THE DIAGNOSIS OF BLASTOCYSTIS SP. FROM ANIMALS — AN EMERGING ZOONOSIS
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ABSTRACT. A total of 302 faecal specimens from animals of various species including poultry, ruminants, mammals, swine, primates, companion animals, wild animals, and laboratory animals were examined for the presence of Blastocystis sp. These anaerobic parasites which are environmentally resistant were found in 104 specimens (34.44%), that is, from ostriches, pigs, ruminants and non-human primates whereas samples from other animals were completely free of the organism. There is a need to assess the impact of these infections on the productivity of animals and its importance in human infections.
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INTRODUCTION

Blastocystis sp. is an anaerobic enteric protozoan organism of the intestinal tract of a range of animals, including humans which can be symptomatic or asymptomatic (Boreham and Stenzel, 1993). Blastocystis sp. is a polymorphic organism and the four common forms are the vacoular, granular, amoeboi d and cysts forms (Stenzel and Boreham, 1996). Among all the forms, the fecal cyst is the only environmentally resistant transmissible form (Leelayoova et al., 2002).

It is generally assumed that Blastocystis sp. is transmitted by fecal-oral route in a manner similar to other gastrointestinal protozoan but this has not been confirmed experimentally (Nimri et al., 1994; Lambert M. et al., 1992). On the other hand, the clinical signs and symptoms of Blastocystis sp. are non-specific and associated with gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhoea, abdominal pain, vomiting, anorexia and flatulence. However, more pathogenic symptoms such as intestinal inflammation, altered bowel habits, lethargy, chronic diarrhea and death were observed in animals with severe infection (Boreham and Stenzel, 1993; Stenzel and Boreham, 1996; Tan et al., 2002).

Based on the previous publications, Blastocystis hominis-like organisms have been detected in a wide range of
animals including non-human primates, mammalian, avian, amphibians and reptilian hosts and less frequently in rats, reptiles and insects (Yamada et al., 1987; Teow et al., 1991; Zaman et al., 1993; Quilez et al., 1995a, 1995b; Stenzel and Boreham, 1996; Konig and Muller, 1997; Chen et al., 1997a; Yoshikawa et al., 1998a; Belova and Krylov, 1998; Lee and Stenzel, 1999; Abe et al., 2002 and Yoshikawa et al., 2004b). Many publications have highlighted the high prevalence of Blastocystis sp. in various animal hosts (Abe et al., 2002; Tan et al., 2004; Noel et al., 2005; Stensvold et al., 2009 and Parkar et al., 2010). In Malaysia, (Lim Y.A.L. et al., 2008) have reported on the low occurrence of Blastocystis sp. among the animals at a zoo however, Blastocystis infection was reported in five different farms in Peninsular Malaysia with 73 (30.9%) of 236 goats examined were positive for Blastocystis sp. recently (Tan et al., 2013).

According to (Doyle et al., 1990), patients who come into close contact with pets or farm animals are more prone to this infection which suggests the possibility of human-animal cross-infectivity. Furthermore, experimental cross-transmission has been achieved in chickens with Blastocystis sp. from domestic chickens, quails and domestic geese and in guinea pigs (Phillips et al., 1976) and rats (Suresh et al., 1995) with Blastocystis sp. from humans which indicates that Blastocystis sp. exhibits low host specificity.

To date, very limited studies have been conducted on the prevalence of Blastocystis infection among various animal hosts in Malaysia. Therefore, this study attempts to determine the occurrence of Blastocystis infection among domestic, pet and zoo animals which could be a reservoir for human infection when in close association. The present study is the first report on the presence of Blastocystis infection among various animal species in Perak.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study animals

A total of three hundred and two (n=302) faecal samples of different animal species were collected from various government and private establishments which are located in Perak state commencing from January 2012 to October 2012. The study animals consist of avian, ruminants, mammals, swine, primates, wild animals, and laboratory animals. All samples were registered as Veterinary Research Institute specimens for routine diagnosis as they were submitted by parasitology veterinary staffs from state DVS, farmers and collected for screening by laboratory staffs.

Sample collection

For avian, ruminants, mammals, swine, primates, wild animals and laboratory animals, sampling feces off the ground was
conducted whereas samples from smaller ruminant animals such as goats and sheeps were collected per rectum of animal. However, rectal swabs were used for sampling companion animals such as dogs and cats which contain a smaller amount of feces. The fresh fecal samples were stored in stool collection container and were processed as soon after collection.

Screening for Blastocystis sp.

Fecal samples were collected and examined for Blastocystis sp. by wet preparation under light microscopy. Every specimen were then cultivated for Blastocystis sp. using Jones’ medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum and incubated at 37°C. (Suresh et al., 1997). Subsequently after isolation, the parasites were maintained in Jones’ medium by consecutive subcultures every 2 to 3 days.

RESULTS

Prevalence of Blastocystis sp. in animals

As shown in Table 1, a total of 104 out of 302 animals (34.44%) were found positive for Blastocystis sp. from fecal examination. High prevalence of Blastocystis infection were observed in the ruminant livestock group; that is 34.5% (10/29) in cattle, 28.6% (4/14) in deers, 30% (3/10) in gaurs, 65% (13/20) in goats and 57.9% (22/38) in sheeps. In contrast, all the dogs and cats from the animal clinic were negative for Blastocystis infection. Meanwhile, avian host such as ostriches were 100% (37/37) positive for Blastocystis sp. All wildlife specimens including black panther, lion, tiger, elephants, tapir, camel, terrapins and wild birds were completely free from the organism.

In mammals, the prevalence rate of the organism varied, 50% (5/10) of orang utan and 100% (10/10) of pigs were positive for Blastocystis sp., while in horses and chimpanzee, the organism was undetectable. Additionally, specimens from the laboratory animals such as mice, rats, guinea pigs and rabbits were found to be negative.

Light microscopic observation

After subsequent subculturing, the vacuolar forms were predominant in the cultures of all the animal isolate. The size of the forms varied from 15-30 µm in diameter. The range in size of the vacuolar forms did not differ among isolates from different animal hosts. Granular and amoeboid forms were rarely seen in the cultures. The average size range of granular forms was 5 to 50 µm in diameter. Binary fission was frequently observed in the cultures.

DISCUSSION

Many epidemiological studies on other intestinal parasites have been conducted in a variety of animals but only two reports on Blastocystis infection involving domestic animals such as goats, gaur,
Table 1: Prevalence of *Blastocystis* sp. in various animal hosts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of animals (Scientific names)</th>
<th>Numbers detected positive/examined</th>
<th>Percentage detected positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black Panther (<em>Panthera onca</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camel (<em>Camelus carmelopardalis</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat (<em>Felis catus</em>)</td>
<td>0/24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle (<em>Bos taurus</em>)</td>
<td>10/29</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimpanzee (<em>Pan troglodytes</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer (<em>Rusa unicolor</em>)</td>
<td>4/14</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog (<em>Canis lupus</em>)</td>
<td>0/32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant (<em>Elephas maximus</em>)</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaur (<em>Bos gaurus hubbacki</em>)</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat (<em>Capra aegagrus</em>)</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse (<em>Equus ferus</em>)</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory animal</td>
<td>0/32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lion (<em>Panthera leo</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orang utan (<em>Pongo pygmaeus</em>)</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich (<em>Struthio camelus</em>)</td>
<td>37/37</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig (<em>Sus domesticus</em>)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep (<em>Ovis aries</em>)</td>
<td>22/38</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapir (<em>Tapirus indicus</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger (<em>Panthera tigris</em>)</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrapin (<em>Batagur borneoensis</em>)</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild bird</td>
<td>0/30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>104/302</strong></td>
<td><strong>34.44</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cattle, and deer have been completed in Malaysia (Lim Y.A.L et al., 2008 and Tan et al., 2013). In the present study, moderate infection were observed in the ruminant live stock group which includes cattle (34.5%), deer (28.6%), gaurs (30%), goats (65%) and sheeps (57.9%) which was similar to the European countries (Quilez et al., 1995a and Pakandl., 1991) and Japan (Abe et al., 2002).

In our study, high prevalence was observed in the ostriches (100%) and pigs (100%) which were consistent with the studies in ostriches using samples from Spain (Ponce G.F. et al., 2002) and pigs from Japan (Abe et al., 2002). High infection in these animals might be due to housing condition where ostriches are reared in an open space pens which are easily exposed to birds and pigs are housed together or caged close to other animals.
**Figure 1A.** Light microscopical images of *Blastocystis* sp. from fecal culture (40x magnification); VF, vacuolar.

**Figure 1B.** Light microscopical images of *Blastocystis* sp. from fecal culture (40x magnification); GF, granular form.
As for the primates, 50% of the orang utan were positive for Blastocystis infection, however the chimpanzee was negative. These occurrence are relatively moderate, while it was reported to be high among the primates in Japan with 85% of them were positive for this organism (Abe et al., 2002). It was speculated that Blastocystis infection had been transmitted by contact with neighbouring primates since some of the primates are housed together. Meanwhile, the chimpanzee sample obtained from a zoo was negative possibly because the primates in the zoo are housed individually.

In the present study, the absence of this organism in companion animals was similar to the studies in dogs and cats conducted in Germany and Japan (Konig and Muller, 1997; and Abe et al., 2002). The cats and dogs sampled were not strays and had owners. They were kept in as domesticated pets within house premises. Thus this could explain the absence of Blastocystis sp. in these animals. In addition, horses and laboratory animals were also negative for Blastocystis infection in this study and similar findings were reported in Australia (Roberts T. et al., 2013). However, it has been reported that 60-70% of the laboratory rats were

**Figure 1C.** Light microscopical images of Blastocystis sp. from fecal culture (40x magnification); BF, binary fission.
found to be positive for *Blastocystis* sp. whereas all the mice, rabbits and hamsters were negative (Chen *et al.*, 1997) due to management where rabbits stools drop to the tray meanwhile the rats stay in the same bedding.

All the wildlife specimens in our study including black panther, lion, tiger, elephants, tapir, camel, terrapins and wild birds were completely free from Blastocystis infection. These results are consistent with the study conducted in Germany and Japan (Konig and Muller, 1997 and Abe *et al.*, 2002). However, a study conducted among the circus animals in Australia (Stenzel *et al.*, 1993) reported that lion and camel were found to be positive for *Blastocystis* sp. In this study, most of the wildlife animals are housed separately or within their groups which probably reduce the chances of cross-transmission.

**CONCLUSION**

This study highlighted the importance of monitoring Blastocystis infection among domestic, pet and zoo animals which are in close contact with humans and could be a reservoir for human infection. Further molecular studies need to be conducted in order to have a better understanding on the reservoir hosts and origin of Blastocystis infection among animals. As Blastocystis infection is fast becoming a common feature in humans, there is a need to screen animals and maintain good hygiene during processing of meat and meat products to eliminate the risk of infection to humans. The present study warrants that animal handlers need to be educated on personal hygiene, such as wearing of a mask and gloves to eliminate the risk of Blastocystis infection to humans.
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