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ABSTRACT

Polythiophene, poly(3-hexylthiophene), poly(N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine) and poly(phenyl-(4-((6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine) were successfully coated on the surface of Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (MNP). Two of the functionalized monomers, N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine and phenyl(4-((6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine were successfully synthesized. Characterization by FT-IR, TGA, XRD, VSM and BET confirmed the coating has taken place. Further characterization of MNP coated poly(phenyl-(4-((6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine) (P3TArH) was conducted using TEM and FESEM. Among those nanocomposites, MNP coated P3TArH has shown higher determination capabilities of phthalates. The adsorption behaviour of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) onto the MNP coated P3TArH showed fast kinetics, occurred heterogenously on the adsorption sites and exothermic. The activation energy determined found was -40.6 kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, indicated the process is physisorption. The successful synthesised magnetic nanoparticles were further optimized for magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) of environmental samples. Separation and determination of the extracted phthalates namely dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), dipropyl phthalate (DPP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP), dicyclohexyl phthalate (DCP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and di-n-octyl phthalate (DNOP) were conducted by gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID). The best working conditions were as follows; sample at pH 7, 30 min extraction time, ethyl acetate as elution solvent, 500 µL elution solvent volumes, 10 min desorption time, 10 mg adsorbent dosage, 20 mL sample loading volume and 15 g L⁻¹ concentration of NaCl. Under the optimized conditions, the analytical performances were determined with linear range
of 0.1-50 µg L\(^{-1}\) and limit of detection at 0.054-0.468 µg L\(^{-1}\) for all the studied analytes. The intra-day (n=7) and inter-day (n=3) relative standard deviations, RSD (%) of three replicates each demonstrated in the range of 3.7-4.9 and 3.0-5.0 respectively. The steadiness and reusability studies suggested that the MNP@P3TArH could be used up to five cycles. The proposed method was executed to analysis of real water samples namely commercial bottled mineral waters and fresh milk and recoveries in the range of 68-101 % and relative standard deviation (RSD %) lower than 7.7 % were attained.
ABSTRAK

Politiofena, poli(3-hexiltiofena), poli(N-fenil-1-(2-tienil)metanimina) dan poli(fenil-[4-(6-tiofena-3-il-heksilloksi)-benzilidina]amina) telah berjaya disalut pada permukaan nanopartikel magnet Fe$_3$O$_4$ (MNP). Dua daripada pemfungsian monomer, N-fenil-1-(2-tienil)metanimina dan fenil-[4-(6-tiofena-3-il-heksilloksi)-benzilidina]amina telah berjaya disintesis. Analisis oleh FT-IR, TGA, XRD, VSM dan BET mengesahkan proses salutan telah berlaku. Analisis lanjut MNP bersalut poli(fenil-[4-(6-tiofena-3-il-heksilloksi)-benzilidina]-amina) (P3TArH) dilakukan menggunakan TEM dan FESEM. Antara semua nanokomposit, MNP bersalut P3TArH mempunyai keupayaan yang lebih tinggi dalam mengesahkan kehadiran ftalat. Kelakuan penjerapan di(2-etilhexil) ftalat (DEHP) ke MNP bersalut P3TArH menunjukkan kinetik yang cepat, berlaku secara heterogen pada tapak penjerapan dan eksotermik. Tenaga pengaktifan ditentukan adalah -40.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, menunjukkan proses penjerapan ini adalah penjerapan fizikal. Nanokomposit yang berjaya disintesis juga telah dioptimumkan untuk magnet pengekstrakan fasa pepejal (MSPE) sampel alam sekitar. Pemisahan dan penentuan ftalat diekstrak iaitu dimetil ftalat (DMF), dietil ftalat (DEP), dipropil ftalat (DPP), dibutil ftalat (DBP), butil benzil ftalat (BBP), disiklohexil ftalat (DCP), di(2-etilhexil) ftalat (DEHP) dan di-n-oktil ftalat (DNOP) telah dijalankan oleh gas kromatografi pengesan api pengionan (GC-FID). Hasil yang terbaik adalah seperti berikut; sampel pada pH 7, 30 min masa pengekstrakan, etil asetat sebagai pelarut, 500 µl jumlah pelarut, 10 min masa nyahserap, 10 mg jisim penjerap, 20 mL isipadu sampel dan 15 g L$^{-1}$ kepekatan natrium klorida (NaCl). Di bawah keadaan yang dioptimumkan, persembahan analisis telah ditentukan dengan linear 0.1-50 µg L$^{-1}$ dan had pengesan di 0.054-0.468 µg L$^{-1}$ untuk semua analit dikaji. Analisis antara hari (n=7) dan hari yang sama
(n=3) sisihan piawai relative, RSD (%) daripada tiga replikasi setiap menunjukkan masing-masing dalam julat 3.7-4.9 dan 3.0-5.0. Kajian keunggulan dan boleh digunapakai mencadangkan bahawa MNP@P3TArH boleh digunakan sehingga lima kali. Kaedah yang dicadangkan dilaksanakan analisis sampel air sebenar iaitu komersial air mineral dan susu segar. Peratus pengambilan semula adalah dalam lingkungan 68-101 % dan sisihan piawai relatif yang lebih rendah daripada 7.7 % telah dicapai.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the opportunity given to me by the University of Malaya and the inspiration and constant support bestowed to me by the following people: First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Norazilawati Muhamad Sarih and my co-supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Sharifah Mohamad for their supervision, advice and guidance. I appreciate all their contributions of time, ideas, and support to make my Ph.D. program productive and exciting.

I would also like to thank Department of Chemistry for providing me conducive facilities, University of Malaya for PPP Grant (PG044-2012B), Ministry of Higher Education for High Impact Research Grant (HIR/MOHE/SC/F0031) and Foundation Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/MOHE/ FP031-2014B). I also acknowledge Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia (UITM) for fellowship funding.

I would like to thank my lab members and staffs of Department of Chemistry for their kind supports. Words fail me to express my appreciation to my husband and children, whose love and encouragement allowed me to finish this journey. Finally, I would like to thank all of my family for their support, especially my parents and my parents-in-law.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ........................................................................................................................................ III
ABSTRAK ........................................................................................................................................... V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .................................................................................................................. V
TABLE OF CONTENTS ...................................................................................................................... VI
LIST OF FIGURES ............................................................................................................................ X
LIST OF TABLES .............................................................................................................................. XIII
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS ..................................................................................... XIV
LIST OF APPENDICES .................................................................................................................... XVII

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION ............................................................................................................ 1

1.1 Background of Study .................................................................................................................. 1
1.2 Objectives of Study .................................................................................................................... 5
1.3 Scope of Study ........................................................................................................................... 6
1.4 Significance of Study ................................................................................................................ 7
1.5 Thesis Outline ........................................................................................................................... 7

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW .................................................................................................. 9

2.1 Polymers ...................................................................................................................................... 9
  2.1.1 Conducting Polymers ...................................................................................................... 9
  2.1.2 Polythiophene ................................................................................................................ 10
  2.1.3 Functionalized Polythiophene ...................................................................................... 12
  2.1.4 Application of Polythiophene in Environmental Application ...................................... 13

2.2 Nanoparticles ........................................................................................................................... 15
  2.2.1 Overview .......................................................................................................................... 15
  2.2.2 Magnetic Nanoparticles ................................................................................................. 15
  2.2.3 Properties of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles ....................................................................... 16
  2.2.4 Method for Preparation of Magnetic Nanoparticles ....................................................... 18
    2.2.4.1 Chemical Vapour Deposition ............................................................................... 19
    2.2.4.2 Two Phases Method ......................................................................................... 20
2.2.4.3 Sol-gel Method ................................................................. 22
2.2.4.4 Hydrothermal Method .................................................. 23
2.2.4.5 Co-precipitation Method .............................................. 25
2.2.5 Magnetic Nanoparticles in Environmental Application ........ 25
2.2.6 Functionalized Magnetic Nanoparticle in Environmental Application ... 27
  2.2.6.1 Silica Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles ......................... 28
  2.2.6.2 Carbon Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles ...................... 31
  2.2.6.3 Surfactants Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles ................. 34
  2.2.6.4 Polymer Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles .................... 35

2.4 Phthalates ............................................................................ 37
  2.4.1 Overview ........................................................................ 37
  2.4.2 Occurrence of Phthalates in Environment ....................... 39
  2.4.3 Adverse Effects .............................................................. 41
  2.4.4 Analysis of Phthalates .................................................... 44

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY ........................................... 47

3.1 Reagent and Materials .......................................................... 47
3.2 Instrumentation ..................................................................... 47
3.3 Chromatographic Condition ................................................... 48
3.4 Preparation of MNP Coated Functionalized Polythiophene Nanocomposites .... 49
  3.4.1 Synthesis of Functionalized Thiophene Monomers .......... 49
    3.4.1.1 Synthesis of N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine (TCN) ..... 50
    3.3.1.2 Synthesis of Phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine (3TArH) .......... 50
  3.4.2 Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles (MNP) ....................... 53
  3.4.3 Synthesis of MNP Coated Functionalized Polythiophene
    Nanocomposites ................................................................. 53
3.5 Screening Study .................................................................... 54
3.6 Adsorption Studies of DEHP on MNP Coated
  Poly(phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine) (P3TArH) .......... 54
3.7 Application of MNP Coated Poly(phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine) as a New Sorbent for Magnetic Solid-Phase Extraction (MSPE) of Phthalates ................................................... 55
3.7.1 Optimization Parameters ................................................................. 55
3.7.2 Method Validation ............................................................................... 56
  3.7.2.1 Real Sample Analyses .............................................................. 57

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .................................................. 59

4.1 Characterization of Samples ................................................................. 59
  4.1.1 Fourier Transform Infra-Red Spectroscopy (FT-IR) ....................... 59
  4.1.2 X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD) ........................................................ 60
  4.1.3 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) ...................................................... 61
  4.1.4 Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) ............................................. 62
  4.1.5 Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) ....................................... 63
  4.1.6 Morphological Analysis ............................................................... 64

4.2 Screening Studies ................................................................................ 67

4.3 Adsorption of Studies of DEHP on P3TAH Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles ........ 68
  4.3.1 Effect of Magnetic Nanoparticle Loading ..................................... 68
  4.3.2 Effect of pH .................................................................................. 68
  4.3.3 Kinetic and Thermodynamic Studies .......................................... 71
  4.3.4 Effect of Initial DEHP Concentration and Isotherms Equilibrium Studies .................................................. 76
  4.3.5 Reusability Studies ..................................................................... 81
  4.3.6 Comparative studies ................................................................... 82

4.4 Magnetic Solid-Phase Extraction (MSPE) of Phthalates by Functionalized Polythiophene Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles .................................................. 84
  4.4.1 Solid-Phase Extraction Optimization ......................................... 84
    4.4.1.2 Effect of Sample pH ............................................................... 84
    4.4.1.3 Extraction Time ..................................................................... 85
    4.4.1.4 Desorption Studies ............................................................... 86
    4.4.1.5 Mass of Adsorbent ................................................................. 88
    4.4.1.6 Sample Loading Volume ....................................................... 89
    4.4.1.7 Effect of NaCl ..................................................................... 90
  4.4.2 Reusability Studies ...................................................................... 91
  4.4.3 Analytical Performances ............................................................. 92
    4.4.3.1 Real Sample Analyses ........................................................... 94

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ......................... 97
5.1 Conclusion .................................................................................................................. 97

5.2 Recommendations ..................................................................................................... 98

REFERENCES .................................................................................................................. 100

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND PAPERS PRESENTED ........................................ 125

APPENDIX ...................................................................................................................... 126
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Phthalates used in this study.................................................................5
Figure 1.2: Functionalized thiophene monomers....................................................7
Figure 2.1: Repeating unit of polythiophene..........................................................11
Figure 2.2: Functionalized polythiophenes (Cornil et al., 1998)..............................13
Figure 2.3: Alignment of atomic magnetic moments (Devine, 2013).......................18
Figure 2.4: Schematic diagram of a CVD setup (Tavakoli et al., 2007).....................20
Figure 2.5: Diagram of nanoparticle synthesis in microemulsions (I) by mixing two microemulsions, (II) by adding a reducing agent and (III) by bubbling gas through the microemulsion (Teja & Koh, 2009)................................................................................21
Figure 2.6: Steps in the sol-gel process (Niederberger & Pinna, 2009)......................23
Figure 2.7: Diagram of hydrothermal setup (Grange et al., 2011).............................24
Figure 2.8: Illustration for the application of magnetic nanoparticles in magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) (Zhang et al., 2013).................................................................27
Figure 2.9: Silane coating on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles (Arkles, 1977) ....28
Figure 2.10: Synthesis routes for Schiff base silica coated magnetite nanoparticles (Bagheri et al., 2012).................................................................................................30
Figure 3.1: Thiophene monomers used in this study.................................................49
Scheme 3.1: Reaction pathway of N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl) methanimine (TCN)........49
Scheme 3.2: Reaction pathway of phenyl (4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)benzylidene)amine (3TArH)........................................................................................................50
Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram for preparation of Fe₃O₄ coated poly(phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)-amine) (P3TArH) (Baharin et al., 2016)........54
Figure 4.1: FT-IR spectrum of (a) naked magnetic nanoparticles, (b) MNP@PTh, (c) MNP@PTCN, (d) MNP@P3Th and (e) MNP@P3TArH nanocomposites ...............59
Figure 4.2: Diffractograms of (a) naked magnetic nanoparticles, (b) MNP@PTh, (c) MNP@PTCN, (d) MNP@P3Th and (e) MNP@P3TArH nanocomposites .............61
Figure 4.3: Thermogram of (a) naked magnetic nanoparticles, (b) MNP@PTh, (c) MNP@PTCN, (d) MNP@P3TArH and (e) MNP@P3Th nanocomposites …………..63

Figure 4.4: Magnetization analysis of MNP and nanocomposites…………………………64

Figure 4.5: FESEM images of a) MNP, b) MNP@P3TArH; TEM images of c) MNP, d) MNP@P3TArH; and diameter distribution of e) MNP, f) MNP@P3TArH …………..66

Figure 4.6: Effect of adsorbent for the extraction of the targeted phthalates………………67

Figure 4.7: Percentage of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles added to the total mole of monomer for adsorption of DEHP …………………………………………………………………………..68

Figure 4.8: (a) Effect of solution pH (b) The zeta potential of MNP@P3TArH at various pHs ………………………………………………………………………………………………70

Scheme 4.1: (a) DEHP at pH<7 and (b) DEHP at pH>7 ..............................70

Figure 4.9: Effect of contact time and temperature for the adsorption of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH………………………………………………………………………………71

Figure 4.10: Intraparticle diffusion plots …………………………………………………….74

Figure 4.11: Effect of DEHP initial concentration……………………………………77

Figure 4.12: Propose interactions for multilayer adsorption (Freundlich)………………80

Figure 4.13: Reusability graph for five cycles …………………………………………..81

Figure 4.14: FT-IR spectrum of (a) MNP@P3TArH; (b) recycled MNP@P3TArH ………82

Figure 4.15: Effect of sample pH for the extraction of the targeted phthalates……………85

Figure 4.16: Effect of extraction time for the extraction of the targeted phthalates………..86

Figure 4.17: Type of organic eluent for the extraction of targeted phthalates……………..87

Figure 4.18: Volume of organic eluent for the extraction of targeted phthalates ………….87

Figure 4.19: Desorption time for the extraction of targeted phthalates ……………………88

Figure 4.20: Adsorbent dosage for the extraction of targeted phthalates …………………90

Figure 4.21: Adsorbent dosage for the extraction of targeted phthalates ……………………92

Figure 4.22: Effect of NaCl concentration for the extraction of targeted phthalates ………91

Figure 4.23: Reusability cycles ………………………………………………………………..92
Figure 4.24: GC-FID chromatogram of mineral water stored at room temperature: a) spiked (50 μg L\(^{-1}\)), b) unspiked phthalates; and commercial fresh milk: c) spiked (50 μg L\(^{-1}\)), d) unspiked phthalates; and peaks: 1) DMP, 2) DEP, 3) DPP, 4) DBP, 5) BBP, 6) DCP; 7) DEHP, 8) DNOP
LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Properties of iron oxides ................................................................. 17
Table 2.2: Some uses of phthalates ................................................................. 38
Table 4.1: BET pore size and surface area ...................................................... 62
Table 4.2: Kinetic parameters for the adsorption of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH .... 73
Table 4.3: Thermodynamic parameters for DEHP adsorption onto MNP@P3TArH .... 76
Table 4.4: Isotherms parameters for DEHP adsorption of onto MNP@P3TArH ....... 79
Table 4.5: BET surface area analysis of MNP@P3TArH and recycled MNP@P3TArH ...
.......................................................................................................................... 82
Table 4.6: Comparison on adsorption capacities .............................................. 83
Table 4.7: Method validation data for magnetic-solid phase extraction (MSPE) of phthalates with MNP@P3TArH ................................................................. 93
Table 4.8: Comparatives study of the proposed method with other MSPE adsorbents for the extraction of phthalates ................................................................. 94
Table 4.9: Recovery values obtained from the spiked sample in different matrices ..... 96
### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOP</td>
<td>Advanced oxidation process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Aluminium(III) oxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTEOS</td>
<td>3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTS</td>
<td>p-aminophenyltrimethoxysilane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AsFs</td>
<td>Arsenenothious fluoride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATSDR</td>
<td>US Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>Butyl benzyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET</td>
<td>Brunauer-Emmet-Teller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br₂</td>
<td>Bromine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEMNP</td>
<td>Carbon-encapsulated metal nanoparticle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>Cetylpyridinium chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAB</td>
<td>Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Chemical vapour deposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>Dibutyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>Dicyclohexyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHA</td>
<td>Di-2-ethyl hexyl adipate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>Diethyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>Diethyl sulfosuccinate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBP</td>
<td>Di-iso-butyl-phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIDP</td>
<td>Di-isodecyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DINP</td>
<td>Di-isononyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>Dimethyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNHP</td>
<td>Di-(n)-hexyl-phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNOP</td>
<td>Di-\textit{n}-octyl Phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DODMAC</td>
<td>Dioctadecyldimethylammonium chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td>Dipropyl phthalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMO</td>
<td>Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSA</td>
<td>European Food Safety Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>Iron(III) oxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FESEM</td>
<td>Field emission scanning electron microscope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT-IR</td>
<td>Fourier transform infra-red</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-FID</td>
<td>Gas chromatography flame ionizer detection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>High performance liquid chromatography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDR</td>
<td>Linear dynamic range</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLE</td>
<td>Liquid-liquid extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>Limit of detection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ</td>
<td>Limit of quantitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>Molecular imprinting polymer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP</td>
<td>Magnetic nanoparticles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF</td>
<td>Metal organic frame work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTES</td>
<td>Mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPE</td>
<td>Magnetic solid-phase extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>Multi-walled carbon nanotube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>Nonlinear optical devices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFET</td>
<td>Field effect transducer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLED</td>
<td>Organic light emitting diodes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH</td>
<td>Polyaromatic hydrocarbon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PCMS : Polychloromethylstyrene
PET : Polyethylene terephthalate
PVC : Polyvinyl chloride
PVP : Polyvinylpyrrolid
SDS : Sodium dodecyl sulphate
SIO₂ : Silica
SPE : Solid-phase extraction
TEM : Transmission electron microscope
USEPA : United State Environmental Protection Agency
UV : Ultra-violet
VSM : Vibrating solid sample
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Phthalates or phthalate esters which belong to non-halogenated esters of phthalic acid are used as plasticizers for nitrocellulose since it was first recognized in 1880, replacing camphor (Franck & Stadelhofer, 1988). Nowadays, phthalates can be found in many different matrices in our environment and are widely utilized in the PVC industries as a plasticizer for floors, hoses, cables (building materials), toys and medical appliances (Jones-Lepp et al., 2000). Other consumer-based products utilizing phthalates are as a component in inks, adhesive materials, lacquers, sealing and packing materials, materials for treating surfaces, solvents and fixing agents in fragrances, as well as additives in cosmetics (Serôdio & Nogueira, 2006; Wypych, 2004; Yuan et al., 2008).

Phthalates become emerging pollutants and harmful to humans, especially children, since they are not chemically bound in plastics and could be leached out into the environment (Serodio & Nogueira, 2006). Thus, the usage of phthalates in the production of toys, baby bottles and pacifiers is banned in many countries (Sathyaranayana et al., 2008). Exposure to phthalates over long-term periods could result in health issues, for example potential carcinogenic effects or critical impact on the hormonal systems, since they own lipophilic properties, which make them easily stored in fatty tissues (Foster, 2006; Latini et al., 2004; Ohtani et al., 2000; Pitter, 2009). The higher molecular weight of phthalates, such as DEHP, DBP and DNOP often leads to serious health illnesses and is alleged to be carcinogenic and lethal to liver and kidneys, as well as reproductive organs (Gomez-Hens & Aguilar-Caballos, 2003; Swan et al., 2005). In Malaysia, due to the awareness of the migration of phthalates from food packaging, baby bottles and pacifiers, a regulation has been proposed, which
in stated in Law of Malaysia (1985) under Food Regulation, which regulated plastic materials, and articles shall be examined in agreement with Standard Malaysia (2014) in MS 2234: “Plastic Materials and Articles Intended to Come into Contact with Food”, which clarified the specific migration limits as follows; 1.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for DEHP, 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for DBP, 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for BBP and 9.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for DIDP.

Recently, phthalates have been found in polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles which may lead to many serious consequences, since the PET bottles are widely used as containers for mineral water, milk and soft drinks. The existence of phthalates in PET bottles may be explained through several possibilities: the type of raw materials, the chemicals or processes involved in bottle manufacturing, the practice of the use of PET bottles, as well as cross contamination in the bottling plant and cap resins (Amiridou & Voutsa, 2011; Bach et al., 2012; Leivadara et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2008; Schmid et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2012). Studies conducted by Plotan et al. and Wagner et al. reported that in most of the inspected PET-bottled water samples, endocrine disruptor activity was found (Plotan et al., 2013; Wagner & Oehlmann, 2009).

Given the unlimited toxic effects arising from these materials, much research have been conducted to find a solution to eliminate its contamination of the environment (Chen & Chung, 2006; Julinová & Slavík, 2012). However, the determination of phthalates in environmental samples is challenging due to their trace amounts and the intervention of an intricate matrix (Yuan et al., 2002). Therefore, a sample preparation step for the extraction and preconcentration of the analytes is required (Lopez-Jimenez et al., 2005). Solid phase extraction (SPE) is one of the established and popular methods for sample enrichment prior to analysis using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography (GC) (Farahani et
The advantages of SPE over liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) are its simplicity, rapidness, that the adsorbent is recyclable, the steadiness, low cost, high enrichment factors and low usage of organic solvents (Tahmasebi & Yamini, 2014). Numerous types of sorbents were synthesized and used for the determination of plasticizers, for example an octadecyl packed column (C18), α-cyclodextrin functionalized chitosan, poly(styrene–divinylbenzene) polymers and zeolitic imidazolate (Holadova & Hajslova, 1995; Khan et al., 2015; Kvistad et al., 1998). The selection of adsorbent plays an important role in SPE, since it can determine the efficiency, anti-interference ability and selectivity of the method for the targeted analytes (Raoov et al., 2014).

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), especially iron oxides, have become one of the most useful materials in numerous applications since their discovery, for example magnetic fluids, catalysis, magnetic resonance imaging and environmental disciplines (Farrukh et al., 2013; Li et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2012). In the application for the removal of pollutants from the environment, the nano-sized particles provide a high surface area to volume ratio, which enhances adsorption capacity and efficiency (Shahabuddin et al., 2016). Moreover, the distinct feature of MNPs is their rapid response to an external magnetic field. This special property, called superparamagnetism, does not preserve magnetism after the elimination of an external field. Thus, it helps to isolate the adsorbents from an aqueous solution in a complex matrix without the need for centrifugation or filtration and can be referred to as magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) (Li et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2014). Due to the simplicity of the technique, much research has been published on utilizing Fe$_3$O$_4$ as the adsorbent for MSPE in water samples, for example determining antimicrobial residue, heavy metals, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and pesticides (Aguilar-Arteaga et al., 2010; Fayazi et al., 2016; Ibarra et al., 2014; Mehdinia et al., 2016).
However, the smaller the particle size, the more it becomes unstable, which initiates particle accumulation. Moreover, metal oxide may be oxidize easily and reduce its magnetism properties. Therefore, an appropriate surface functionalization can be done, which can be tailored to the specific targeted analyte. The strategy to protect the magnetic core can be either by organic or inorganic compounds, for example Al₂O₃, SiO₂, surfactants, alkyl carboxylates and polymeric coatings (Ballesteros-Gomez & Rubio, 2009; Faraji et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2010; Zhai et al., 2010). Recently, research articles reported on the utilization of conducting polymers as a coating agent of the MNPs (Gao et al., 2011; Tahmasebi et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2013). These nanocomposites have multifunctional and diverse properties, which may enhance the surface functionalization and protect the magnetic core from environmental agitation. Moreover, it may reduce aggregation and disperse the nanoparticles’ core shell distribution within the suspension media (Shin & Jang, 2007). Herein, we prepared a modified polythiophene containing an additional aromatic ring and aliphatic sides on the surface of Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) to investigate its performance as a magnetic solid phase extraction of phthalates, as shown in Figure 1.1. Thus, in this work, the sorbent was further tested for real aqueous samples, including commercial mineral water and commercial fresh milk which were kept in a PET bottle.
1.2 Objectives of Study

In this study, four objectives were achieved as follows:

a) Synthesis and characterization of magnetic nanoparticles (MNP) coated functionalized polythiophene nanocomposites,

b) Adsorption studies of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalates (DEHP) using MNP coated poly(phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine) (P3TArH),

c) The application of MNP coated P3TArH as a new sorbent for magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) of phthalates in real sample analyses.
1.3 Scope of Study

In this study we utilized four different thiophene monomers functionalities as coating materials on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles (MNP). Figure 1.2 shows two commercially available monomers thiophene (Th) and 3-hexylthiophene (3Th), meanwhile N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine) (TCN) and phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine (3TArH) were two successfully synthesized monomers. These functionalized materials had a potential within the molecular architecture that can extracts phthalates from aqueous solution. Among those nanocomposites, MNP coated P3TArH revealed superior determination capability of phthalates. Kinetic, isotherms and thermodynamic studies for adsorption of DEHP with MNP coated P3TArH was determined. Optimization parameters for magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) were pH, extraction time, sample volume, elution solvent, elution solvent volume, desorption time, adsorbent dosage and effect of NaCl. Reusability studies were conducted for five cycles to determine the possibilities for reutilizing and regeneration.

Under the optimized conditions, analytical performance were carried out to determine linear dynamic range (LDR), limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantitation (LOQ), and repeatability. To evaluate reliability of proposed method for extraction and preconcentration of phthalates from real samples, two real water samples were tested. The real samples were water from commercial mineral water bottle and milk samples stored in PET bottle.
1.4 Significance of Study

Functionalized thiophene monomers were polymerized on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles. The study of the molecular architecture of the functionalized thiophene monomers gave information on their capability for selectively extraction of phthalates from aqueous solution. This information is crucial in terms of developing a new, advanced and reusable material to extract contaminants from the environments.

1.5 Thesis Outline

The present thesis is organized into five chapters. Chapter 1 gives a brief introduction on background, research objectives of study, scope of study and significance of study. A review of related literature is presented in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 covered experimental methodologies on the preparation of functionalized polythiophene coated magnetic nanoparticle, screening studies, adsorption studies of DEHP with MNP coated P3TArH and application of MNP coated P3TArH as a sorbent for MSPE of phthalates. Chapter 4 presented the results and discussion which divided into three
parts. First part is the characterization of synthesized materials. Meanwhile, in second part, the results of adsorption studies of DEHP with MNP coated P3TArH were elaborated, whereas last part discussed on the application of MNP coated P3TArH as a sorbent for magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) of phthalates and real sample analyses. Lastly, in Chapter 5, conclusions and recommendations were elaborated.
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Polymers

The term "polymer" originates from the ancient Greek word *polus*, meaning "many, much" and *meros*, "parts", and denotes to a molecule whose structure is composed of multiple repeating units, from which originates a characteristic of high relative molecular mass and attendant properties (Skotheim, 1997). The units composing polymers derive, actually or conceptually, from molecules of low relative molecular mass (Chen *et al*., 2012).

Polymers are high molecular mass compounds formed by polymerization of monomers. The simple repeating structural units of a polymer are known as monomer. A polymer is chemically defined by its degree of polymerization, molecular weight distribution, tacticity, copolymer distribution, the degree of branching, end-groups, crosslinks, crystallinity and thermal properties such as its glass transition temperature and melting temperature. Polymers in solution have distinct characteristics with regard to solubility, viscosity and gelation. Many polymers are prepared by the mutual reaction of complementary monomers (Cornil *et al*., 1998).

2.1.1 Conducting Polymers

Conducting polymers is a polymer having an extended n conjugated system, for example double and single bonds alternate along the polymer backbone known. Conjugated polymers have an ability to conduct electricity when partially oxidized and reduced. The development in the comprehensive study of greatly conducting polymers initiated in 1977 with the finding of the variation in the electrical conductivity of polyacetylene on doping with Br₂, I₂ and AsFs (Chiang *et al*., 1978). Further conjugated
polymers which display fascinating electrical and electrochemical assets related with their extended n-bonding system are polyphenylene, polyaniline, polythiophene, polypyrrole and polyphenylene vinylene. The electrical conductivity of all these polymers can be augmented over suitable chemical or electrochemical oxidation (p-type doping) and reduction (n-type doping). Although, poly(acetylene) exhibits highly conducting on doping compares to other conjugated polymers, yet it is may susceptible to thermal and environmental perturbation, has insolvency issue and difficult to process (Schopf & Kossmehl, 1997).

Polymers containing conjugated heterocyclic units in the backbone such as polythiophene, has outstanding electrical conductivities and high stability in air/humid, thermal steadiness and mechanical robustness in doped and undoped states. The conjugated structure with alternating single and double bonds or conjugated segments coupled with atoms providing p-orbitals for a continuous orbital overlap (e.g. nitrogen, sulfur) seems to be necessary for polymers to become intrinsically conducting. This is because just as metals have high conductivity due to be free movement of electrons through their structure, in order for polymers to be electronically conductive they must possess not only charge carriers but also an orbital system that allows the charge carriers to move (Fichou, 2008).

2.1.2 Polythiophene

Polythiophene belongs to a class of heterocyclic compounds containing a five membered ring made up of one sulphur as heteroatom with the formula C₄H₄S. Thiophene and its derivatives isolated from petroleum or coal. Thiophene is taken from the word theion, the Greek word for sulfur, and another Greek word phaino which means shining (Tourillon & Skotheim, 1986). Owing to their efficient light harvesting,
structural versatility and intrinsic charge transport behavior, thiophene-based π-conjugated systems have attracted much attention in developing high performance conducting cells. Figure 2.1 demonstrates structure of thiophene repeating units.

![Figure 2.1: Repeating unit of polythiophene](image)

Conjugated thiophene has been the focus of much research owing to its interesting properties that are well suited for organic electronic applications including field effect transducers (OFET), light emitting diodes (OLED), photovoltaics (OPD), and nonlinear optical devices (NLO) (Huo et al., 2011; Mannerbro et al., 2008; Yang & Jenekhe, 1991). This is in part due to its low oxidation potential relative to its homoaryl analogues. Subsequently, it can be chemically doped resulting in stable p-type materials with high conductivities and charge mobilities (Sinha et al., 2009). Furthermore, property tuning to match a specific electronic application is possible by incorporating electronic groups along the conjugated framework in addition to copolymerizing with other heterocyclic monomers. Copolymerization and homopolymerization are typically done electrochemically. Alternatively, chemical polymerization is possible via oxidation using FeCl₃ Suzuki, Negishi, and Kumada coupling protocols (Xu et al., 2008; Yokozawa et al., 2008). It has been found that polythiophene exhibits inimitable properties and efficiency in terms of chemical stability, conjugation and flexibility to be functionalized (McCullough & Williams, 1993).
2.1.3 Functionalized Polythiophene

Poly(3-substituted thiophenes) with a diversity of substituents such as alkyl, alkoxy, alkyl heteroatom-functionalized side chains have been studied extensively. Overcoming insolubility problems by introducing alkyl chains in the 3-position of the thiophenic ring, thus providing soluble and processable materials. β-functionalized thiophene monomer is a thiophene monomer that has functional group in the β position of the carbon. Interestingly it exhibits advantages such as enhance more regioselective thiophene by electro polymerization, reduce the oxidation potential with electron-rich substituents and improving the mechanical property with introduction of alkyl chain functionalities.

Electron-releasing and electron-withdrawing group in β position of thiophene show different property. Electron-donor group reduces the oxidation potential of the thiophene monomer whereas electron-acceptor increases the oxidation potential (Waltman et al., 1983). Physical property of the subjected polythiophene will enhance by introducing functionalized material as a dopant (McQuade et al., 2000). Many approaches for functionalizing thiophene have been developed for examples the thiophene structure with addition of organic active species and introduction of inorganic metals to polythiophene compounds (inorganic-organic hybrid materials) (Aradilla et al., 2012). Figure 2.2 exhibits examples of functionalized polythiophenes.

Recently, thiophene functionalized Schiff base has been extensively studied in the photovoltaic and environmental sensor application (Pedras et al., 2007). Apart being a sensor, Issaadi et al. claimed that thiophene containing Schiff base is one of the best inhibitor that protects surface of a metal from corrosion in acidic media (Issaadi et al.,
The functionalized Schiff base can enhance the stability of hybrid inorganic-organic polythiophene compounds from environmental perturbation (Ismail, 2007).

![Poly(3-hexylthiophene)](image1)

![Poly(2,5-thiophenediyl-1,3-butadiynediyl)](image2)

![Poly (3-((2':2'',5'':2"-Terthiophene)-3"-yl) acrylic acid)](image3)

![Poly(3-hexylthiophene-stat-3-(6-azidohexyl)thiophene)](image4)

**Figure 2.2:** Functionalized polythiophenes (Cornil et al., 1998)

Intensive studies of the development of organic-inorganic hybrid of polythiophene based materials have become one of the main focuses by many researchers. Owing to enhancement properties, these hybrid materials are being applied in various fields. Example of hybrid polythiophene based materials are magnetic nanoparticles coated polythiophene, titanium-dioxide functionalized polythiophene and polythiophene doped zinc chloride (Mrowetz et al., 2003; Tahmasebi & Yamini, 2014).

### 2.1.4 Application of Polythiophene in Environmental Application

Due to its good environmental stability, ease of synthesis and good conducting properties, polythiophene, functionalized polythiophene and polythiophene metal composite have been widely applied in environmental fields, for examples, heavy metal
sensor, photocatalytic degradation and adsorption (Ansari et al., 2015; Din et al., 2014; Udhayakumari et al., 2014).

Rapid environmental monitoring and management assisted in on-site and real time measurements of many different heavy metals. An approach to identify these heavy metals is based on deviation of electrical/fluorescence responses of the active species. Due to the high conductivity and stability many heavy metal sensors have been developed by polythiophene based compounds (Ng et al., 1998). For instance, nanocomposite of regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) with metal oxide ZnO has been designed and applied as a sensor to detect zinc and lead (Saeteaw et al., 2011). Moreover, rhodamine-thiophene conjugate has been proposed by Mandal et al. (2013) to sense mercury ion (Hg\(^+\)).

Band gap of polythiophene is in the range of 2.0 eV and may change rendering to the nature of doping. This band gap is adequately small compared to metal oxides, such as TiO\(_2\), ZnO etc. Due to this sufficiently small band gap, it is possible to excite an electron from the valence band to the conduction band with UV and visible light, which is suited for photocatalysis application (Krüger et al., 2011). High degradation of methyl orange was successfully obtained with poly hexylthiophene-titanium dioxide nanocomposite (P3Th/TiO\(_2\)). In addition, Chandra et al. (2015) described that a polythiophene-titanium dioxide-functionalized-copper composite (PTh/TiO\(_2\)–Cu) prepared by a sol–gel process has been photocatalytically degraded rhodamine-B dye.

Adsorption seems to be a competent tool for processing greater quantities of wastewater containing pollutant. Whole ranges of adsorbent from polythiophene based were developed. In the heavy metals removal, utilization of polythiophene based materials are due to the sulphur atom that can easily conjugate with heavy metals ion whereas, in removal of organic pollutants, interactions are mainly based on \(\pi-\pi\)
conjugation and hydrophobicity in the polythiophene (Julinová & Slavík, 2012; Shin & Jang, 2007). Recently, functionalization of polythiophene in the surface of nanoparticles has become research interest in environmental treatment management. These combinations of high surface area and great surface chemistry have enhanced the progress of water remediation for a wide range of pollutants (Khalili et al., 2014).

2.2 Nanoparticles

2.2.1 Overview

Nanoparticles have been extensively used worldwide in many fields such as material sciences, physics, medicine, electronic and chemistry. Different kind of shapes and types of nanomaterials were prepared from organics and inorganics based including nanotubes, nanohorns and nanocages (de Dios & Díaz-García, 2010; Ozin et al., 2009; Boal, 2004). Nanoscale often have different physical, chemical, and biological properties compares to macro, micro scale, due to the quantum size influence (Alivisatos, 2000). Nanoparticles considered exquisite materials since they have a high surface area-to-volume ratio and provides a surface functionalization which can be tailored according to applications.

2.2.2 Magnetic Nanoparticles

They are different kinds of magnetic nanoparticles, for examples iron oxides, ferrites of cobalt, manganese, nickel, magnesium and platinum. However, only iron oxides considered biological safe and, therefore, is the only nanoparticle material that have been permitted by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Besides, iron oxides is easy to synthesis and give high magnetic moment (Teja & Koh, 2009). Moreover, iron oxide nanoparticle showed an advanced property and widely used in many area such as
magnetic fluids, catalysis, magnetic resonance imaging, and environmental remediation (Chikazumi et al., 1987; Farrukh et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2012). Due to increase in research interest for the iron oxide nanoparticles, intensive studies on nanoparticle structure, physical and chemical properties as well as toxicity has force to the evolution of magnetic nanoparticles for industrial and biomedical applications.

2.2.3 Properties of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

Magnetite (Fe₃O₄), maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃), and hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) is the most common of iron oxides present in nature (Cornell & Schwertmann, 2003). These types of iron oxides are widely used in the various applications. Table 2.1 summarized some of their physical properties. Recognized as black iron oxide, magnetite displays the sturdiest magnetism among transition metal oxide. Whereas, hematite typically known as ferric oxide or martite is the first iron oxide ever found and abundantly exists in rocks and soils. They are having blood-red color in bulk and grey in coarse crystal. Meanwhile, heating of iron oxides or effect of weathering could lead to formation of maghemite (Majewski & Thierry, 2007).

A reason for high magnetic moment in the iron atom is due to its four unpaired electrons in 3d orbitals. As demonstrates in Figure 2.3, different magnetic behaviours can occur upon crystallization for example paramagnetic, ferromagnetic, antiferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic. Zero magnetic moment was observed in paramagnetic state which each atomic magnetic moment are arbitrarily arranged. Meanwhile, ferromagnetism behaviour demonstrated the property when all the moments are aligned in the absence of external field. Whereas, ferrimagnetic crystals often have two diverse moments of strength and are organized in inverse orde
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Hematite</th>
<th>Magnetite</th>
<th>Maghemite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point (°C)</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1583-1597</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of magnetism</td>
<td>Weakly ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic</td>
<td>Ferromagnetic</td>
<td>Ferrimagnetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curie temperature (K)</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>820-986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetization saturation at 300 K (A m² kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>92-100</td>
<td>60-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard free energy of formation ΔG_f° (kJ mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>-742.7</td>
<td>-1012.6</td>
<td>-711.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallographic system</td>
<td>Rhombohedral, hexagonal</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Cubic or tetrahedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural type</td>
<td>Corundum</td>
<td>Inverse spinel</td>
<td>Defect spinel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>R3c (hexagonal)</td>
<td>Fd3m</td>
<td>P4₃32 (cubic); P4₁2₁₂ (tetragonal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice parameter (nm)</td>
<td>a = 0.5034, c = 1.375 (hexagonal)</td>
<td>a = 0.8396 (hexagonal)</td>
<td>a = 0.83474 (cubic); a = 0.8347, c = 2.501 (tetragonal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Cornell & Schwertmann, 2003)
The magnetic manners of hematite subject to its crystallinity, particle size and on the degree of cation substitution (Bodker & Morup, 2000; Raming et al., 2002). Hematite displays paramagnetic at more than 956 K, but at 260 K, it demonstrates weakly ferromagnetic and go through a phase evolution to antiferromagnetic behaviour (Besser et al., 1967; Morin, 1950; Zysler et al., 2001). Superparamagnetic property which is a property when the material does not preserve magnetism after the elimination of an external field often observed in the iron oxide nanoparticles especially magnetite with size smaller than 20 nm, but, it is also depends on the approaches used in the preparation (Aydın et al., 2011; Kado, 2008; Margulies et al., 1996; Sena et al., 1997; Xu et al., 2012).

**Figure 2.3:** Alignment of atomic magnetic moments (Devine, 2013)

### 2.2.4 Method for Preparation of Magnetic Nanoparticles

Preparation method is important since it determines particle size distribution, shape and surface chemistry of the material. Recently, many synthesis pathways have
been established to manipulate particle size, polydispersity, shape, crystallinity and magnetic behaviours (Lian et al., 2004; Takami et al., 2007; Tartaj et al., 2003). There are several methods for the preparation of magnetite for example chemical vapour deposition, co-precipitation, two phase, sol-gel method and hydrothermal.

2.2.4.1 Chemical Vapour Deposition

Gas phase includes thermal decomposition, hydrolysis, and oxidation process to produce solid products via gas phase (Pierson, 1999). Example of gas phase method is chemical vapour deposition (CVD). In this process, a gas carrier delivers a precursor to a reaction compartment which is controlled at ~900 °C (Chang et al., 1994; Tavakoli et al., 2007). Figure 2.4 presents a schematic diagram of chemical vapour deposition reactor. Under extreme temperature condition, the products are joined to form nano powders. Particles growth and accumulation are alleviated by hasty extension of double phase gas stream in the reaction compartment. Compositional and structural alteration are made by continual heat treatment which include crystallization, purification as well as conversion to a anticipated size, arrangement and morphology (Tavakoli et al., 2007).

In the preparation of iron oxide via chemical vapour deposition method, probability of accomplishment is highly influences by a low concentration of precursors and express enlargement and extinguishing of nanoparticles once they reached end of the process (Chang et al., 1994). Park et al. (2006) synthesized magnetite thin films at 300 °C in oxygen using iron(II) dihydride complexes (H₂Fe[P(CH₃)₃]₄) as precursor. Another, magnetite successfully prepared via low pressure chemical vapour deposition by Dhara et al. (1994) utilizing metal-organic ferric dipivaloyl methane. Chemical vapor deposition is capable to produce high purity products, but the yield is often low.
Besides, set up of the equipment is extremely expensive and tedious as it must be properly and precisely controlled (Casas et al., 2001).

**Figure 2.4:** Schematic diagram of a CVD setup (Tavakoli et al., 2007)

### 2.2.4.2 Two Phases Method

Magnetic nanoparticles can be prepared using water in oil micro emulsion. In this technique, a wetting agent (surfactant) is required to reduce the surface tension between oil and water. Various type of surfactant have been utilized in the preparation of magnetite nanoparticles for examples sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), polyvinylpyrrolid (PVP) and diethyl sulfosuccinate (DES) (Esquivel et al., 2007; Hasany et al., 2012; Nassar & Husein, 2006; Zhang et al., 2008).

As demonstrates in Figure 2.5, there are three alternatives in micro emulsion techniques, these approaches are applicable according to application acquired. In the first approach, reactants A and B are liquefied in the solution, upon mixing, collision
and coalescence of between reactants molecules forming a precipitate AB. Meanwhile, in the second approach, nanoparticles are formed by reduction reaction of metal salts in the presence of reducing agent such as hydrazine or hydrogen. For the third approach, micro emulsion of soluble salts cations are bubbled with gas (O₂, NH₃, or CO₂) forming either oxide, hydroxide or carbonate precipitates (Pillai et al., 1995). Limitations of micro emulsion technique are the challenging in producing high scale products and toxicity of remaining surfactants in the surface of particles (Teja & Koh, 2009).

**Figure 2.5:** Diagram of nanoparticle synthesis in microemulsions (I) by mixing two microemulsions, (II) by adding a reducing agent and (III) by bubbling gas through the microemulsion (Teja & Koh, 2009)
2.2.4.3 Sol-gel Method

Sol-gel method involves in, hydrolysis and condensation of alkoxide precursors to form a solution of oxide particles, the solution (‘sol’) is removed (‘gel’) by solvent removal or chemical reaction. As describes in Figure 2.6, the sol-gel processes involve few synthesis routes. Solvent used in this method is normally water, but the precursor must be reagent that can be hydrolysed by acid or base. In the basic condition, a colloidal gel is produced, whereas in acidic condition a polymeric gel is formed (Lam et al., 2008). Parameters in the sol-gel method that influenced the shape, size, morphology and surface chemistry of nanoparticles are rates of hydrolysis and condensation, solution composition, pH and temperature. For examples slower and controlled hydrolysis rate produced smaller nanoparticle size. (Tavakoli et al., 2007). Iron oxide nanoparticles in the size range of 20 to 160 nm were synthesized by Casas et al. (2001), utilizing ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) as a precursor.

The sol-gel technique has successfully prepared magnetite and maghemite thin films, transparent iron-doped titanium oxide thin films, ferroelectromagnetic bismuth iron oxide films, mixed iron oxides and iron oxide-alumina nanocomposites (Chang et al., 1997; Ismail, 2005; Lang, 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2005). The major problem of sol-gel methods are occurrence of contamination from side products, extensive treatment of the products after reactions, difficulty to control reaction rates which eventually effect the material morphology and structure and different reactivity of metal oxides results in the lack of judgment over composition and homogeneity of the materials (Niederberger & Pinna, 2009).
2.2.4.4 Hydrothermal Method

Hydrothermal method is environmental safe technique to synthesis nanoparticles since the method does not require any use of organic solvent and calcination (Sue et al., 2004). Hydrothermal method is a method utilizing high temperature and high pressure for crystallizing substances. The hydrothermal setup shown in Figure 2.7 displays a hydrothermal, fine crystals which are obtained by this technique through formation of super saturation of metal salts at elevated pressure and temperature due to the low solubility of metal hydroxides and oxides (Eckert, 1996; Sue et al., 2006). Variables that influence the crystal growth are pressure, temperature, reaction time and starting materials. For instance, mean particle size exhibits bigger size and different shape of the nanoparticles is observed when operation temperature is increased (Burda et al., 2005).
Hydrothermal ‘continuous flow’ reactor created by Matson et al. (1994) has been successfully prepared fine magnetite nanoparticles by rapid elevated temperature and supercritical water flows in the reactor for 5 to 30 seconds using ferrous sulphate and urea. Influence of precursor concentration, temperature and contact time on particle size and morphology were comprehensively studied, and as the precursor concentration increased the particle size also increases and monodisperse particles is obtained with short contact time (Xu et al., 2008). Even though hydrothermal method offers advantages for controlling particle size and morphology, it required additional post-treatment steps and some of the surface functionalization cannot be achieved on the site.
2.2.4.5 Co-precipitation Method

Co-precipitation technique is one of the liquid phase techniques and widely used in preparation magnetite nanoparticles due to its simplicity, have economic value and good yields of product. This technique involves the reaction of stoichiometric mixtures of hydrated ferrous and ferric chloride with the presence of base in aqueous media (Sugimoto & Matijević, 1980). Spherical magnetite nanoparticles with mean diameters ranging from 30 to 100 nm were obtained from reaction of iron(II) salts, base and nitrate ions as oxidant (Tartaj et al., 2003). Concentration of cations, counter ions involve and pH give influence to the phase and particle size distribution (Khaleel, 2004; Tamaura et al., 1983; Tronc et al., 1992).

Aggregation of nanoparticles may occur in the co-precipitation technique due to the large surface-area to volume ratio. Thus, addition of dispersing agent may stabilize the nanoparticles (Kim et al., 2003a). Examples of dispersing agents are protein, starches, non-ionic detergents, polyelectrolyte, oleic acid, saturated and unsaturated fatty acid as well as dodecanoic acid (Khalafalla & Reimers, 1980; Kim et al., 2003b; Mikhaylova et al., 2004; Wooding et al., 1991; Xu et al., 2006). Therefore, in this study we prepared magnetic nanoparticle by co-precipitation technique with water as medium of reaction because it is an effective technique to prepare magnetite with high yield of products, low cost and environmental safe.

2.2.5 Magnetic Nanoparticles in Environmental Application

In a determination to encounter water contamination, speedy and effective developments in wastewater handling have been made, including photocatalytic oxidation, adsorption, separation and biotreatment process. Nevertheless, their usages have been constrained by many aspects, for examples removal ability, technical routine,
energy supplies, and cost-effective value (Dastjerdi & Montazer, 2010). Recently, magnetic nanoparticles have been widely explored in the field of waste water treatment due to few advantages such as high surface area, simple preparation step, superparamagnetism, provide surface modification, low toxicity, inert, biocompatibility and low cost (Boyer et al., 2010; Gupta & Gupta, 2005; Pan et al., 2010).

A combination of adsorptive process with magnetic dissociation has therefore been used comprehensively in water and environmental purification especially as a solid sorbent in a magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) (Ambashta & Sillanpaa, 2010; Mahdavian & Mirrahimi, 2010). Magnetic solid-phase extraction has been successfully preconcentrate many inorganics and organics pollutant in a very complex matrix. For example, Ballesteros-G´omez and Rubio (2009) performed a solid-phase extraction of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from effluent water samples with high preconcentration factors and also low limit of detection in the range 0.2-0.5 ng L$^{-1}$. The capability of iron oxide nanoparticles to extract pollutants has been established at both laboratory and field scale tests (Girginova et al., 2010; White et al., 2009). Figure 2.8 depicts an illustration for the application of magnetic nanoparticles in magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE).

However, the smaller the particle the more it becomes unstable since it possess high surface energies and tend to accumulate to each other in the solution to reduce the surface energies (Lin et al., 2005). This stability is affected by the electrostatic and Van der Waals interactions (Chen et al., 2007). Besides, bare metallic nanoparticles are chemically active, and are readily oxidized, which eventually reduce its magnetism and ability to disperse (Xie et al., 2014). Thus, the fact that surface of magnetite can be chemically functionalized, modification of magnetite nanoparticles can be one of the approaches to overcome the stability problem with appropriate functional group (Boyer
The strategies of modification are including grafting and coating the surface of magnetite. Besides, helping in stabilizing the magnetic core, functionalization or modification may also provide functional properties that can be tailored according to the application.

**Figure 2.8:** Illustration for the application of magnetic nanoparticles in magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) (Zhang et al., 2013)

### 2.2.6 Functionalized Magnetic Nanoparticle in Environmental Application

Due to the stability problem of bare magnetite nanoparticle, there has been an increase trend on the development of multifunctional magnetite nanoparticles. Functional agents that are used to modify the nanoparticles are from organic and inorganic based. Modification strategies and type of modifier greatly influence the particle size, morphology, magnetic behaviour and surface chemistry of the nanoparticles (Jeong et al., 2007; Machala et al., 2007).
2.2.6.1 Silica Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles

Silica is an outstanding material which is extensively used because of its low cost, chemically inert and thermodynamically stable. Furthermore, silica shell assists in shielding the magnetite core from unwanted interactions in the solution. The silanol group in the silica offers OH functionalities which can be modified with other molecules or biomolecules for examples titania, zirconia, proteins, nucleic acid and enzymes (Garcia-Calzon & Diaz-García, 2012). Figure 2.9 exhibits silane interaction on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles. O-H functionalities in magnetic nanoparticles reacted with -O-R bonds which results in formation of readily available sites for further substances impregnation (Arkles, 1977).

Figure 2.9: Silane coating on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles (Arkles, 1977)

Presence of non-magnetic coating agent reduces saturation magnetization (Ms) of the magnetic materials and provides low inter-particle diffusion. Thus, a proper optimization strategy is important to obtain useful materials. For instance, saturation magnetization of naked Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles reduced from 81.2 emu g$^{-1}$ to 49.7 emu g$^{-1}$ after coating with a 15 nm silica (Tian et al., 2009).
Silica based materials such as 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTEOS), p-aminophenyltrimethoxysilane (APTS) and mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (γ-MPTES) are coating agents that provide amino and sulfhydryl functionalities. Tian et al. (2009) prepared γ-mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (γ-MPTMS)-modified silica coated magnetic nanoparticles as an adsorbent for extraction and enrichment of trace amounts of Cd, Cu, Hg, and Pb prior analysis via inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS) which have high adsorption capacity, high enrichment factor, high sensitivity and can be reused up to 10 times without significantly impact its extraction efficiencies. Furthermore, due to the presence of thiol functional group in (γ-MPTMS) modified silica coated magnetic nanoparticles, this nanocomposite also has been employed in separation of Tellurium (Te) in seawater (Huang & Hu, 2008). Despite the fact that, these agents enhance the selectivity of nanoparticles for target pollutant, there is some unavoidable problem associated with residual silanol group in the product leads to the incomplete dehydration reaction which eventually enhance chemical reactivity (de Dios & Díaz-García, 2010). Thus, further end capping with appropriate reagent must be employed to overcome this constraint. For instance, aminated-CoFe₂O₄–SiO₂ nanoparticles for scavenging cadmium from environmental samples successfully synthesized (Wang et al., 2013a).

Recently, metal organic frame work (MOF) has been widely explored due to the high surface area, thermally stable, uniform pores, in-pore and surface modification readiness. Integration of metal organic frame work, MIL-101 with silica coated magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄–SiO₂–MIL-101) has been successfully removed polyaromatic hydrocarbon from aqueous solution under sonication. Existence of MIL-101 enhance adsorption capability as well sensitivity with only required small amount of adsorbent (Huo & Yan, 2012). Integration of silica coated magnetic nanoparticles
with organic framework such as diphenyl group has been employed by Bianchi et al. (2012) in determining polyaromatic hydrocarbon in urine smokers and non-smokers.

Removal of metal from aqueous solution requires an efficient ligand to form a stable metal complex and at once treat the water. Silica coated magnetic nanoparticles functionalized Schiff base was utilized as solid-phase adsorbent for removal of Pb(II), Cd(II) and Cu(II) (Bagheri et al., 2012). Example of Schiff base functionalizing agent is 3-(4-methoxybenzylideneamino)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one. Figure 2.10 proposed a synthesis routes for Schiff base modified silica coated magnetic nanoparticles.

![Synthesis routes for Schiff base silica coated magnetite nanoparticles](image)

L = ligand  TEOS = triethoxysilane

**Figure 2.10:** Synthesis routes for Schiff base silica coated magnetite nanoparticles (Bagheri et al., 2012)

β-cyclodextrin silica coated magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄–SiO₂–β-CD) demonstrated great adsorption capacity in removing bisphenol A (BPA) and diethylstilbestrol (DES) from aqueous sample. This is because β-cyclodextrin has a unique structural properties which is truncated cone with both hydrophilic and hydrophobic hollow which can offers inclusion of many guest molecules (Ji et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2008). Moreover, carboxymethyl-β-cyclodextrin (CM-β-CD) has been
introduced in the surface of silica coated magnetic nanoparticles by Ghosh et al. (2011) via carbodiimide stimulation. This material has been employed in analysis of chiral aromatic enantiomers.

The C$_{18}$-functionalized silica coated magnetite microsphere (Fe$_3$O$_4$–SiO$_2$–C$_{18}$) was produced by of a sol–gel approach with the use of a surfactant. Abundant silanol groups on the outer surface and the functional C$_{18}$ groups on the interior surface provide both hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties which gave good adsorption capacity for the extraction of phthalates from waste water sample (Deng et al., 2008). Moreover, this adsorbents also assist in extraction of Sudan dye via ultra-fast liquid chromatography with limit of detection in the range 0.066 to 0.12 ng mL$^{-1}$ (Jiang et al., 2012).

2.2.6.2 Carbon Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles

Recently, magnetic nanoparticles carbon coated has fascinated researchers worldwide since it offers strong adsorption affinity to varieties of organics substances. The advantages of carbon based materials as compared to silica are higher chemical resistance, more thermal steadiness, and biological safer. Moreover, carbon based materials also provide surface functionalization as well as pores formation. Examples of carbon based materials are activated carbon, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene and fullerene.

Activated carbon-magnetic nanocomposite designed by Bai et al. (2010) contained inner hydrophobic layer and outer hydrophilic surface. The hydrophobic property enhances extraction capacity for hydrophobic analytes, such as PAH, whereas hydrophilic property facilitates the dispersability of the sorbent in aqueous solution. Moreover, due to well distributed nanoparticles, there is no requirement for shaking and
stirring which offers on site sampling and extraction (Xie et al., 2014). By utilizing hydrothermal technique, Zhang et al. (2010) managed to obtain Fe$_3$O$_4$–C sorbent which has high surface area and high adsorption affinity with the presence of carbon chain. 50 mg of the sorbent can efficiently remove 1 L PAH with recoveries in the range of 76-110 %. Another novel sorbent which is great in extraction of PAH is ceramic carbon coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticle (Fe$_3$O$_4$–C–ceramic) which has been developed by Heidari et al. (2012) via sol-gel.

A carbon encapsulated metal nanoparticle (CEMNPs) has been extensively explored recently. The preparation of carbon-encapsulated metal nanoparticles (CEMNPs) is by cocarbonization in autogenous pressure at 420–510 °C using either heavy oil or phenolic resin as a carbon source and ferrocene as metal source (Huo et al., 2007). Surface properties of carbon encapsulated metal nanoparticles (CEMNPs) can be tailored by modifying the graphitization degree and monitor the oxygen-containing substances at different heat phases. In carbon-encapsulated metal nanoparticles (CEMNPs) the adsorption of organic contaminants involves in the interaction of π–π stacking, hydrophobic and hydrogen bonding. Although, in carbon encapsulated metal nanoparticles (CEMNPs), the method of preparation is simple and have good potential in scavenging organic pollutant, yet it involves in the usage of toxic materials (Niu et al., 2011).

Ever since carbon nanotubes (CNTs) first discovered by Iijima in 1991, this material has been widely studied in many different fields due to their outstanding mechanical, electrical and thermal characteristic. Utilizing wet chemical method, Qu et al. (2008) managed to diffuse magnetic nanoparticles into multiwall carbon nanotube which further used as an adsorbent to remove dyes as methylene blue and neutral red. Magnetic nanoparticles coated multi-wall carbon nanotube was applied as a sorbent in
the adsorption of bisphenol A (BPA), bisphenol F (BPF), and their diglycidyl ethers as well as phthalates in drinking water samples (Jiao et al., 2012a; Jiao et al., 2012b). Recently, Ding et al. (2012) prepared magnetic carbon nanotube by wrapping the magnetic nanoparticles inside the carbon nanotubes bundles during aggregation process. This material has been successfully employed as a sorbent to extract phthalic acid from beverages, environmental water and perfume. Limit of the detection found was in the range of 4.9 to 38 ng L⁻¹. Magnetic silica particles coated with hydroxyl terminated multi-walled carbon nanotubes prepared by sol–gel technology was synthesized to overcome the solubility limitation of conventional magnetic nanoparticle coated multi wall carbon nanotube. This functionalized MWCNTs-OH was effective in extraction of diethylstilbestrol, estrone and estriol from water (Guan et al., 2010).

Another type of carbon based material is graphene. Graphene is an inimitable material which has two dimensional planar structure, high surface area and good electrical, thermal and mechanical properties (Yang et al., 2009). Since graphene has a large delocalized π-electron system, it can readily produce a steady π-π interaction with organic substances especially benzenoic compounds (Chen et al., 2010). Outstanding adsorption performance was observed in magnetic nanoparticles coated graphene (Fe₃O₄-G). For instance, a variety of pollutants in environmental sample were extracted using magnetic nanoparticles coated graphene (Fe₃O₄-G) as a sorbent which were neonicotinoid insecticides, imide fungicides, carbamate pesticides, fuchsine, triazine herbicides and chloroacetanilide herbicides (Li et al., 2013a; Li et al., 2013b; Wang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2011). Magnetic nanoparticles functionalized graphene oxide (Fe₃O₄-GO) also proved to be competitive sorbent for extraction several kinds of contaminants.
2.2.6.3 Surfactants Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles

Ionic surfactants such as sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) or dioctadecyldimethylammonium chloride (DODMAC) functionalized magnetic nanoparticles offer an establishment of hemimicelles sorbents. Hemimicelles contains ionic head group and hydrocarbon in the tail group. Li et al. (2008) developed cetyltrimethylammonium bromide coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ nano-magnets (Fe$_3$O$_4$–CTAB) as a sorbent to remove chlorophenols in water samples. Moreover, this sorbent also managed to extract phenolic compounds in contaminated aqueous samples (Zhao et al., 2008).

Meanwhile, sulfonamides from environmental water samples was preconcentrated using magnetic functionalized octadecyl octadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (Fe$_3$O$_4$-OTAB) nanocomposite by Sun et al. (2009). Cheng et al. (2012) reported a preparation of magnetic functionalized hexadecyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide nanocomposites (Fe$_3$O$_4$-C16mimBr) and further employed in the extraction of chlorophenols with satisfactory extraction recoveries. Magnetic nanoparticles have also been functionalized with sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) and applied as sorbent to remove mercury(II), malachite green, and leuco-malachite green from water samples (Afkhami et al., 2010; Faraji et al., 2010).

Thus, a suitable surfactant which can form a covalent bonding with magnetic nanoparticles is crucial. For instance, several alkyl carboxylates or n-octadecylphosphonic acid were chemically adsorbed on magnetic nanoparticles and further employed for the extraction of organic substances (Roman et al., 2011).
**2.2.6.4 Polymer Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles**

Hybrid polymer magnetic nanoparticles are a potent material which can be applied in various fields. The polymers linked to the magnetic nanoparticles can be attached either by covalent or electrostatic interaction. For instance, covalent bond formed when polypyrrole or molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) reacts with magnetic nanoparticles, whereas electrostatic interaction occurred when use biopolymers as chitosan and alginates (Geng *et al.*, 2012). The sorbent having mean particle size distribution in the range of 300-700 nm and the coating thickness was measured approximately 10 nm.

Magnetic nanoparticles coated polydopamine (Fe$_3$O$_4$–PDA) was prepared by Wang *et al.* (2013b) without using any organic solvents. 20 mg of the sorbent was adequate to remove 500 mL PAH in natural water. Furthermore, another fascinating sorbent proposed by Reyes-Gallardo *et al.* (2013) using an integration of cobalt magnetic nanoparticles coated Oasis MCX. Oasis MCX was a commercial polymeric microparticles which is a cation-exchanger and water-wettable polymer. This nanocomposite were effectively applied in the determination of nitroaromatic hydrocarbon in water. Some of nanocomposites of polymer coated magnetic nanoparticles may not be able to withstand in an acidic condition, thus an appropriate coating layer must first introduce to the magnetic nanoparticles prior polymerization. For instance, polymethyl methacrylate coated magnetic silica (Fe$_3$O$_4$–SiO$_2$–PMMA) as an adsorbent to confiscate sulphonamides for aqueous samples has recently been reported (Gao *et al.*, 2010).

Synthesis of chitosan functionalized octadecyl coated magnetic nanoparticles was reported by Zhang *et al.* (2010) involves in the introduction of magnetic nanoparticles to the octadecyl group by silylation, then the functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$–C$_{18}$ was
encapsulated with chitosan tripolyphosphate via ionotropic gelation. The synthesized material has inner side of hydrophobic C_{18} and outer side of hydrophilic chitosan which was successfully extracted phthalates and perfluorinated compounds.

Recently, an application molecular imprinting polymer (MIP) in environmental treatment has increased significantly. Their approaches to targeted pollutant are similar to the recognition process in antigens and antibodies. MIP contains template and monomer in the existence of cross-linking agent. Integration of magnetic nanoparticles with molecularly imprinted polymer microspheres enhances the selectivity and magnetic separation. For example, a newly designed magnetic and hydrophilic molecularly imprinted polymers (Fe_{3}O_{4}-MIPs) were prepared by converse emulsion–suspension polymerization to eliminate acid dyes from polluted water with 1-(α-methyl acrylate)-3-methylimidazolium bromide (1-MA-3MI-Br) being exploited as a monomer (Luo et al., 2011). The superparamagnetic Fe_{3}O_{4}@MIP for bisphenol A (BPA) were obtained coated with a polychloromethylstyrene (PCMS) sheet by mini-emulsion polymerization (Liu et al., 2011).

Magnetic nanoparticles functionalized conducting polymers offers interesting diverse properties with prominence stability and simplicity of synthesis. Examples of conducting polymers that were comprehensively studied are polypyrrole (PPy), polyaniline (PANI) and polythiophene (PTh). Phthalates from water samples were effectively removed by polypyrrole coated Fe_{3}O_{4} with the involvement of π−π and hydrophobic interaction between polypyrrole and phthalates (Meng et al., 2011). Besides, this material also being employed as sorbent to remove pesticides residues in tea, juices and natural water samples (Zhao et al., 2013). Application of polyaniline coated Fe_{3}O_{4} as extractor of methyl mercury was designed with diameters in the range of 50 to 100 nm (Mehdinia et al., 2011). Sulfate functionalized polyaniline coated
magnetic nanoparticles was obtained by Tahmasebi et al. (2013) for the removal of ionizable parabens. Both electrostatic, $\pi-\pi$ and hydrophobic interaction enhanced the adsorption affinity of the sorbent to the targeted analytes. Moreover, polyaniline coated carbon magnetic nanoparticles ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$–C–PANI) prepared via hydrothermal technique was effectively remove phenolic compounds with low limit of detection and high recoveries (Meng et al., 2011).

Among conducting polymers, polythiophene exhibited remarkable stability in air and humid environment. Recently, the polythiophene coated magnetic nanoparticles ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$–PTh) was properly designed and employed as a sorbent to extract some of phthalates in environmental water samples prior analysis by gas chromatography with flame ionization detector (GC-FID) (Tahmasebi et al., 2013). For this work, we have modified the thiophene monomers with certain functionalities to tailor with the required applications (Baharin et al., 2016).

### 2.4 Phthalates

#### 2.4.1 Overview

Non-halogenated ester of phthalic acid (1, 2-benzene dicarboxilic acid), generally known as phthalates, have wide-ranging use in industrial applications. Normally, high molecular mass of phthalates become a useful plasticizers which improves the flexibility of vinyl resins. Addition of plasticizers is able to reduce intramolecular forces by decreasing the glass transition temperature of the respective molecules which lead to desirable properties of high polymeric chain such lowered brittleness, enhanced elasticity, decreased hardness and improved adhesion when needed (Staples, 2003). Supreme plasticizer must be unscented, colourless, unaffected against water, light,
neutral, not toxic and it should offer low inflammability and low volatility (Stales et al., 1997). Table 2.2 demonstrates uses of phthalates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phthalates</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>Personal care products, cosmetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>Vinyl tiles; food conveyor belts, artificial leather, automotive trim, traffic cones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>PVC plastics, latex adhesives, cosmetics, personal care products, cellulose plastics, solvent for dyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>Building products (wallpaper, wire and cable insulation), car products (vinyl upholstery, car seats), clothing (footwear, raincoats), food packaging, children’s products (toys, grip bumpers), medical devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnHP</td>
<td>Dip-molded products, such as tool handles, dishwasher baskets; flooring, vinyl gloves, flea collars, conveyer belts used in food processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNOP</td>
<td>In mixtures C6–C10 phthalates: garden hoses, pool liners, flooring tiles, tarps Seam cements, bottle cap liners, conveyer belts (indirect food additive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DINP</td>
<td>Garden hoses, pool liners, flooring tiles, tarps, toys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIDP</td>
<td>PVC plastics, covering on wires and cables, artificial leather, toys, carpet backing, pool liners</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Heudorf et al., 2007; Fromme et al., 2002)

Low molecular weight of phthalates are normally utilized as plasticizers in few of non-vinyl resins for examples acrylics, urethanes and cellulosics. Phthalates with alkyl
chain 1 to 4 carbon atoms are not commonly used as plasticizers due to the volatility distresses however they are widely used in the production of consumer products including pharmaceuticals. For example DMP, is utilized as stabilizing eluent for transportation of organic peroxides. Meanwhile, DEP acts as compounder in cellulosic films and as preservatives in fragrances. DBP is mainly used as a plasticizer in PVC. Overall, factors for the selection of phthalates in industrial applications are its functionality, adaptability, durability, competence and process ability and economical value (Heudorf et al., 2007).

By 21st century, there are arisen fears over the application of phthalates in production of children's toys and other regularly used household items since they are not chemically bound in plastics and could easily being released onto the environment; readily leaching out from products (Serodio & Nogueira, 2006). Due to the fact that phthalates are hazardous to human, a regulatory oversight of the manufacture, transport, use and disposal of phthalates have been implemented and with that huge data regarding the properties, environmental fate, exposure, and toxicity of phthalates were collected. These critical data enhance the safety practice for the production, effluent discharge limits, and human exposure limits for a better environment.

2.4.2 Occurrence of Phthalates in Environment

During industrial process, only a small amount phthalates is leached out to the environment and air. Basically phthalates are discharged in wastewater during manufacture and processing. Poorly functioning furnaces may also contribute to the existence of phthalates into air. However, foremost contribution of phthalates especially DMP, DEP, DBP, BBP, DINP, DIDP and DEHP that are found in environment are from the plastics and other phthalates containing products since it not chemically linked and
can be diffused out slowly (Schettler, 2006; Wormuth et al., 2006). Thus, usage of phthalates containing product during lifetime may lead to a sustainable phthalates concentration in the earth.

Polymer toys, bottles and pacifier contained phthalates were alleged as a possible cause of phthalate exposure in infant and children. DINP was estimated of contacts ranged from 5 to 44 mg kg\(^{-1}\) bodyweight per day (Kavlock et al., 2002). Meanwhile, Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (2002a) recorded the DEHP exposure from the imbibing or chewing of the pacifier or toys for everyday use projected up to 85 mg kg\(^{-1}\) bodyweight per day. Overall, the exposure of phthalates to children surpasses adults. Significant concentration of DMP and DEP were found in indoor air due to the usage of consumer products for example skin care, shampoo and body wash adults (Wormuth et al., 2006).

Medical tools comprising DEHP for example PVC/DEHP tubing is one of major source of phthalates exposure specifically for patient that undertaking severe attention, blood transfusion, haemodialysis and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) (infants) (Karle et al., 1997). In addition, usage of specific coatings for dosage medication containing DEP and DBP also contributes to the exposure of phthalates to the patient (Hauser et al., 2004). However, due to low concentration of these substances, it were permitted as inert ingredient by U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2003).

Several phthalates also found in food or drink packaging or processing. In 1999, the utilization of disposable PVC gloves throughout meals preparation is one of the main source of DEHP contamination, the concentration found was in the range of 10 to 4400 ng g\(^{-1}\) (Tsumura et al., 2001, 2003). In 2009 and 2013 a studies conducted by Pinto et al. (2009), Plotan et al. (2013) and Wagner et al. (2009) have found an
endocrine disruptor activity in most of the examined PET bottled water samples. Even though, concentration found was not grasped to the serious levels, but the effects on glucocorticoid activity is unidentified. Keresztes et al. (2013) claimed that, the migration of phthalates from PET bottle to water samples are influenced by PET bottle material (virgin vs. polymer comprising reused PET), pH (carbonated vs. non-carbonated samples), packaging volume and temperature. From their study, DEHP, DIBP and DBP were found in recycled PET bottle, low pH (carbonated samples) showed no activity of phthalates compared to non-carbonated, low volume of packaging contributed to high surface/volume ratio and at 60 °C, majority of phthalates studied was observed. In 2011, Hong Kong was shocked by the existence of DEHP in the flavour wrapper of ramen noodle (Huang, 2011).

Furthermore, the contamination of Canadian dairy samples with phthalates also being tested, among other phthalates, DBP and DEHP found were 11.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 47.8 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Wagner & Oehlmann, 2009). The exposure to phthalates to dairy products may arise from the containers also from the tubing during milk processing. Other published works emphasized on the existence of DEP, DBP, BBP, DEHP, DNOP and DINP in all kinds of food packaging including; paper, cardboard, plastic, glass jar metal cap and cans (Balafas et al., 1999; Bononi & Tateo, 2009; Fankhauser-Noti et al., 2006; Jarošová, 2006; Lopez-Espinosa et al., 2007; Nerin et al., 1993).

### 2.4.3 Adverse Effects

Toxicology evaluations on phthalates have been performed by different expert panels in Europe and America, for examples European Chemicals Bureau (2008), European Food Safety Authority (2005), European Scientific Committee on Toxicity, Ecotoxicity and the Environment (2004), U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and

Normally, toxicology tests were executed with rats, mice and other rodents since these organisms are seem to be more delicate to toxic effects of phthalates than humans. Organs studied were liver, kidney and testis. The most extensively applied phthalate is DEHP, which not only is supervised under the strictest regulation but is also viewed as the trickiest from the perspective of adverse effects on health. Other frequently used phthalates are DBP, DEP, DINP, BBP, DIDP and DNOP. DEHP and DBP were categorized as reprotoxic (toxic toward reproductives organs) substances by European Union in the guideline 67/548/EHS. All phthalates have been verified negative for mutagenicity and/or genotoxicity (Heudorf et al., 2007).

BBP and DINP were found to be harmful to reproductive organs (Regulation, 2001). However, the most serious case occurred with DINP where it attacks liver function and regarded as nephrotoxicity (Keresztes et al., 2013). Moreover, a significant concentration of DEHP in household dust is one of the caused for the incidence of asthma, whereas high level of BBP amounts resulted in rhinitis and eczema in children (Bornehag et al., 2004).

Recent study investigated DEP possibility on the retardation of sperm development in men since it is widely used as additives in fragrances (Duty et al., 2003). Overall, DEHP demonstrated utmost toxicity, resulting in the definition of specific risk groups such as children below 1 year, critically sick children and pregnant women undertaking treatments using medical devices with DEHP (U.S. Department of Health and Services, 2006). As for DNOP, animal studies concluded no toxicity effects up to 7500 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹, but in exposure in the range of 5000–10,000 kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ toxic effects were observed in all groups (U.S. Department of Health and Services, 2003a).
Tumor stimulating activity was found with DBP and exposure of DEHP promoting hepatocellular carcinoma, proliferation of peroxysomes and mitochondria which escalates in Cyp4A1 and PCoA activities, liver tissue propagation, destruction of apoptosis in mice (U.S. Department of Health and Services, 2003b). Exposure to phthalates also contributes to increases in stillbirth, growth and birth weight retardation, incomplete formation of skeletal and visceral (Heudorf et al., 2007).

Due to high production volume and limitless toxic effects, phthalates are subjected to strict regulations covering manufacturing process, shipping and transportation, utilization and discarding. In United State, phthalates are monitored under Clean Water Act Section 307, according to this act all effluent must be treated and follow pre-treatment standard before being discharge. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999) legalizes maximum pollution restrictions for DEHP and DEHA 6 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 400 mg L\(^{-1}\). In addition to the standard, the screening of phthalates in drinking water must be done at the concentration above 0.6 µg L\(^{-1}\). Whereas, DEHP tolerable daily intake is 20 µg kg\(^{-1}\) body weight per day.

European Union (EU) has listed DBP, BBP and DEHP as endocrine disruptor substances. Thus, these types of substances are strictly monitored. European Union and World Health Organization (WHO) has set a limit for DEHP in fresh and drinking water 8 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Serodio & Nogueira, 2006). European Union Scientific Committee on Toxicity, Ecotoxicity and the Environment 13 (CSTEE) has recognized an acceptable daily consumption value for DEHP of 37 µg kg\(^{-1}\) body weight per day (Koch et al., 2003).
2.4.4 Analysis of Phthalates

The determination of phthalates in environmental samples is challenging due to their trace amounts and the intervention of an intricate matrix (Sha et al., 2011). Therefore, a sample preparation step for the extraction and preconcentration of the analytes is required (Lopez-Espinosa et al., 2007). Sample preparation technique depends on the nature of the samples. For water samples two classes of methods will be described which are solid-phase extraction and liquid-liquid extraction. These techniques are briefly discussed below.

Liquid-liquid extraction involves in a high volume of water samples (1-2 L) and low solubility solvent. Common solvents which offer high extraction efficiency are for water samples are dichloromethane, cyclohexane or hexane (Braaten et al., 1996). Important parameter that needs to be monitor is the ratio of the water sample volume to the volume of the organic solvent. The optimum ratio should be less than 20. Higher ratio results in the high recovery for high molecular weight phthalates as DEHP whereas low recovery was obtained for low molecular weight phthalates as DMP. This is because, low molecular weight of phthalates is more prone to the water (Cousins & Mackay, 2000). Post-extraction organic phase is dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate and enriched. For samples with complex matrices, several compounds are co-extracted and can be further refined by column chromatography with packed column for examples alumina or silica.

Disadvantages in liquid-liquid extraction are existence of contamination from the trace levels of phthalates in some commercially available solvents gives error to the preconcentration factor. For instance, Vikelsoe et al., (1998) reported a questionable result on the contamination of 1 ng L\(^{-1}\) of DEHP leads to 50 ng L\(^{-1}\) background value . Besides, a huge amount of solvent are used in this techniques results in more waste.
issues. Recent, development over traditional liquid-liquid extraction, micro liquid-liquid extraction have been designed and applied (Cinelli et al., 2013). In this method, solvent usage is low and extraction can be accomplished in a small volume (10-15 mL). Micro liquid-liquid extraction for BBP, DBP, DCP and DEHP were employed in water samples (Sha et al., 2011). Typically, liquid-liquid extraction technique is preferable to heavily contaminated waste water. For determination of phthalates in less complex matrices such as drinking water, beverages, river water, surface water, sea water solid phase extraction is favoured (Staples, 2003).

In solid phase extraction (SPE), solvent consumption is low and a solid sorbent is used as an analyte extractor from the samples. Most commonly applied sorbent for determination of phthalates is octadecyl silica gel (ODS, C18). In solid phase extraction strategies, samples are passed through the adsorbent, adsorbent will attract targeted analyte to the specific active sites in the adsorbent. Then, the water phase is removed and the sorbent is eluted with different type of solvents for examples dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, methanol or acetonitrile. Targeted analyte in the elution solvent is dried via nitrogen gas prior instrument detection. Recently, many sorbents were synthesized and utilized in the solid phase extraction for analysis of phthalates. Jiao et al. (2012b) designed and applied multi-walled carbon nanotube as a sorbent in SPE to preconcentrate DEHP, DPP, DIBP and DCP in river and sea water samples. Another examples of sorbents are bamboo charcoal, Nylon6 nanofibers mat, graphene, 1-dodecyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide ([C12mim]Br)-coated silica and etc. (Li et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2008).

Furthermore, the application of nanoparticles as a sorbent in determination of phthalates also widely studied. This is due to nanoparticles offers high surface area, tuneable functionalization, chemically and thermally stable, facile synthesis routes,
sustainable and cost-effective (Han et al., 2012). For example, TiO₂ nanoparticles deposited into stainless steel fibres was applied to enriched DEHP prior gas chromatography flame ionization detector analysis (Banitaba et al., 2013). Recently, a quite numbers of publications have been applied magnetic nanoparticles as a sorbent for magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) (Reyes-Gallardo et al., 2013; Roman et al., 2011). This is because magnetic nanoparticles can give a prompt response to the external magnetic field which helps in magnetic isolation. Magnetic isolation offer facile and rapid sample preparation steps without the need of any centrifugation or filtration (Xie et al., 2014).

Solid-phase extraction offers advantage over liquid-liquid extraction in terms of high enrichment factor, cost effectives, rapidness, simplicity, environmental benign and low trace level contamination from the organic solvent. Besides, chosen of high selective sorbent for extraction provide good sensitivity and high extraction efficiency (Liška, 2000).
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Reagent and Materials

Analytical grade ferric chloride (FeCl₃·6H₂O), ferrous chloride (FeCl₂·4H₂O), ammonia solution (25 wt. %), benzene, thiophene, tetrahydrofuran, potassium iodide, potassium carbonate, acetonitrile, methanol, hydrochloric acid, acetone, ethyl acetate, polyvinyl alcohol, 3-bromothiophene, acetic acid, sodium hydrogen bicarbonate and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Belgium). 3-thiophene carboxaldehyde, 1, 6-dibromohexane, 3-hexylthiophene, n-butyllithium, DMP, DEP, DPP, DBP, BBP, DCP, DEHP, DNOP were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI USA). Acetone and aniline bought from Fisher Scientific (UK). Magnesium sulphate anhydrous, ethanol denatured and hexane purchased from Friedemann Schmidt (Parkwood, Australia). 18-crown 6, chloroform (CDCl₃) and CaH₂ attained from Acros Organics (UK). The ultrapure water was prepared by a model Aqua Max-Ultra ultra-pure water purification system (United State). Stock solutions of 1000 mg L⁻¹ of standards were prepared by dissolving appropriate amount of compounds in methanol, which remain stable for three months if stored in a fridge at 4 °C. Working standard solutions were prepared daily by diluting the stock standard solution with methanol to the required concentrations.

3.2 Instrumentation

The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer FT-IR between 4000 and 400 cm⁻¹ (Perkin Elmer, Massachusetts, USA). Structural elucidation was determined using ¹H NMR, JEOL 400 MHz (JEOL, Tokyo Japan). X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted with Panalytical model Empyrean at 40 kV and 35 mA using Cu Kα radiation (λ= 1.54059 Å) (Panalytical,
Almelo, Netherlands). Morphological analysis of the synthesized products were performed using JEOL JSM-7600F field emission scanning electron microscope operated at 3 kV (JEOL, Tokyo Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis using an FEI Tecnai G2 spectra microscope (FEI, Hillsbоро, USA). The magnetic property was tested using a vibration sample magnetometer (VSM) Model 9600 (Quantum Design Inc., San Diego, USA). Magnetization measurements were carried out in an external field of up to 15 kOe at room temperature. The thermal stability was investigated by thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA); model TGA-STA 1500, with heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ between 25–900 °C under nitrogen atmosphere (Perkin Elmer, Massachusetts, USA). Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) analysis was carried out using Micromeritics ASAP2020 surface area analyser for determining the pore diameter and the specific surface area of nanosorbents (Micromeritics, Georgia, USA). Adsorption studies were investigated using Shimadzu Ultraviolet-Visible spectroscopy (UV–Vis), equipped with 1 cm quartz cells (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

3.3 Chromatographic Condition

Separation and detection of target analytes were performed by a Shimadzu 2010 gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a split/splitless injector and a flame ionization detector (FID). A DB-5 Agilent fused-silica capillary column (Agilent, California, USA) (30 m × 0.32 mm i.d. × 0.25 μm film thickness) was applied for separation of analytes. Helium (with 99.999% purity) was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 4 mL min$^{-1}$. Chromatographic conditions were controlled as described; the temperatures of the injector and detector were set at 260 °C and 280 °C, respectively. The injection port was operated at splitless mode. Oven temperature was held at 150 °C for 1 min and increased to 280 °C at 8 °C min$^{-1}$ for 3 min.
3.4 Preparation of MNP Coated Functionalized Polythiophene Nanocomposites

3.4.1 Synthesis of Functionalized Thiophene Monomers

Figure 3.1 shows thiophene and its derivatives used in this study. Two were commercially available monomers; thiophene (Th) and 3-hexylthiophene (3Th) were distilled prior used. Other two monomers were synthesized as shown in Scheme 3.1 and Scheme 3.2.

![Thiophene (Th)](image1)

![3-hexylthiophene (3Th)](image2)

![N-Phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine (TCN)](image3)

Figure 3.1: Thiophene monomers used in this study

![Phenyl (4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)-amine (3TAh)](image4)

Scheme 3.1: Reaction pathway of N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine (TCN)
Scheme 3.2: Reaction pathway of phenyl (4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine (3TArH)

3.4.1.1 Synthesis of N-phenyl-1-(2-thiencyl)methanimine (TCN)

- N-phenyl-1-(2-thiencyl)methanimine, TCN prepared from method established by Borque (2010) with some modifications. 3-thiophene carboxaldehyde (A) (10 mmol, 1.12 g) was added to 30 mL of absolute ethanol. Subsequently, (10 mmol, 0.93 g) of aniline (B) was poured into the solution. The mixture was refluxed at 70 °C for 4 h and stirred at room temperature for 15 h. Solvent removal by vacuum distillation (0.8 Torr) gave viscous orange oil. Yield: 78%.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 7.18 (m, 1H, CH=C$_{thiophene}$), 7.36 (m, 2H, CH-S-CH), 7.59-7.63 (m, 5H, ArH), 8.55 (s, 1H, CH=N), refer Appendix B. FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3062 (C-H$_{aromatic}$), 1623.05 (C=N), 1589.22, 1423.89 (C=C), 709.86 (C=S).

3.3.1.2 Synthesis of Phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine (3TArH)

Synthesis of phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine, 3TArH consists of two steps. The first step is to prepare the intermediates which were 3-
bromohexylthiophene, 3BHT and 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol, 4PIMP. Second step was combining the two intermediates by Williamson etherification method.

- 3-bromohexylthiophene, 3BHT: 3-bromothiophene (2 mL, 21.3 mmol) was added to the dry, degassed hexane (50 mL). The reaction started by cooling the flask at -78 °C. n-butyllithium in hexane (2.0 M, 10.16 mL) was poured into the reaction flask and stirred for 10 min. THF (5 mL) was injected drop wise for 15 minutes and continuously stirred for 1 h which produced white precipitate and clear supernatant liquid. The supernatant liquid was removed and changed with hexane/THF (10:1 v/v, 55 mL). 1, 6-dibromohexanes (32.7 mL, 213 mmol) was added and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was stopped with addition of saturated NaHCO₃ (50 mL), diluted diethyl (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried with magnesium sulfate anhydrous, treated with decolorizing charcoal, filtered and concentrated in vacuum to give orange oil. Removed excess 1, 6-dibromohexane via vacuum distillation (0.04 torr, 55 °C) and purified silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane, 1/99 to 5/95 v/v) to obtain oily product. Yield: 52 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.25-1.50 (m, 4H, (CH₂)₂(CH₂)₂Br), 1.57 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂C=CH₂thiophene), 1.78 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂Br), 2.45-2.60 (m, 2H, CH₂C=CH₂thiophene), 3.51 (m, 2H, CH₂Br), 6.98 (m, 2H, HC=CCCH₂thiophene), 7.42 (m, 1H, SCH=CH₂thiophene), refer Appendix C. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3005.47 (C-H aromatic), 2933.71 (C-H aliphatic), 1551.4, 1459.60 (C=C aromatic), 773.32 (C-S thiophene), 643.4 (C-Br), refer Appendix A (b).

- 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol, 4PIMP: 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (122 mg, 10 mmol) was added to (112 mg, 10 mmol) 2-aminobenzenethiol in 50 mL ethanol. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h. Yellow crystal was obtained after recrystallized with ethanol. Yield: 95 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm):
6.91 (m, 2H, ArHOH), 7.10-7.41 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.77 (m, 2H, ArHOH), 8.46 (s, 1H, HC=N), 10.13 (s, 1H, ArHOH), refer Appendix D. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3411 (C-OH), 3098 (C-H aromatic), 1609 (C=N), 1588, 1504 (C=C aromatic), refer Appendix A (a).

- Phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine, 3TArH: A mixture of 4PIMP (1.97 g, 10 mmol), anhydrous potassium carbonate (4.14 g, 30 mmol) and 18-Crown-6 (16.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) was stirred in dried acetone (50 mL) at room temperature. Then compound 3BHT (0.81 g, 2 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen with stirring for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into the saturated solution of potassium carbonate. The organic phase was collected and washed by water (3 × 100 ml), dried by anhydrous sodium sulphate. The solvent was removed by reduced pressure, and the residue was dried by vacuum to give the crude product. Purification was accomplished by column chromatography on silica with 25 % hexane in chloroform to afford the monomer (Chen et al., 2012). Yield: 67.6 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-D₆) δ (ppm): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 0.88 (m, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₂O), 1.35-1.55 (m, 2H, CH₂(CH₂)₂C=CHthiophene), 1.65 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂C=CHthiophene), 1.85 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂O), 2.75 (m, 2H, CH₂C=CHthiophene), 4.02 (t, 2H, CH₂O), 6.67 (m, 1H, CH-Cthiophene), 6.75 (m, 1H, SCH=Cthiophene), 6.88 (m, 1H, SCH=CHthiophene), 6.97 (m, 2H, ArHCH=N), 7.10 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.20 (m, 2H, OArH), 7.35 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.85 (m, 2H, ArHN=CH), 8.48 (s, 1H, HC=N), refer Appendix E. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 2980 (C-H aromatic), 2934 (C-H aliphatic), 1617 (C=N), 1599.9, 1426.71 (C=C aromatic), 1239.71, 1018.26 (C-O), 709.24 (C-S), refer Appendix A (c).
3.4.2 Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles (MNP)

Briefly, Fe₃O₄ has been prepared by co-precipitation method refer to previous study (Shen et al., 1999). FeCl₃·6H₂O (8.48 g) and FeCl₂·4H₂O (2.25 g) were dissolved in 400 mL deionized water under nitrogen atmosphere with vigorous stirring (1000 rpm) at 80 °C. Then, 20 mL ammonia solution (25% wt.) was added to the solution. The colour of bulk solution immediately changed from orange into black. After stirring the mixture for 5 min, the Fe₃O₄ NPs precipitate obtained was separated by magnetic decantation and washed several times with deionized water. Finally, the Fe₃O₄ NPs obtained were dried in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 12 h.

3.4.3 Synthesis of MNP Coated Functionalized Polythiophene Nanocomposites

The surface of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were modified by coating with newly designed modified thiophene monomers via oxidation polymerization with generation of ferric cations on the MNP surface (Shin & Jang, 2007). The preparation of MNP coated functionalized polythiophene is illustrated in Figure 3.2 by giving example of schematic diagram for the preparation of MNP coated poly(phenyl(4-(6-thiocycl-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzyldiene)amine) (P3TArH). MNP (1 mmol, 0.235 g) were discreted in polyvinyl alcohol aqueous solution (0.001 M). Later, monomer (10 mmol) was added into the mixed solution with vigorous stirring. Subsequently, 30 mL of HCl (0.5 M) solution was introduced into the mixture. Then the obtained product was dried in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 12 h. The experiment was repeated for other different monomers (thiophene, 3-hexylthiophene and N-phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)methanimine)).
3.5 Screening Study

All the synthesized nanocomposites were screened to determine optimum sorbent for the extraction of phthalates. Screening procedures were conducted with 10 mg of each synthesized nanocomposites (MNP@PTh, MNP@P3Th, MNP@PTCN and MNP@P3TArH) to the 20 mL of phthalate solution at pH 7. Samples were shaken via orbital shaker for 30 min. Then, the sorbent were separated from the liquid via magnetic decantation. After that, sorbents were added with 500 µL ethyl acetate with and shaken for 10 min. The supernatant liquid were collected and dried with purified nitrogen prior analysis using GC-FID.

3.6 Adsorption Studies of DEHP on MNP Coated Poly(phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)amine) (P3TArH).

Experimental parameters were optimized using batch experiments for effect of pH, kinetics and thermodynamic studies, effect of initial concentration, equilibrium studies and reusability studies. Sorption experiments were determined by the following

![Schematic diagram for preparation of Fe₃O₄ coated poly(phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)-amine) (P3TArH) (Baharin et al., 2016)](image)
batch method: in each experiment, 5 mg of adsorbent was mixed with 5 mL of an aqueous solution of DEHP at a known concentration in a tightly sealed vial. The solution was shaken for 1 h on a shaker at room temperature. After the adsorption process, the adsorbent was separated by magnetic decantation, and the residual concentration was determined using Shimadzu Ultraviolet-Visible spectroscopy (UV–Vis), equipped with 1 cm quartz cells (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). All the samples were performed in triplicate. The removal efficiency, \( R \) (%) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
R \, (\%) = \frac{(C_o - C_e)}{C_o} \times 100 \tag{3.1}
\]

The amount of DEHP adsorbed per unit mass of the adsorbent \( (q_e) \) was calculated as:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e)}{W} V \tag{3.2}
\]

\( C_o \) and \( C_e \) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of the solutions (mg L\(^{-1}\)), respectively. \( V \) (L) is the volume of the solution, and \( W \) (g) is the mass of the dry adsorbent being used.

3.7 Application of MNP Coated Poly(phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine) as a New Sorbent for Magnetic Solid-Phase Extraction (MSPE) of Phthalates

3.7.1 Optimization Parameters

Factors affecting the extraction efficiency of the proposed method such as pH, extraction time, sample volume, elution solvent, elution solvent volume, desorption time, adsorbent dosage and effect of NaCl were studied. Reusability studies were
conducted for five cycles to determine the possibilities for reutilizing and regeneration were investigated and optimized. The study and optimization of the above-mentioned variables were performed using the one variable at a time method. The chromatographic peak area was used to evaluate influence of the factors on the extraction efficiency of MSPE of the target analytes. All the experiments were performed in triplicate, and the means of the results were used in plotting of the optimization curves.

10 mg of MNP coated poly(phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine) was dispersed to the 20 mL of phthalates solution at pH 7 containing 15 g L\(^{-1}\) concentration of NaCl. Samples were shaken via orbital shaker for 30 min. Then, the sorbent were separated from the liquid via magnetic decantation. After that, sorbents were added with 500 µL ethyl acetate with and shaken for 10 min. The supernatant liquid were collected and dried with purified nitrogen prior analysis using GC-FID.

### 3.7.2 Method Validation

In order to evaluate the figures of merit of the proposed technique, linearity, limit of detection (LOD) and repeatability were investigated under optimized conditions. The linearity was analysed through the standard curves ranging from 0.08 to 1 µgL\(^{-1}\) by diluting appropriate amounts of phthalates stock solution (1000 mgL\(^{-1}\)) with methanol and prepared in triplicate. The calibration curves were prepared using 10 spiking levels of analytes concentration. For each level, three replicate experiments were performed. Method chosen for this study is linear regression that can be exposed to model as:

\[
y = mx + c
\]  \hfill (3.3)
This model is used to determine the sensitivity in the LOD and LOQ. Therefore, the LOD and LOQ can be stated as;

\[
\text{LOD} = \frac{3 \times s.d}{m} \quad (3.4)
\]

\[
\text{LOQ} = \frac{10 \times s.d}{m} \quad (3.5)
\]

where \( s.d \) is the standard deviation of the response and \( m \) is the slope of the calibration curve. The standard deviation of the response can be estimated by the standard deviation of \( y \)-intercepts (Shrivastava & Gupta, 2011). Preconcentration factor (P.F) can be calculated as following equation;

\[
P, F = \frac{\text{Sample Volume}}{\text{Eluent volume}} \quad (3.6)
\]

Precision of the method was investigated by repeatability (intra-day) and intermediate precision (inter-day) of both standard and sample solutions. Precision was determined in seven replicates of phthalates on the same day (intra-day precision) and daily for 3 days (inter-day precision). Results were presented as RSD (%) (Bhadra et al., 2011).

\[
\text{RSD} (%) = \frac{s.d}{\text{mean}} \times 100 \quad (3.7)
\]

3.7.2.1 Real Sample Analyses

To evaluate reliability of the proposed method for extraction and preconcentration of the plasticizers from real samples, two real water samples were selected, spiked (50 \( \mu g \) L\(^{-1}\)) and subjected to the MSPE–GC–FID analysis with the optimized condition of
10 mg of MNP coated poly(phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)amine) was dispersed to the 20 mL of samples volume solution at pH 7 containing 15 g L$^{-1}$ concentration of NaCl. Samples were shaken via orbital shaker for 30 min. Then, the sorbent were separated from the liquid via magnetic decantation. After that, sorbents were added with 500 µL ethyl acetate with and shaken for 10 min. The supernantant liquid were collected and dried with purified nitrogen prior analysis using GC-FID.

Two real samples were a commercial bottled mineral water and a bottled of fresh milk. Recovery was calculated using following equation:

$$\text{Recovery (\%)} = \frac{\text{Spiked concentration} - \text{unspiked concentration}}{\text{spiked concentration}} \times 100 \quad (3.8)$$
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Characterization of Samples

Characterization of naked magnetic nanoparticles (MNP) and synthesized nanocomposites (MNP@PTh, MNP@P3Th, MNP@PTCN and MNP@P3TArH) were performed and elaborated at below section.

4.1.1 Fourier Transform Infra-Red Spectroscopy (FT-IR)

Figure 4.1 shows several additional peaks in the spectrum of nanocomposites, proportional to the iron oxide spectrum, which might be due to the surface functionalization. The strong absorption peaks in the range of ~3400 cm\(^{-1}\) for naked MNP and all nanocomposites indicated the presence of OH vibration, while the peak at 530–632 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to Fe–O stretching modes (Aydm et al., 2011).

![Figure 4.1: FT-IR spectrum of (a) naked magnetic nanoparticles, (b) MNP@PTh, (c) MNP@PTCN, (d) MNP@P3Th and (e) MNP@P3TArH nanocomposites](image)
The C–H aromatic stretching peak was observed for all nanocomposites, which falls at ~2980 cm\(^{-1}\). C–H sp\(^3\) stretching (hexyl aliphatic side) occurred at 2934 cm\(^{-1}\) for MNP@P3Th and MNP@P3TArH. Schiff base peaks C=N was observed at 1653 cm\(^{-1}\) MNP@PTCN and 1685 cm\(^{-1}\) for MNP@P3TArH (Vasanthi & Ravikumar, 2013). C=C aromatic symmetric and asymmetric absorption bands demonstrated in the range of 1573–1461 cm\(^{-1}\) for MNP@PTh, 1563-1451 cm\(^{-1}\) for MNP@PTCN, 1565-1473 cm\(^{-1}\) for MNP@P3Th and 1564-1420 cm\(^{-1}\) for MNP@P3TArH. Two absorption band peaks at 1250 and 1072 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the presence of C–O in MNP@P3TArH. Hence, FT-IR study clearly revealed that all nanocomposites prepared has been successfully coated.

4.1.2 X-Ray Difractometer (XRD)

Figure 4.2 displays the characteristic peaks observed for naked MNP and all nanocomposites prepared (MNP@PTh, MNP@P3Th, MNP@PTCN and MNP@P3TArH). The peak of the nanocomposites was slightly wider than MNP. This is might be because of the presence of amorphous and polymeric materials (Cótica et al., 2010). The characteristic peaks of were observed at \(2\Theta = 30^\circ, 35.7^\circ, 43^\circ, 53.4^\circ, 57.0^\circ, \) and \(62.6^\circ\), which are marked by their respective indices [(220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440)] (Giri et al., 2005). This showed that the surface functionalization does not change crystalline phase of MNP.
4.1.3 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET)

The BET surface area is measured using the multipoint BET method, within the relative pressure \((P/P_0)\) range of 0.05 to 1. As described in Appendix F, the MNP and all nanocomposites display a H3 type hysteresis loop based on the Brunauer-Deming-Deming-Teller (BDDT) classification, demonstrating the existence of mesopores with pore diameters between 2-50 nm (Sing, 1985). The pore size and BET surface area of MNPs and nanocomposites are tabulated in Table 4.1. The decrease in pore size of nanocomposites is due to the addition of polymer coating on the surface. Increase in the surface area maybe attributed by the aggregation of particles that resulted in the enhancement of the spaces between them (Darab et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2008).
Table 4.1: BET pore size and surface area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)</th>
<th>Surface Area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNP</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>37.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@PTh</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@PThC=N</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@P3HexTh</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@P3TARH</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>103.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.4 Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Figure 4.3 shows TG analysis for MNP and nanocomposites. Small weight loss was detected under 200 °C in MNP and nanocomposite, speculated to be due to desorption of adsorbed water on the surface of the nanoparticles. Since Fe-O is thermodynamically steady within a temperature range of 280 °C to 850 °C, thus no weight loss is observed for Fe₃O₄ after 200 °C (Mahdavi et al., 2013). The thermograms of nanocomposite indicated that it is stable up to 210 °C. However, above this temperature all nanocomposites exhibited rapid weight loss in the temperature ranging from 240 °C and 450 °C. This might be due to the decomposition of polymer coating. Herein, thermal analysis study showed that the surface of MNP has been successfully coated with functionalized polythiophenes.
Figure 4.3: Thermogram of (a) naked magnetic nanoparticles, (b) MNP@PTh, (c) MNP@PTCN, (d) MNP@P3TArH and (e) MNP@P3Th nanocomposites

4.1.5 Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM)

Magnetic properties of the samples were recorded at room temperature with an external field of ±15 kOe. M-H hysteresis curves of MNP, MNP@PTh, MNP@PThC=N, MNP@P3HexTh and MNP@P3TArH are presents in Figure 4.4. Important magnetic parameter, which is saturation magnetization (M_s), was assessed. As clear from the hysteresis loops, magnetization did not occur until maximum applied field and exhibited superparamagnetic behavior (Jayabharathi et al., 2015). Maximum saturation (M_s) of MNP and nanocomposites appeared at 92 (bulk magnetization) and ~63.2 emu g⁻¹, respectively. MNP@PTCN and MNP@P3TArH demonstrated high magnetization saturation compares to other nanocomposites. This can be due to the Schiff base groups have been designed for shielding the magnetic core of MNP from oxidation that may reduce its magnetization (Issaadi et al., 2011).
Reduced magnetization signify the presence of a dead magnetic layer on the surface of the nanocomposites (Aydin et al., 2011). Although magnetization is reduced in nanocomposite, but still the value of magnetization falls within the acceptable range, which suggest that they can be separated conveniently from a solution with an external magnetic field (Ma et al., 2005).

4.1.6 Morphological Analysis

Significance improvement in surface area of MNP@P3TArH as shown by BET results, we decided to further study its morphological properties. Figure 4.5 demonstrates morphological analyses of the MNP and MNP@P3TArH using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) techniques. FESEM and TEM images of all materials exhibited a sphere-shaped geometry. As evident from the TEM analysis, the distribution of the modified
nanoparticles (MNP@P3TArH) is very uniform and nanoparticles are segregated. This may be due to the presence of polymeric material coating, reduces the aggregation and stabilizes the magnetic nanoparticles (Shin & Jang, 2007).

Analysis of TEM images using the IMAGEJ software determined the average of particle diameter distribution by computing the values corresponding to at least 300 nanoparticles. Based on the histogram plotted in Figure 4.5 (e) and (f), $D_{\text{TEM}}$, an average diameter, and $\sigma$, a standard deviation values were calculated. TEM average particle size for MNP@P3TArH was found to be $13.070 \pm 2.916$ nm. The average particle size MNP was larger than the average particle size of MNP@P3TArH determined from the TEM which might be due to many nanoparticles are accumulated and overlaid on top of one another, and cannot be measured accordingly (Cótica et al., 2010).
Figure 4.5: FESEM images of a) MNP, b) MNP@P3TArH; TEM images of c) MNP, d) MNP@P3TArH; and diameter distribution of e) MNP, f) MNP@P3TArH.
4.2 Screening Studies

Hypothetically, the adsorption of phthalates is based on the hydrophobicity and $\pi-\pi$ dispersion (Moreno-Castilla, 2004). To prove that the structure architecture can influence the adsorption of phthalates, five different types of sorbent, which are naked magnetic nanoparticles (MNP), MNP@PTh, MNP@PTCN, MNP@P3Th, and MNP@P3TArH were tested. As shows in Figure 4.6, MNP resulted in an insignificant peak area for all of the analytes studied. After the introduction of polythiophene derivatives on the surface of MNP, the peak area of phthalates increased.

![Chart showing peak area for different adsorbents](chart.png)

**Figure 4.6:** Effect of adsorbent for the extraction of the targeted phthalates

The presence of aliphatic and aromatic groups in the MNP@P3TArH enhances the dispersion of phthalates, which enhances the $\pi-\pi$ dispersion and hydrophobic interactions. As evidenced, butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP) is more prone to the adsorbent with more aromatic sides, as in the MNP@PT3ArH, compared to the other adsorbents. Besides, the high surface area of MNP@P3TArH also contributes to the increase of extraction performance. Since the MNP@P3TArH has demonstrated the high peak area for all analytes studied, it was selected for further adsorption and MSPE optimization.
4.3 Adsorption of Studies of DEHP on P3TArH Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles

4.3.1 Effect of Magnetic Nanoparticle Loading

Optimum amount Fe$_3$O$_4$ loading on P3TArH for the adsorption of DEHP was investigated. As described in Figure 4.7, when the loading of Fe$_3$O$_4$ reached to 10 % the adsorption efficiency increased and decreased later after 10 %. This can be due to the, less amount of loading, contributes to low surface area and reduced the actives site for adsorption, while the increase of loading beyond the optimum can caused particles agglomeration (Akpan & Hameed, 2009; Munusamy et al., 2013). Therefore, 10 % of Fe$_3$O$_4$ from the total moles of monomer was chosen as the optimized loading and used for further experiments.

![Figure 4.7: Percentage of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles added to the total mole of monomer for adsorption of DEHP](image)

4.3.2 Effect of pH

Adsorption was performed under different pH conditions, ranging from pH 3 - 10. As evidenced by Figure 4.8(a), adsorption efficiency increases when the pH increases
from 5 to 7, but decreases later from 7 to 10. Whereas, Figure 4.8(b) demonstrated zeta potential analysis of MNP@P3TArH with effect of pH range 3 to 9 which represents the surface charge of MNP@P3TArH. At low pH, C=N groups in MNP@P3TArH were protonated, making the adsorbent surface positively charge. As described in Scheme 4.1 (a), at pH<7, DEHP hydrolyzes to phthalic acid, since the carbonyl group in the phthalic acid is nucleophilic in nature, it can easily react with hydrogen ions in the solution to form positively charged species. Due to both absorbate and adsorbent acquiring positive charges, the electrostatic repulsion occurred and retarded the adsorption performance (Mohan et al., 2002).

Moreover, based on zeta potential result at higher pH, surface of the adsorbent became negatively charge due to deprotonation of C=N in MNP@P3TArH, whereas adsorbate hydrolyzes to phthalate anions as shown in Scheme 4.1(b) resulting in the repulsion and thereby, reduces the adsorption efficiency (Fang & Huang, 2009). Strong adsorptive performance in neutral pH can be explained by strong interactions between the hydrophobic and π-π interactions in MNP@P3TArH with DEHP. Since the optimum performance of adsorption of DEHP was demonstrated at pH 7, this pH was selected for the entire experiments.
Figure 4.8: (a) Effect of solution pH (b) The zeta potential of MNP@P3TArH at various pHs

Scheme 4.1: (a) DEHP at pH<7 and (b) DEHP at pH>7
4.3.3 Kinetic and Thermodynamic Studies

The effect of contact time for the removal of DEHP by MNP@P3TArH was investigated in the time range of 0–180 min at four different temperatures of 298.15 K, 318.15 K, 323.15 K and 333.15 K. Figure 4.9 demonstrates that the adsorption capacity was rapid for the first 15 min; which might be due to the many available active sites for adsorption. From 120 min to 180 min, the removal capacity was observed to be constant; therefore, 120 min could be regarded as the equilibrium time.

![Figure 4.9: Effect of contact time and temperature for the adsorption of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH](image)

To further determine the adsorption mechanism and kinetics parameters, the data gained were fitted into three types of kinetics models, pseudo first-order, pseudo second-order, and intraparticle diffusion (Febrianto et al., 2009; Ho & McKay, 2000; Memon et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2008; Weber & Rumer, 1965). The pseudo first-order kinetic model is extensively used to study the adsorption of an adsorbate from an aqueous solution. The equation can be expressed as;
\[ \log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \left(\frac{k_1}{2.30}\right)t \]  

(4.1)

where \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the amount of DEHP adsorbed (mg g\(^{-1}\)) at equilibrium and time, \( t \) is the contact time (min), and \( k_1 \) is the rate constant of this equation (min\(^{-1}\)). \( k_1 \) and \( q_e \) were determined from the plot of \( \log(q_e - q_t) \) versus \( t \). Meanwhile, pseudo-second order kinetic model is based on the assumption that the adsorption mechanism depends on the both adsorbate and adsorbent (Pavan et al., 2008). The linear equations pertaining to this area follows;

\[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e}t, \text{ where } h = k_2q_e^2 \text{ and } t_{1/2} = k_2q_e^{-1} \]  

(4.2)

where \( h \) is the initial adsorption rate (mg g\(^{-1}\)) min, \( t_{1/2} \) is half equilibrium time (min), and \( k_2 \) is the pseudo second-order rate constant (g mg\(^{-1}\) min). A linear plot of \( t/q_t \) vs. \( t \) could be used to determine the value of \( q_e, k_2, h \) and \( t_{1/2} \) respectively. The kinetics parameters and correlation coefficient are listed in Table 4.2. It can be seen that the experimental data is fitted with pseudo second-order kinetic model with \( R^2 \) near to unity and can be further confirmed by the nearness of the calculated and experimental \( q_e \) value. Similar adsorption behavior was also found for the removal of diethyl phthalate by activated carbon (Venkata Mohan et al., 2007). Next, the parameters for intraparticle diffusion models were calculated according to the linear equation as follows;

\[ q_t = Kt^{0.5} + c \]  

(4.3)

where \( c \) is thickness of boundary layer (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( K \) is the rate constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)).
Figure 4.10 reveals that the plots are not linear throughout the contact time, however, a similar trend for four temperatures were observed, and the plots were divided into two parts; at the start of the experiment, a steeper line was detected, which might be due to a massive transfer of DEHP from the boundary layer to the surface of the MNP@P3TArH. After 8 min, the line continued to be linear, due to diffusion of DEHP through the mesopores of MNP@P3TArH (Jalil et al., 2010).
From the intraparticle diffusion model parameters, the adsorption of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH can be assumed as an exothermic reaction, since the value of boundary layer thickness decreases with the increases of temperature (Tewari et al., 1972). Higher temperature resulting the increment of solubility of DEHP to aqueous solution and increased the collision between adsorbents molecules which led to lower intraparticle diffusion rate (Yadava et al., 1989).

The thermodynamic parameters were determined to investigate the mechanism of the adsorption process. The values of adsorption enthalpy (ΔH°), entropy (ΔS) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG°), are revealed in Table 4.3, which were calculated using the following equations;

\[ \ln K_c = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{RT} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

\[ K_c = \frac{C_{e\text{ (adsorbent)}}}{C_{e\text{ (solution)}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)
\[ \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_c \]  

(4.6)

From the plot of \( \ln K_c \) against \( 1/T \), the \( (\Delta H^\circ) \) value was found to be negative, which confirms that the adsorption process is exothermic in nature. The increase in temperature enhanced the surface activity of DEHP, increasing its affinity to water (Minling et al., 2015). The \( (\Delta S) \) value was negative, demonstrating that the system reached an ordered state due to the decrease in the randomness of adsorbate/aqueous phase (Aydın & Aksoy, 2009). Decreased \( \Delta G^\circ \) values with elevating temperature indicated that the process favours low temperature (Salleh et al., 2015). Moreover, negative values of \( \Delta G^\circ \) was in the range between -20 and 0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), indicating that the adsorption process is thermodynamically feasible, physically controlled, and spontaneous (Kilic et al., 2011; Zarrouk et al., 2011). The activation energy of the adsorption process can be calculated from the plot of \( k_2 \) (pseudo second order rate constant) against \( 1/T \) using the Arrhenius equation;

\[ \ln k_2 = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T} \right) \]  

(4.7)

The value of activation energy for the adsorption of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH was found to be -40.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\). Negative value of sorption activation energy demonstrating the process favors at low temperature (Saha & Chowdhury, 2011). Moreover, the value of activation energy could be used to determine the adsorption behavior; if the value is less than <40 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), the process is physisorption, and if its >40 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), it is predominantly a chemical adsorption process.
### Table 4.3: Thermodynamic parameters for DEHP adsorption onto MNP@P3TArH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>$q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>-2.883</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318.15</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>-1.650</td>
<td>-19.77</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323.15</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>-1.151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.15</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>-0.881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.3.4 Effect of Initial DEHP Concentration and Isotherms Equilibrium Studies

Figure 4.11 demonstrates the effect of initial concentration of DEHP in the range of 5-90 mg L$^{-1}$ at 298 K. The adsorptive capacity increases with the increasing of initial concentration of DEHP from 5 mg L$^{-1}$ and reaches equilibrium at 15 mg L$^{-1}$ with 11.8 mg g$^{-1}$. This indicates that a maximum concentration for 5 mg of MNP@P3TArH in 5 mL aqueous solution is 15 mg L$^{-1}$ DEHP to give significant adsorption efficiency. At low concentration, the removal is significant due to the readiness of many active sites for adsorption whereas at high concentration, the removal is persistent due to all available active sites in adsorbent are fully occupied by DEHP.

To study the adsorption isotherm, four models, Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) were utilized to explain the adsorption behaviour of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH. The Langmuir isotherms model is based on the expectations that the monolayer adsorption homogenously occurred on all active sites, with no additional adsorption after the sites are fully occupied by the solute at uniform energy (Srivastava et al., 2006). The Langmuir equation is,

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{b q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}
\]  

(4.8)
where $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate, $C_o$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the initial adsorbate concentration, $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium,

Figure 4.11: Effect of DEHP initial concentration

$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$) and $b$ (L mg$^{-1}$) are Langmuir constant related to the adsorption capacity and rate of adsorption, respectively. To determine whether the process is either favourable or unfavourable, the dimensionless separation factor ($R_L$) can be calculated from;

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + bC_o} \quad (4.9)$$

From the plot of $C_e/q_e$ versus $C_e$, the values of Langmuir parameters were determined. In contrast to the Langmuir isotherm model, the Freundlich isotherm model assumed that adsorption heterogeneously occurred on the adsorbent's surfaces, with different energies of active sites (Mckay et al., 1982). The following equations determined the values of Freundlich constant;

$$\log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \quad (4.10)$$
where $K_F \left( \text{mg g}^{-1} \left( \text{L mg}^{-1} \right)^{1/n} \right)$ is the adsorption capacity, while $1/n$ represents Freundlich constants, respectively. The Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm model takes into account the porosity of the adsorbent. The experimental data was fitted with a plot of $\ln q_e$ against $c^2$ as a linear equation in the following form:

$$\ln q_e = \ln q_m - \beta c^2 \quad (4.11)$$

where $\beta (\text{mol}^2 \text{kJ}^{-2})$ denotes the adsorption energy constant and the Polanyi potential ($\varepsilon$) is mean free energy respectively. $E (\text{kJmol}^{-1})$ can be obtained using the following equations:

$$\varepsilon = RT \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{c_e} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

$$E (\text{kJ mol}^{-1}) = (2\beta r^{0.5}) \quad (4.13)$$

where $R$ is the universal gas constant in $\text{kJ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$, and $T$ is the temperature. The Temkin model was established on the basis that the heat of adsorption would reduce linearly as the layer is covered, resulting in an uneven relationship of adsorbent–adsorbate interfaces on heterogeneous surfaces in adsorption systems (Temkin & Pyzhev, 1940). The Temkin model linear equation is as follows:

$$q_e = \beta \ln K_T + \beta \ln C_e, \quad \text{where } b_T = \frac{RT}{\beta} \quad (4.14)$$

A plot of $q_e$ versus $\ln C_e$ from the linear equation could be used to determine the Temkin constant, where $K_T \left( \text{L mg}^{-1} \right)$ is the Temkin constant related to the equilibrium binding energy, and $b_T (\text{J mol}^{-1})$ is Temkin constant related to the heat of adsorption.

Amid the four isotherms studied, from Table 4.4, the result showed that the adsorption of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH was better described by Freundlich isotherm.
models ($R^2 = 0.9833$), suggesting that adsorption occurred heterogeneously on adsorption sites. Comparable studies were also found for the adsorption of diethyl phthalate (DEP) using multiwall carbon nanotubes (Wang et al., 2010). Additionally, the Freundlich constant, adsorption capacity $K_F$, and adsorption intensity $1/n$ value were determined to be 0.6945 mg g$^{-1}$ and 1.168, respectively. High $K_F$ value represented great adsorption affinity towards adsorbate (Xu et al., 2007). Whereas $1/n \geq 1$ indicated favourable adsorption process (Pelit et al., 2011).

### Table 4.4: Isotherms parameters for DEHP adsorption of onto MNP@P3TArH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherms model</th>
<th>Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Langmuir</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>52.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$ (L mg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.8259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_L$</td>
<td>0.8695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Freundlich</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_F ((mg g^{-1}) (L mg^{-1})1/n)$</td>
<td>0.6945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/n$</td>
<td>1.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temkin</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_T (L mg^{-1})$</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_T (kJ/mol)$</td>
<td>276.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dubinin-Radushkevich</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>10.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.8502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.12 demonstrates an illustration of proposed interaction for multilayer adsorption according to the Freundlich isotherms. When DEHP and MNP@P3TArH come into contact, sorbent active sites which are mesopores (which are confirmed by BET), hydrophobicity (aliphatic) and $\pi$-$\pi$ interaction (aromatic) enhance the attraction of DEHP. At the same time, DEHP-DEHP also attracts to each other which create multilayer adsorption that often observed as physical adsorption process (Lyubchik et al., 2011; Venkata Mohan et al., 2007).

Figure 4.12: Propose interactions for multilayer adsorption (Freundlich)
4.3.5 Reusability Studies

To determine reusability of MNP@P3TArH for adsorption of DEHP, the adsorbent was recycled after being washed with methanol and water, and was dried in vacuum at 70 °C for 12 hours. From Figure 4.13, it could be summarized that after five repeated experiments, the adsorption performance was reduced from 84 % to 64 %. To further confirm the modifications on the sorbent properties, BET surface area analysis and FT-IR of the sorbent were performed after the fifth cycles.

From the surface area analysis in Table 4.5, it was found that surface area of the recycled sorbent was significantly reduced. This can be due to the surface modification of the sorbent material which occur up until fifth cycle as confirmed by FT-IR analysis of the recycled sorbent (Cherian et al., 2002). The IR spectrum of recycled sorbent is presented in Figure 4.14, which clearly reveals that the intensity of the polymer coating
reduced significantly after the fifth cycle. As a result, active sites (mesopores) and other physical interactions which have played significant role in adsorption (hydrophobicity, \( \pi-\pi \) interaction) of DEHP were decreased from the recycled MNP@P3TArH which eventually hampered their adsorptive performance with every cycle.

**Table 4.5:** BET surface area analysis of MNP@P3TArH and recycled MNP@P3TArH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET Surface Area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNP@P3TArH</td>
<td>103.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled MNP@P3TArH</td>
<td>49.566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.14:** FT-IR spectrum of (a) MNP@P3TArH; (b) recycled MNP@P3TArH

### 4.3.6 Comparative studies

Table 4.6 reveals the comparison of the maximum adsorption capacities of various adsorbents. Chan. H et al. (2004) confirmed that breached seaweed resulted in higher adsorption capacity (5.68 mg g⁻¹) as compared to *S.siliquastrum* (6.54 mg g⁻¹) for an adsorbent mass of 0.025 g at 3 hours reaction time. Cheng and Chung (2006) utilized
chitosan bead as an adsorbent to remove DEHP from a plastic manufacturing plant. The value of $q_{\text{max}}$ in this study was found to be $11.8 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$, which suggests the MNP@P3TArH used could readily adsorb DEHP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Reaction time (Hours)</th>
<th>Maximum adsorption Capacities (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. siliquastrum</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>(Chan et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beached seaweed</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>(Chen &amp; Chung, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan bead</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>(C.-Y. Chen et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan bead/α - cycloextrine</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioslurry</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>(Shailaja et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofilms</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>(Cao et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@P3TArH</td>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Magnetic Solid-Phase Extraction (MSPE) of Phthalates by Functionalized Polythiophene Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles.

4.4.1. Solid-Phase Extraction Optimization

4.4.1.2 Effect of Sample pH

To study the influence of the surface charge of adsorbent/adsorbate in the extraction process, experiments were performed under different pH conditions, ranging from pH 2–9. Zeta potential analysis for MNP@P3TArH surface charges at different pH were described in previous discussion (Figure 4.8(b)). As described from Figure 4.15, the peak areas for phthalates increase when the pH rise from 2–7, but decline later from 8–9. At low pH, C=N, alkoxy in P3TArH was protonated, making the adsorbent surface positively charged.

At pH<7, phthalates hydrolyze to phthalic acid, thus making the carbonyl group nucleophilic, reacting with hydrogen ions in the aqueous solution, producing positive charges (see Scheme 4.1(a)). Due to both the absorbate and adsorbent acquiring positive charges, the electrostatic repulsion occurred and retarded the adsorption performance (Mohan et al., 2002). At basic conditions, the surface adsorbent became negatively charged, while the adsorbate hydrolyzed to phthalate anions (see Scheme 4.1(b)), reducing the extraction efficiency (Fang & Huang, 2009). Thus, in neutral pH, the extraction increased due to the absence of electrostatic repulsion that disturbed the extraction capability. As the optimum performance was demonstrated at pH 7, this pH was selected for all of the experiments.
Figure 4.15: Effect of sample pH for the extraction of the targeted phthalates

4.4.1.3 Extraction Time

It has been understood that prolonged extraction time might increase the recovery of analytes. Thus, the influence of extraction time on the recoveries of the analyte has been investigated. As demonstrated in Figure 4.16, the peak area increased rapidly for the first 20 min, since more adsorption sites were available and phthalates could easily interact with these sites. After 30 min, the peak area was almost persistent; therefore, 30 min was sufficient to extract the maximum of the target analytes. In order to ensure that the extraction time was satisfactory, further experiments were carried out until 90 min, and they were found to be constant.
Figure 4.16: Effect of extraction time for the extraction of the targeted phthalates

4.4.1.4 Desorption Studies

The elution solvent is one of the crucial parameters to be considered. In order to determine the best elution solvent, the solvent must be able to elute all of the analytes that were retained from the adsorbent in a small volume (Miskam et al., 2014). Six eluting solvents with dissimilar polarities, namely hexane, toluene, diethyl ether, acetonitrile, methanol and ethyl acetate were studied. As evidenced by Figure 4.17, polar solvents (acetonitrile, methanol and ethyl acetate) were the best solvents, with high peak areas compared to non-polar solvents (hexane, toluene and diethyl ether), since phthalates contain a polar carbonyl group (Wade, 2016). Among the polar solvents, ethyl acetate showed high solvent strength; since it gave the maximum peak area for the phthalates studied and was thus selected to be the eluent.
Figure 4.17: Type of organic eluent for the extraction of targeted phthalates

The volume of ethyl acetate was tested from 0.1 mL–2.5 mL. As observed in Figure 4.18, the peak area increased from 0.1 mL and remained constant after 0.5 mL. This shows that 0.5 mL may accommodate the maximum phthalates extracted from the sorbent.

Figure 4.18: Volume of organic eluent for the extraction of targeted phthalates
Further, desorption time was optimized to investigate the best time taken for the analytes to desorb from the sorbent ranging from 0–12 min. As reveals in Figure 4.19, analytes were desorbed rapidly in the first 4 min and started to become linear after 10 min. This indicated that 10 min of time are sufficient to desorb back all of the analytes from the adsorbent. As for the case of BBP, desorption was found to be slower than other phthalates. This could be due to the presence of an additional aromatic ring in BBP, which makes it less polar to the eluent (ethyl acetate). After 6 min of desorption, most of the phthalates had reached near to equilibrium, whereas BBP was desorbed steeply after 6 min until it reached equilibrium at 10 min.

![Figure 4.19: Desorption time for the extraction of targeted phthalates](image)

**4.4.1.5 Mass of Adsorbent**

Investigation of the adsorbent amount was executed in the range of 1–25 mg. As exposes in Figure 4.20, the extraction peak area increased up to 10 mg, but decreased later with a further increase of the adsorbent. Increasing the adsorbent amount provides
more active sites for the adsorption of target analytes. However, a high amount of adsorbent at a specific volume has weakened elution efficiency (Tahmasebi & Yamini, 2014). It is shown that this adsorbent only required a small amount of adsorbent to remove phthalates efficiently, which added the advantage of economic value. Therefore, for further experiments, the adsorbent amount of 10 mg was applied.

Figure 4.20: Adsorbent dosage for the extraction of targeted phthalates

4.4.1.6 Sample Loading Volume

The effect of sample volume was investigated by the extraction of the phthalates ranging from 5–100 mL and reveals in Figure 4.21. Each sample was spiked with 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) analytes and 10 mg adsorbent. As can be seen, peak area increased until 20 mL and further decreased till 100 mL. A 20 mL volume of sample demonstrated the most efficient extraction. An increase in sample volume could lead to a high distribution of adsorbent to the aqueous phase, which lowered the amount of adsorbent in the volume unit sample solution, and the extraction became less effective (Tahmasebi et al., 2013). Thus, a 20 mL sample volume was chosen as the optimized sample volume.
4.4.1.7 Effect of NaCl

Indeed, the addition of salt in the sample matrices affects the extraction efficiency. Thus, studies on the concentration of NaCl ranging from 0–25 g L\(^{-1}\) were conducted. Figure 4.22 demonstrates peak areas of the studied analytes increased from 0–15 g L\(^{-1}\), but decreased later from 20–25 g L\(^{-1}\). This can be due to the addition of salt, which increases the ionic strength and eventually decreases the solubility of the analytes in the media. However, as the concentration of salt increases, the diffusion rate of the analytes may reduce, since the solvation cage of the analytes is disturbed (Tahmasebi et al., 2012). Since a 15 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl concentration gave a high peak area for all analytes studied, it was chosen for subsequent experiments.

Figure 4.21: Adsorbent dosage for the extraction of targeted phthalates
4.4.2 Reusability Studies

To investigate the probability of reusing and regenerating the sorbent, a reusability test was designed and implemented for MNP@P3TArH, which was recycled after being washed with methanol and water and was dried in a vacuum at 70 °C for 12 h. From Figure 4.23, it could be surmised that after five repeated experiments extraction efficiency was reduced. This might cause by the adsorbent surface properties was modified which decreases the surface area as confirmed by BET surface area (see Table 4.5) and FT-IR analysis (see Figure 4.14) of the sorbent after five cycles.

**Figure 4.22:** Effect of NaCl concentration for the extraction of targeted phthalates
4.4.3 Analytical Performances

The optimized method obtained for the extraction of phthalates using MNP@P3TArH involved the sample at pH 7, 30 min extraction time, ethyl acetate as the elution solvent, 500 µL elution solvent volumes, 10 min desorption time, 10 mg adsorbent dosage, 20 mL sample loading volume and a 15 g L⁻¹ concentration of NaCl. In order to assess the validation of the proposed method, linearity, the limit of detection, the limit of quantitation and repeatability were performed under optimum conditions. Analytical performance figures of merits are tabulated in Table 4.7.

Calibration curves obtained for the studied phthalates were linear over the range of 0.1–50 µg L⁻¹ with $R^2$ more than 0.99. As per the U.S. EPA standard, the screening of phthalates in drinking water must be done at a concentration above 0.6 µg L⁻¹ (Serôdio & Nogueira, 2006). However, the LOD of our method lies within the range of 0.054–0.468, indicating the suitability of this method as an efficient phthalate extractor.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytes</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Linear range (µg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>LOD (µg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>LOQ (µg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RSD (%) Inter-day, $n = 3$</th>
<th>RSD (%) Intra-day, $n = 7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>1.562</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNOP</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Repeatability studies were conducted for inter-day (three consecutives replicates for three days) and intra-day (seven consecutives replicates on the same day). The results were expressed as relative standard deviations RSD (%). This method demonstrated good precision, since the RSD (%) values were in the range of 3%–5% (Le Zhang et al., 2010). Comparative studies on the analytical performance between the proposed methods with other developed methods are shown in Table 4.8. Obviously, the extraction of phthalates using MNP@P3TArH provides sensitivity and repeatability.

### Table 4.8: Comparatives study of the proposed method with other MSPE adsorbents for the extraction of phthalates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>LOD (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>LDR (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-DBP, DEHP, DOA</td>
<td>MNP@PTh-GC-FID</td>
<td>0.2–0.4</td>
<td>0.4–100</td>
<td>4–12</td>
<td>(Tahmasebi et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-DPP, DBP, DCP, DNOP</td>
<td>MNP@Chitosan-C18-HPLC-UV</td>
<td>0.012–0.037</td>
<td>0.001–0.01</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>(Le Zhang et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-DBP, DEHP</td>
<td>MNP@Zeolite-GC-FID</td>
<td>2.8–3.2</td>
<td>10–1200</td>
<td>10–13</td>
<td>(Mollahosseini et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-DMP, DEP, DBP, BBP, DNOP</td>
<td>MNP@ZIF-8-HPLC</td>
<td>0.08–0.24</td>
<td>1–100</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These analytes</td>
<td>MNP@P3TArH-GC-FID</td>
<td>0.05–0.09</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.4.3.1 Real Sample Analyses

To endorse the reliability of the method using MNP@P3TArH, it was applied to determine phthalates in the water from the mineral bottle store in room temperature, and from the commercial fresh milk. Figure 4.24 shows the chromatogram of all samples unspiked and spiked with phthalates. None of the targeted phthalates were found in the samples under the optimized condition described. To evaluate the matrix effect, all
samples were spiked with 50 µg L⁻¹ of the studied phthalates. Recoveries and RSD % for the real samples were determined and are tabulated in Table 4.9.

From the optimization procedures until the real sample analyses, DMP, DEP and DPP demonstrated lower recoveries; this could be due to the lower molecular weights of phthalates being more prone to aqueous solution than to the adsorbent (Staples, 2003). The recoveries obtained for water in the mineral bottle in both storage conditions demonstrated higher values compared to the recovery for the milk sample. This may be caused by the matrix effect that holds the analyte in the milk sample to be higher compared to the water sample. RSD (%) values were found to be in the range of 1.3 %–5.8 %, which indicated a precise method.
Figure 4.24: GC-FID chromatogram of mineral water stored at room temperature: a) spiked (50 µg L⁻¹), b) unspiked phthalates; and commercial fresh milk: c) spiked (50 µg L⁻¹), d) unspiked phthalates; and peaks: 1) DMP, 2) DEP, 3) DPP, 4) DBP, 5) BBP, 6) DCP; 7) DEHP, 8) DNOP

Table 4.9: Recovery values obtained from the spiked sample in different matrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Mineral water</th>
<th>Commercial fresh milk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>85(5.8)</td>
<td>68(5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>85 (4.9)</td>
<td>67(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td>88(1.3)</td>
<td>72(7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>95(2.4)</td>
<td>85(3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>93(3.0)</td>
<td>82(3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>90(4.7)</td>
<td>77(5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>99(1.3)</td>
<td>89(4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNOP</td>
<td>101(4.2)</td>
<td>91(3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

Unmodified polythiophene and three functionalized polythiophene were successfully coated on the surface of Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles. The synthesized nanocomposites were characterized by Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR), X-ray diffractometry (XRD), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area analysis and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). From the FT-IR results, the presence of functional groups of the modified polythiophenes were found in the spectra indicated that the coating has taken place. X-ray diffraction exhibits no change in magnetic nanoparticles crystalline phase after coating. Magnetization saturation analyses by VSM showed MNP@PTCN and MNP@P3TArH have high magnetization saturation compared to other nanocomposites. Among nanocomposites, MNP@P3TArH displayed high BET surface area and its morphology was further confirmed with field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). In addition, the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) result has verified the high dispersity of MNP@P3TArH with average particle size at 13.070 ± 2.916 nm after coating was performed.

The adsorption processes of DEHP onto MNP@P3TArH were shown to be pH dependent, with the optimum removal being observed at pH 7. Kinetics analysis indicated that the kinetic data is well fitted in the pseudo second-order equation model. Thermodynamic studies revealed that the adsorption process was exothermic, spontaneous, and in an ordered state. The equilibrium isotherm data fitted well into the Freundlich isotherm with \( I/n \) indicating a favorable process. The adsorption suggests a multilayer adsorption behavior by considering \( \pi-\pi \) interaction and hydrophobic interaction of the MNP@P3TArH with DEHP. The reusability studies suggested that
after five cycles, surface modification of MNP@P3TArH occurred; thus, retarded the adsorption efficiency.

Overall performances for the extraction of selected phthalates were in the order of MNP@P3TArH>MNP@P3Th>MNP@PTCN>MNP@PTh>MNP. The presence of new interfaces (π-π and hydrophobic interactions) among the sorbent and target analytes increased the adsorption capability. The optimized conditions of MNP@P3TArH for MSPE were carefully selected as follows: sample at pH 7, 30 min extraction time, ethyl acetate as the elution solvent, 500 µL elution solvent volume, 10 min desorption time, 10 mg adsorbent dosage, 20 mL sample loading volume and 15 g L\(^{-1}\) concentration of NaCl. The steadiness and reusability studies suggested that the MNP@P3TArH could be used up to five cycles without significantly impacting its extraction capacity. The adsorbent covers a wide range of phthalates with a dynamic linear range of 0.1–50 µg L\(^{-1}\) and a limit of detection at 0.054–0.468 µg L\(^{-1}\). The application of MNP@P3TArH as the MSPE sorbent was successfully executed by the analysis of phthalates in the mineral water and commercial fresh milk.

5.2 Recommendations

The weaknesses and limitations techniques developed in the research study have indicated the following areas as recommendations for future work.

a) Synthesis and application for extraction of different functionalized conducting polymers.

b) Study adsorption and extraction efficiencies for various kinds of magnetic nanoparticles beside iron oxide.

c) Magnetic solid-phase extraction of phthalates via different kind of polymer coating.
d) Extend the scope of study with extraction of other possible pollutants such as heavy metals and organic compounds with simultaneous detection.
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Removal of endocrine disruptor di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate by modified polythiophene-coated magnetic nanoparticles: characterization, adsorption isotherm, kinetic study, thermodynamics†

Siti Nor Atika Baharin,ad Norazilawati Muhamad Sarih,a Sharifah Mohamad,ab Syed Shahabuddin,a Khullah Sulaimanc and Azman Ma'amora

Core–shell magnetic nanoparticles have received significant attention and are actively explored due to their prospective applications. In the current study, superparamagnetic nanosorbent poly(phenyl(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)-amine)/Fe3O4 nanoparticles [Fe3O4@P3TArH] was successfully synthesized via a simplistic method for the enhanced extraction of a potent endocrine disruptor, di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP). The synthesized materials were characterized by Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR), X-ray diffractometry (XRD), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area analysis, field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), transmission electron microscope (TEM), and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The extraction efficiencies of the synthesized sorbent materials were evaluated by monitoring the extraction of DEHP from aqueous solution. Removal of DEHP using Fe3O4@P3TArH was found to be pH and temperature dependent with a maximum adsorption capacity found to be at 298.15 K at pH 7 and the adsorption kinetics followed a pseudo second-order kinetics model. Thermodynamic studies revealed that adsorption occurred heterogeneously on the adsorption sites, and adsorption of di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate onto Fe3O4@P3TArH was found to be spontaneous, feasible, ordered, and exothermic. The activation energy was determined to be −40.6 kJ mol−1, which indicated the adsorption process was physisorption.

1. Introduction

Contamination caused by phthalates in the form of plasticizers for polyvinyl chloride resins, adhesives, and cellulose film coatings has recently increased due to widespread use. They are favored as plasticizing agents due to their capabilities of enhancing the placity and the flexibility of plastics. To date, 93% of plasticizers are phthalates, while the rest are esters and polyesters of adipate, phosphoric acid, and sebacic acid. Due to massive consumption of phthalates by many industries, these toxic materials eventually penetrate into the environment. Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) is an important molecule in the phthalates family which is extensively used in medical devices, children’s toys, water containers, textiles, and all kinds of packaging. It is harmful to humans, especially children, due to the fact that there is no chemical linkage between DEHP molecules and the plastic-based compound, making them easily released to the environment where they can come into contact with consumers. DEHP is also classified as an endocrine disrupter in the context of reproductive health. Moreover, DEHP is listed as a significant pollutant in many countries due to its carcinogenic nature. Due to its toxic and carcinogenic nature, removal of DEHP from waste waters recently has gained immense scientific interest with many crucial methods being reported by several researchers for its removal from an aqueous environment. Biodegradation of phthalates using bacteria culture is one of the potential techniques and has been reported by many researchers. However, this method is time consuming, and does not result in complete degradation of phthalates. The coagulation step, during the water treatment process, is useful for removing organic pollutants. Nevertheless, degrading phthalates via coagulation using ferric chloride seemed ineffective. Another method, called the advanced oxidation
process (AOP), is based on addition of a highly active oxidizing agent which proficiently oxidizes very stable molecules, such as the degradation of DEHP via UV/H₂O₂. Despite the fact that the AOP technique could result in significant degradation, this method requires expensive and toxic reagents, which themselves are considered as pollutants, and are difficult to handle. The adsorption method has been proved to be an effective technique with higher efficacy and capability to remove pollutants on a large scale besides having other advantages including recovery and recycling of adsorbents. Thus, adsorption would be a competent technique for the efficient removal of larger quantities of phthalates from polluted wastewater.

Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles are one of the most important nanomaterials and technologically important objects for physical and chemical research, with many promising applications. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) were demonstrated to be an efficient adsorbent for adsorption of trace amounts of organic and inorganic analytes from complex media, since they do not require any centrifugations or filtrations. Use of MNPs coated with polymeric material gained much interest, as it enhances surface functionalization and protects the magnetic core from environmental agitation. Moreover, the presence of polymeric surface coated magnetic nanoparticles prevented aggregation and homogenized the nanoparticles core shell distribution within the suspension media. Elevating research interest on using conducting polymer as a polymeric coating material is due to its multifunctional and diverse properties, which includes its cheaper costs, environmental stability, and mechanical robustness.

Herein, we report a facile synthesis route to develop a newly designed magnetic nanoparticle of Fe₃O₄ coated with polythiophenes containing Schiff-base, biphenyl pendant, and aliphatic linkage, with its adsorptive performance linked to the removal of DEHP from aqueous solutions. The presence of aliphatic and aromatic side groups in the material significantly enhanced the adsorptive performance for the removal of DEHP from aqueous solution in terms of hydrophobic and π–π interactions. Oxidation/corrosion may reduce magnetic moment of Fe₃O₄ which is responsible for diminishing its magnetization and therefore became a limiting factor in efficient utilization of Fe₃O₄ in various applications. To the best of our knowledge, some Schiff bases containing thiophene substituents have been previously reported as effective erosion inhibitors. In the present investigation, Schiff base groups were designed for shielding the magnetic core of Fe₃O₄ from corrosion besides augmenting the adsorption of phthalates due to physical interactions. Simplistic synthesis, cost-effectiveness, and enhanced adsorption behaviour towards DEHP make these nanosorbents a potential adsorbent material for phthalates waste water treatment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Reagent and chemicals

Analytical grade ferric chloride, ferrous chloride, ammonia solution (25 wt%), thiophene, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde, acetonitrile, potassium permanganate, 4-aminophenol, 3-bromothiophene, 1,6-dibromohexane, N-bromosuccinimide, acetic acid, sodium hydrogen bicarbonate, potassium iodide, potassium carbonate, tetrahydrofuran, methanol, hydrochloric acid, aceton, and ethyl acetate were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Acetone was procured from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK). Thiophene carboxaldehyde, polyvinyl alcohol, and n-butyl lithium (2.0 M in cyclohexane) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA). Magnesium sulfate anhydrous, ethanol denatured, and hexane were received from J. Kollins (Parkwood, Australia), while dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ (DMSO-d₆) and di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) were purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Ultrapure water was prepared with a model Aqua Max Ultra ultra-pure water purification system (Zef Scientific Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Stock solutions of 1000 mg L⁻¹ of standards were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of compounds in methanol, which remains stable for three months if stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. Working standard solutions were prepared daily by diluting the stock standard solution to the required concentrations.

2.2 Synthesis method

2.2.1 Preparation of (phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidine)-amine) (3TArH). A mixture of 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol (1.97 g, 10 mmol) (S1) anhydrous potassium carbonate (4.14 g, 30 mmol) (S2), and 18-crown-6 ether (16.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) was stirred in dry and degassed acetone (50 mL) at room temperature followed by the addition of 3-(6-bromohexythiophene) (0.81 g, 2 mmol) (Scheme 1). The reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen with stirring for 24 h. Later, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into the saturated solution of potassium carbonate. The organic phase was collected and washed with water (100 mL), dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, and subsequently filtered. The solvent was removed via reduced pressure, and the residue was dried under vacuum to produce a crude product. Purification was accomplished by column chromatography using silica, with 25% hexane in chloroform to afford the monomer.

2.2.2 Preparation of modified polythiophene-coated magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@P3TArH NP) and polythiophene coated magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@PTh). Fe₃O₄@P3TArH NPs were synthesized in two steps. Briefly, Fe₃O₄ was prepared by a co-precipitation method. FeCl₃·6H₂O (8.48 g) and FeCl₂·4H₂O (2.25 g) were dissolved in 400 mL deionized water under nitrogen atmosphere via vigorous heating. A suspension of Fe₃O₄·ammonia solution (20 wt%) was prepared. Then, the suspension was added to the solution of 3TArH (1 mmol) and 3-acetone solution of 18-crown-6 ether (1 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The as-prepared product was washed with ethanol, washed with water, dried, and washed with ethyl acetate to yield Fe₃O₄@P3TArH NPs. Fe₃O₄@PTh NPs were synthesized by a similar method.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of 3TArH.
stirring (1000 rpm) at 80 °C. Then, a 20 mL ammonia solution (25% wt) was added to the solution. The color of the bulk solution immediately changed from orange to black. After stirring the mixture for 5 min, the Fe₃O₄ NPs precipitates were obtained via magnetic decantation, and washed several times with deionized water. Finally, the Fe₃O₄ NPs were dried in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 12 h. Next, the surface of the Fe₃O₄ NPs was modified by coating with newly designed modified thiophene monomers containing biphenyl pendant (3TArH) via oxidation polymerization with the generation of ferric cations on Fe₃O₄ NPs surface. Fe₃O₄ NPs (1 mmol, 0.236 g) was discretized in a PVA aqueous solution (0.5 M). Later, 3TArH (10 mmol, 3.640 g) was added into the mixed solution via vigorous stirring. Subsequently, 30 mL of HCl (0.5 M) solution was introduced into the mixture. The solution was shaken for 1 h on a shaker at room temperature. After the adsorption process, the adsorbent was separated by magnetic decantation, and the residual concentration was determined using a Shimadzu Ultraviolet-Visible spectrophotometer (UV-Vis), equipped with 1 cm quartz cells (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). All the samples were performed in triplicate. The removal efficiency, R (%) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
R\% = \left( \frac{C_o - C_e}{C_o} \right) \times 100
\]

The amount of DEHP adsorbed per unit mass of the adsorbent (qₑ) was calculated as:

\[
qₑ = \frac{(C_o - C_e)}{W} \times V
\]

C₀ and Cₑ are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of the solutions (mg L⁻¹), respectively. V (L) is the volume of the

### 2.3 Characterization of the samples

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer FT-IR between 4000 and 400 cm⁻¹ (PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA). Structural elucidation was determined using ¹H NMR, JEOL 400 MHz (JEOL, Tokyo Japan). X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted with Panalytical model Empyrean at 40 kV and 35 mA using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54059 Å) (Panalytical, Almelo, Netherlands). Morphological analysis of the synthesized products were performed using a JEOL JSM-7600F field emission scanning electron microscope operated at 3 kV (JEOL, Tokyo Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis using an FEI Tecnai G2 spectra microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, USA). The magnetic property was tested using a vibration sample magnetometer (VSM) Model 9600 (Quantum Design Inc., San Diego, USA). Magnetization measurements were carried out in an external field of up to 15 kOe at room temperature. The thermal stability of Fe₃O₄@P3TArH was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA); model TGA-STA 1500, with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ between 25 and 900 °C under nitrogen atmosphere (PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA). Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis was carried out using a Micromeritics ASAP2020 surface area analyser for determining the pore diameter and the specific surface area of nanosorbents (Micromeritics, Georgia, USA).

### 2.4 Batch experiments

Experimental parameters were optimized using batch experiments for type of adsorbent, effect of pH, kinetics and thermodynamic studies, effect of initial concentration, equilibrium studies, and reusability studies. Sorption experiments were determined by the following batch method: in each experiment, 5 mg of adsorbent was mixed with 5 mL of an aqueous solution of (DEHP) at a known concentration in a tightly sealed vial. The solution was shaken for 1 h on a shaker at room temperature. After the adsorption process, the adsorbent was separated by magnetic decantation, and the residual concentration was determined using a Shimadzu Ultraviolet-Visible spectrophotometer (UV-Vis), equipped with 1 cm quartz cells (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). All the samples were performed in triplicate. The removal efficiency, R (%) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
R\% = \left( \frac{C_o - C_e}{C_o} \right) \times 100
\]

The amount of DEHP adsorbed per unit mass of the adsorbent (qₑ) was calculated as:

\[
qₑ = \frac{(C_o - C_e)}{W} \times V
\]

C₀ and Cₑ are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of the solutions (mg L⁻¹), respectively. V (L) is the volume of the
solution, and \( W \) (g) is the mass of the dry adsorbent being used.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Characterization of the nanocomposites

3.1.1 FT-IR analysis. Fig. 1 show several additional peaks in the spectrum of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH, proportional to the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs spectrum, which belong to the coating. The intense peaks in the range of \( \sim 3400 \) cm\(^{-1} \) for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH indicated the presence of OH vibration. For both P3TArH and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH, the C–H aromatic stretching peaks were observed at 2980 cm\(^{-1} \), whereas C–H sp\(^3\) stretching (hexyl aliphatic side) occurred at 2934 cm\(^{-1} \). Meanwhile, Schiff base (C=\( \equiv \)N) was observed at 1601 cm\(^{-1} \) for P3TArH, and 1685 cm\(^{-1} \) for the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH.\(^{30}\) C–C aromatic stretching peaks exhibited at

![Fig. 3 FESEM images of (a) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\); (b) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH, TEM images of (c) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\); (d) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH and diameter distribution of (e) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\); (f) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH.](image-url)
1562 cm$^{-1}$ and 1462 cm$^{-1}$ for P3TArH, whereas 1564 cm$^{-1}$ and 1462 cm$^{-1}$ for Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH. Two absorption band peaks at 1250 and 1072 cm$^{-1}$ indicated the presence of C–O observed in the P3TArH as well as Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH. The strong absorption peaks at 868 cm$^{-1}$ for P3TArH and 846 cm$^{-1}$ for the nanocomposite indicated the presence of C=C symmetric stretching from the thiophene ring. The C–S bending mode occurred at 689 cm$^{-1}$ for P3TArH and 639 cm$^{-1}$ for nanocomposites. Meanwhile, Fe–O stretching modes occurred at 530–632 cm$^{-1}$.$^{31}$ Hence, the FT-IR study clearly revealed the functionalization of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with P3TArH.

3.1.2 XRD analysis. Fig. 2 shows the XRD pattern which revealed presence of the characteristic peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in the synthesized materials. The peak of the nanocomposites was slightly broader than the ones corresponding to Fe$_3$O$_4$. This could be due to the presence of amorphous and polymeric materials.$^{32}$ The distinctive peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and nanocomposites were observed at $2\theta = 30^\circ$, 35.7$^\circ$, 43$^\circ$, 53.4$^\circ$, 57.0$^\circ$, and 62.6$^\circ$, which are marked by their respective indices [(220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440)].$^{33}$ This indicated that the coating process with P3TArH does not change the crystalline phase of Fe$_3$O$_4$.

3.1.3 Morphological analysis. Fig. 3 demonstrates morphological analysis of the synthesized products using FESEM and TEM techniques. FESEM and TEM images of all materials exhibited a sphere-shaped geometry. As evident from the TEM analysis, the distribution of the modified nanoparticles (Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH) is very uniform and nanoparticles are segregated. This may be due to the presence of polymeric material coating, which reduces the aggregation and stabilizes the magnetic nanoparticles.$^{34}$ Analysis of TEM images using the IMAGEJ software determined the average of particle diameter distribution by computing the values corresponding to at least 300 nanoparticles. Based on the histogram plotted in Fig. 3(e) and (f), $D_{TEM}$, an average diameter, and $\sigma$ (standard deviation values) were calculated. TEM average particle size for Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH was found to be 13.070 nm ± 2.916. The average particle size Fe$_3$O$_4$ was larger than the average particle size of Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH as determined from the TEM; this may be due to the fact that many nanoparticles are accumulated and overlaid on top of one another, and thus cannot be measured accordingly.$^{35}$

3.1.4 VSM analysis. Magnetic properties of the samples were recorded at room temperature with an external field of ±15 kOe. $M-H$ hysteresis curves of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH are presented in Fig. 4. An important magnetic parameter, which is saturation magnetization ($M_S$), was assessed. As is clear from the hysteresis loops, magnetization did not occur until the maximum applied field and exhibited superparamagnetic behavior.$^{36}$ Maximum saturation ($M_S$) of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH appeared at 92 (bulk magnetization) and 63.2 emu g$^{-1}$, respectively. Reduced magnetization signifies the presence of a dead magnetic layer on the surface of the nanocomposites.$^{32}$ Magnetization is reduced in nanocomposite, but still the value of magnetization falls within the acceptable range, which suggested that the nanocomposites still can be separated conveniently from a solution with an external magnetic field.$^{33}$

3.1.5 Thermal analysis. Fig. 5 shows TG and DTG analyses for Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH. A small weight loss was detected under 200 °C in Fe$_3$O$_4$ and was speculated to be due to desorption of adsorbed water on the surface of the nanoparticles. Since Fe–O is thermodynamically steady within a temperature range of 280 °C to 850 °C, no weight loss was observed for Fe$_3$O$_4$ after 200 °C.$^{37}$ The TGA thermogram of the nanocomposite indicated that it is stable up to 210 °C. However, above this temperature Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH exhibited rapid weight loss in the temperature range from 240 °C and 450 °C. This might be due to the decomposition of P3TArH. Herein, thermal analysis study showed that the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$ was successfully coated with P3TArH.

3.1.6 $N_2$ physisorption analysis. The BET surface area was measured using the multipoint BET method within the relative pressure ($P/P_0$) range of 0.05 to 1. As described in Fig. S5 (ESI†), the Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$@P3TArH displays a H3 type hysteresis loop, based on the Brunauer–Deming–Deming–Teller (BDDT)
classification, indicating the presence of mesopores with pore diameters between 2 and 50 nm.\(^\text{37}\) The pore size and BET surface area of both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and nanocomposites are tabulated in Table 1. The decrease in pore size of nanocomposites is due to the addition of polymer coating on the surface. Increase in the surface area may be attributed to the aggregation of particles that resulted in the enhancement of the spaces between them.\(^\text{38,39}\)

### 3.2 Adsorptive performance

#### 3.2.1 Type of adsorbent

The adsorption efficiency of DEHP for three different types of sorbent namely, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), polythiophene coated Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)PTh), and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH is shown in Fig. 6. As evident from the figure, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) showed adsorption efficiency of 32.52\%, while with Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)PTh the value increased to 46.93\%. After coating the surface of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) with P3TArH, the adsorption efficiency increased to 68.73\%. This might be due to the presence of more active sites in the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH as well as various physical interactions between adsorbent and adsorbate (hydrophobicity and \(\pi-\pi\) interaction) as compared to other sorbents, which enhanced the adsorption of DEHP.\(^\text{40}\)

#### 3.2.2 Effect of pH

Adsorption was performed under different pH conditions, ranging from pH 3–10. As evidenced from Fig. 7(a), adsorption efficiency increased when the pH increased from 5 to 7, but decreased later from 7 to 10. Fig. 7(b) demonstrated zeta potential analysis of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH with effects of pH range 3 to 9 which represented the surface charge of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH. At low pH, C==N groups in Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH were protonated, making the adsorbent surface positively charged. As described in Scheme 2, at pH < 7, DEHP hydrolyzes to phthalic acid; since the carbonyl group in the phthalic acid is nucleophilic in nature, it can easily react with hydrogen ions in the solution to form positively charged species. Due to both adsorbate and adsorbent acquiring positive charges, electrostatic repulsion occurred and retarded the adsorption of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)</th>
<th>Surface area (m(^2) g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_3)O(_4)</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>37.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_3)O(_4@)P3TArH</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>103.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 Type of adsorbent (DEHP concentration, 15 mg L\(^{-1}\); adsorbent, 1 mg L\(^{-1}\); contact time, 60 min; pH 7).

Fig. 7 (a) Effect of solution pH (DEHP concentration, 15 mg L\(^{-1}\); adsorbent, 1 mg L\(^{-1}\); contact time, 60 min); (b) the zeta potential of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4@\)P3TArH at various pHs.

Scheme 2 DEHP at pH < 7.
Moreover, based on zeta potential results at higher pH, the surface of the adsorbent became negatively charged due to deprotonation of C=O in Fe₃O₄@P3TArH, whereas the adsorbate hydrolyzed to phthalate anions as shown in Scheme 3, resulting in repulsion and, thereby, reduced the adsorption efficiency. Strong adsorptive performance in neutral pH can be explained by strong interactions between the hydrophobic and π-π interactions in Fe₃O₄@P3TArH with DEHP. Since the optimum performance of adsorption of DEHP is demonstrated at pH 7, this pH was selected for all of the experiments.

3.2.3 Kinetic and thermodynamic studies. The effect of contact time for the removal of DEHP by Fe₃O₄@P3TArH was investigated in the time range of 0–180 min at four different temperatures of 298.15 K, 318.15 K, 323.15 K, and 333.15 K. Fig. 8 demonstrated that the adsorption capacity was rapid for the first 15 min; which might be due to the many available active sites for adsorption. From 120 min to 180 min the removal capacity was observed to be constant; therefore, 120 min could be regarded as the equilibrium time. To further determine the adsorption mechanism and kinetics parameters, the data gained were fitted into three types of kinetic models: pseudo first-order, pseudo second-order, and intraparticle diffusion. The pseudo first-order kinetic model was extensively used to study the adsorption of an adsorbate from an aqueous solution. The equation can be expressed as:

$$\log\left(q_e - q_t\right) = \log\ q_e - \left(\frac{k_1}{2.30}\right) t$$

where $q_e$ and $q_t$ are the amount of DEHP adsorbed (mg g⁻¹) at equilibrium and time, $t$ is the contact time (min), and $k_1$ is the rate constant of this equation (min⁻¹). $k_1$ and $q_e$ were determined from the plot of $\log(q_e - q_t)$ versus $t$. Meanwhile, a pseudo-second order kinetic model is based on the assumption that the adsorption mechanism depends on both adsorbate and adsorbent. The linear equations pertaining to this area follows:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t$$

where $h$ is the initial adsorption rate (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹), $t_{1/2}$ is half equilibrium time (min), and $k_2$ is the pseudo second-order rate constant (g mg⁻¹ min). A linear plot of $t/q_t$ vs. $t$ could be used to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic models</th>
<th>Fe₃O₄@P3TArH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298.15 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first order</td>
<td>$q_e,exp$ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_e,cal$ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_1$ (min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second order</td>
<td>$q_e,cal$ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_2$ (min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparticle diffusion</td>
<td>$K$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c$ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8 Effect of contact time and temperature (DEHP concentration, 15 mg L⁻¹; adsorbent, 1 mg L⁻¹; pH 7).
determine the value of $q_e$, $k_2$, $h$, and $t_{1/2}$ respectively. The
kinetics parameters and correlation coefficients are listed in
Table 2. It can be seen that the experimental data is fitted with
the pseudo second-order kinetic model with $R^2$ near to unity and
can be further confirmed by the nearness of the calculated
and experimental $q_e$ value. Similar adsorption behavior is also
found for the removal of diethyl phthalate by activated carbon.\(^{49}\)

Next, the parameters for intraparticle diffusion models were
calculated according to the linear equation as follows;

$$q_t = Kt^{0.5} + c$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $c$ is thickness of boundary layer (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and $K$ is the rate
constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). Fig. 9 reveals that the plots are not
linear throughout the contact time. However, a similar trend for
four temperatures were observed, and the plots were divided
into two parts: at the start of the experiment, a steeper line is
detected, which might be due to a massive transfer of DEHP
from the boundary layer to the surface of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH.
After 8 min the line continued to be linear, due to diffusion of
DEHP through the mesopores of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH.\(^{30}\)

From the intraparticle diffusion model parameters, the adsorption of DEHP onto Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH may be assumed as an
exothermic reaction, since the value of boundary layer
thickness decreases with increasing temperature.\(^{51}\) Higher
temperature resulted in the solubility of DEHP in aqueous
solution increasing and that increased the collision between
adsorbent molecules which led to a lower intraparticle diffusion
rate.\(^{52}\)

The thermodynamic parameters were determined to inves-
tigate the mechanism of the adsorption process. The values of
adsorption enthalpy ($\Delta H$), entropy ($\Delta S$), and Gibb's free energy
($\Delta G^\circ$), as shown in Table 3, were calculated using the following
equations;

$$\ln K_c = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$K_c = \frac{C_{c(\text{adsorbent})}}{C_{c(\text{solution})}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

From the plot of $\ln K_c$ against $1/T$, the ($\Delta H^\circ$) value was found
to be negative, which confirms that the adsorption process is
exothermic in nature. The increase in temperature enhanced

Table 3. Thermodynamic parameters for DEHP adsorption onto
Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$q_{e,exp}$ (mg g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (kJ mol(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kJ mol(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>-2.883</td>
<td>-19.77</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>-40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318.15</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>-1.650</td>
<td>-1.575</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>-41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323.15</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>-1.151</td>
<td>-1.575</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>-41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.15</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>-0.881</td>
<td>-1.575</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>-41.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of activation energy for the adsorption of DEHP
onto Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH was found to be $-40.6$ kJ mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\). The
negative value of sorption activation energy demonstrated that
the process favors low temperature.\(^{53}\) Moreover, the value of
activation energy could be used to determine the adsorption
behavior; if the values are less than $<40$ kJ mol\(^{-1}\), then the
process is physisorption, and if it’s $>40$ kJ mol\(^{-1}\), then it is
predominantly a chemical adsorption process.

3.2.4 Effect of initial DEHP concentration and isotherm
equilibrium studies. Fig. 10 demonstrates the effect of initial
concentrations of DEHP in the range of 5–90 mg L\(^{-1}\) at 298 K. The
adsorptive efficiency increased with increasing initial concentra-
tion of DEHP from 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) and equilibrium was reached at 15
mg L\(^{-1}\) with 83.4%. This indicated that a maximum concentration
for 5 mg of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH in 5 mL aqueous solution is 15 mg L\(^{-1}\)
DEHP, giving significant adsorption efficiency. At low concentra-
tion the removal is significant due to the readiness of many active
sites for adsorption, whereas at high concentration the removal is
persistent due to all the available active sites in adsorbent being
fully occupied by DEHP.

To study the adsorption isotherm, four models (Langmuir,
Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R)) were
utilized to explain the adsorption behaviour of DEHP onto
Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH. The Langmuir isotherms model is based on

![Fig. 10 Effect of DEHP initial concentration (contact time 60 min; adsorbent, 1 mg L\(^{-1}\); pH 7, 298.15 K).]
the expectations that the monolayer adsorption homogenously occurred on all active sites, with no additional adsorption after the sites are fully occupied by the solute at uniform energy.\textsuperscript{39} The Langmuir equation is,

$$\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{bq_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}$$

where $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate, $C_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the initial adsorbate concentration, $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium, $q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$) and $b$ (L mg$^{-1}$) is the Langmuir constant related to the adsorption capacity and rate of adsorption, respectively. To determine whether the process is either favourable or unfavourable, the dimensionless separation factor ($R_L$) can be calculated from;

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + bC_0}$$

From the plot of $C_e/q_e$ versus $C_e$, the values of Langmuir parameters were determined and listed in Table 4. In contrast to the Langmuir isotherm model, the Freundlich isotherm model assumed that adsorption heterogeneously occurred on the adsorbent’s surfaces, with different energies of active sites.\textsuperscript{40}
The following equations determined the values of Freundlich constant;

$$\log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $K_F$ ((mg g$^{-1}$)(L mg$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$) is the adsorption capacity, while $1/n$ represents Freundlich constants, respectively. The Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm model takes into account the porosity of the adsorbent. The experimental data was fitted with a plot of $\ln q_e$ against $\frac{1}{C_e}$ as a linear equation in the following form;

$$\ln q_e = \ln q_m - \beta e^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $\beta$ (mol$^2$ kJ$^{-2}$) denotes the adsorption energy constant and the Polanyi potential ($e$) is mean free energy respectively. $E$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) can be obtained using the following equations;

$$e = RT \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{C_e}\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$E (kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}) = (2\beta^{-0.5})$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $R$ is the universal gas constant in kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, and $T$ is the temperature. The Temkin model was established on the basis that the heat of adsorption would reduce linearly as the layer is covered, resulting in an uneven relationship of adsorbent–adsorbate interfaces on heterogeneous surfaces in adsorption systems.$^{41}$ The Temkin model linear equation is as follows:

$$q_e = \beta \ln K_T + \beta \ln C_e,$$  \hspace{1cm} where $b_T = \frac{RT}{\beta}$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

A plot of $q_e$ versus $\ln C_e$ from the linear equation could be used to determine the Temkin constant, where $K_T$ (L mg$^{-1}$) is the Temkin constant related to the equilibrium binding energy, and $b_T$ (J mol$^{-1}$) is Temkin constant related to the heat of adsorption.

Amid the four isotherms studied, the result showed that the adsorption of DEHP onto Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TA rH was better described by Freundlich isotherm models ($R^2 = 0.9833$), suggesting that adsorption occurred heterogeneously on adsorption sites. Comparable studies were also found for the adsorption of diethyl phthalate (DEP) using multiwall carbon nanotubes.$^{62}$ Additionally, from the linear plot illustrated from Table 4 values, the Freundlich constant, adsorption capacity $K_F$, and adsorption intensity $1/n$ value were determined to be 0.6945 mg g$^{-1}$ and 1.168, respectively. A high $K_F$ value represented great adsorption affinity towards adsorbate,$^{63}$ whereas $1/n \geq 1$ indicated favourable adsorption process.$^{64}$ Fig. 11 demonstrated an illustration of proposed interaction for multilayer adsorption according to the Freundlich isotherms. When DEHP and Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TArH come into contact, sorbent active sites which are mesopores (confirmed by BET), hydrophobicity (aliphatic) and $\pi-\pi$ interaction (aromatic) enhance the attraction of DEHP. At the same time, DEHP–DEHP also attract each other causing multilayer adsorption which is often observed as a physical adsorption process.$^{49,65}$

### 3.3 Reusability studies

To determine reusability of Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TArH for adsorption of DEHP, the adsorbent was recycled after being washed with methanol and water, and was dried in vacuum at 70°C for 12 hours. From Fig. 12, it could be summarized that after five repeated experiments, the adsorption performance was reduced from 84% to 64%. To further confirm the modifications on the sorbent properties, BET surface area analysis and FT-IR of the sorbent was performed after the fifth cycles. From the surface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TArH</td>
<td>103.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TArH</td>
<td>49.566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13 FT-IR spectrum of (a) Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TArH; (b) recycled Fe$_3$O$_4$@P$_3$TArH.
area analysis in Table 5, it was found that surface area of the recycled sorbent was significantly reduced. This may be due to the surface modification of the sorbent material which occurred until fifth cycle as confirmed by FT-IR analysis of the recycled sorbent." The IR spectrum of the recycled sorbent is presented in Fig. 13, and clearly reveals that the intensity of the polymer coating was reduced significantly after the fifth cycle. As a result, active sites (mesopores) and other physical interactions, which played a significant role in adsorption (hydrophobicity, π–π interaction) of DEHP, were decreased from the recycled Fe₃O₄@P3TArH which eventually hampered its adsorptive performance with every cycle.

3.4 Comparative studies

Table 6 reveals a comparison of the maximum adsorption capacities of various adsorbents. Chan H. et al. confirmed that breached seaweed resulted in higher adsorption capacity (5.68 mg g⁻¹) compared to S. siliquestrum (6.54 mg g⁻¹) for an adsorbent mass of 0.025 g and 3 hours reaction time;⁶⁶ Chen and Chung utilized chitosan bead as an adsorbent to remove DEHP from a plastic manufacturing plant. The maximum adsorption capacity gained from 1.5 g adsorbent dosage and a reaction time of 6 hours was 0.494 mg g⁻¹.⁶⁷ The adsorption capacity of DEHP (3.09 mg g⁻¹) was enhanced by modifying chitosan via the introduction of α-cyclodextrin by the same author.⁶⁸ Shailaja et al., who determined the maximum adsorption capacity was 0.97 mg g⁻¹, removed DEHP through a soil slurry.⁶⁹ A study conducted by Cao et al. using biofilms obtained 0.161 mg g⁻¹ adsorption capacity with 1 g adsorbent.⁷⁰ The value of qmax in this study was found to be 52.64 mg g⁻¹, which suggests the Fe₃O₄@P3TArH used could readily adsorb DEHP.

4. Conclusion

Magnetic nanoparticles of Fe₃O₄ coated with modified polycyclics [Fe₃O₄@P3TArH] were successfully synthesized and characterized. FTIR confirmed the presence of P3TArH on the surface of nanoparticles after surface modification. The adsorption processes were shown to be pH dependent, with the optimal removal being observed at a pH of 7. Kinetics analysis indicated that the kinetic data is well fitted in a pseudo second-order equation model. Thermodynamic studies revealed that the adsorption process was exothermic, spontaneous, and in an ordered state. The equilibrium isotherm data fit well into the Freundlich isotherm with 1/n indicating a favorable process. The adsorption suggests a multilayer adsorption behavior by considering π–π interaction and hydrophobic interaction of the Fe₃O₄@P3TArH with DEHP. Reusability studies suggested that after five cycles, surface modification of Fe₃O₄@P3TArH occurred; thus, retarding adsorption efficiency.
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Abstract: Poly(phenyl-(4-(6-thiophen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzylidene)-amine) (P3TArH) was successfully synthesized and coated on the surface of Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs). The nanocomposites were characterized by Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR), X-ray diffractometry (XRD), Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis, analyzer transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). P3TArH-coated MNPs (MNP@P3TArH) showed higher capabilities for the extraction of commonly-used phthalates and were optimized for the magnetic-solid phase extraction (MSPE) of environmental samples. Separation and determination of the extracted phthalates, namely dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), dipropyl phthalate (DPP), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP), dicyclohexyl phthalate (DCP), di-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) and di-n-octyl phthalate (DNOP), were conducted by a gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID). The best working conditions were as follows; sample at pH 7, 30 min extraction time, ethyl acetate as the elution solvent, 500-µL elution solvent volumes, 10 min desorption time, 10-mg adsorbent dosage, 20-mL sample loading volume and 15 g·L⁻¹ concentration of NaCl. Under the optimized conditions, the analytical performances were determined with a linear range of 0.1–50 µg·L⁻¹ and a limit of detection at 0.08–0.468 µg·L⁻¹ for all of the analytes studied. The intra-day (n = 7) and inter-day (n = 3) relative standard deviations (RSD%) of three replicates were each demonstrated in the range of 3.7–4.9 and 3.0–5.0, respectively. The steadiness and reusability studies suggested that the MNP@P3TArH could be used up to five cycles. The proposed method was executed for the analysis of real water samples, namely commercial bottled mineral water and bottled fresh milk, whereby recoveries in the range of 68%–101% and RSD% lower than 7.7 were attained.

Keywords: polythiophene; Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles; phthalates; magnetic solid-phase extraction

1. Introduction

Belonging to non-halogenated esters of phthalic acid, phthalates or phthalate esters are used as plasticizers for nitrocellulose, since it was first recognized in 1880, replacing camphor [1]. Nowadays, phthalates can be found in many different matrices in our environment and are widely utilized in the PVC industries as a plasticizer, from floors, hoses, cables (building materials), toys and medical appliances [2]. Other consumer-based products utilizing phthalates are as a component in inks, adhesive materials, lacquers, sealing and packing materials, materials for treating surfaces, solvents and fixing agents in fragrances, as well as additives in cosmetics [3–5]. They become emerging pollutants and harmful to humans, especially children, since they are not chemically bound in plastics.
and could be leached out into the environment [4]. Thus, the usage of phthalates in the production of toys, baby bottles and pacifiers is banned in many countries [6]. Exposure to phthalates over long-term periods could result in health issues, for example potential carcinogenic effects or critical impact on the hormonal systems [7–10]. The higher molecular weight of phthalates, such as di(2-ethyl-hexyl) phthalate (DEHP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) and di-n-octyl phthalate (DNOP) often leads to serious health illnesses and is alleged to be carcinogenic and lethal to liver and kidneys, as well as reproductive organs [11,12]. In Malaysia, due to the awareness of the migration of phthalates from food packaging, baby bottles and pacifiers, a regulation has been proposed, which in stated in Food Regulation 27(B) 1985, which regulated plastic materials, and articles shall be examined in agreement with Malaysia Standard MS 2234: "Plastic Materials and Articles Intended to Come into Contact with Food", which clarified the specific migration limits as follows; 1.5 mg \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) for di(2-ethyl-hexyl) phthalate (DEHP), 0.3 mg \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) for di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP), 30 mg \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) for butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP) and 9.0 mg \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) for diisodecyl phthalate (DIDP) [13,14]. Recently, phthalates have been found in polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles which may lead to many serious consequences, since the PET bottles are widely used as containers for mineral water, milk and soft drinks. The existence of phthalates in PET bottles may be explained through several possibilities: the type of raw materials, the chemicals or processes involved in bottle manufacturing, the practice of the use of PET bottles, as well as cross contamination in the bottling plant and cap resins [15–20]. Studies conducted by Plotan et al. and Wagner et al. reported that in most of the inspected PET-bottled water samples, endocrine disruptor activity was found [21,22].

Given the unlimited toxic effects arising from these materials, much research has been conducted to find a solution to eliminate its contamination of the environment [23,24]. However, the determination of phthalates in environmental samples is challenging due to their trace amounts and the intervention of an intricate matrix [25]. Therefore, a sample preparation step for the extraction and preconcentration of the analytes is required [26]. Solid phase extraction (SPE) is one of the established and popular methods for sample enrichment prior to analysis using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography (GC) [27–29]. The advantages of SPE over liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) are its simplicity, rapidness, that the adsorbent is recyclable, the steadiness, low cost, high enrichment factors and low usage of organic solvents [30]. Numerous types of sorbents were synthesized and used for the determination of plasticizers, for example an octadecyl packed column (C18), \( \alpha \)-cyclodextrin functionalized chitosan, poly(styrene–divinylbenzene) polymers and zeolitic imidazolate [31–34]. The selection of adsorbent plays an important role in SPE, since it can determine the efficiency, anti-interference ability and selectivity of the method for the targeted analytes [35].

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), especially iron oxides, have become one of the most useful materials in numerous applications since their discovery, for example magnetic fluids, catalysis, magnetic resonance imaging and environmental disciplines [36–38]. In the application for the removal of pollutants from the environment, the nano-sized particles provide a high surface area to volume ratio, which enhances adsorption capacity and efficiency [39,40]. Moreover, the distinct feature of MNPs is their rapid response to an external magnetic field. This special property, called superparamagnetism, does not preserve magnetism after the elimination of an external field. Thus, it helps to isolate the adsorbents from an aqueous solution in a complex matrix without the need for centrifugation or filtration and can be referred to as magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) [41,42]. Due to the simplicity of the technique, much research has been published on utilizing Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) as the adsorbent for MSPE in water samples, for example determining antimicrobial residue, heavy metals, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and pesticides [43–46].

However, the smaller the particle size, the more it becomes unstable, which initiates particle accumulation. Moreover, metal oxide may be oxidize easily and reduce its magnetism properties. Therefore, an appropriate surface functionalization can be done, which can be tailored to the specific targeted analyte. The strategy to protect the magnetic core can be either by organic or inorganic
compounds, for example Al₂O₃, SiO₂, surfactants, alkyl carboxylates and polymeric coatings [47–50]. Recently, research articles reported on the utilization of conducting polymers as a coating agent of the MNPs [51–53]. These nanocomposites have multifunctional and diverse properties, which may enhance the surface functionalization and protect the magnetic core from environmental agitation. Moreover, it may reduce aggregation and disperse the nanoparticles’ core shell distribution within the suspension media [54]. Herein, we prepared a modified polythiophene containing an additional aromatic ring and aliphatic sides on the surface of Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) to investigate its performance as a magnetic solid-phase extraction of phthalates, as shown in Figure 1. Thus, in this work, the sorbent was further tested for real aqueous samples, including commercial mineral water and commercial fresh milk kept in a PET bottle.

![Figure 1. Phthalates used in this study.](image)

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Standard, Reagents and Chemicals

Analytical grade ferric chloride, ferrous chloride, ammonia solution (25 wt %), thiophene, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde, acetonitrile, potassium permanganate, 4-aminophenol, 3-bromothiophene, 1,6-dibromohexane, N-bromosuccinimide, acetic acid, sodium hydrogen bicarbonate, potassium iodide, potassium carbonate, tetrahydrofuran, methanol, hydrochloric acid, acetone and ethyl acetate were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Acetone was procured from Fisher Scientific (Zef Scientific Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Stock solutions of 1000 mg L⁻¹ of standards were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of compounds in methanol, which remain stable for three months if stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. Working standard solutions were prepared daily by diluting the stock standard solution to the required concentrations.
2.2. Instruments

The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer FTIR between 4000 and 400 cm\(^{-1}\), with a resolution of 2 cm\(^{-1}\). Structural elucidation was determined using \(^1\)H NMR, JEOL 400 MHz. The pore diameter and surface area of Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) analysis were determined from low-temperature nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77.40 K using a Quantachrome Autosorb Automated Gas Sorption System (Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted with Panalytical model Empyrean (Panalytical, Almelo, Netherlands) at 40 kV and 35 mA using Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54059\) Å). Morphological analyses of the synthesized products were conducted using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis using an FEI Tecnai G2 spectra microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The magnetic property was tested using a vibration sample magnetometer (VSM) Model 9600 (Quantum Design Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Magnetization measurements were carried out in an external field of up to 15 kOe at room temperature.

Separation and detection of target analytes were performed by a Shimadzu 2010 gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a split/splitless injector and a flame ionization detector (FID). A DB-5 Agilent fused-silica capillary column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) (30 m \(\times\) 0.32 mm i.d. \(\times\) 0.25 µm film thickness) was applied for separation of analytes. Helium (with 99.999% purity) was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 4 mL min\(^{-1}\). Chromatographic conditions were controlled as described; the temperatures of the injector and detector were set at 260 and 280 °C, respectively. The injection port was operated at splitless mode. Oven temperature was held at 150 °C for 1 min and increased to 280 °C at 8 °C min\(^{-1}\) for 3 min.

2.3. Synthesis of Adsorbents

2.3.1. Synthesis of (Phenyl-(4-(6-Thiophen-3-yl-Hexyloxy)-Benzylidene)-Amine) Monomer (3) (3TArH)

Synthesis of (3) consists of two steps (Scheme 1). The first step is to prepare the intermediates, which were 3-(6-bromohexyl)thiophene (1) and 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol (2). The second step was combining the two intermediates by the Williamson etherification method [55]. FT-IR spectrums of synthesized samples were demonstrated in (Figure S1, Supplementary Material)

- 3-(6-bromohexyl)thiophene (1): 3-bromothiophene (2 mL, 21.3 mmol) was added to the dry, degassed hexane (50 mL). The reaction started by cooling the flask at \(-78^\circ\)C. \(n\)-Butyllithium in hexane (10.16 mL) was poured into the reaction flask and stirred for 10 min. THF (5 mL) was injected drop-wise for 15 min and continuously stirred for 1 h, which produced a white precipitate and clear supernatant liquid. The supernatant liquid was removed and changed with hexane/THF (10:1 v/v, 55 mL). 1,6-dibromohexanes (32.7 mL, 213 mmol) was added and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was stopped with the addition of saturated NaHCO\(_3\) (50 mL) and diluted diethyl (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried with magnesium sulfate anhydrous, treated with decolorizing charcoal, filtered and concentrated in a vacuum to give an oily product. Yield: 52%.

- 4-((Phenylimino)methyl)phenol (2): 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (122 mg, 10 mmol) was added to (112 mg, 10 mmol) 2-aminobenzenethiol in 50 mL ethanol. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h. A yellow crystal was obtained after recrystallization with ethanol. Yield: 95%. \(^1\)H NMR (Figure S2, Supplementary Material) (400 MHz, DMSO-D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) (ppm): 7.42–6.97 (H\(_a\), b, c), 3.51 (H\(_i\)), 2.57 (H\(_d\)), 1.6–1.32 (H\(_e\), f, g, h). FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3062.45 (C–H aromatic), 2983 and 2912 (C–H (sp\(^3\))), 1589.22 and 1423.89 (C=C aromatic), 651.02 (C–Br).

- 3-(6-bromohexyl)thiophene (1): 3-bromothiophene (2 mL, 21.3 mmol) was added to the dry, degassed hexane (50 mL). The reaction started by cooling the flask at \(-78^\circ\)C. \(n\)-Butyllithium in hexane (10.16 mL) was poured into the reaction flask and stirred for 10 min. THF (5 mL) was injected drop-wise for 15 min and continuously stirred for 1 h, which produced a white precipitate and clear supernatant liquid. The supernatant liquid was removed and changed with hexane/THF (10:1 v/v, 55 mL). 1,6-dibromohexanes (32.7 mL, 213 mmol) was added and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was stopped with the addition of saturated NaHCO\(_3\) (50 mL) and diluted diethyl (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried with magnesium sulfate anhydrous, treated with decolorizing charcoal, filtered and concentrated in a vacuum to give an oily product. Excess 1,6-dibromohexane was removed via vacuum distillation (0.04 torr, 55 °C) and purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane, 1/99–5/95 v/v) to obtain an oily product. Yield: 52%. \(^1\)H NMR (Figure S2, Supplementary Material) (400 MHz, DMSO-D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) (ppm): 7.42–6.97 (H\(_a\), b, c), 3.51 (H\(_i\)), 2.57 (H\(_d\)), 1.6–1.32 (H\(_e\), f, g, h). FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3062.45 (C–H aromatic), 2983 and 2912 (C–H (sp\(^3\))), 1589.22 and 1423.89 (C=C aromatic), 651.02 (C–Br).
• Phenyl-(4-(6-thiopen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzyldiene)-amine (3): A mixture of 4-((phenylimino)methyl)phenol (1.97 g, 10 mmol), anhydrous potassium carbonate (4.14 g, 30 mmol) and 18-Crown-6 (16.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) was stirred in dried acetone (50 mL) at room temperature. Then, compound 3-(6-bromohexylthiophene) (0.81 g, 2 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen with stirring for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into the saturated solution of potassium carbonate. The organic phase was collected and washed by water (3 × 100 mL), dried by anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtered. The solvent was removed by reduced pressure, and the residue was dried by vacuum to produce the crude product. Purification was accomplished by column chromatography on silica with 25% hexane in chloroform to afford the monomer [56]. Yield: 67.6%. 

\[
\text{1H NMR (Figure S4, Supplementary Material) (400 MHz, DMSO-D}_6) \delta (\text{ppm}): 8.5 (\text{H}_i), 7.8 (\text{H}_k), 7.4–6.9 (\text{H}_{j,m,n,o}), 6.6–6.9 (\text{H}_{a,b,c}), 3.97 (\text{H}_d, 2.67 (\text{H}_q), 1.74–1.41 (\text{H}_{e,f,g,h}). \text{ FTIR (cm}^{-1}) \text{: 2938.38, 1617, 1499.9, 1426.71, 1239.71, 1018.26.}
\]

![Scheme 1. Synthesis pathway for (phenyl-(4-(6-thiopen-3-yl-hexyloxy)-benzyldiene)-amine) (3TArH).](image_url)

2.3.2. Polymerization of 3TArH and Thiophene Monomers on the Surface of MNPs

The preparation of MNP@PTh and MNP@P3TArH NPs involves two steps. Briefly, Fe$_3$O$_4$ has been prepared by the co-precipitation method [57]. FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (8.48 g, 30 mmol) and FeCl$_2$·4H$_2$O (2.25 g, 11.3 mmol) were dissolved in 400 mL deionized water under nitrogen atmosphere via vigorous stirring (1000 rpm) at 80 °C. Then, a 20-mL ammonia solution 25% (w/w) was added to the solution. The color of the bulk solution immediately changed from orange to black. After stirring the mixture for 5 min, the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP precipitates were obtained via magnetic decantation and washed three times with deionized water. Finally, the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were dried in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 12 h.

The surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was modified by being coated with the newly-designed modified thiophene monomers via oxidation polymerization with the generation of ferric cations on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs’ surface [54]. Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (1 mmol, 0.235 g) were discrete in polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) aqueous solution (0.001 M). Later, 3TArH (3.64 g, 10 mmol) was added into the mixed solution with vigorous stirring. Subsequently, 30 mL of HCl (0.5 M) solution were introduced into the mixture. Then, the products obtained were dried in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for 12 h. Experiments were repeated using freshly-distilled thiophene monomer (10 mmol, 0.84 g).

2.4. Solid Phase Extraction Optimization and Reusability Studies

Factors affecting the extraction efficiency of the proposed method, such as type of adsorbents, pH, extraction time, sample volume, elution solvent, elution solvent volume, desorption time, adsorbent dosage and effect of NaCl, were studied. All of the experiments were performed in triplicate, and the means of the results were used in plotting the optimization curves.

The reusability of the adsorbent was determined with optimized conditions for up to five cycles. The adsorbent was recycled after being washed with methanol and water and dried in vacuum at 70 °C for 12 h.
2.5. Analytical Performances and Real Sample Analysis

In order to evaluate the figures of merit of the proposed technique, linearity, the limit of detection (LOD), the limit of quantitation (LOQ) and repeatability were investigated under optimized conditions. The linearity was analyzed through the standard curves ranging from 0.1–50 µg·L⁻¹ by diluting appropriate amounts of phthalates stock solution (1000 mg·L⁻¹) with methanol and prepared in triplicate. The calibration curves were prepared using 10 spiking levels of analytes. For each level, three replicate experiments were performed.

To evaluate the reliability of the proposed method for the extraction of the plasticizers from real samples, two real samples were selected, spiked and subjected to the MSPE-GC-FID analysis. The two real samples were commercial bottled mineral water and bottled fresh milk.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Samples

Figure 2 shows several additional peaks in the spectrum of nanocomposites, proportional to the MNP spectrum, which might be due to the surface functionalization. The strong absorption peaks in the range of ~3400 cm⁻¹ for MNP and all nanocomposites indicated the presence of OH vibration, while the peak at 530–632 cm⁻¹ corresponds to Fe–O stretching modes [58]. The C–H aromatic stretching peak was observed for all nanocomposites, which falls at 3000 cm⁻¹ for MNP@PTh and 2980 cm⁻¹ for MNP@P3TArH. C–H sp³ stretching (hexyl aliphatic side) occurred at 2934 cm⁻¹ for MNP@P3TArH. Schiff base peaks (C=N) were observed at 1674 and 1685 cm⁻¹ for MNP@P3TArH. C=C aromatic symmetric and asymmetric absorption bands demonstrated in the range of 1573–1461 cm⁻¹ occurred for both nanocomposites. Two absorption band peaks at 1250 and 1072 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of C=O in MNP@P3TArH. Hence, the FTIR study clearly revealed that the MNPs prepared have been successfully functionalized.

Figure 3 displayed the characteristic peaks observed for the MNPs and all nanocomposites. The peaks of the nanocomposites were slightly wider than unmodified MNP. This may be due to the presence of amorphous and polymeric materials, which coat the surfaces of the MNPs [60]. The characteristic peaks of all nanocomposites were observed at 2θ = 30°, 35.7°, 43°, 53.4°, 57.0° and 62.6°, which are marked by their respective indices ((220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440)) [61]. This showed that the surface functionalization does not change the crystalline phase of MNPs [62].
As shown in Figure 4, TEM images of all materials demonstrated a sphere-shaped property. From the TEM image, we could clearly observe the good dispersion of the functionalized nanoparticles (MNP@PTArH) in the TEM image. For instance, before polymerization, magnetic nanoparticles were highly agglomerated with each other. After polymerization of MNP with 3TArH, they showed lower agglomeration, and the nanocomposite became well dispersed. The dispersity of the nanocomposite influenced its surface area, as evidenced by the BET result of MNP@P3TArH, which is higher compared to MNP@PTh and MNP, as tabulated in Table 1.

Meanwhile, escalation in the surface area could be because of the dispersity of particles that results from the enhancement of the spaces between them [64,65].

The BET surface area is measured using the multipoint BET method, within the relative pressure ($P/P_0$) range of 0.05–1. As described in (Figure S5, Supplementary Material), the MNPs and all nanocomposites display an H3-type hysteresis loop, based on the Brunauer-Deming-Deming-Teller (BDDT) classification, demonstrating the existence of mesopores with pore diameters between 2 and 50 nm [63]. The pore size and BET surface area of MNPs and nanocomposites are tabulated in Table 1. The reduction in the pore size of nanocomposites is due to the addition of polymers on the surface. Meanwhile, escalation in the surface area could be because of the dispersity of particles that results from the enhancement of the spaces between them [64,65].

The BET pore size and surface area are measured using the multipoint BET method. As described in (Figure S5, Supplementary Material), the MNPs and all nanocomposites display an H3-type hysteresis loop, based on the Brunauer-Deming-Deming-Teller (BDDT) classification, demonstrating the existence of mesopores with pore diameters between 2 and 50 nm [63]. The pore size and BET surface area of MNPs and nanocomposites are tabulated in Table 1. The reduction in the pore size of nanocomposites is due to the addition of polymers on the surface. Meanwhile, escalation in the surface area could be because of the dispersity of particles that results from the enhancement of the spaces between them [64,65].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)</th>
<th>Surface area ($m^2 \cdot g^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNP</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>37.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@PTh</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP@P3TArH</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>103.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Morphological analysis of the synthesized products was performed using TEM techniques. As shown in Figure 4, TEM images of all materials demonstrated a sphere-shaped property. From the images, we could clearly observe the good dispersion of the functionalized nanoparticles (MNP@PTArH) in the TEM image. For instance, before polymerization, magnetic nanoparticles were highly agglomerated with each other. After polymerization of MNP with 3TArH, they showed lower agglomeration, and the nanocomposite became well dispersed. The dispersity of the nanocomposite influenced its surface area, as evidenced by the BET result of MNP@P3TArH, which is higher compared to MNP@PTh and MNP, as tabulated in Table 1.

![Figure 3. Diffractogram of: (i) MNP; (ii) MNP@PTh; (iii) MNP@P3TArH.](image)

**Figure 3.** Diffractogram of: (i) MNP; (ii) MNP@PTh; (iii) MNP@P3TArH.

![Figure 4. TEM images of: (a) MNP; (b) MNP@PTh; (c) MNP@PTArH.](image)

**Figure 4.** TEM images of: (a) MNP; (b) MNP@PTh; (c) MNP@PTArH.
The magnetic properties of the samples were recorded at room temperature with an external field of ±15 kOe. Important magnetic variables, such as saturation magnetization (\(M_s\)), were evaluated. The maximum saturation (\(M_s\)) of MNP's occurred at 69.2 emu·g^{-1}, respectively. After surface functionalization, the magnetization of MNP@PTh and MNP@P3TArH was reduced to 65.3 and 61.5 emu·g^{-1} respectively. The magnetization decrease signified the presence of a dead magnetic layer on the surface of the nanocomposites [58]. Although the magnetization has declined, the value is still within the acceptable range, which suggests that it can be applied as the MSPE sorbent [66].

3.2. Solid Phase Extraction Optimization and Reusability Studies

3.2.1. Type of Adsorbent

Hypothetically, the adsorption of phthalates is based on the hydrophobicity and \(\pi-\pi\) dispersion [67]. To prove that the structure architecture influences the adsorption studies of phthalates, three different types of sorbents, which are naked magnetic nanoparticles (MNP), MNP-PTh and MNP@P3TArH, were tested. As seen in Figure 5, MNP resulted in an insignificant peak area for all of the analytes studied. After the introduction of polythiophene derivatives on the surface of MNP, the peak area of phthalates increased. The presence of aliphatic and aromatic groups in the MNP@P3TArH enhances the dispersion of phthalates, which enhances the \(\pi-\pi\) dispersion and hydrophobic interaction. As evidenced, butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP) is more prone to the adsorbent with more aromatic sides, as in the MNP@P3TArH, compared to the other adsorbents. Besides, the high surface area of MNP@P3TArH also contributes to the increase of extraction performance. Since the MNP@P3TArH has demonstrated the high peak area for all analytes studied, it was selected for further MSPE optimization.

![Figure 5](image-url). Comparison of MNP@P3TArH with naked MNP and MNP@PTh for the extraction of targeted phthalates.

3.2.2. Sample pH

To study the influence of the surface charge of adsorbent/adsorbate in the extraction process, experiments were performed under different pH conditions, ranging from pH 2–9. As shown from Figure 6a, the peak areas for phthalates increase when the pH rise from 2–7, but decline later from 8–9. At low pH, C=N, alkoxy in P3TArH was protonated, making the adsorbent surface positively charged. At pH < 7, phthalates hydrolyze to phthalic acid, thus making the carbonyl group nucleophilic, reacting with hydrogen ions in the aqueous solution, producing positive charges. Due to both the absorbate and adsorbent acquiring positive charges, the electrostatic repulsion occurred and retarded the adsorption performance [68]. At basic conditions, the surface adsorbent became negatively charged, while the
adsorbate hydrolyzes to phthalate anions, reducing the extraction efficiency [69]. Thus, in neutral pH, the extraction increased due to the absence of electrostatic repulsion that disturbed the extraction capability. As the optimum performance was demonstrated at pH 7, this pH was selected for all of the experiments.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** (a) Effect of sample pH; (b) effect of extraction time for the extraction of targeted phthalates.

### 3.2.3. Extraction Time

It has been understood that prolonged extraction time might increase the recovery of analytes. Thus, the influence of extraction time on the recoveries of the analyte has been investigated. As demonstrated in Figure 6b, the peak area increased rapidly for the first 20 min, since more adsorption sites were available and phthalates could easily interact with these sites. After 30 min, the peak area was almost persistent; therefore, 30 min was sufficient to extract the maximum of the target analytes. In order to ensure that the extraction time was satisfactory, further experiments were carried out until 90 min, and they were found to be constant.

### 3.2.4. Desorption Studies

The elution solvent is one of the crucial parameters to be considered. In order to determine the best elution solvent, the solvent must be able to elute all of the analytes that were retained from the adsorbent in a small volume [70]. Six eluting solvents with dissimilar polarities, namely hexane, toluene, diethyl ether, acetonitrile, methanol and ethyl acetate, were studied.

As evidenced in Figure 7a, polar solvents (acetonitrile, methanol and ethyl acetate) were the best solvents, with high peak areas compared to non-polar solvents (hexane, toluene and diethyl ether), since phthalates contain a polar carbonyl group [71]. Among the polar solvents, ethyl acetate showed high solvent strength, since it gave the maximum peak area for the phthalates studied and was thus selected to be the eluent.
The volume of ethyl acetate was tested from 0.1 mL–2.5 mL. As observed in Figure 7b, the peak area increased from 0.1 mL and remained constant after 0.5 mL. This showed that 0.5 mL may accommodate the maximum phthalates extracted from the sorbent.

Further, desorption time was optimized to investigate the best time taken for the analytes to desorb from the sorbent ranging from 0–12 min. As revealed in Figure 7c, analytes were desorbed rapidly in the first 4 min and started to become linear after 10 min. This indicated that 10 min of time are sufficient to desorb back all of the analytes from the adsorbent. As for the case of BBP, desorption was found to be slower than other phthalates. This could be due to the presence of an additional aromatic ring in BBP, which makes it less polar to the eluent (ethyl acetate). After 6 min of desorption, most of the phthalates had reached near to equilibrium, whereas BBP was desorbed steeply after 6 min until it reached equilibrium at 10 min.

3.2.5. Mass of Adsorbent

Investigation of the adsorbent amount was executed in the range of 1–25 mg. As exposed in Figure 8a, the extraction peak area increased up to 10 mg, but decreased later with a further increase of the adsorbent. Increasing the adsorbent amount provides more active sites for the adsorption of target analytes. However, a high amount of adsorbent at a specific volume has weakened elution efficiency [30]. It is shown that this adsorbent only required a small amount of adsorbent to remove phthalates efficiently, which added the advantage of economic value. Therefore, for further experiments, the adsorbent amount of 10 mg was applied.
3.2.6. Sample Loading Volume

The effect of sample volume was investigated by the extraction of the phthalates ranging from 5–100 mL and shown in Figure 8b. Each sample was spiked with 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) analytes and 10 mg adsorbent. As can be seen, peak area increased until 20 mL and further decreased till 100 mL. A 20-mL volume of sample demonstrated the most efficient extraction. An increase in sample volume could lead to a high distribution of adsorbent to the aqueous phase, which lowered the amount of adsorbent in the volume unit sample solution, and the extraction became less effective [72]. Thus, a 20-mL sample volume was chosen as the optimized sample volume.

3.2.7. Effect of NaCl

Indeed, the addition of salt in the sample matrices effects the extraction efficiency. Thus, studies on the concentration of NaCl ranging from 0–25 g L\(^{-1}\) were conducted. As observed in Figure 8c, peak areas of the studied analytes increased from 0–15 g L\(^{-1}\), but decreased later from 20–25 g L\(^{-1}\). This can be due to the addition of salt, which increases the ionic strength and eventually decreases the solubility of the analytes in the media. However, as the concentration of salt increases, the diffusion rate of the analytes may reduce, since the solvation cage of the analytes is disturbed [51]. Since a 30 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl concentration gave a high peak area for all analytes studied, it was chosen for subsequent experiments.

3.2.8. Reusability Studies

To investigate the probability of reusing and regenerating the sorbent, a reusability test was designed and implemented for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@P3TArH, which was recycled after being washed with methanol and water and was dried in a vacuum at 70°C for 12 h. From Figure 9, it could be surmised that after five repeated experiments, the adsorbent was still active. This may be due to some of the particles...
in the adsorbent accumulating due to the heat treatment after several cycles, which decreases the surface area.

![Figure 9. Reusability cycles.](image)

### 3.3. Analytical Performances and Real Sample Analysis

The optimized method obtained for the extraction of phthalates using MNP@P3TARH involved the sample at pH 7, 30 min extraction time, ethyl acetate as the elution solvent, 500-µL elution solvent volumes, 10 min desorption time, 10 mg adsorbent dosage, 20-mL sample loading volume and a 15 g L⁻¹ concentration of NaCl. In order to assess the validation of the proposed method, linearity, the limit of detection, the limit of quantitation and repeatability were performed under optimum conditions. Analytical performance figures of merits are tabulated in Table 2.

**Table 2.** MNP@P3TArH (magnetic-solid phase extraction (MSPE)) analytical figures of merit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytes</th>
<th>Linear range (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>LOD (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>LOQ (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>RSD (%) Interday n = 3</th>
<th>RSD (%) Intraday n = 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNOP</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calibration curves obtained for the studied phthalates were linear over the range of 0.1–50 µg L⁻¹ with R² more than 0.99. As per the U.S. EPA standard, the screening of phthalates in drinking water must be done at a concentration above 0.6 µg L⁻¹ [4]. However, the LOD of our method lies within the range of 0.080–0.468, indicating the suitability of this method as an efficient phthalate detector.

Repeatability studies were conducted for inter-day (three consecutives replicates for three days) and intra-day (seven consecutives replicates on the same day). The results were expressed as relative standard deviations (RSD%). This method demonstrated good precision, since the RSD (%) values were in the range of 3%–5% [73]. Comparative studies on the analytical performance between the proposed methods with other developed methods are shown in Table 3. Obviously, the extraction of phthalates using MNP@P3TArH provides sensitivity and repeatability.
Table 3. Comparatives study of the proposed method with other MSPE adsorbents for the extraction of phthalates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>LOD (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>LDR (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBP, DEHP, DOA</td>
<td>MNP@PTh-GC-FID</td>
<td>0.2–0.4</td>
<td>0.4–100</td>
<td>4–12.3</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP, DBP, DCP, DNOP</td>
<td>MNP@Chitosan-C18-HPLC-UV</td>
<td>0.012–0.037</td>
<td>0.001–0.011</td>
<td>2.1–6.8</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, DEHP</td>
<td>MNP@Zeolite-GC-FID</td>
<td>2.80–3.2</td>
<td>10–1200</td>
<td>10%–13%</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP, DEP, DBP, BBP, DNOP</td>
<td>MNP@ZIF-8-HPLC</td>
<td>0.08–0.24</td>
<td>1–100</td>
<td>&lt;5.5</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP, DPP, DEP, DBP, BBP, DCP, DEHP, DNOP</td>
<td>MNP@P3TArH-GC-FID</td>
<td>0.05–0.09</td>
<td>0.1–50</td>
<td>3.0–5.0</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To endorse the reliability of the method using MNP@P3TArH, it was applied to determine phthalates in the water from the mineral water bottle stored at room temperature and commercial fresh milk. Figure 10 shows the chromatogram of commercial fresh milk unspiked and spiked with phthalates. None of the targeted phthalates were found in the water samples under the optimized condition described. To evaluate the matrix effect, all of the samples were spiked with 50 µg·L⁻¹ of the phthalates studied. Recoveries and RSD (%) for all of the water samples were determined and are shown in Table 4. From the optimization procedures until the real sample analyses, DMP, DEP and DPP demonstrated lower recoveries; this may be due to the lower molecular weight of phthalates being more prone to aqueous solution than to the adsorbent [76]. From the chromatogram of mineral bottle stored at room temperature as shown in (Figure S6, Supplementary Material), the recoveries obtained for water in the mineral bottle demonstrated higher values compared to the recovery for the milk sample. This might be caused by the matrix effect that holds the analyte in the milk sample to be higher compared to the water sample. RSD (%) values were found to be in the range of 1.3%–5.8%, which indicated a precise method.

![Chromatogram](image)

**Figure 10.** Chromatogram of commercial fresh milk: (a) unspiked; (b) spiked phthalates (50 µg·L⁻¹). Peaks: (1) DMP; (2) DEP; (3) DPP; (4) DBP; (5) BBP; (6) DCP; (7) DEHP; (8) DNOP.

Table 4. Analysis of analytes in the real samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>MNP@P3TArH MSPE (±RSD%, n = 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mineral water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>85(5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>85 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td>88(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>95(2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>93(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP</td>
<td>90(4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>99(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNOP</td>
<td>101(4.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

MNP@P3TArH has been successfully synthesized, characterized and utilized as a sorbent for the analysis of GC-FID in the determination of selected phthalates. The optimized conditions of MSPE were carefully selected as follows: sample at pH 7, 30 min extraction time, ethyl acetate as the elution solvent, 500-µL elution solvent volume, 10 min desorption time, 10 mg adsorbent dosage, 20-mL sample loading volume and a 15 g L⁻¹ concentration of NaCl. The steadiness and reusability studies suggested that the MNP@P3TArH could be used up to five cycles without significantly impacting its extraction capacity. The adsorbent covers a wide range of phthalates with a dynamic linear range of 0.1–50 µg L⁻¹ and a limit of detection at 0.08–0.468 µg L⁻¹. The presence of new interfaces (π–π and hydrophobic interactions) among the sorbent and target analytes increased the adsorption capability. The application of MNP@P3TArH as the MSPE sorbent was successfully executed by the analysis of phthalate esters in the mineral water and commercial fresh milk.
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