure phase transformation into denser structure like anatase or rutile and induced high compressive stress in the oxide. When the electrical breakdown occurs, new pores were created with occurrence of sparking followed by immediate
passivation of these pores by oxide. Repetition of the breakdown and re-passivation at both pore tips and inside the pores occurs which eventually forms the nanotube arrays.

![Figure 2.3: Schematic diagram of porous TiO$_2$ nanotube formation: (A) oxide growth to maximal thickness (B) burst of oxide by the formation of crystallites (pore formation), (C) immediate repassivation of pore tips, (D) burst of repassivated oxide, and (E) dissolution of the formed oxide and second repassivation (Choi et al., 2004).]

In 2006, another mechanistic model has been reported by Mor et al. where the first growth of the TiO$_2$ on the Ti substrate is due to the reaction of Ti metal with O$^{2-}$ or OH$^-$ ions from the electrolyte. A thin layer of oxide will then form on the surface as a barrier layer. Further oxidation of the Ti on the substrate surface to for thicker oxide layer is occurred through the migration of these anions through the oxide layer and reaching the metal/oxide interface where they react with the metal. Ti$^{4+}$ cations from metal/oxide surface then subsequently ejected into oxide/electrolyte interface under application of an electric field. Formation of the small pits in the oxide layer at the oxide/electrolyte interface originate from the localized dissolution of the oxide layer making this barrier layer at the bottom of the pits relatively thin as the voltage was increased. The free O$^{2-}$ anions in electrolyte migrate towards the metal/oxide interface, to interact with Ti metal to regain the oxide layer. Localized dissolution and oxidation will continuously lead to the growth of these pits into pores of various sizes and depths as the electric field distribution in the curved bottom surface of the pores (Mor et al., 2006). A schematic diagram of evolution of nanotubes proposed by Mor et al. is presented in Figure 2.2
Figure 2.4: Schematic diagram of the evolution of a nanotube arrays at constant anodization voltage: (a) oxide layer formation, (b) pit formation on the oxide layer, (c) growth of the pit into scallop shaped pores, (d) metallic part between the pores undergoes oxidation and field assisted dissolution, and (e) fully developed nanotube array with a corresponding top view (Mor et al., 2006).

Another more systematic and constructive mechanistic model by the team led by Patrick Schmuki involving chemical reaction equations were reported (Taveira et al., 2005). In the report, a competition between the anodic oxide formation and the chemical dissolution of the oxide layer was discussed based on the formation of the nanotubes layer. As been reported by Mor et al., 2006 initial layer of oxide was formed due to the reaction of Ti metal with $O^2-$ or $OH^-$ ions from the electrolyte under an applied electric field (Equation 2.5).

$$\text{Ti} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \quad \text{(Equation 2.5)}$$

$$\text{TiO}_2 + 6\text{F}^- + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow [\text{TiF}_6]^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(Equation 2.6)}$$

Random pits formed at the surface of the oxide layer were continuous dissolved by the fluoride ions and formed soluble fluoride complex of $[\text{TiF}_6]^{2-}$ left over initial pores under applied electric field (Equation 2.6). At the beginning of the anodization process, field-
assisted dissolution is dominating in the whole process while chemical dissolution is comparatively slow due to relatively large electric field across the thin oxide layer. Small random pits were found on the surface of the oxide caused by localized dissolution. These pits also act as pore forming centers and subsequently grew into pores. Field-assisted dissolution at the oxide barrier layer provides the high inwards driving force for the F\textsuperscript{-} ions to form soluble fluoride complex of [TiF\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2-} ions. The fluoride complex formation tendency leads to a permanent chemical dissolution (attack) at the pores also prevents precipitation of Ti(OH)\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{y} layer from the Ti\textsuperscript{4+} ions migrated at the oxide/solution interface. During anodization, the continual growth of the oxide at the metal/oxide interface and chemical dissolution of the oxide layer at the oxide/electrolyte interface occurs simultaneously. When steady state was established, the growth rate of the nanotubes in length was identical to the thickness reducing dissolution rate of the outer interface of the oxide layer. In this situation the nanotube oxide layer just continuously “eats” through the titanium substrate without thickening of the oxide layer (Macak et al., 2007).
Figure 2.5: Schematic diagram (left column) and SEM sequence (top-views – middle column, cross-sections – right column) of different stages of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes layer formation. Anodization stopped after (a) 0 min, (b) 3 min, (c) 10 min, (d) 30 min, (e) 1 h from reaching 20 V in water/glycerol/0.27 M NH$_4$F after potential ramp from 0 to 20 V with a sweep rate of 250 mV/s (Taveira et al., 2005)

2.8 Limitation of TiO$_2$ Nanotubes Film

In fact, TiO$_2$ has immerged as a promising photoelectrode in water splitting system for the hydrogen generation. However, an obvious hindrance to the widespread use of TiO$_2$ as a photoelectrode in water splitting cell is its large band gap energy that can only effectively function under the UV region (λ < 400 nm) (Khare et al., 2013). Thus, the energy conversion efficiency from solar to hydrogen by TiO$_2$ photocatalytic water-splitting is still low, mainly due to the following reasons:
1. Recombination of photo-generated electrons and holes pairs: CB electrons can recombine with VB holes very quickly and release energy in the form of unproductive heat or photons (Martin et al., 2013).

2. Fast backward reaction: Decomposition of water into hydrogen and oxygen is an energy increasing process, thus backward reaction (recombination of hydrogen and oxygen into water) easily proceeds (Martin et al., 2014).

3. Inability to utilize visible light: The band gap of TiO$_2$ is about 3.2eV, and only UV light can be utilized for hydrogen production (Kitano et al., 2007). Since the UV light which accounts for about 4% of the solar radiation energy while the visible light contributes about 50%, the inability to utilize visible light limits the efficiency of solar photocatalytic hydrogen production.

These drawbacks cannot be overcome by only optimizing the dimensions of TiO$_2$ nanotubes itself by controlling the processing parameter of electrochemical anodization as described in previous section. Therefore, considerable efforts have been exerted to minimize the recombination losses of charge carriers and extended the spectral response of TiO$_2$ to visible spectrum by incorporating an optimum amount of small band gap semiconductor, such as CdSe with TiO$_2$ nanotubes film. As a result, band gap narrowing effects for TiO$_2$ could expand the range of excitation light to the visible region and provide sites that can reduce the recombination loss of charge carriers (Fernandes et al., 2016; Lv et al., 2015; Su et al., 2014).

2.9 Enhance the photoactivity of TiO$_2$ across entire UV-visible region

One-dimension (1D) TiO$_2$ nanotubular structure has drawn great interests in the application of PEC water splitting due to its excellent properties like high corrosion resistant, efficient charge transport and good photocurrent stability (Reyes-Gil & Robinson, 2013). In order to enhance the extension of its visible light absorption, various
studies on the modification of the methods or decorated with few percent of the other elements has been reported. Details of the foreign element doping on TiO$_2$ photocatalyst by several researchers and their findings are summarized in Table 2.3.

Chu et al has performed solar water splitting by using transferred TiO$_2$ nanotubes arrays on a transparent conductive oxide substrate. Two coreduction processes of flame and chemical reduction were applied to enhance the water splitting performance. First, rapid flame reduce (>1000 °C) anatase TiO$_2$ by generating oxygen (O$^2-$) vacancies and Ti$^{3+}$. These oxygen vacancies in TiO$_2$ act as new electron donors have not only enhance photocatalytic capability but also solar illumination absorption (Hu, 2012). Second, chemical reduction of TiO$_2$ nanostructure in TiCl$_3$ successfully introduce oxygen vacancies hence improved in photocurrent density. With the synergistically engineered from two processes, highest photocurrent density reported was $\sim$2.0 mA/cm$^2$ which is $\sim$15% higher than the monoreduced sample (Cho et al., 2015).

Besides that the improvement in photoelectrochemical water splitting can be done through modification of methods, but also the metal doping. Several successful cases were reported such as gold (Au) nanoparticles doped TiO$_2$ by Pu et al. In the report, Au nanoparticle decorated TiO$_2$ effectively enhanced the absorption of whole UV-visible solar spectrum as compare as bare TiO$_2$ with the photocurrent density recorded at 0V as 1.49 and 0.82 mA/cm$^2$ respectively. Pu and his teams also found that with the decoration of Au nanorods on the TiO$_2$ exhibited three times higher under visible light illumination (>430 nm, 73.3 mW/cm$^2$) than bare TiO$_2$ which recorded as 2.67 and 0.87 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ respectively (Pu et al., 2013). Zhang and his team also reported in the Au doped TiO$_2$ nanotubes with the highest photocurrent density obtained as $\sim$150 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ when under illumination of visible light (Zhang et al., 2012b). Another noble metal Ag also have been reported by Wu et al used to dope on TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Ag nanoparticles was assembled
by microwave-assisted chemical reduction onto anodized TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The recorded best hydrogen generation in the medium contain of ethanol (10 vt%) and H$_2$O under solar illumination is 1.34 μmol/cm$^3$h. It has been devoted by the sample soaked in 2 mM AgNO$_3$ solution with 3 min microwave irradiation (300 W) at 100 °C (Wu et al., 2013).

Extensive investigation also have been reported in composing of nanocomposite metal oxides. ZnO has drawn certain interests in coupled with TiO$_2$. High electron mobility of ZnO improve the process of electron transfer between CB and VB. Hence, reduce in recombination of photogenerated charge carrier as compare with TiO$_2$ itself. Momeni and Ghayeb reported the photocurrent density and hydrogen generated by ZnO-TiO$_2$ nanotubes as 1.24 mA/cm$^2$ and 11 μL/cm$^2$h respectively (Momeni & Ghayeb, 2015). Transition metal oxide also upsurge in the interests of synthesizing nanocomposite. One of the candidate is the Chromium III oxide (Cr$_2$O$_3$). Through chemical bath deposition, Cr$_2$O$_3$ was deposited onto TiO$_2$ nanotubes. From the performance of this nanocomposite, they reported of photocurrent density of >150 μA/cm$^2$ at 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) as compare to bare TiO$_2$ with only <8 μA/cm$^2$ that they synthesized. The hydrogen generation performance recorded is 10.67 μL/cm$^2$h where is 12.8 times higher compare to its bare TiO$_2$ (Momeni & Ghayeb, 2016).

There are many studies by incorporating in nonmetallic element such as N and H with TiO$_2$ to enhance its absorption ability of visible light. Chen and his research members has reported on the synthesizing hydrogen treated TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Comparatively, hydrogen treated TiO$_2$ nanotubes exhibited higher photocurrent density (~6 mA/cm$^2$) and photoconversion efficiency (2.96 %) as compared with as-grown TiO$_2$ nanotubes which is 10 times lower its efficiency (Chen et al., 2013). Besides, nitrogen doped anodic TiO$_2$ nanotubes in PEC water splitting also been reported by the team of Park et al. Nitrogen was introduced to combine with the 2p states of oxygen in TiO$_2$ increase the VB band
hence reduce the band gap energy. As anodized TiO$_2$ nanotube array was brought to hydrothermally treated in mixed gases of NH$_3$/Ar for 3 hours at 773K. Two modes (UV and visible spectrum) has been tested on the performance of water splitting reaction. The hydrogen generated rate after UV light irradiation was recorded as 40 μmol/h and 38.6 μmol/h with respect to N-TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Under visible light illumination, the hydrogen evolution rate of N-doped TiO$_2$ nanotubes was ~4.3 μmol/h where TiO$_2$ nanotubes is ~1.5 μmol/h (Park et al., 2011). From the result, N doped TiO$_2$ nanotubes shows the better performance in hydrogen generation compared with pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes.
### Table 2.3 Summary of the works reported on the different element decorated TiO₂ photocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transferred TiO₂ nanotubes arrays on a TCO substrate | Immersed in 33 wt% H₂O₂ solution to separate TiO₂ nanotubes layer. | - Rapid flame reduce (>1000 °C) anatase TiO₂ by generating O²⁻ vacancies and Ti³⁺.  
- Chemical reduction of TiO₂ nanostructure in TiCl₃ successfully introduce O²⁻ vacancies.  
- Highest photocurrent density reported was ~2.0mA/cm². | (Cho et al., 2015) |
| Au                                             | Seed-growth method.                          | - Photocurrent density of Au-TiO₂ and TiO₂ recorded at 0V are 1.49 and 0.82 mA/cm².                                                  | (Pu et al., 2013) |
| Chemical bath deposition                       | - Au nanorod decorated TiO₂ exhibited three times higher under visible light illumination than bare TiO₂. |                                                                                                                                     | (Pu et al., 2013) |
| Photocatalytic reduction method                | - Highest photocurrent density obtained as ~150 μA cm⁻² when under illumination of visible light. |                                                                                                                                     | (Zhang et al., 2012b) |
| Ag                                             | Microwave-assisted chemical reduction       | - Best hydrogen generation in the medium contain of ethanol (10 vt%) and H₂O under solar illumination is 1.34 μmol/cm³h. | (Wu et al., 2013) |
| ZnO                                            | Chemical bath deposition                    | - High electron mobility of ZnO improve the process of electron transfer between CB and VB.  
- Photocurrent density and hydrogen generated by ZnO-TiO₂ nanotubes as 1.24 mA/cm² and 11 μL/cm³h respectively. | (Momeni & Ghayeb, 2015) |
| Cr₂O₃                                          | Chemical bath deposition                    | - Photocurrent density of >150 μA/cm² at 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) as compare to bare TiO₂ with only <8 μA/cm² that they synthesized. | (Momeni & Ghayeb, 2016) |
| H                                              | Heat treatment                              | - Hydrogen treated TiO₂ nanotubes exhibited higher photocurrent density (~6 mA/cm³) and photoconversion efficiency (2.96 %). | (Chen et al., 2013) |
| N                                              | Hydrothermal                                | - Nitrogen was introduced to combine with the 2p states of oxygen in TiO₂ increase the VB band hence reduce the band gap energy.  
- Hydrogen generation rate:  
  - UV light irradiation - 40 μmol/h and 38.6 μmol/h with respect to N-TiO₂ and TiO₂ nanotubes.  
  - Visible light illumination- N doped TiO₂ nanotubes was ~4.3 μmol/h where TiO₂ nanotubes is ~1.5 μmol/h. | (Park et al., 2011) |
2.10 CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ Nanotubes Film

Over the past few years, hybrid CdSe-TiO$_2$ photocatalyst has gained much attention and has been intensively studied because of the unique features of resultant binary oxide photocatalyst which include increase in the photocatalytic activity by controlling the energy levels of the semiconductor pair. Among the vast number of different low band gap semiconductor, CdSe is one of the most capable candidates to be coupled with TiO$_2$ photocatalyst for the enhancement in water splitting application. In fact, CdSe is one of the most popular II-VI semiconductor materials used to sensitize wide band gap semiconductors due to its wider absorption range (below 730 nm). Buhler et al (Buehler et al., 1984) reported that CdS is also one of the promising wide band gap semiconductor sensitizers where its absorption range is below the wavelength of approximately 550 nm and has a flat band potential of 0.66 V (pH 7). However, the $E_g$ of CdS is still relatively large (2.5 eV) and is not stable in aqueous solution under irradiation (anodic dissolution) (Babu et al., 2015). A small band gap of CdSe with the conduction band edge higher than that of TiO$_2$ and has large extinction coefficient strongly absorb visible light which is more beneficial in sensitizing TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The photogenerated electrons in the small band gap CdSe can be easily evoked to the conduction band of the TiO$_2$ when the light wavelength of evoking light is less than or equal to 730 nm and thereby, contribute to increased solar energy conversion (Meng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016). The band gap narrowing effects will improve visible light absorption, increasing light harvesting efficiency and provide sites that slow down the recombination of charge carriers can be expected. Figure 2.6 shows the schematic diagram of the separation of generated electrons and holes on the interface of CdSe- TiO$_2$ semiconductor. Deposition of CdSe on TiO$_2$ nanotubes is thermally stable, has large cross section absorptions, and does not easily photodegrade. CdSe forms a type II band alignment with TiO$_2$. As a result, any photoexcited electrons in the CdSe doping can be injected into TiO$_2$ and subsequently
collected by an electrode or used to initiate a photocatalytic reaction. This type of heterostructure material can make use of visible or near-IR photons as well as facilitate charge separation to prevent recombination (Wang et al., 2009).

![Diagram of charge-transfer process](image)

**Figure 2.6**: Schematic diagram of the charge-transfer process after being excited by light on the interface of CdSe-TiO$_2$ semiconductor. [CB and VB refer to the energy levels of the conduction and valence bands, respectively, for the CdSe and TiO$_2$] (Gan et al., 2012)

### 2.11 Preparation of CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ Nanotubes Film

Recently, various synthesis methods to form active and efficient CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ photocatalyst have been explored. The incorporation of the CdSe species can control the physiochemical properties of TiO$_2$ photocatalyst. To date, there are several synthesis methods that can be utilized to produce hybrid CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ photocatalyst either in particle, thin film, or nanostuctured form. The techniques are vacuum evaporation (Padiyan et al., 2003; Ramaiyah et al., 2001), electrochemical deposition (Lv et al., 2015), sol-gel (Armelao et al., 2009), spray pyrolysis (HyukáIm & HyeokáPark, 2010), successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction method (SILAR) (González-Pedro et al.,
2013; Lee et al., 2009), radio-frequency (RF) sputtering (Fernandes et al., 2016; Fernandes et al., 2014), chemical vapour deposition (Afzaal et al., 2003), chemical bath deposition (CBD) technique (Chouhan et al., 2011; Esparza et al., 2015; Rodenas et al., 2013) and etc. Some techniques are economic and simple but some require sophisticated instruments and costly precursors. In the next section, three main CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes preparation methods (chemical bath deposition, successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction, electrochemical deposition) was briefly introduced.

2.11.1 Chemical bath deposition

Chemical bath deposition (CBD) is one of the chemosynthesis methods for sensitizing wide band gap semiconductor by growing directly on the surface of the TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays. It is an inexpensive, simple and convenient method with added advantages such as reproducibility, minimum material wastage, no need of handling poisonous gases, no requirement of sophisticated instruments, and well suitable for economical way of large area deposition at relatively low temperatures (Dhanam et al., 2008; Pawar et al., 2013). The CBD is working based on the the principle of ion-by-ion condensation in a solution state similar to the atom-by-atom deposition in a vacuum process (More et al., 2003).

The CBD technique has been used extensively for years to prepare thin films of chalcogenide semiconductors, such as CdS, for its application as a window layer material in solar cell fabrication (Gopakumar et al., 2010). Studies of depositing CdSe onto TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays through CBD by facilitating the growth parameters which included pH value, concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ and Se$^{2-}$ ions, deposition temperature, time and addition of different additives in bath resulted into different types of crystal structures, such as, amorphous, cubic, a mixture of cubic and hexagonal (Pawar et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2012). Robel et al. reported on the use of bifunctional surface modifiers (SH-R-COOH) to sensitize CdSe QDs onto mesoscopic TiO$_2$ films, which was employed
as a photoanode in a photoelectrochemical cell (Robel et al., 2006). Besides, Zhang et al. demonstrated the introduction of CdSe by CBD into the TiO₂ nanotube films to enhance the photocathodic protection of stainless steel (Zhang et al., 2012a).

The basic principle underlying the deposition of CdSe have been discussed by Chopra and Das (Chopra & Das, 1983). It is based on the slow release of Cd²⁺ and Se²⁻ ions from its complex in a basic aqueous bath. Subsequently, it condenses through an ion-by-ion basis onto the TiO₂ nanotubes substrates that are vertically mounted in the reaction bath (Dhanam et al., 2008). Dissociation of Cd²⁺ and Se²⁻ ions from its complex either allow the CdSe nanocrystals to deposit gradually on TiO₂ nanotubes or aggregate into larger undesired particles, while it can be manipulated by controlling the parameters. The precipitation of metal chalcogenides in CBD occurs only when the ionic product exceeds the solubility product (K_{sp}) of metal chalcogenides. The solubility product of CdSe is very low. Therefore, even very low concentration of Cd²⁺ and Se²⁻ ions is sufficient to yield the solid phase (Gopakumar et al., 2010; Pawar et al., 2013).

Basically, source of Cadmium is prepared from its salts or oxide to form a complex by slowly adding alkaline (i.e. ammonium solution) under agitation until a clear solution was obtained. The steps of formation of precursor of Cd complex ions are as below:

\[
\text{Cd(CH}_3\text{COO})_2 + 2\text{NH}_4\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Cd(OH)}_2 + 2\text{NH}_4(\text{CH}_3\text{COO}) \quad \text{(Equation 2.7)}
\]

\[
\text{Cd(OH)}_2 + 4\text{NH}_3\text{OH} \leftrightarrow \text{Cd(NH}_3)_4^{2+} + 2(\text{OH}^-) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(Equation 2.8)}
\]

\[
\text{Cd(NH}_3)_2^{4+} \leftrightarrow \text{Cd}^{2+} + 4\text{NH}_3 \quad \text{(Equation 2.9)}
\]

Meanwhile, freshly prepared sodium selenosulphate (Na₂SeSO₃) solution acts as the source of Se²⁻ ions. It can be prepared through heating of Se metal or its oxide with sodium sulphite under reflux. The reduction of Na₂SeSO₃ to elemental Se in CBD process is shown through the following reaction in the aqueous ammoniacal medium.
\[
\text{Na}_2\text{SeSO}_3 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Se}^{2+}
\]

(Equation 2.10)

Hence, the overall chemical reaction is as below:

\[
\text{Cd}(\text{NH}_3)_2^{4+} + \text{Na}_2\text{SeSO}_3 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CdSe} + 2\text{NH}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(Equation 2.11)}
\]

### 2.11.2 Successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR)

Successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR) is one of the popular methods of depositing the metal chalcogenide semiconductor layer on the metal oxide films, such as TiO\(_2\) nanostructures-based photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells in order to harvest the visible sunlight, and to enhance the conversion efficiency (Becker et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2012). Nicolau was the first scientist who demonstrated the use of SILAR to grow ZnS and CdS films in 1984 (Nicolau, 1985). Since then, the SILAR process is used to deposit various inorganic semiconductor, particularly, the metal sulfides. In 2009, Lee et al. have successfully prepared CdSe QD through SILAR process (Lee et al., 2009). In the SILAR process, semiconductor CdSe is deposited onto substrates surface, where the substrate is dipped in sequence into precursor solutions of the reactants. Ions of the reactants are first segregated into two precursor solutions, then, they interact with the surface of the substrate through absorption in the first dip (e.g. Cd\(^{2+}\)) and rinse with solvent before react with ions of the opposite charge in the second dip (e.g. Se\(^{2-}\)). This is to facilitate its reaction with the surface-bound Cd\(^{2+}\) to yield CdSe at Cd\(^{2+}\) adsorption sites. The process is repeated until the desired thickness is obtained (Becker et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2013). SILAR cycles is typically defined as below:

\[
\text{Cd}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{ethanol rinse} \rightarrow \text{Se}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{ethanol rinse} = 1 \text{SILAR cycle}
\]

Different from CBD, SILAR involves sophisticated procedures. This includes the preparation of each cationic and anionic precursor solutions, as well as closely monitoring of the dipping duration and sequences. Repetition of the process is required to obtain the
desired layer thickness of the doping and further, it leads to toxic waste generation where excess unbound Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions were removed from the rinsing step. On the other hand, CBD is one pot reaction with minimal waste and repetition is not required.

2.11.3 Electrochemical deposition

Electrochemical deposition is a method with the aim of tuning the response of wide band gap semiconductor in visible region. By applying this method, optimum amount of CdSe nanocrystals can be deposited intra- and inter-tubular space of the TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes that forms three-dimensional (3D) multijunction structure (Xue et al., 2013). Electrochemical deposition is performed in a three-electrode system. As the prepared TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube arrays serves as working electrode, inert electrode, such as graphite or Pt rod, will serve as counter electrode while saturated calomel electrode (SCE) or Ag/AgCl electrode will work as the reference electrode. Zhang et al. reported the fabrication of CdSe/TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube arrays heterogeneous structure through electrochemical deposition (Zhang et al., 2014). Wang et al. also reported the successfully modified bare as anodized TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes with CdSe nanocrystals as the photoanode for driving the photoelectrocatalytic (PEC) generation of hydrogen and simultaneous degradation of organic pollutants in a PEC system (Wang et al., 2016). However, electrochemical deposition has limited application in coating of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes substrate. High cost and accurate instruments, for example, computerized potentiostat are required for the deposition to prudently control the reaction parameters. The coverage of sweeping potential, potential sweeping rate and accuracy of the deposition potential as well as the time affects the growth of CdSe nanocrystal on the surface of nanotubes. The changes in the pH value of electrolyte by the current density results in the amount of CdSe deposited (Xue et al., 2014).
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter provides the detail methodology to synthesize the hybrid CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotube arrays and characterization methods for their multifunctional application under solar irradiation. General information and some properties of raw materials and chemicals used in this study are encompassed in the first section. Subsequently, the experiment design and experimental procedures to attain hybrid CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotube arrays are elaborated in second section. This includes all the controlling processing parameters on the formation of high-aspect ratio anodic TiO₂ nanotubes via anodization method and further hybridization by chemical bath deposition technique. Then, third part of the chapter’s outline is the characterization on morphological, chemical, structural, optical, electronic and electrochemical properties of the synthesized hybrid TiO₂ nanotubes arrays. Various characterization techniques include Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), Energy-dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman Spectroscopy, Photoluminescence Spectroscopy (PL), UV-vis Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS) and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). This part also covers a brief explanation on the characterization equipments, operating principles and sample preparation. The final part of the Chapter 3 devotes to the procedures involved in the solar-induced PEC water splitting performance test by utilizing the newly exploited hybrid TiO₂ nanotubes. The overview of the research methodology is presented in Figure 3.1.
Figure 3.1: Overview of the research methodology
3.2 Materials Synthesis

3.2.1 Raw Materials

The materials and chemicals used in synthesizing pure TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes are Ti foil, ethylene glycol, hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}), ammonium fluoride (NH\textsubscript{4}F), and acetone. Meanwhile, to produce CdSe-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes via CBD technique, cadmium acetate dihydrate (Cd(CH\textsubscript{3}COO)\textsubscript{2}), selenium metal powder, ammonium hydroxide (NH\textsubscript{4}OH) and sodium sulphite (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{3}) were used as precursors. Potassium hydroxide (KOH) is an alkaline inorganic compound, which was used as an electrolyte in the PEC cell. The general information and some properties of the raw materials and chemicals used in this research are detailed in Table 3.1.

**Table 3.1: Raw materials and chemicals used for the synthesis of CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube arrays**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Titanium foil (Ti)              | Substrate        | Sigma Aldrich    | Purity: 99.7%  
                                |                  |                                | Thickness: 0.127 mm  
                                |                  |                                | Density: 4.5 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}  
                                |                  |                                | Melting point: 1660 °C |
| Ethylene glycol                 | Electrolyte      | Friendemann Schmidt | Molecular formula: C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{2}  
                                |                  |                                | Purity: 99%  
                                |                  |                                | Molar mass: 62.068 g/mol  
                                |                  |                                | Viscosity: 1.61 x 10\textsuperscript{-2} Pa.s |
| Ammonium fluoride               | Electrolyte      | Merck            | Chemical formula: NH\textsubscript{4}F  
                                |                  |                                | Purity: 98%  
                                |                  |                                | Molar mass: 37.0367 g/mol  
                                |                  |                                | Melting point: Decompose at elevated temperature (100 °C) |
| Hydrogen peroxide               | Oxidizing agent  | Friendemann Schmidt | Chemical formula: H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}  
                                |                  |                                | Purity: 30 % H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and 70 % H\textsubscript{2}O  
                                |                  |                                | Molar mass: 34.0147 g/mol |
Table 3.1: Raw materials and chemicals used for the synthesis of CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotube arrays, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Acetone                           | Cleaning agent         | Friendemann Schmidt | Chemical formula: CO(CH₃)₂  
Molar mass: 58.08 g/mol  
Density: 0.79 g/cm³ |
| Cadmium acetate dihydrate         | CdSe precursor         | Merck        | Chemical formula: (CH₃COO)₂Cd • 2 H₂O  
Purity: 99 %  
Molar mass: 266.52 g/mol  
Melting point: 256 °C |
| Selenium metal powder,            | CdSe precursor         | Merck        | Purity: 99.0 %  
Molar mass: 78.96 g/mol  
Density: 1.27 g/cm³  
Melting point: 217 °C |
| Ammonium hydroxide                | CdSe precursor         | Merck        | Chemical formula: CO(CH₃)₂  
Purity: 28 %  
Molar mass: 58.08 g/mol  
Density: 0.90 g/cm³ |
| Sodium sulphite                   | CdSe precursor         | Merck        | Chemical formula: Na₂SO₃  
Purity: 97 %  
Molar mass: 126.04 g/mol  
Melting point: 500 °C |

3.3 Sample Preparation

3.3.1 Foil preparation

To ensure better architecture of nanotubes growth, cleanliness and purity of initial Ti foil is very important. Hence, any form of contaminations such as finger prints, oil, grease and debris must be removed prior to anodization process. Ti foil (99.7 % purity) with thickness of 0.127 mm from Sigma Aldrich was used as substrate in this experimental work. Ti foil was cut into desired dimension (50 mm × 10 mm) then degreased by sonication in acetone for 30 min using Thermo-6D Ultrasonic Cleaner (40 kHz, 180 W). Ti foil was then rinsed in deionized water and dried in ambient temperature.
3.3.2 Electrolyte preparation

Electrolyte composition plays an important role in potentiostatic anodization. Structural morphology of the resulting nanostructure formed is either in compact nanotubular or porous structure and highly depend on its composition. In this present study, ethylene glycol used as electrolyte with dissolved NH$_4$F salt and H$_2$O$_2$. Prior to anodization, both NH$_4$F and H$_2$O$_2$ were added into the ethylene glycol electrolyte and the mixture of solution was stirred for 30 min by magnetic agitation. The purpose was to dissolve NH$_4$F and H$_2$O$_2$ into the ethylene glycol homogeneously.

3.3.3 Anodization procedure

To perform anodization, Ti foil was connected to the positive terminal of DC power supply (CBS Scientific EPS-200X) as anode in two-electrode configuration. Platinum rod, which acted as the counter electrode was connected to the negative terminal, which acted as the cathode. Subsequently, both the Ti foil and platinum rod exposed into prepared ethylene glycol electrolyte. The distance of two electrodes fixed was fixed ~20 mm (Figure 3.2). The anodization was carried out through manually switched on and off to monitoring the exposure time at desired potential. A smooth transfer of bubbles supplied from the bottom of anodization tanker was helped to homogenize the electrolyte and maintained a uniform current during anodization process. The configuration of the electrochemical anodization cell is shown in Figure 3.2.
3.3.4 Cleaning TiO$_2$ nanotubes

Upon finishing anodization, the as-anodized TiO$_2$ foil was cleaned with deionized water and subsequently immersed into acetone to sonication (Thermo-6D Ultrasonic Cleaner, 40 kHz, 180W) for 1 min. It functions to remove the remaining electrolyte on the nanotubes layer and eliminate the debris and precipitation layers on top of the nanotubes.

3.3.5 Heat treatment process

Heat treatment or annealing process is where the materials are heated up to a suitable temperature and cools down to convert innate amorphous structure to crystalline structure. As compare with amorphous structure, crystalline structure formed produce changes in its properties such as strength, hardness, and phase composition. In this research, anatase crystalline phase is the most desirable structure which obtained by annealing at 400°C for 4 hours in air atmosphere. Based on literature, it is sufficient to fully transform from amorphous TiO$_2$ into anatase crystalline phase (Fernandes et al.,
This is important because anatase is well known more efficient in PEC water splitting hydrogen generation (Luttrell et al., 2014; Sreekantan et al., 2009).

Heat treatment process involves three stages which are heating, soaking and cooling. Both heating and cooling rate used in this project were fixed at 5 °C/min whilst soaking time was 4 hours. All the samples were placed upright in an alumina boat before heat treated in the furnace. The example of the heating profile is presented in Figure 3.3.

![Figure 3.3: Annealing profile of anodized Ti foil](image)

### 3.3.6 Preparation of CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes by chemical bath deposition

CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes were synthesized through CBD technique. Two steps were employed to assemble CdSe onto the substrate. First is the preparation of sodium selenosulphate (Na₂SeSO₃) and Cd(NH₃)₄²⁺ solution, precursors of Se and Cd. Second is the deposition of CdSe onto as annealed pure TiO₂ nanotubes.

In the preparation of Na₂SeSO₃ solution, sodium sulphite (Na₂SO₃, 5 g) and selenium metal powder (0.8 g) were added in 100ml distilled water then heated under reflux at 90 °C for 3 hours. An apparent of black colour Se sedimentation was formed in the solution at the beginning and was then slowly digested to form Na₂SeSO₃ compound accompanied by the formation of a clear solution. It gives the complete conversion of Se into Na₂SeSO₃.
The temperature of the solution was then brought down to room temperature and used directly for the synthesis.

Cadmium precursor was prepared by dissolving 2.7 g cadmium acetate dehydrate Cd(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ • 2H$_2$O in 50 ml deionized water. Concentrated ammonia solution (30%) was added to the mixture slowly under magnetic stirring agitation. Initially, the solution became milky and turbid due to the formation of Cd(OH)$_2$. Further addition of excess concentrated ammonia solution dissolved the turbidity and made the solution clear and transparent.

Both freshly prepared Cd and Se precursors were mixed and homogenized by stirring for 10 min. Meanwhile, ammonia was used to adjust the pH of the solution between 12 and 12.5. This was to prevent the reverse reaction of Cd(NH$_3$)$_4^{2+}$ to form stable cadmium hydroxide Cd(OH)$_2$. As annealed anatase TiO$_2$ nanotubes was bathed in the bath solution inclined vertically at 20° to the wall of beaker. The substrate doped with CdSe was removed after desired exposure time and was rinsed in deionized water and dried in air. This study aims to optimize the molarity of electrolyte, reaction time and reaction temperature of TiO$_2$ nanotubes in CdSe solution to enhance the PEC water splitting performance.

3.4 Characterization Techniques

The properties of the synthesized samples were characterized via various characterization techniques. These techniques that comprehend properties such as morphological studies by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), elemental composition analysis by Energy-dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX), phase structure analysis by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman Spectroscopy, carrier recombination analysis by Photoluminescence Spectroscopy (PL), optical properties analysis by UV-vis Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS) and also chemical
state analysis by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). Brief explanation on all the
colorization method, basic principles and sample preparation of such analytical
equipment describes in this section.

3.4.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) is a type of electron
microscope which able to provide morphological and elemental information at the
magnification from 10 times until 150,000 times with limited depth of field. Basically, a
focused electron beam is generated and passes through a vacuum column focus onto the
specimen’s surface. Accelerated electrons in an FESEM carry significant amounts of
kinetic energy and this energy is dissipated as a variety of signals produced by electron-
sample interactions when the incident electrons are decelerated in the solid sample. These
signals include secondary electrons, backscattered electrons (BSE), Electron backscatter
diffraction (EBSD that are used to determine crystal structures and orientations of
minerals), photons (characteristic X-rays that are used for elemental analysis and
continuum X-rays), visible light (cathodoluminescence) and heat. Secondary electrons
and backscattered electrons are commonly used for imaging samples: secondary electrons
are the most valuable for showing morphology and topography on samples and
backscattered electrons are the most valuable for illustrating contrasts in composition in
multiphase. FESEM analysis is considered to be a "non-destructive", so it is possible to
analyze the same materials repeatedly. Any kind of solid material can be studied by using
FESEM. For the materials which are electrically conductive may be examined directly
while for the nonconductive materials, a thin conductive coating is required to prevent
electrical charging of the specimen. FESEM is a very popular surface examination
method due to its capability of obtaining the three-dimensional-like images of the surface
of the samples. In this research, CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes samples were cut into
desired size (5 mm x 5 mm) and placed on a conductive carbon tape. It has been observed
using a JEOL JSM 7600-F field emission scanning electron microscope operated at 5 kV and 10 kV and magnifications of 30, 50 and 100 kX were typically used for detailed characterization.

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) is known as an elemental composition analysis used with field emission scanning electron microscopy. It has the same concept as FESEM by detecting the x-rays emitted from the sample during an electron beam bombardment to characterize the elemental composition of the analyzed volume. Features or phases as small as 1 μm or less can be analyzed. The sample preparation is same with SEM analysis, where non-conductive materials need to be coated with gold palladium layer. Analysis of compositions of elements in the annealed CdSe-loaded TiO₂ nanotubes samples were determined by EDX.

### 3.4.2 X-ray Diffraction

For phase structure and compositional analysis, the samples were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Bruker AXS D8 Advance (Germany). XRD analysis was conducted using a Cu target (Nickel filter), Kα radiation (λ = 0.1546 nm) to determine the crystalline phase of the sample at scanning rate over angle, θ in the range 10° - 90°. Values for intensity and diffraction angle, 2θ, were recorded and a graph was plotted from these data values. X-ray diffraction (XRD) is one of the most common methods used to determine the crystal structure of materials by using the principle of Bragg’s Law. The degree and intensity of the diffracted ray is detected by a diffractometer with radiation counter. Once a monochromatic beam of X-rays is directed at a sample which is a crystalline material, either the reflection or diffraction of the X-rays at various angles with respect to the primary beam can be observed. Then, the X-ray constructively interferes will determine the intensity of the reflected X-ray. For constructive interference, it is defined as the path between rays from two subsequent crystal planes is
equal to a whole number of the X-ray wavelength. Hence, it comes with the Bragg’s Law equation: \( n\lambda = 2d \sin \theta \), where \( n \) represents the order of diffraction, \( \lambda \) represents the wavelength of the X-ray beam, \( \theta \) represents the angle of incidence of the X-ray and \( d \) represents the distance between each set of atomic planes of the crystal lattice. The X-ray patterns of a crystalline substance can be analogous as a “finger-print” due to the unique and different diffraction pattern for each crystalline material. Therefore, the unknown compound can be identified with comparing the interplanar spacings and intensities of its XRD patterns to the reference patterns of Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards in the powder infraction file (PDF).

3.4.3 Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy is a spectroscopic technique used to study sample phase by the vibrational, rotational and other low frequency transitions. Monochromatic laser beam either in the visible, near infrared or near ultraviolet range irradiated on the sample results in the energy of the laser photons being shifted up or down. This shift is due to interaction of beams with the vibrational energy levels of the molecules in the specimen. The majority of the scattering radiation at the wavelength corresponding to the laser line (Rayleigh scattering or elastic scattering) is filtered out. Inelastic scattering or raman scattering gives information about the with molecular vibrations energy level provided fingerprint by which molecules can be identified. Raman spectrum is generated by plotting the intensity of the raman scattering versus frequency indicating the energy levels of different functional group vibrations. In this research, the crystal structures of the specimens were identified by using a Raman spectrometer (Renishaw inVia, United Kingdom) over the range of 100 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1000 cm\(^{-1}\), at an excitation wavelength of 532 nm generated by an Ar ion laser.
3.4.4 Photoluminescence Spectroscopy

Photoluminescence spectroscopy is a non-destructive and non-contact method for observing the electronic structure and recombination mechanism of materials. In essence, it is similar as Raman spectroscopy which initiate by photoexcitation, an ultraviolet or visible photon irradiate onto a material. The substrate absorbs photons further excite electrons from the equilibrium states to excitation states and emit photons as it relaxes and release energy and returns back to equilibrium states. The emission of photons through this process is known as photoluminescence, PL. The emitted photon energy (photoluminescence) relates to the transition energy level between equilibrium states and excites states. In semiconductors, these two electron states (valance and conduction bands) involved in the photoluminescence whereas the transition energy is known as band gap energy. Dissipation of electron energy to equilibrium stated is known as recombination. The quantity of PL emitted from a material is directly related to the relative amount of recombination rates. Recombination rates are typically associated with level of photoexcitation, impurities surface defects and temperature. Thus, analysis of photoluminescence can qualitatively monitor changes in material quality as a function of growth and processing conditions and help understand the underlying physics of the recombination mechanism. In this research, recombination in the samples was characterized using monochromatic beam with 325 nm wavelength at room temperature. The photoluminescence were recorded in the range of 350 nm to 750 nm.

3.4.5 UV-vis Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy

UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy or ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry refers to absorption spectroscopy or reflectance spectroscopy in the ultraviolet-visible spectral region. It was developed to identify the absorption and reflectance of sample in liquid, solid thin film or powder form by measuring relative change of transmittance or reflectance of light. A solution has essentially to transmit certain range of wavelengths of
light, which depend on dissolved components that have (allowed) electronic transitions over that energy range. When the energy levels of the component lies within the wavelength of light, it may absorb the light energy to move electrons from the filled energy level (valence band) into this empty level (conduction band), which causes a relative decrease in the amount of light at that particular energy. Hence, relative change in light transmission or increase in light absorbance will be recorded.

By the same line of reasoning, a solid sample with high surface roughness, the effective reflection is not specular and conversely we cannot measure the transmitted intensity (it is too low). Therefore, for powders or thin films of high surface roughness, we use DRS where apart from recording reflectance, we can also measure absorbance of the sample collecting all the diffuses reflected beams (from the sample surface) using a semispherical collector. This will give a DRS spectrum which shows the interaction of the samples with light of various wavelengths. It is then possible to compute the energy band gap value of the materials based on the spectrum.

In this study, the optical properties of the CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes was characterized using a Shimadzu UV-2700 UV-vis Spectrophotometer and DRS measurements were done in wavelength range of 240 to 800 nm using an integrating sphere.

3.4.6 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) also known as Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis (ESCA) is a quantititative spectroscopic technique. It is applied to a broad range of materials that measures the elemental composition, empirical formula, chemical state and electronic state with analysis depth 10 nm or less of any solid surface. XPS is typically accomplished by exciting a samples surface by utilizing mono-energetic x-rays while simultaneously measure both the kinetic energy and number of
photoelectrons to be escaped from the sample surface. An electron energy analyzer is used to measure the energy of the escaped photoelectrons. From the binding energy and intensity of a photoelectron peak, the elemental identity, chemical state, and quantity of a detected element can be determined. In this study, the investigation of chemical and oxidation states of CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes was determined on a PHI Quantera II scanning X-ray microprobe using an Al cathode ($h\nu = 1486.8$ eV) with 100 microns spot size and 280 eV pass energy. The core level spectra of Ti 2p, O 1s, C 1s, Cd 3d and Se 3d were subsequently recorded through high resolution narrow scans.

3.5 PEC water splitting cell testing

The PEC properties of the samples were characterized using a three electrodes PEC cell under solar irradiation. Specimen was served as the working electrode, platinum rod served as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl in saturated KCl served as the reference electrode. These electrodes consequently dipped in electrolyte used for PEC cell consisted of 1M KOH aqueous solution. All three electrodes were connected to the potentiotstat (Autolab PGSTAT 204, Netherlands) by using a control software NOVA for photoelectrochemical measurements. The current range is fixed from 10 mA to 10 nA. All CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes samples and the best morphology with the highest aspect ratio pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes sample were characterized through linear sweep potential as PEC performance. A 150W Xenon lamp (Zolix LSP-X150, China) was used as the light source, with intensity 100 mW/cm$^2$ light beam was focused on the immersed portion of working electrode. Graphically illustration of the photocurrent (mA$^{-1}$) versus applied bias potential (V) was generated over the -1.0 V to 1.0 V range at scan rate of 5 mV/s under dark and illumination. Among all hybrid CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes samples, the best PEC performance and the best pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes used in hydrogen evolution measurement. The H$_2$ evolution measurement was subsequently performed under a bias potential 0.6 V for 1 hour. The H$_2$ gas generated at platinum rod was collected with a
reverted burette by using the water displacement technique. As the H₂ gas is produced in counter electrode in PEC water splitting cell, it will bubble up into inverted burette. The volume of gas is determined by reading the gas level on the side of burette. The overall photoconversion efficiency (η) is used to define the highest energy output that able to be generated from a product from energy supplies in the form of light (Grimes et al., 2007). Hydrogen generation rate and photoconversion efficiency, η (conversion efficiency from light energy to the chemical energy) (Zhang et al., 2012b) was calculated based on the Equation 3.1 and 3.2 below.

\[
\text{Hydrogen generation rate} = \frac{\text{Volume of hydrogen gas generated (mL)}}{\text{Area of sample (cm}^2) \times \text{Time (h)}} \quad \text{(Equation 3.1)}
\]

\[
(\eta) = \frac{\text{Total power output} - \text{Electrical power output}}{\text{Light power input}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
= \frac{j_p (E^\text{rev} - |E_{\text{app}}|)}{I_o} \times 100\%
\quad \text{(Equation 3.2)}
\]

where \(j_p\) stand for photocurrent density (mA/cm²), both \(j_p E^\text{rev}\) and \(j_p |E_{\text{app}}|\) are total power output and electrical power input respectively. \(I_o\) is the incident light power density (mW/cm²), \(E^\text{rev}\) is the standard reversible potential (1.23 V/SHE) and \(E_{\text{app}} = E_{\text{mean}} - E_{\text{aoc}}\). \(E_{\text{mean}}\) is the working electrode potential (versus Ag/AgCl electrode) under illumination and \(E_{\text{aoc}}\) is the open-circuit potential (versus Ag/AgCl electrode) of the working electrode.

Figure 3.4 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental set up for PEC performance and H₂ generation.
Figure 3.4: Experimental set-up of H₂ generation by the PEC water splitting process
CHAPTER 4: RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction

Chapter 4 includes the experimental results obtained from the experiments conducted as well as the discussion of all analysis. There are two sections in this chapter. The first section presents the information regarding the formation of high aspect ratio bundle-free TiO$_2$ nanotubes in ethylene glycol electrolyte consisting H$_2$O$_2$ and NH$_4$F via electrochemical anodization. The influences on the surface morphology, aspect ratio and phase structure of the pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes with respect to anodization voltage, anodization duration, NH$_4$F and H$_2$O$_2$ content in the ethylene glycol electrolyte were evaluated in detail.

The second section of this chapter discussed the incorporation of CdSe on TiO$_2$ nanotubes via CBD technique. A comprehensive study was conducted to optimize several deposition parameters (deposition molarity, reaction time and temperature) in order to obtain the desired CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes structure with the best PEC water splitting performance under solar illumination. In the present study, the amount of H$_2$ generated by CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes was also compared with the pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Based on our study, it was found that hybrid CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes showed better hydrogen generation compared to pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes because the coupling of CdSe and TiO$_2$ can control the recombination of the photogenerated charge carriers and increase charge separation of TiO$_2$ during illumination.

4.2 Formation of TiO$_2$ nanotubes via electrochemical anodization

Electrochemical anodization method was used to produce the highly ordered bundle-free TiO$_2$ nanotubes array films. The influence of H$_2$O$_2$ addition, anodization time, anodization potential and fluoride content into the ethylene glycol electrolyte towards surface morphology of oxide layer were evaluated in detail.
4.2.1 Effect of H$_2$O$_2$ in the electrolyte on the anodic oxide formed

In order to synthesis highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes, we demonstrated the effect of H$_2$O$_2$ in the anodization electrolyte which acted as oxygen provider to supply sufficient O$^{2-}$ ions to accelerate the anodic oxidation. Figure 4.1 and Table 4.1 illustrates the results obtained for the dimensional of anodic TiO$_2$ oxide layers by incorporating different content of H$_2$O$_2$. Different top surface morphologies of Ti foil anodized with different wt % of H$_2$O$_2$ and the inset of oxide’s cross sectional view were presented in Figure 4.1. The average diameter, length and wall thickness, aspect ratio (AR) and geometric surface area factor (G) of anodic Ti nanotubes formed were summarized accordingly in Table 4.1. Both formulations and calculations for aspect ratio and geometric surface area factor are as followed (Lee et al., 2015):

$$AR = \frac{L}{(D + w)}$$
$$G = \frac{4\pi L (D + w)}{\sqrt{3} (D + 2w)^2} + 1$$

where $L$ = nanotube length in nm; $D$ = pore size diameter; $w$ = wall thickness.

As shown in the images in Figure 4.1, the appearance of anodic oxides on Ti foils was dependent on the wt % of H$_2$O$_2$ in the fluorinated electrolyte. For the sample anodized in 1 wt% H$_2$O$_2$, nanotubes with the length of 800 nm were obtained. This nanotube arrays was found bundled at the top layer of the nanotubes and cause pores blockages. When the content of H$_2$O$_2$ was 2 wt%, an incomplete nanotubes structure was formed. Nanotubular layer was slightly increased to 1.1 µm. Using 3 wt% of H$_2$O$_2$, the diameter of the nanotubes became larger with an approximately 50 nm and length of 1.9 µm. The over layer that covered the nanotubes was minimized and random interconnected pits started to grow when 3 wt% of H$_2$O$_2$ was added into the electrolyte. With further increased of H$_2$O$_2$ content to 4 wt%, the diameter of the nanotubes became larger at 64 nm and length of 2.3 µm. At the H$_2$O$_2$ content of 5 wt%, the nanotubes formed were circular, with an
average diameter of 76 nm and length was increased to 5.6 µm. The pH of this electrolyte was about 6.5. However, further increased of H₂O₂ content to 10 wt% produced a negative effect on the self-ordering of the anodic oxide. The nanotubular structure disappeared whereby the anodic oxide was composed of irregular porous layers with thickness of ~0.8 µm, as shown in Figure 4.1 (f).

In order to get high aspect ratio of nanotubes array, H₂O₂ which is known as a powerful oxidant was added to act as the oxygen provider. At ambient conditions, H₂O₂ is unstable and will decompose to HO₂⁻ and H⁺ ions (Equation 4.1) which then further react to form •OH and HO₂• radicals (Equation 4.1 to 4.3). These radicals increased the oxidation rate of the anodization process (Equation 4.4 and 4.5). Field-assisted dissolution, and chemical dissolution reactions were improved by •OH and HO₂• radicals during the anodization process. Hence, growth of strong and bundle-free nanotubes on Ti substrate was observed with the increase of the H₂O₂ content in electrolyte (Grimes & Mor, 2009).

In an anodization process, O²⁻ ions first migrated towards Ti induced growth of passivation layer with high electric field across the thin layer. This high electric field then further induces electric field dissolution and formed random pits accompanied with breakdown of the passivation layer. The formation of random pits through electric field dissolution on the oxide surface was assisted by the polarization of Ti-O bond. F⁻ ions in the electrolyte perform as etchant and react with those random pits and grow into pores via the formation of [TiF₆]²⁻ complex ions (Equation 4.6) (Grimes & Mor, 2009). Nonetheless, there is an optimum amount of H₂O₂ which lead to high growth rate of self-ordering nanotubular structure. Further increase content of H₂O₂ would be detrimental. Excessive H₂O₂ will give rise to form high H⁺ ions in the electrolyte and pH of the electrolyte also reduced to 6.2 (Equation 4.1). Furthermore, it led to high chemical dissolution rate and diminished the nanotubular structure as depicted in Equation 4.6.
Thus, 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ was found to be the optimum for the formation of circular nanotubes with lengths approximately 5.6 µm.

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(Equation 4.1)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{•OH} + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{(Equation 4.2)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{•OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2^- \quad \text{(Equation 4.3)}
\]

\[
\text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{Ti}^{4+} + 4\text{e}^- \quad \text{(Equation 4.4)}
\]

\[
\text{Ti}^{4+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ \quad \text{(Equation 4.5)}
\]

\[
\text{TiO}_2 + 6\text{F}^- + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow [\text{TiF}_6]^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(Equation 4.6)}
\]

Figure 4.1: FESEM images of TiO$_2$ nanostructures obtained with varying H$_2$O$_2$ content: (a) 1 wt%, (b) 2 wt%, (c) 3 wt%, (d) 4 wt%, (e) 5 wt% and (f) 10 wt%. Insets are the side views of the samples.
Table 4.1: Pore diameter, length, wall thickness, aspect ratio, and geometric surface area factor of TiO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized in electrolyte with different H$_2$O$_2$ content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H$_2$O$_2$ content (wt %)</th>
<th>Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Wall thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio, AR</th>
<th>Geometric surface area factor, G (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50±2.3</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>20±1.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>120.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64±2.6</td>
<td>2.3±0.2</td>
<td>13±1.3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>159.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76±2.4</td>
<td>5.6±0.1</td>
<td>10±0.9</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>380.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>57±1.4</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>23±1.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>44.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Effect of anodization time

In this part of experiment, electrochemical anodization was performed in ethylene glycol containing 5 wt% of H$_2$O$_2$ and 0.3 wt% NH$_4$F at 40 V for different durations from 10 to 120 min. Figure 4.2 shows the FESEM images for samples anodized at different times. At the anodization time of 10 min, nanotubular structure was visible but was not well-defined with thickness of 1.2 µm as shown in Figure 4.2 (a). It is a well-known fact that random small oxide pits were formed on Ti surface due to breakdown of the passivation layer with the presence of chemical dissolution and field-assisted dissolution reactions at local point of high energy. After 20 min of anodization, it could be noticed that those random pits undergo further oxidation and localized dissolution to form interconnected pores structure. Nanotubes with approximately 46 nm in diameter and 2.0 µm in thickness were formed [Figure 4.2 (b)]. Increasing anodization time to 30 and 40 min, the anodic oxide layer was composed of nanotubular structures could be observed with increasing average diameter up to 50 and 56 nm, respectively as exhibited in Figure 4.2 (c) & (d). The average length of the synthesized nanotubular structure was found to be increased significantly with 3.2 µm and 4.1 µm for 30 min and 40 min of anodization respectively. Anodization for 50 min resulted in bigger and longer uniform nanotubes (diameter: 74 nm; length: 5.1 µm), as shown in Figure 4.2 (e). As shown in Figure 4.2(f),
an anodic oxide layer was formed after applying 60 min of anodization. The anodic oxide layer was composed of nanotubular structures with round and isolated nanotubular structure. The average diameter and length are 77 nm and 5.8 μm respectively. Further prolong the anodization duration to 120 min resulted in the reduction of the formation rate for nanotubular structure with tube length of approximately 7.3 μm and average pore size of 84 nm respectively.

Based on the obtained results, it can be concluded that high growth rate of anodic nanotubular within 60 min of anodization time could be achieved. The main reason is attributed to the presence of sufficient powerful oxidant of H₂O₂ within the electrolyte. As explained in the previous section, higher growth rate of TiO₂ nanotubes in ethylene glycol containing H₂O₂ were caused by the pronounced dissolution at the bottom of the nanotubes in the presence of •OH and HO₂• radicals. These radicals increased the deepening rate of the pores and thus formed high AR and G nanotubes within 60 min anodization time. After 60 min of anodization process, it could be understood that most of the unstable H₂O₂ has been decomposed to form short-lived oxidizing hydroxyl radical and consumed in the early anodization process. Hence, the growth rate of nanotube array was decreased (Santos et al., 2009). The average diameter, length, wall thickness, aspect ratio (AR), and geometric surface area factor (G) of the nanotubes anodized with varying anodization duration are summarized in Table 4.2.
Figure 4.2: FESEM images of TiO$_2$ nanostructures obtained with varying anodization duration: (a) 10 min, (b) 20 min, (c) 30 min, (d) 40 min, (e) 50 min, (f) 60 min and (g) 120 min. Insets are the side views of the samples.

Table 4.2: Pore diameter, length, wall thickness, aspect ratio, and geometric surface area factor of TiO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized with varying anodization duration in ethylene glycol containing 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anodization duration (min)</th>
<th>Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Wall thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio, AR</th>
<th>Geometric surface area factor, G (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>46±2.6</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>25±1.5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>112.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>50±2.3</td>
<td>3.2±0.1</td>
<td>20±1.8</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>201.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>56±2.1</td>
<td>4.1±0.2</td>
<td>19±1.4</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>253.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>74±1.6</td>
<td>5.1±0.1</td>
<td>14±1.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>313.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>77±1.4</td>
<td>5.8±0.2</td>
<td>10±0.9</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>390.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>83±2.7</td>
<td>7.3±0.1</td>
<td>10±1.3</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>465.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.3 Effect of anodization voltage

It is a well-known fact that anodization voltage controls field-assisted oxidation and field-assisted dissolution during anodization process and, thus, varies the diameter and the length of the nanotubular structure. Continuing from the previous part of the experiment, anodization time and amount of H$_2$O$_2$ added into the electrolyte were fixed at an optimum value. All the samples were anodized in fluorinated ethylene glycol composed of 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ for 60 min. The voltage was varied from 10 V to 60 V. Figure 4.3 clearly shows that the applied voltage affects the geometric features of the nanotubes on the Ti foils based on the illustrative top view and cross-sectional view FESEM image.

As seen in Figure 4.3(a), nanotubes with the smallest diameter ~ 13 nm and the shortest length of approximately 500 nm were successfully produced at lower voltage of 10 V. With further increased in the anodization voltages to 20 V, the diameter of the nanotubes array shows ~ 24 nm and the length was ~ 800 nm [Figure 4.3(b)]. Ti foil anodized at 30 V shows increased nanotubes diameter and length up to ~ 55 nm and 2.5 µm respectively. Interestingly, it was found that when Ti foil was anodized at 40 V, the largest geometric surface area factor (389.11 G (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)) and aspect ratio (60.4) nanotubes arrays with ~ 74 nm in diameter and 5.8 µm in length [Figure 4.3(d)] was observed. Ti anodized at higher voltages of 50 V produced nanotube arrays with an average diameter of 84 nm length of ~ 6 µm as exhibited in Figure 4.3(e). However, at higher anodization voltage of 60 V, both the diameter and length of the nanotubes array were reduced to ~ 54 nm and 3 µm, respectively.

This diminution phenomenon might be caused by imbalance of field-assisted dissolution and field-assisted oxidation reactions on the anodic oxide layer at the beginning electrochemical anodization stage. Meanwhile, high chemical dissolution rate occurred simultaneously and eventually, etching of the top surface of TiO$_2$ nanotubes via
the formation of fluoride complex ions [Equation 4.6]. Furthermore, it could be understood that high anodization voltage provide higher driving force of both etchants $H^+$ ions and $F$ ions to accelerate chemical dissolution rate of Ti and TiO$_2$ [Equation 4.6] (Kim et al., 2014). It was well-established that the influence of applied voltage on the diameter and length of nanotubes is related to the number of the pits formed at the early stage of anodization process. Therefore, the use of high voltage causes severe electric field dissolution and forms more pits during the early stage of anodization. Based on the results obtained, more pits formed and further etched to form larger pores when higher voltage applied. As for these pores become dominant, it was resulting the tubular nanoarchitecture on the anodic oxide layer (Sopha et al., 2015).

At lower potential, short nanotubes were formed on Ti foil due to insufficient electric field dissolution occurs during electrochemical anodization stage. Further increased in the anodization voltage increased the nanotube length. This might be attributed to the high driving force for ionic transport through the barrier layer at the bottom of the pore. Hence, results in faster movement of the Ti/TiO$_2$ interface into the Ti metal. The length of nanotubes will further increase until the rate of chemicals dissolution of nanotubes equals with field assisted dissolution and oxidation (Yasuda et al., 2007). As for this study, formation of TiO$_2$ nanotubes with the AR and G of 60.4 and 389.11 G (m$^2$g$^{-1}$) were achieved in the electrolyte composing of 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ by applying voltage of 40 V for 1 h. These compositions were selected because it favoured the formation of well-aligned and highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes.
Figure 4.3: FESEM images of TiO$_2$ nanotubes obtained for different anodization voltage at: (a) 10 V; (b) 20 V; (c) 30 V; (d) 40 V; (e) 50 V and (f) 60 V. Insets are the side views of the samples.

Table 4.3: Pore diameter, length, wall thickness, aspect ratio, and geometric surface area factor of TiO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized with varying anodization voltage in ethylene glycol containing 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ for 60 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anodization voltage (V)</th>
<th>Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Wall thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio, AR</th>
<th>Geometric surface area factor, G (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13±3.6</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>9±1.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>84.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>24±2.9</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
<td>14±0.9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>82.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>55±3.7</td>
<td>2.5±0.1</td>
<td>30±1.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>117.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>74±1.7</td>
<td>5.8±0.2</td>
<td>11±1.5</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>389.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>84±2.1</td>
<td>6.0±0.2</td>
<td>10±1.4</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>379.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>54±2.7</td>
<td>3.0±0.1</td>
<td>17±2.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>200.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.4 Effect of Fluoride Ion Content

Fluoride ion content is known to play a crucial role in the formation of anodic TiO$_2$ nanotubes array via electrochemical anodization. Therefore, in this part of experiment, different amount of NH$_4$F in ethylene glycol containing 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ was investigated. The content of NH$_4$F was varied from 0.1 to 0.5 wt%. All samples were anodized at optimizing processing parameters (60 min at 40 V) based on the results obtained from previous part of experiment. As shown in Figure 4.4(a), thin compact TiO$_2$ oxide layer...
was formed on the surface of Ti foil with 0.1 wt% NH₄F added. This result inferred that insufficient F⁻ ionic species to trigger the chemical dissolution reaction for pits formation on the anodic oxide layer during this electrochemical anodization. Further increased in the amount of NH₄F up to 0.2 wt%, the FESEM micrograph showed irregular nanoporous structure layer formed on Ti foil. The irregular nanoporous structure layer was formed with an average diameter and length of 49 nm and 600 nm, respectively [Figure 4.4(b)]. It was caused by the incomplete chemical dissolutions at the interface between Ti and the electrolyte. For the 0.3 wt% NH₄F content, a hollow cylindrical oxide was successfully obtained with an average diameter of 78 nm and length 5.5 µm [Figure 4.4(c)]. This result indicated that the amount of F⁻ ions were sufficient to increase the chemical dissolution and led to further acidification to develop a nanotubular structure. When the content of NH₄F was further increased to 0.4 wt%, resultant anodic oxide layer showed destructive effect. The nanotubes became shorter (1.2 µm) with an average diameter of 73 nm [Figure 4.4(d)]. Continual increased of NH₄F content to 0.5 wt% generated smaller pits. Shorter nanotubular structure was also formed with an approximately 700 nm in length [Figure 4.4(e)]. The reduction in length might be attributed to the excessive chemical etching on the surface of the nanotubes during the chemical dissolution. As the pH of the electrolyte became more acidic with increasing NH₄F, etching on the surface of the nanotube structure also occurred (Ismail et al., 2011).

Thus, the optimum fluoride ions content for the formation of well-aligned nanotubes was identified to be 0.3 wt% and was then further enhanced by 5 wt% of H₂O₂ and 60 min of anodization with the potential 40V. The average diameter, length, wall thickness, aspect ratio (AR), and geometric surface area factor (G) of the nanotubes anodized with varying fluoride ion content are summarized in Table 4.4.
Figure 4.4: FESEM images of TiO$_2$ nanostructures obtained with varying fluoride ion content: (a) 0.1 wt%, (b) 0.2 wt%, (c) 0.3 wt%, (d) 0.4 wt% and (e) 0.5 wt%. Insets are the side views of the samples.

Table 4.4: Pore’s diameter, length, wall thickness, aspect ratio, and geometric surface area factor of TiO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized in electrolyte with 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ and varying fluoride ion content at 40 V for 60 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluoride ion content (wt %)</th>
<th>Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Wall thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio, AR</th>
<th>Geometric surface area factor, G (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>49±1.6</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>21±2.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>37.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>78±2.7</td>
<td>5.5±0.2</td>
<td>10±0.7</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>366.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>73±2.4</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>17±1.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>64.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.5 Phase Structure Analysis by XRD

XRD analysis was conducted to determine the compound and crystal phase transition of the un-annealed and annealed TiO$_2$ nanotubes sample prior to anodization in ethylene glycol containing 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$, 0.3 wt% NH$_4$F for 60 min at 40 V. It is a well-known fact that the as-anodized TiO$_2$ nanotubes layer were amorphous in nature (Luttrell et al., 2014). The heat treatment process has significant effects on the morphological of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. In the present study, heat treatment of 400 °C was selected due to the promotion of the crystallization of Ti anatase phase from the amorphous phase (Fernandes
et al., 2014). Figure 4.5 exhibits the XRD patterns of un-annealed and annealed TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays at 400 °C. It was found that the presence of both anatase phase and Ti metal within the TiO$_2$ nanotubes array film after annealing at 400 °C in air atmosphere. The presence of anatase phase was detected with its diffraction peaks allocated at 25.37°, 38.67°, 48.21°, 54.10°, 55.26°, 62.66° and 68.74° are corresponding to (101), (112), (200), (105), (211), (204) and (116) crystal planes respectively [JCPDS No 21-1272]. Besides, diffraction peaks of Ti substrate were observed on both XRD spectrum at 35.1°, 38.4°, 40.2° and 53.0°, which correspond to the crystal planes of (100), (002), (101) and (102), respectively. Surface tension was induced while drying after rinse as anodized sample where these tension further cause of the oxide layer to crack or separate apart. From the crack, Ti metal substrate was reviewed further contributed to the Ti diffraction peaks. Stable Ti metal was remained as Ti metal itself after underwent annealing without further react with oxygen to form TiO$_2$. Hence Ti peaks were observed in the annealed sample. Based on the literatures, 400 °C is sufficient to convert amorphous to anatase phase of TiO$_2$, which exhibited thermodynamically stable and higher surface stability for photoelectrochemical activity (Fernandes et al., 2014; Sreekantan et al., 2009). From the result, it shows success in producing high crystallinity of anatase phase of TiO$_2$ nanotubes array through electrochemical anodization and subjected to heat treatment stage at 400 °C.
Figure 4.5: XRD pattern of (a) TiO$_2$ nanotubes annealed at 400˚C in air atmosphere and (b) un-annealed TiO$_2$ nanotubes sample formed in ethylene glycol with 0.3 wt% NH$_4$F and 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ at 40 V for 60 min [T = Titanium; A = Anatase]

4.3 Incorporation of CdSe onto TiO$_2$ Nanotubes

Based on aforementioned discussion, highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes was successfully synthesized via electrochemical anodization of Ti foil in ethylene glycol electrolyte composed of 0.3 wt% NH$_4$F and 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ at 40 V for 60 min then subsequently annealed at 400 °C to form anatase phase of TiO$_2$ nanotubes film. Hence, in the following studies, highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes film was synthesized in above-mentioned conditions to investigate the influence of CdSe on the TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The aim of this section is to improve the solar illumination absorption and further reduce the recombination loss of charge carriers.

By following the experimental procedure in Chapter 3, deposition of the CdSe took place in a bath solution containing of both Se$^{2-}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ precursor. When the ionic product (IP) of Cd$^{2+}$ and Se$^{2-}$ ions exceeds the solubility product (SP) of CdSe (i.e., IP ≥
SP (10-33)), CdSe deposition took place on the TiO₂ nanotubes substrate as stated in the following steps:

Sodium selenosulphate (Na₂SeSO₃) hydrolyzes in solution to give Se²⁻ ions,

\[
\text{Na}_2\text{SeSO}_3 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{HSe}^- \quad \text{(Equation 4.7)}
\]

\[
\text{HSe}^- + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Se}^{2-} \quad \text{(Equation 4.8)}
\]

Ammonia solution was added in Cd salt solution to form the complex cadmium tetraammine ion [Cd(NH₃)₄²⁺]:

\[
\text{Cd}^{2+} + 4\text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{Cd(NH}_3\text{)}_4^{2+} \quad \text{(Equation 4.9)}
\]

Then Cd(NH₃)₄²⁺ reacts with hydrolyzed Se²⁻ ion to form CdSe:

\[
\text{Cd(NH}_3\text{)}_4^{2+} + \text{Se}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{CdSe} + 4\text{NH}_3 \quad \text{(Equation 4.10)}
\]

In the present study, CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes were fabricated using CBD. This study aims to determine the optimum CBD parameters in order to achieve the desired CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes for the highly efficient PEC water splitting performance. Three important parameters including molarity of electrolyte, reaction time and reaction temperature were studied and investigated in detail.

4.3.1 Effect of molarity of CdSe bath solution

Highly ordered TiO₂ nanotubes array film produced at optimum conditions as discussed in previous section were prepared for CBD studies. In this study, both Cd and Se precursor’s molarity were varies from 1 to 15 mM with the soaking time of 1 h.
4.3.1.1 Morphological and Elemental Analysis by FESEM-EDX

The effect of precursor’s molarity on the morphology of the highly-ordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes is discussed in this section. FESEM micrographs of TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces after soaking at different precursor’s molarity for 1 h were shown in Figure 4.6 and the EDX analysis was summarized in Table 4.5. As shown in the Figure 4.6 (a), sample bathed in 1 mM deposition molarity revealed similar appearance to the pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes due to the low concentration of Cd and Se species in the bath solution (Pawar et al., 2013) (the ionic product of Cd$^{2+}$ and Se$^{2-}$ ions not exceeds the solubility product (SP) of CdSe). The EDX result of 1 mM deposition molarity shows the presence of 0.35 and 0.27 at% average Cd and Se content. Further increased in the precursor molarity to 3 mM, the average at% of Cd and Se in the sample increased to 0.68 and 0.56, respectively. From Figure 4.6 (b), irregular nanoclusters were formed on the pore entrance of nanotubes and increased the tube thickness to ~22.5nm. However, as the molarity of the precursor solution increased to 5 mM, it was noticed that the resultant TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays were extensively covered by aggregated CdSe nanocluster with approximately 1.19 at% of Cd content and 1.06 at% of Se content, respectively [Figure 4.6 (c)]. At the deposition molarity of 10 mM, some sphere structure with an average diameter of 170 nm was observed as seen in Figure 4.6(d). It was found that the nanotubes pores at the entrance were completely clogged with the CdSe sphere and negatively affected the self-organized nanotubes. A higher Cd and Se content (~2.41 and 2.24 at%) was also obtained from EDX analysis. This is indicating that the incorporation of Cd and Se species becomes prominent with the increasing of deposition molarity. At the highest deposition molarity of 15 mM, bigger sphere with the size approximately of 245 nm formed on or nearly to the pore entrance of the nanotubes [Figure 4.6 (e)]. The average Cd and Se at% content in this sample was further increased to approximately 4.14 and 3.86 at% respectively.
In the bath solution with the deposition molarity of Cd and Se precursors more than 5 mM, it contained higher ionic product (Cd and Se ions) than solubility product (CdSe solid phase). Therefore, it leads to rapid formation of ion-by-ion condensation of the Cd\(^{2+}\) and Se\(^{2-}\) or by adsorption of colloidal particles from the solution onto the TiO\(_2\) nanotubes (Lokhande et al., 2005; VanderHyde et al., 2015). The average elemental compositions of the pure TiO\(_2\) and CdSe loaded TiO\(_2\) nanotubes with different deposition molarity based on the EDX spectra are summarized in Table 4.5.

![Figure 4.6: FESEM images of pure TiO\(_2\) nanotubes soaked in (a) 1, (b) 3, (c) 5, (d) 10 and (e) 15 mM CdSe solution for 1 h. Insets are the side view of the samples](image)

Table 4.5: Average at% of pure and CdSe loaded TiO\(_2\) nanotubes produced at different molarities of CdSe solution obtained from EDX analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molarity of CdSe (mM)</th>
<th>Atomic percentage (at%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>39.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>35.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.1.2 Phase Structure Analysis by XRD

XRD analysis was used to determine the crystallographic structure and the changes in the phase structure of the CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked in different deposition molarities of Cd and Se content solution. Based on the study above, heat treatment of anodic pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes at 400 °C could transform the amorphous structure into the crystalline anatase phase. Therefore, in the current study, anatase TiO$_2$ phase was formed by annealing the anodized pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes at 400 °C in an air atmosphere. The XRD patterns of the pure TiO$_2$ and CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes as a function of deposition molarity are shown in Figure 4.7. Obvious diffraction peaks from the XRD pattern attributed to the anatase phase. The diffraction peaks at 25.37°, 38.67°, 48.21°, 54.10°, 55.26°, 62.66° and 68.74° are corresponding to (101), (112), (200), (105), (211), (204) and (116) crystal planes of the anatase phase respectively [JCPDS No 21-1272]. Besides the peaks coming from anatase phase, there also diffraction peaks from Ti substrate at 35.1°, 38.4°, 40.2° and 53.0°, which correspond to the crystal planes of (100), (002), (101) and (102) respectively [JCPDS No 44-1294]. All the X-ray diffraction peaks are indexed to anatase phase TiO$_2$ and Ti metal itself indicating the incorporation of CdSe does not change the lattice structure of TiO$_2$ (Wang et al., 2014). Moreover, small characteristic diffraction peak of cubic zinc blended phase CdSe at 49.7° appeared in the sample soaked in 10 and 15 mM respective to crystal plane of (311) [JCPDS No 19-0191]. In addition, there was also an obvious increased of the diffraction peak at 25° was observed at the deposition molarity of 15 mM. This is due to overlapping of the peak of (111) plane (25.35°) of cubic phase CdSe and the peak of (101) planes of anatase TiO$_2$. No obvious CdSe phase was observed in the XRD patterns for the lower content CdSe (< 2 at% from EDX analysis). A possible explanation might be attributed to the insensitive of XRD analysis to detect very low content element within TiO$_2$ nanotubes (Leghari et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014).
Figure 4.7: XRD diffraction patterns of (a) pure TiO$_2$ and CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked in b) 1, (c) 3, (d) 5, (e) 10, and (f) 15 mM of CdSe precursor solution for 1 h. [A = anatase, T = Ti metal, C = CdSe]

4.3.1.3 Chemical Structure Analysis by Raman Spectroscopy

Raman analysis was conducted to enhance the confirmation of the existent of cubic CdSe phase on hybrid CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes shown in Figure 4.8. CdSe deposition molarities were fixed form 1 to 15 mM for 1 h. The resultant Raman spectra of each samples are presented in Figure 4.8. The major characteristic peaks at 144, 394, 515, 636 cm$^{-1}$ were detected from the Raman spectrums are assigned as $E_g$, $B_{1g}$, $A_{1g}$, and $E_g$ four modes. They corresponded well with the bonding vibration of anatase phase of TiO$_2$ and were also consistent with XRD results. In this case, $E_g$ peak is mainly contributed by symmetric stretching vibration of O–Ti–O in TiO$_2$, the $B_{1g}$ peak is caused by symmetric bending vibration of O–Ti–O, and the $A_{1g}$ peak is caused by antisymmetric bending vibration of O–Ti–O (Bhirud et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2012). However, the intensity of the Raman peaks decreased with increased precursor solution molarities, which indicates increased in cubic CdSe content. As a matter of fact, an ambiguous peak at 206 cm$^{-1}$
which could be detected from the Raman spectra corresponded to the first order for CdSe longitudinal optical phonon (LO) (Todescato et al., 2013). It becomes more obvious with the samples subjected to higher precursor solution molarity of 5, 10 and 15 mM.

![Raman spectra](image)

**Figure 4.8:** Raman spectrum of CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes deposited in b) 1, (c) 3, (d) 5, (e) 10, and (f) 15 mM of CdSe precursor solution for 1 h

### 4.3.1.4 PEC water splitting performance evaluation

Linear sweep potential was used to measure photocurrent density, $j_p$ under an applied potential. $j_p$-V characteristic curves for the CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized in different CdSe deposition molarities are plotted in Figure 4.9. All samples exhibited insignificant $j_p$ which was lower than 10 µA/cm$^2$ in dark condition. On the other hand, the $j_p$ was increased under solar light illumination. A maximum $j_p$ of 2.50 mA/cm$^2$ was obtained for TiO$_2$ nanotubes deposited with 5 mM CdSe precursor for 1 h. It was relatively higher as compared to the pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes itself with the $j_p$ as 1.45 mA/cm$^2$. These results are in line with literature, which suggested that the presence of optimum CdSe species onto TiO$_2$ nanotubes could improve their character of large extinction coefficient in absorbing
the visible light from solar. In this case, more electrons could be injected into the conduction band of TiO$_2$. Thereby, those photo-induced electrons transported rapidly from the TiO$_2$ nanotube walls to the Ti substrate for high photocurrent generation. (Li et al., 2014b). As the precursor solution molarity was increased up to 15 mM, the $j_p$ relatively decreased, and reached a minimum $j_p$ of 1.27 mA/cm$^2$. This decrease might be ascribed to the higher amount of CdSe species loaded on TiO$_2$ nanotubes, which acted as recombination centers and resulted in a low quantum yield. As presented in previous section, the excess CdSe species deposited on the nanotube wall surfaces clogged on the open pore of the nanotubes [as shown in Figure 5.6 (d & e)]. Thus, increased the surface barrier and eventually formed recombination centers for charge carriers. Therefore, fine-tuning the CdSe content within the TiO$_2$ nanotubes is important to develop an efficient visible light-driven photocatalyst.

Based on literature, size and distribution of doping have significant influence on the PEC performance. These aggregated CdSe spheres definitely decrease the surface area of TiO$_2$ nanotubes consequently reduce sample’s photoresponse under light illumination (Su et al., 2014). Large CdSe spheres also might serve as recombination centers of the photogenerated holes and electrons by preventing injection of electrons into the TiO$_2$ nanotubes network as effectively as smaller sized CdSe nanoparticles (Yang et al., 2010a).
Figure 4.9: The j-V characteristic curves of CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked with different molarities of CdSe precursor: (a) 5 mM, (b) 3 mM, (c) 1 mM, (d) pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes, (e) 10 mM, and (f) 15 mM

4.3.2 Effect of soaking time in CdSe bath solution

In the present study, soaking duration for pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes film into CdSe bath solution is essential in determining the generation rate of the Cd complex and Se anions. In fact, CdSe bath solution could be obtained homogeneously by combining those Cd complex and Se anions into clusters. In this manner, those clusters might aggregate into desired nano-sized particle under an optimum duration. Therefore, optimization of the soaking duration during the chemical bath process for CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes film is crucial in improving the PEC water splitting performance.

4.3.2.1 Morphological and Elemental Analysis by FESEM-EDX

FESEM micrograph in Figure 4.10 represents the effect of soaking duration from 30 min to 10 h on the annealed self-organized TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Figure 4.10 (a) shows that the morphology of the nanotubes soaked for 30 min is almost similar to that of pure TiO$_2$
nanotubes with clear opening without any aggregated CdSe nanoparticle on the surface of nanotubes. Generally, the formation of CdSe precipitate from a solution involves the steps of nucleation and particle growth. Both of the processes take place between the reactions of slowly released metal ions and chalcogen ions in aqueous solution. There is some minimum number of both ions required in order to produce a stable phase called as nucleus. Nucleus form in solution will either undergo decomposition reverse to ionic form or in contact of particles and combine to grow up to a certain size of precipitate (Lokhande et al., 2005). This is called the concept of nucleation in solution. In the time of 30 min, it was insufficient for the nucleation process to form significant precipitate. As determined through EDX analysis, the average content of both Cd and Se for 30 min soaking duration are 0.46 and 0.39 at% respectively. At the soaking duration of 3 h [Figure 4.10 (b)], CdSe clusters formed and surrounds the pores entrance and thus results in the narrowing of nanotubes diameter to 50 nm. Besides, some CdSe spheres clogged on the pore entrance were observed and content of Cd and Se were approximately 2.85 and 2.69 at%. Further increased in soaking duration to 5 and 10 h, most of the nanotubes were covered by the precipitate and CdSe spheres were formed with the size of 230 nm and 300 nm respectively. The EDX result shows both the content of Cd and Se increase with the duration. At 5 h of soaking duration, average content of Cd and Se are 5.04 and 4.82 at% respectively. For 10 h soaking duration, the average elemental composition of Cd and Se are 8.89 and 8.25 at% respectively. These results indicate time dependent formation of CdSe precipitate on CdSe – TiO2 nanotubes. The average elemental compositions of the pure TiO2 and CdSe loaded TiO2 nanotubes at different soaking time based on the EDX spectra are summarized in Table 4.6.
Figure 4.10: FESEM images of CdSe loaded TiO\(_2\) nanotubes soaked for (a) 30 min, (b) 3, (c) 5, and (d) 10 h in 5 mM CdSe solution

Table 4.6: Average at\% of CdSe loaded TiO\(_2\) nanotubes soaked in 5mM CdSe solution at different soaking times obtained by EDX analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soaking Time (h)</th>
<th>Atomic percentage (at%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>37.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>32.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2.2 Phase Structure Analysis by XRD

XRD measurements were conducted to determine the crystal plane of hybrid CdSe loaded TiO\(_2\) nanotubes soaked for different durations in 5 mM Cd and Se precursor solution. The XRD spectra were shown in Figure 4.11. It shows similar result as our previous studies. Intense peaks from the XRD pattern at 25.37°, 38.67°, 48.21°, 54.10°, 55.26°, 62.66° and 68.74° are corresponding to (101), (112), (200), (105), (211), (204) and (116) crystal planes of anatase phase of TiO\(_2\) [JCPDS No 21-1272]. Ti substrate found with the diffraction peaks at 35.1°, 38.4°, 40.2° and 53.0°, which correspond to the crystal planes of (100), (002), (101) and (102) respectively [JCPDS No 44-1294]. Highest peak is contributed by overlapping the peak of anatase crystal plane (101) and (111) plane of...
cubic CdSe [JCPDS No 19-0191]. Increasing in the peak intensity was observed with increased of soaking duration from 3 h to 10 h at 25° indicating increased in crystallinity at the crystal plane (111) of cubic CdSe. Besides, a small weak characteristic diffraction peak of (311) planes of cubic zinc blended phase CdSe at 49.7° also appeared in the sample for 3, 5 and 10 h of soaking duration. The peak intensity was more obvious with the soaking duration, and indicates the abundancy of the CdSe crystal plane equivalent as the EDX analysis. From the XRD pattern, the sample soaked for 30 min shows no obvious cubic zinc-blended CdSe peaks due to lower content of CdSe (< 2 at% from EDX analysis). This is due to little amount of CdSe which gives insufficient response to detect by XRD analysis (Leghari et al., 2011).

Figure 4.11: XRD diffraction patterns of CdSe loaded TiO2 nanotubes soaked for (a) 30 min, (b) 3, (c) 5 and (d) 10 h in 5 mM CdSe solution [A = anatase, T = Ti metal, C = CdSe]
4.3.2.3 Chemical Structure Analysis by Raman Spectroscopy

Raman measurements were conducted to validate the crystal structure of the samples synthesized with different deposition durations. The Raman results are shown in Figure 4.12. Four bands at 144, 394, 515 and 636 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to the \(E_g\), \(B_{1g}\), \(A_{1g}\), and \(E_g\) vibration modes of anatase phase TiO\(_2\) respectively (Bhirud et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2012). A gradual decreased in the intensity of the four anatase bands was observed with increased deposition duration. It was due to the increase of the CdSe content as shown in EDX analysis (Table 6) as solid phase precipitate covered on the surface of TiO\(_2\) nanotubes substrate. Hence, this gradually reduces the signal received in the Raman analysis. Furthermore, a Raman band at 206 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the first order cubic CdSe longitudinal optical phonon (LO) was observed at the raman spectra of the deposition duration 3, 5 and 10 h. This further confirmed the presence of cubic CdSe phase in XRD measurement with the trend shown by EDX elemental analysis discussed above.

![Figure 4.12: Raman spectrum of CdSe loaded TiO\(_2\) nanotubes soaked for (a) 30 min, (b) 3, (c) 5, and (d) 10 h in 5 mM CdSe solution](image-url)
4.3.2.4 PEC water splitting performance evaluation

A \( j_p-V \) characteristic plot for the different deposition durations of the CdSe-TiO\(_2\) nanotubes in 5 mM CdSe precursor is shown in Figure 4.13. All samples showed approximately 0 mA in dark condition whereas photocurrent responses were observed with solar illumination for the whole set of samples. A maximum \( j_p \) of 1.58 mA/cm\(^2\) was observed in the CdSe-TiO\(_2\) nanotubes subjected to 30 min soaking time in precursor solution, which was slightly higher compared with that of the pure TiO\(_2\) nanotubes (1.45 mA/cm\(^2\)). The CdSe-TiO\(_2\) nanotubes subjected to 3, 5, and 10 h soaking times exhibited decreased \( j_p \) of approximately 1.22, 0.79 and 0.35 mA/cm\(^2\), respectively. These results clearly demonstrate the significant effects of different soaking duration on the TiO\(_2\) nanotubes towards the PEC performances. The observed changes in the surface morphology and structure of CdSe-TiO\(_2\) nanostructure led to essential variations in the electrochemically active surface area. At the soaking durations 3, 5 and 10 h, over accumulation of CdSe cluster by forming spherical structure cause deceased in the electrochemical active surface area, and further hindered transferring of photo-induced charge carriers (Su et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2010a).
4.3.3 Effect of deposition temperature

Deposition temperature plays an important role in manipulating the thermal dislocation of the Cd complex and Se anion by control the rate of release of selenium ions from sodium selenosulphate leads to growth of cluster. Hence, CBD of CdSe onto TiO$_2$ nanotubes substrate was performed in bath solution with 5 mM of Cd and Se precursor for 1 hour.

4.3.3.1 Morphological and Elemental Analysis by FESEM-EDX

FESEM was employed to investigate the surface morphology of high aspect ratio annealed TiO$_2$ nanotubes deposited at different deposition temperature for 1 h in 5mM of CdSe precursor solution. EDX was also used to determinet the content of each element in atomic percentage. Figure 4.14 (a) shows the FESEM image of the sample deposited at 20 °C. It gives a similar morphology with un-doped sample [Figure 4.1 (e)]. However, as
the deposition temperature increased to 40 °C, significant precipitate coagulated at the wall of the nanotubes was observed and also some CdSe sphere clogged the pore entrance [Figure 4.14(b)]. Additional negative effects was observed at the nanotubular structure when the deposition temperature was further increased to 50 °C and 60 °C shown in Figure 4.14 (c) and (d). Bigger CdSe precipitate clogged the pore entrance with the average diameter of 145nm and 220nm at the bath of 50 °C and 60 °C respectively. The content of CdSe in the sample increases with the deposition temperature (Table 4.7). The larger CdSe precipitates were obtained with increased temperature of the chemical bath and were due to the phenomenon of coalescence. Hence, the higher bath temperature results to bigger particles (Deshpande et al., 2013; Hatam & Ghobadi, 2016). The average elemental compositions of the pure TiO₂ and CdSe loaded TiO₂ nanotubes at different deposition temperature based on the EDX spectra are summarized in Table 4.7.

![Figure 4.14: FESEM images of pure TiO₂ nanotubes soaked at temperature (a) 20 °C, (b) 40 °C, (c) 50 °C, and (d) 60 °C in 5 mM CdSe solution](image-url)
Table 4.7: Average at% of CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked in 5mM CdSe solution at different temperature obtained by EDX analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Atomic percentage (at%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>39.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>38.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>36.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.2 Phase Structure Analysis by XRD

The crystal structure phases of hybrid CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes at different deposition temperatures have been identified using XRD measurement. Literature review shows that CdSe can be either hexagonal (wurzite) [JCPDS No 8-459], cubic (zinc-blende) [JCPDS No 19-0191] or sometimes mixture of both structure (Deshpande et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013). The CdSe precipitate deposited on TiO$_2$ nanotubes were in the pure cubic phase with the zinc-blended type of structure according to the XRD analysis shown in Figure 4.15. The highest intensity reflection peak was observed and composed of two crystal planes which are (111) from cubic CdSe and (101) from anatase TiO$_2$. Both of the peaks appearing at $2\theta = 25.37^\circ$ and $25.35^\circ$ respectively according to the standard data of JCPDS. Besides, there was a small diffraction peaks of CdSe can be vaguely observed at $49.7^\circ$ respect to the crystal plane (311). But beyond that, other peaks at $38.67^\circ$, $48.21^\circ$, $54.10^\circ$, $55.26^\circ$, $62.66^\circ$ and $68.74^\circ$ are corresponding to (112), (200), (105), (211), (204) and (116) crystal planes of anatase phase of TiO$_2$ [JCPDS No 21-1272]. Ti substrate found with the diffraction peaks at $35.1^\circ$, $38.4^\circ$, $40.2^\circ$ and $53.0^\circ$, which correspond to the crystal planes of (100), (002), (101) and (102) respectively [JCPDS No 44-1294].
Figure 4.15: XRD diffraction patterns of CdSe loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked at temperature (a) 20 °C, (b) 40 °C, (c) 50 °C, and (d) 60 °C in 5 mM CdSe bath solution for 1 h. [A = anatase, T = Ti metal, C = CdSe]

4.3.3.3 Chemical Structure Analysis by Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectra in Figure 4.16 represent CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes deposited from the temperature of 20 °C to 60 °C. A total of five Raman bands were observed. Characteristic bands of anatase phase of TiO$_2$ assigned as E$_g$, B$_{1g}$, A$_{1g}$, and E$_g$ are the four modes corresponding to the bands at 144, 394, 515 and 636 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. Characteristic band of Cubic CdSe [first order cubic CdSe longitudinal optical phonon (LO)] was found at 206 cm$^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum with the samples undergo deposition at 40 °C or above. Sign of gradually increase in the band intensity at 206 cm$^{-1}$ with the increase of deposition temperature can be explain by more cubic CdSe precipitate formed quantitatively. It was equivalent with the results shown in XRD and EDX analysis discussed above.
Figure 4.16: Raman spectrum of CdSe loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes soaked at temperature (a) 20 °C, (b) 40 °C, (c) 50 °C, and (d) 60 °C in 5 mM CdSe solution for 1 h

4.3.3.4 PEC water splitting performance evaluation

In this part of the experiment, CdSe-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes synthesized in 5 mM CdSe precursor solution at different deposit temperature for 1h were used as photoelectrode in three electrode PEC water splitting evaluation. The corresponding experimental results were presented in Figure 4.17. A maximum $j_\text{p}$ of 1.63 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} was observed in the CdSe-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes subjected to 20 °C soaking temperature in CdSe solution, which was relatively higher compared with that of the pure TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes (1.45 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}). The CdSe-TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes which were subjected to 40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C soaking condition exhibited decreasing in $j_\text{p}$ by approximately 1.13, 0.8, and 0.52 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}, respectively. This was due to the extra thermal energy supplied to the system at higher temperature, CdSe nucleus tend to coalesce together and growth into large spherical CdSe particle (150 – 220 nm) clogged at the wall and pores of nanotubes to reduce the high surface energy
(Kale & Lokhande, 2005). The FESEM figure above shows the remarkable change in surface morphology.

![FESEM figure showing remarkable change in surface morphology](image)

**Figure 4.17:** The $j_p$-$V$ characteristic curves of CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes soaked at different temperature of CdSe precursor: a) 20 °C, b) pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes, c) 40 °C, d) 50 °C and e) 60 °C

**4.4 Chemical State Analysis by XPS**

The Quantitative analysis of the electronic structures and chemical properties of different elements in samples were analyzed by XPS analysis. The best sample based on performance of photocurrent density of CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes and pure annealed TiO$_2$ nanotubes was selected for the XPS analysis. The survey spectrum (Figure 4.18) indicates the presence of C, Ti, O, Cd, and Se peaks within the best PEC performance CdSe-TiO$_2$ sample. This also indicates that the CdSe was successfully loaded onto the nanotubes. The XPS results also further confirmed the EDX elemental identification analysis. Figure 4.19 to 4.23 shows the narrow scan for each element.
Figure 4.18: XPS survey spectra of CdSe–TiO$_2$ nanotube and undoped pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes

As shown in Figure 4.19, Ti 2p XPS spectrum of both samples shows the same two distinct peaks located at the binding energy (BE) of 458.5 and 464.5 eV. Both of the two peaks were associated with the energy of Ti 2p$_{3/2}$ and Ti 2p$_{1/2}$, respectively. It was in good agreement with Ti in the form of Ti$^{4+}$ oxidation state (Asahi & Morikawa, 2007). It also proved that the loading of CdSe was less likely to affect the Ti chemical state of the modified TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays.
Figure 4.19: XPS spectra of Ti 2p
Furthermore, the O 1s XPS spectrum of modified CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes and pure TiO₂ nanotube arrays was illustrated in Figure 4.20. From the figure, peak with the binding energy of 529.90 eV and 530.10 eV corresponding to the O 1s core levels of O²⁻ of TiO₂ crystal structure. Another form of oxygen that absorbed on the surface of TiO₂ surface (-OH) gives the small peak with the binding energy 530.97 eV and 531.68 eV. A positive shift of 0.2 eV and 0.71 eV compared to that at 529.90 eV and 530.97 eV of the pure TiO₂ nanotubes after loading CdSe nanoparticles. Such a positive shift of the O1s binding energy of in the hybrid CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes confirmed the formation of a strong combination force between Cd from CdSe and the TiO₂ on the surface of TiO₂ nanotube arrays. This strong interaction could be served as the heterojunctions for accelerating electron transfer from CdSe (Wang et al., 2016).
Figure 4.20: XPS spectra of O 1s
In Figure 4.21, one of the C1s peaks located at 284.8 eV was the pollution peak of organic carbon which may be due to the residual carbon from the precursor solution and adventitious hydrocarbon from the XPS instrument itself (Seah, 2001). The peaks at 286.0–289.3 eV indicate that a small amount of carbonate species was present on the surface. It was ascribed to the Ti–C–O bonds of the carbonate species originating from the residual C of the organic ethylene glycol electrolyte and the C atom was diffused into the TiO$_2$ crystal structure during the annealing process or pyrogenation of EG. Thus, heat treatment plays an important role to form Ti–C–O bonds (Lai & Sreekantan, 2012).
Figure 4.21: XPS spectra of C1s
Two strong characteristic peaks feature of Cd 3d XPS spectra were illustrated in Figure 4.22. Precise analysis on the features of the Cd 3d$_{5/2}$ and Cd 3d$_{3/2}$ double peaks splits by the spin-orbital coupling was decomposed via Voigt curve fitting function within the Shirley background. The decomposition resulted into a perfect fit of four peaks where two belongs to Cd 3d$_{5/2}$ located at 405.13 and 404.59 eV whereas another two corresponds to Cd 3d$_{3/2}$ with the binding energy of 411.98 and 411.40 eV. The two peaks were located at the binding energy of 405.13 and 411.98 eV and corresponded to the Cd 3d$_{5/2}$ and Cd 3d$_{3/2}$ core levels of Cd$^{2+}$ cations of CdSe crystal structure, respectively (Pawar et al., 2013). Additional peaks observed within 405.13 and 411.98 eV with less intensity shows weak shifting in peak positions. Oxidation of Cd in CdSe indicates CdO formation and was reported with a weak shift of Cd 3d$_{5/2}$ and Cd 3d$_{3/2}$ core levels (Masson et al., 1997; Vargas - Hernández et al., 2005). Hence, the lower intensity of the peaks at the bonding energy of 404.59 and 411.40 eV verified the existence of a very small amount of CdO in the sample fabricated via CBD technique.
Figure 4.22: XPS spectra of Cd 3d
Similarly, Figure 4.23 shows compound peak feature of the Se (3d) spectra for the CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes samples, suggesting the presence of element ‘Se’. The characteristic peak of Se 3d$_{3/2}$ was located at 54.46 eV, indicating that the Se element was in the state of Se$^{2-}$ (Liang et al., 2012; Song et al., 2013). No extra peak was observed in the XPS spectra of Se (3d), which point out no formation of SeO$_x$ during synthesis. This clearly indicates that as-synthesized CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes consisted of only the elements Se of CdSe, not of its oxides. As shown in both Figure 4.22 and 4.23, pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes sample did not exhibit any peak of Cd and Se element from the XPS spectra. These results suggested that CdSe nanocrystal were deposited on the wall surface of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Therefore, XPS spectra of CdSe modified TiO$_2$ nanotubes demonstrate that CdSe has already been incorporated onto TiO$_2$ nanotubes successfully through CBD technique.
Figure 4.23: XPS spectra of Se 3d
4.5 Optical Properties Analysis by UV-vis DRS

The UV-vis absorption spectra of pure TiO$_2$ and the best PEC performance CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes are presented in Figure 4.24. Both the CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes showed excellent absorption performance in the UV range. Furthermore, in the whole UV-vis light region, hybrid CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes exhibit higher light absorbance than pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes and showed a red shift in the absorption edges.

![Absorption spectra of pure TiO$_2$ and CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes](image)

**Figure 4.24:** Absorption patterns of pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes and CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes deposited in 5 mM CdSe precursor solution for 1 h at room temperature

The band gap energy values of coupled CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes were estimated through Kubelka-Munk function of the plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus $h\nu$. As shown in Figures 4.25 (a) and (b), band gap value of pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes and CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes were estimated to be 2.74 eV and 2.60 eV respectively. Band gap value of pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes obtained was significantly lower than typical band gap value of TiO$_2$ (3.2 eV). This is attributed to the presence of carbon species within the TiO$_2$ nanotubes which
significantly enhanced the visible light responsiveness of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The band gap energy of TiO$_2$ was narrowed down by the mixing of the delocalized p state of the carbon species with the 2p orbital of the oxygen species in the valence band of TiO$_2$ which shifts the valence band edge of TiO$_2$ upwards (Lai & Sreekantan, 2013). The band energy value of CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes decreases progressively to 2.60 eV and shows that the deposition of CdSe influences the band energy value of pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes which leads to enhance light harvesting.
Figure 4.25: Plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus $h\nu$ employed to calculate the band gap value of (a) pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes and (b) CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes deposited in 5 mM CdSe precursor solution for 1 h at room temperature.
4.6 Carrier Recombination Analysis by Photoluminescence Spectroscopy

The photoluminescence, PL emission spectra has been widely used to obtain information on the efficiency of charge carrier, trapping immigration, and transfer (Li et al., 2001). By evaluating through the change in the PL intensity emitted from a material, the recombination of charge carriers can be determined. The recombination, also known as dissipation of energy of electrons in semiconductor to the valence band. Hence, the best CdSe deposited sample was selected for PL analysis and the results were compared with the pure TiO$_2$ sample to investigate the effect of CdSe doping on the optical properties of the nanotubes.

Figure 4.26 presents the PL spectra of CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The higher PL emission intensity corresponds to pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes whereas CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes depicted lower PL intensity. Since the PL intensity of a material can be related to the relative amount of recombination rates, we can deduce that the CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes has lower recombination rate than pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes (Lopez-Luke et al., 2008). Such a variation in the PL intensity may be due to the existing of CdSe. Thus, this suppressed the recombination of the photogenerated carriers and increased charge separation via its higher conduction band (CB) edge than that of TiO$_2$. Type II band alignment was formed with TiO$_2$, allowing effective injection of photogenerated electrons from CdSe to TiO$_2$ (Su et al., 2014).
4.7 PEC water splitting performance

The evolution rate of H\textsubscript{2} gas generated from the water-splitting process was evaluated. The H\textsubscript{2} evolution as a function of time is shown in Figure 4.27. H\textsubscript{2} generation rate increased linearly with increasing exposure time. The sample subjected to 1 h of soaking time in 5 mM CdSe precursor achieved a maximum evolution of 9.6 ml, which was relatively higher compared with pure TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes (7.1ml). Recorded rate of hydrogen generation for CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} and pure TiO\textsubscript{2} (Table 4.8) are 3.2 and 2.78 mL/cm\textsuperscript{2}h\textsuperscript{1} respectively. The photocurrent efficiency, \(\eta\) also has been conducted to estimate the correlation of light absorption quantitatively. Based on the Figure 4.28, the \(\eta\) curve of CdSe-TiO\textsubscript{2} gives the highest \(\eta\) of about 1.9\% which compare with \(\eta\) of pure TiO\textsubscript{2} is about 1.11\%. Sufficient amount of CdSe nanocluster deposited on the surface of TiO\textsubscript{2} can improve both the light absorption and contact degree with the solution. The excited

![PL curve of the best PEC performance CdSe-loaded TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes and pure TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes](image-url)
electrons from the CdSe can quickly transfer to the TiO$_2$ nanotubes, reducing the recombination of electrons and holes (Su et al., 2014). Therefore, the binary system of the CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes exhibited a better charge carrier transfer, thus generating more H$_2$ gases at Pt electrode. This result was in line with the photocurrent density. The H$_2$ production completely stopped after the termination of light irradiation. This observation was a clear indication that H$_2$ is only produced photocatalytically. A constant production rate of H$_2$ gas was found in the present study.

![Graph showing H$_2$ evolution under light illumination of hybrid CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes for 60 min](image)

**Figure 4.27:** H$_2$ evolution under light illumination of hybrid CdSe-TiO$_2$ nanotubes and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes for 60 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rate of hydrogen evolved (mL/cm$^2$h$^1$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure TiO$_2$</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.8** Hydrogen generation rate of both CdSe-TiO$_2$ and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes samples
Figure 4.28: The η curves of CdSe-TiO$_2$ and pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes in 1M KOH solution under illumination.
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION

5.1 Conclusion

This research work was dedicated to fabricate hybrid CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes for the application of solar-driven PEC water splitting process. In short, the objectives of the experiments were achieved. First, rapid formation of highly ordered CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays film was successfully synthesized through two simple stages, electrochemical anodization and chemical bath deposition techniques. Second, comprehensive study was conducted to study the physical, chemical, and functional properties of hybrid CdSe–loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes film as compared to pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Lastly, the photocatalysed water splitting hydrogen generation system under solar illumination was subsequently demonstrated.

In the first stage of research study, the optimized parameters of electrochemical anodization were determined to anodize Ti foil which leads to highly ordered and smooth TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The conclusions attained from this research work are elaborated as follow:

- Formation of highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays film (aspect ratio of ~60) was achieved by electrochemical anodization technique in ethylene glycol electrolyte containing H$_2$O$_2$ and NH$_4$F at 40 V for 60 min. In the present study, addition of 5 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ in the electrolyte functioned as oxygen provider to increase the oxidation rate for growing the nanotubular structure. It was found that high formation rate of anodic nanotubular was within 60 min of electrochemical anodization time. This was due to the presence of the powerful oxidant, H$_2$O$_2$ which further decomposed into •OH and HO$_2$• radicals with pronounced chemical and field-assisted dissolution at the bottom. Meanwhile, 0.3 wt% NH$_4$F content was found to influence the chemical dissolution rate or etching rate
during electrochemical anodization stage, thus modifying the final morphological of TiO$_2$ nanotubes film significantly. In addition, as for the optimum applied potential of 40 V, nanotubes with greater length and pore sizes were produced. This resulted from the equalization of chemical dissolution rate plus electric field-assisted oxidation and dissolution rate for ionic transport through the barrier layer of TiO$_2$.

In the second stage, considerable effort has been conducted to further enhanced the transportation of charge carriers and minimize the recombination possess by loading optimum content of CdSe dopants. It is also important to control the deposition molarity, time and temperature during the chemical bath deposition stage. The efficiency of PEC water splitting hydrogen generation using CdSe-loaded TiO$_2$ nanotubes film was also compared to pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes film. The conclusions attained in this research work are elaborated as follows:

- Based on the results obtained, pure TiO$_2$ nanotubes film bathed in a 5 mM CdSe precursor solution extensively covered by approximately 1 at% CdSe exhibited the highest $j_p$ of 2.50 mA/cm$^2$ among the samples. However, excessive deposition ($\geq$5 mM) was neither negatively affected by the self-organized nanotubes nor decreased in $j_p$. This condition inferred that higher ionic product (Cd and Se ions) and lower solubility product (CdSe solid phase) will cause the rapid ion-by-ion condensation or adsorption of colloidal particles clogged the opening pore’s mouth of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Thus, an improvement in the photoresponse was observed when optimum amount (~ 1 at%) of the CdSe was deposited on TiO$_2$ nanotubes film. Large extinction coefficient of CdSe strongly absorbs visible light, inject electrons into the conduction band of metal oxides further increases in solar energy conversion.
• In the present study, soaking duration played an essential role based on the concept of nucleation in solution, which relies on the rate of release of the Cd and Se ions. The \( j_p \) obtained from the sample soaked for 30 min was slightly higher compared with pure TiO\(_2\) nanotubes (1.46 mA/cm\(^2\)). In 30 min of deposition duration, it is insufficient for nucleation process to form significant precipitate. Besides that, prolonged soaking duration up to 3 hours or more leads to the accumulation of CdSe cluster which formed spherical structure on the pore entrance deceasing in electrochemical active surface area by hindered transferring of photo-induced charge carriers resulting smaller \( j_p \) than pure TiO\(_2\) nanotubes.

• Deposition temperature played an important role in altering the thermal dislocation of the Cd complexes and Se anions through manipulate the release rate of selenium ions from sodium selenosulphate leads to growth of CdSe clusters. At the temperature of 20 °C, similar morphology with pure TiO\(_2\) nanotubes was obtained whereas deposition temperature increased to 40 °C, 50 °C and 60 °C negatively affected the nanotubular structure. Photocurrent response, \( j_p \) of 1.63 mA/cm\(^2\) was observed subjected to 20 °C soaking temperature. However, CdSe-TiO\(_2\) nanotubes subjected to 40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C soaking condition exhibited decreased \( j_p \). Extra thermal energy has supplied to the system at higher temperature, CdSe nucleus tend to coalesce and reduce the high surface energy.
5.2 Suggestions and Recommendations

In order to further develop the photocatalysis PEC water splitting hydrogen generation technologies, several recommendations for future studies were proposed as follows:

- To optimize the properties of the hybrid nanotubes by investigating the effect of pH to reduce the secondary pollution caused by ammonium solution and precisely control the embedded size of CdSe nanocluster.

- In order to comprehensively understand the charge dynamics within TiO₂ nanotubes and CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes, detail analysis need to be done by using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and Mott-Schottky analysis.

- Tenary hybrid technology, which is the incorporation of additional types of photocatalyst (e.g., graphene, MoS₂, GaP) into CdSe-TiO₂ nanotubes are believed as a potential approach to further improve the surface charge carriers transfer rate and minimize the recombination rate.
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