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ABSTRACT

This work describes some general procedures for the preparation, characterization of adsorbents, CuFe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.1}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.3}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_2$O$_4$-rGO, POCS (X=4.75), POCS (X=2.36), POCS (X=1.18), POCS (X=0.6), POCS (X=0.3), POCS (X=0.15) and their application for the decontamination of hydrological samples in an environment of high competitions for available active sites on the surface of adsorbents. A detailed characterization and analysis were carried out by the Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), Raman spectroscopy, X-ray Diffraction (XRD), BET surface area, particle size analyzer, Zeta Potential (ZP), Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA & DTA), Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), Transmission Electron microscopy (TEM), Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), ion chromatography (IC), Inductively coupled mass spectroscopy (ICPMS), Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), Linear scan cyclic voltammetry (LSCV) and UV-Visible spectroscopy that revealed the formation of impurity free magnetic adsorbents. The adsorbents were applied for the green environment remediation of heavy metals, anionic species and organophosphorus acephate. The properties of these synthetic adsorbents were fine-tuned by the facile process of doping rare earths, monitored by Autolab PGSTAT 302N and NOVA 1.10 software. Response surface methodology (RSM) for optimum adsorption and central composite design (CCD) was selected to study the main effects and interaction effects of various controlling parameter such as Adsorbent dose (g L$^{-1}$), mixing speed (r/min), temperature (°C) and initial concentration (mgL$^{-1}$). The nature of all the interaction was explained by a number of isotherms and kinetic models. Kinetic models include, pseudo first order, pseudo second order, Banghams, intra-particle diffusion, while the equilibrium models such as Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, Dubenin Redushkvic (DR), and Flory Huggins.
(FH). The equilibrium and kinetic models were tested for goodness of fit between the observed and model predicted adsorption capacities ($Q_e$) to explain the interaction removal mechanisms. The results were further used to prepare adsorbents, characterize, apply and check for the best fit model that explains the adsorption process. The series of CuCe$_x$Fe$_{2-x}$O$_4$ ($x=0.0$ to $0.5$) retained magnetic properties for fluoride adsorption with coefficient values of $X1(74.29)$, $X2 (25.31)$, $X3 (36.99)$ and $X4 (8.31)$ respectively. The natural POCS displayed Arsenic adsorption coefficient $X1(1.62)$, $X2 (1.81)$, $X3 (0.80)$ and $X4 (3.10)$. The isotherms results of Acephate show the value of $Q_e$ ($\text{Langmuir}$), 11.23 mgg$^{-1}$ or 10.67 mgg$^{-1}$ at 293K and 313K respectively. The pseudo second order kinetic model give best fit to the results ($R^2 = 0.998$), and the value of $Q_e$ ($\text{pseudo second order}$) were 12.427 mgg$^{-1}$ and 12.280 mgg$^{-1}$ at 293K and 313 K respectively. The magnetic separations, dopant facilitated dispersions and graphene layers provided some novel series of energy efficient adsorbent. The design of experiments strategy with various statistical standards designs helps optimize the adsorption conduction with minimal number of experiments, reducing the cost and time of research and development in green environmental remediation.
**ABSTRAK**

Kerja ini menerangkan beberapa prosedur am bagi penyediaan, pencirian penjerap, CuFe\(_2\)O\(_4\), CuCe\(_{0.1}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), CuCe\(_{0.2}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), CuCe\(_{0.3}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), CuCe\(_{0.4}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), CuCe\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\), CuCe\(_{0.6}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-rGO, POCS (X=4.75), POCS (X=2.36), POCS (X=1.18), POCS (X=0.6), POCS (X=0.3), POCS (X=0.15) dan aplikasi mereka untuk penyahkontaminasi sampel hidrologi dalam suasana pertandingan yang tinggi bagi laman aktif yang tersedia pada permukaan penjerap. Pencirian dan analisis terperinci telah dijalankan oleh Fourier Transform spektroskopi inframerah (FTIR), spektroskopi Raman, Difraksi X-ray (XRD), kawasan permukaan BET, analisa saiz zarah, Zeta Berpotensi (ZP), analisis gravimetrik terma (TGA & DTA ), Field imbasan pancaran elektron mikroskop (FESEM), Bahagian penghantaran mikroskop elektron (TEM), Nuklear magnet resonans (NMR), prestasi tinggi kromatografi ceceair (HPLC), ion kromatografi (IC), Induktif ditambah spektroskopi jisim (ICPMS), Elektrokimia impedans spektroskopi (EIS), Linear mengimbas siklik voltammetri (LSCV) dan spektroskopi UV-nyata yang mendedahkan pembentukan berhadas percuma penjerap magnet. Penjerap yang digunakan untuk pemulihan alam sekitar hijau logam berat seperti, spesies anionik seperti, pewarna organik, dan organofosforus acefat. Sifat-sifat penjerap sintetik ini telah diperhalusi oleh proses mudah daripada pendopan nadir bumi, dipantau oleh Autolab PGSTAT 302N dan NOVA perisian 1.10. Kaedah gerak balas permukaan (RSM) untuk penjerapan optimum dan reka bentuk komposit pusat (CCD) telah dipilih untuk mengkaji kesan utama dan kesan interaksi pelbagai parameter kawalan seperti dos penjerap (g L\(^{-1}\)), pencampuran kelajuan (r / min), suhu (°C) dan kepekatan awal (mgL\(^{-1}\)). Sifat semua interaksi telah dijelaskan oleh beberapa isoterma dan model kinetik. Model kinetik termasuk, pseudo tertib pertama, pseudo tertib kedua, Banghams, intra-zarah penyebaran, manakala model keseimbangan seperti Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, Dubenin Redushkivich (DR), dan Flory Huggins (FH). Keseimbangan dan model kinetik
telah diuji untuk padankan di antara yang model yang diperhati dan ramalan kapasiti penjerapan \( (Q_e) \) untuk menerangkan mekanisma interaksi penyingkiran. Hasil kajian diagnostik ini seterusnya digunakan untuk menyediakan penjerap, pencirian, aplikasi dan memeriksa model padanan terbaik yang menerangkan proses penjerapan. Siri \( \text{CuCe}_x\text{Fe}_{2-x}\text{O}_4 \) \( (x = 0.0 \text{ hingga } 0.5) \) mengekalkan sifat-sifat magnet untuk penjerapan fluorida dengan nilai-nilai pekali \( X_1 \) (74.29), \( X_2 \) (25.31), \( X_3 \) (36.99) dan \( X_4 \) (8.31) masing-masing. The POCS semulajadi dipaparkan Arsenic \( X_1 \) pekali penjerapan (1.62), \( X_2 \) (1.81), \( X_3 \) (0.80) dan \( X_4 \) (3.10). Keputusan isoterma Acefat menunjukkan nilai \( Q_e \) (Langmuir), 11.23 mgg\(^{-1}\) atau 10.67 mgg\(^{-1}\) masing-masing pada 293K dan 313K. Pseudo tertib kedua model kinetik memberi padanan terbaik untuk keputusan \( (R^2 = 0.998) \), dan nilai \( Q_e \) (tertib pseudo kedua) masing-masing adalah 12.427 mgg\(^{-1}\) dan 12.280 mgg\(^{-1}\) pada 293K dan 313 K. Pemisahan magnetik, pendopan memudahkan penyebaran dan lapisan graphene menyediakan beberapa siri novel bahan penjerap yang cekap tenaga. Strategi reka bentuk eksperimen dengan pelbagai reka bentuk standard statistikal membantu mengoptimumkan pengaliran penjerapan dengan bilangan minimum eksperimen, mengurangkan kos dan masa penyelidikan dan pembangunan dalam pemulihan alam sekitar hijau.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Adsorbents

Adsorbent refers to a substance abundant in functional groups for interaction and bonding with other atoms ions, and molecules (adsorbate) through physical or chemical forces of attractions (Fowkes, 1964). Traditionally adsorbents are used to purify the products and remove undesirable impurities or control and maintain inert atmosphere (Gu et al., 1994). For example, silica gel is placed inside air or moisture sensitive drugs to seize or stop the degradation process (Waterman, 2004). The advancement in the nanotechnology and nano-adsorbents has provided a new generation of adsorbents (Chaturvedi et al., 2012). The desire for energy efficient separation science has attracted immense research interest in adsorbents (Pimentel et al., 2014). A web of science (WOS) key word analysis reveals an increasing trend to prepare, characterize and apply new generations of adsorbents Figure 1.1.

![Figure 1.1. WoS key words analysis.](image)

Development of adsorbents in treatment techniques is a niche area of research, and among the top countries in this area are China, USA, India and Japan as estimated by WoS analysis Figure 1.2. The present global challenge of environment contamination and removal of pollutants has become a millennium development goal (MDG) set by World Health Organization (WHO) (Schwarzenbach et al., 2010). A lot of efforts has
been dedicated to overcome the global problems with the application of novel adsorbents (Wang et al., 2005). However, the major challenge is the preparation of green adsorbents, with desirable properties to solve a particular environmental problem (Cevasco et al., 2014). The WOS analysis elaborates that it is a multidisciplinary research attracting parallel attention from chemists, physicists, engineers, environmentalists and geologists.

![Graph showing country-wise increase in research activity in adsorbents for hydrological remediation.](image)

**Figure 1.2.** Country wise estimate of increase in the research activity in the area of adsorbents for hydrological remediation.

### 1.2 Characterizations methods

The detailed characterization and analysis with Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (Perkin Elmer System 2000 series), Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw 2000 system), X-ray Diffraction (XRD) PANalytical Empyrean), equipped with a monochromatic Cu Kα radiation source, Energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS), BET surface area, particle size analyzer, Zeta Potential (ZP), Thermos-gravimetric analysis (TGA & DTA), Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), Transmission Electron microscopy (TEM), Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), High
performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), ion chromatography (IC), Inductively coupled mass spectroscopy (ICPMS), Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), cyclic voltammetry (C.V) and Diffuse Reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) revealed the formation of impurity free adsorbents.

1.3 Applications or modelling

A novel investigation in modelling of adsorptive and catalytic degradation of hydro contaminants using response surface methods (RSM) and central composite design (CCD) method was developed to remove pollutants from hydrological samples. The complex nature of all the interaction was explained by a number of isotherms and kinetic models. Kinetic models: pseudo first order, pseudo second order, Banghams, intra-particle diffusion, and the equilibrium models: Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, Dubenin Redushkwich (DR), Flory Huggins (FH) were applied to test for goodness of fit and to explain the adsorption mechanisms.

1.4 Problem statement

Environmental pollution is a global issue and water contamination is one of the major concerns because the occurrence of pollutants is increasing over time. This study concerns application of new series of adsorbents preparation, characterization, applications and modeling for green environment remediation. Society has a need for safe water free from chemical pollutants to avoid health risks and the environment deteriorations. Neither situation can afford to wait for scientists to provide all the answers or to wait for agreement before any actions are taken. The best possible course of action is to make available the advanced adsorbents to environmental specialists. This study followed a roadmap on geochemical modelling that benefits geochemists, hydrologists, engineers and town planners. Most practitioners in the environmental field lack formal training in geochemical modelling at the same time they have to work under
stringent deadline, budget, and regulatory constraints. A lack of understanding of geochemistry and competent research designs may lead to the failure of remediation techniques. Failure in combating pollutants can be resolved by the use of magnetic, energy efficient, economical adsorbents with added recycle and reuse capabilities. Some researches argue that geochemical modelling does not produce practical useful results. Through this study we demonstrate the use of geochemical modelling as a systematic, effective and efficient tool for the solution of environmental problems. The study proposes the use of raw material from indigenous Palm oil industry, magnetic ferrites and graphene supported magnetic ferrites that are rich in functional groups, surface morphology, crystal structure, mechanical strength, thermal properties, electrical and magnetic properties suitable for environmental remediation.

1.5 Aims and objectives

It is a challenge to produce specific and competent types of adsorbent materials for the application in hydrological decontamination strategies. The environmental remediation is dependent on the conditions and starting material used during the preparation of adsorbents. The preparative conditions variables such as ferrites composition, types, time, temperature and medium turbulence along with pH will significantly change the surface morphology, surface area, pore volume, pore size and distribution and interaction functional groups. From the pilot scale to the industrial scale, removal of hydrological pollutants needs to take into consideration the preparation, specifications, intended use, to fully realize the benefits of applying magnetic Nano-adsorbents. The following objectives have been addressed in this work.

1. To prepare, characterize and use adsorbents of natural and synthetic origin from suitable, economical, green and environment friendly sources, by
converting them into particles of suitable specific gravity, fitness modulus and adsorption characteristics.

2. To fabricate composite ferrite materials and enhance the hydro decontamination efficiencies for the optimum removal of heavy metals, anionic species and organophosphorus pesticides.

3. To optimize or model using the equilibrium isotherms including Langmuir, Freundliah, Temkin, Dubinin-Redishkevich (DR), Florry huggins (FH) model.

The methods, instruments and statistical procedures to collect, analyze and interpret the experimental data and findings are presented. The experimental setup used to make magnetic adsorbents via mechanical milling, sol-gel method, micro-emulsions, co-precipitation, various analytical and spectroscopic techniques for adsorbents characterization and environmental applications are described. All experiments are distinctive from each other and in each situation standard procedures were followed for data collection, interpretation and presentation. The experimental parameters were optimized through two strategies: one variable at a time (OVAT) as well as design of experiment (DOE). The adsorption experiments were repeated at least three times to establish the reproducibility of the experimental results. Maximum care is taken in the design, conduct, collection and analysis of experimental results.

1.6 Thesis outline

This thesis presents preparation of adsorbents, characterization and application for green environment remediation. Chapter 1 describes the general introduction on adsorbents, characterization, optimization and modelling, problem statement as well as the aim and objectives. Chapter 2 presents a review of the relevant literature on adsorbents, methods of preparation and the fabrication of nanocomposite ferrites.
Chapter 3 comprises materials and methods used to prepare, characterize and model the application of adsorbents. Chapter 4 discusses a novel series of Graphene based ferrite adsorbent based on the hydrothermal fabrication of copper ferrite. The magnetic adsorbents series are characterized by XRD, Raman, EDX, FESEM and TGA techniques. It is also dedicated to the development of novel POCS adsorbent from abundant indigenous agricultural resource as a green alternative series of adsorbents. This chapter discusses magnetic copper ferrite adsorbent for fluorides removal using design of experiments (DOE). The use of ion chromatography and electrochemical methods is highlighted. Chapter 5 summarizes the thesis, leading to conclusions and future work.
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

The success of green environmental remediation is dependent on the reliability of data, design of work, experimentation, application of precise and accurate research methodology. Normally, geological features of the study area are well documented by field observation followed by mechanical, physical and chemical analysis to interpret the causes of a particular environmental problem. In this regards, the geochemical models are efficient and selective in terms of large scale data presentation, three dimensional maps building through the use of vector and raster data. The interpretation of geochemical model identifies the environmental issue and local point pollution resources e.g. industrial waste, hospital waste or house waste. The identified problem is resolved by the application of magnetic Nano-adsorbents by following OVAT or DOE strategies to reduce time, cost and efforts. Well-planned and performed experiments ordinarily give reproducible and reliable data.

The acceptable water quality criterion has a dynamic link with the local environmental conditions, availability of fresh water resources and public health benefits. Public health relies on the supply of water free from toxic substances and harmful pathogens. An appraisal of water supply system indicates the population exposed to fecal contamination because of leakage of the water supply channels and mixing of sewer water adversely affects the good health of consumer communities (Kyle Onda et al., 2013). Usually natural water resources are found to have elevated levels of pathogens and contaminants because of discharge of the municipal, industrial, agrochemicals, agricultural, hospital and households waste into streams, lakes and rivers (Azizullah et al., 2011). Research findings highlights that even occasional consumption of contaminated water may result in severe health effects (Azizullah et al., 2011). The improve public health necessitates the need for a careful and continuous monitoring
program of water resources (Onda et al., 2012). The global pollution problem of the natural resources need to be resolved to enjoy the full benefits of the safe water practice (Reidy et al., 2013). The surroundings effects in these varied environments arises from some basic factor such as pH, temperature fluctuations, high concentration of pollutants, complex nature of interaction among the pollutants and greater volumes of domestic and industrial effluents (Farré et al., 2012). A number of studies has been undertaken to understand the release, transport, transformation and fate of pollutants in the environment (Gao et al., 2013). It appears that a strategic design of pollutants removal is a primary concern to improve present and future public health (Gao et al., 2013).

2.1 Green environmental remediation

The twelve principles of green chemistry provide the grounds for the design and application of green environmental remediation strategies. These guiding principles emphasize the minimum use of energy, solvents and chemicals to protect the natural ecosystems of the planet as shown in Figure 2.1 (Ashraf et al., 2014). A green route for adsorbents preparation requires least toxic, readily available, renewable raw materials with minimum use of chemicals, energy, processing and minimal side products. The active surface of adsorbents should be self-sufficient to interact with a large amount of the desired adsorbate molecules. The preparation of adsorbents should be monitored continuously to prevent spread of environmental pollutants. The preparation, characterization and application processes should be safe free from explosions or accidents. A long term strategic economic development design calls for environmental protection as a tangible contributor and facilitator of economic development and should not be regarded as an obstacle and burden in the minds of the relevant stake holders. It must be realized that economic growth is essentially linked to clean and protected environment. A win-win goal of environmental conservation and accelerated economic
development must be achieved without sacrificing either of the two in the struggle against global environment pollution.

![Diagram of green environment remediation principles](image)

**Figure 2.1 the principles of green environment remediation.**

In the present thesis, the analysis of literature guided to prepare natural and magnetic Nano-adsorbents by green remediation strategies.

### 2.2 Preparation of Nano-adsorbents

Magnetic adsorbents play a very important role in hydrogeological decontaminations, separation, physical and materials sciences. The superior physical, chemical, thermal, electrical, optical and magnetic properties attract extensive applications in green environmental remediation, removal of pollutants from drinking water, river water and polluted water resources. In this context, preparation of adsorbent is a very important assignment for material scientists. In this work, we have fabricated
the different series of adsorbents by the hydrothermal, sol-gel method, micro-emulsions, co-precipitation, and mechanical milling methods.

Novel classes of adsorbents have become a feasible and achievable objective because of flexible material structure, desirable functionalities, and advancement in nanotechnology (Ramsden, 2011). The use of adsorbents is dependent on their physical, mechanical and chemical properties that can be fine-tuned by an appropriate preparation method (Yu et al., 2008). The current literature about advanced adsorbents emphasize that the fabrication of adsorbent is an important area of research, particularly when carried with a precise control over different physicochemical properties, such as particle size, shape and crystalline structure (Vamvasakis et al., 2015). Because of small size, high active surface area, and porosity, Nano-adsorbents are not only capable of removing pollutants with diverse types, size, hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity and speciation, but also have competitive metal binding capacities. The adsorbents microstructures can be controlled and optimized along a range of temperature, pH, reactants concentration and variety of preparation methods to facilitate the formation of desired functionalities (Table 2.1). The reported preparation methods has many advantages including environment friendliness, high purity products, low cost and size and homogeneity control of the products e.g. monocrystalline magnetic Fe₃O₄, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ etc. In accordance with these desirable objectives, generally researchers apply two main approaches, i. top down and ii. Bottom up to design and control the process of synthesis.
Table 2.1: Some common method for Nano-adsorbents preparations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of nanomaterial</th>
<th>method</th>
<th>Starting materials</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Temp.(K)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>time (hr)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>Sol gel</td>
<td>ASB: HCL: (CH₃)₂CHOH: C₂H₅OH</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(Pacheco et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Sol-gel</td>
<td>AlCl₃: NH₃: CH₃COOH</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>(Zeng et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Sol-gel</td>
<td>FeCl₃: NaOH: H₂O₂</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Hu et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>Sol-gel</td>
<td>(CH₃COO)Ni: C₂H₅OH: HOOC COOH</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Thota et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>Sol gel</td>
<td>Ti(SO₄)₂: Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Ilisz et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂/silica</td>
<td>Sol-gel</td>
<td>TO: C₂H₅OH: TiO₂</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(Pitonia k et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃/Au</td>
<td>Sol-gel</td>
<td>Fe(III) acetyl-acetonate: CH₃COOAu</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃/silica</td>
<td>Co-condensation</td>
<td>FeCl₃: silica</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Melo o et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiolactic acid coated TiO₂</td>
<td>Sol gel</td>
<td>TiCl₃: TLA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(Skubal et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>Co-precipita tion</td>
<td>FeSO₄: NaNO₃: NH₄OH</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(Wei et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akageneite [β-FeO(OH)]</td>
<td>Co-precipita tion</td>
<td>FeCl₃: (NH₄)₂CO₃</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Deliyan mi et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating Material</td>
<td>Co-precipitation Type</td>
<td>Precipitation Chemicals</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>Time (h)</td>
<td>Product (mg)</td>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDTMA*-coated akageneite</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>FeCl₃: HDTMA: (NH₄)₂CO₃</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(Deliyanni et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnFe₂O₄</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>MnCl₂: FeCl₃: NaOH</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(Hu et al., 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>FeCl₃: HNO₃: TAH: ethylene glycol</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Hurt et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>FeCl₃: HCl</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Uheida et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceria nanoparticles coated CNT</td>
<td>Catalytic pyrolysis</td>
<td>C₃H₆/H₂</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclodextrin-coated CNT</td>
<td>Chemical vapor deposition</td>
<td>C₃H₆: β-cyclodextrin: dymethyl formamide</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Salipira et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂-coated CNT</td>
<td>Catalytic pyrolysis</td>
<td>C₃H₆: H₂: Ni: CNT: HNO₃: MnO₂</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃-modified MWCNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CNT: HNO₃</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. ASB = aluminum tri-sec-butoxide; HDTMA = hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide; TAH = Tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide; TO = tetraethyl orthosilicate; NA = not available.
Despite their different mode of work, both type of synthesis techniques are known to improve the manufacturing cost, reduce the need for solvent and minimize waste generation criteria for the green environmental remediation.

2.2.1 Top-down approach

The “top-down” approach mainly refers to slicing or successive breaking down of the bulk materials e.g. POCS, for the formation of nanoparticles. In this approach the shape or structure is optimized by externally controlled devices. One of the method known top down approaches is mechanical milling (Priyadarshana et al., 2015).

2.2.2 Bottom-up approach

It follows a step by step building of nanomaterial, where a molecular precursor is decomposed with the generation of atoms or molecular segments that further nucleate and result in the formation of fine and mono-dispersed nanomaterial (Byun et al., 2015). It basically requires condensation of atomic or molecular entities in a liquid or gas phase to form adsorbents with nanometer range size distribution. Figure 2.2 shows a schematic illustration of the two approaches to make the desired adsorbents. The bottom-up approach is more popular for the synthesis of nanomaterial, and is further sub divided into a) liquid phase b) gas phase or c) vapor phase synthesis. The focus in the present discussion is on the liquid-phase synthesis of nanomaterial. The liquid phase adsorbents synthesis is further divided to include hydrothermal or solvothermal, micro emulsion, auto-combustion, sonochemical and sol-gel synthesis. As the materials reported in the subsequent chapters have been synthesized using Hydrothermal, sonochemical and microemulsion methods, these will be discussed in detail in this chapter. The following sections will present the different bottom up approaches to prepare nano adsorbents.
2.2.3 Auto-combustion method

It is a method to make nanoparticles with high crystallinity and broad surface areas. During programmed heating regime temperature reached ~650°C, at this stage thermally catalyzed reaction takes place in the presence of redox groups and yield a crystalline product (Mirzaee et al., 2015).

2.2.4 Sol-gel process

It is a multi-step process involving the hydrolysis and condensation of alkoxide precursors, the transition of a liquid “sol” to a solid “gel”, followed by annealing. The size of sol particles is controlled by altering the synthesis parameters e.g. temp., pH, solvent and surfactants (Amiri, 2015).
2.2.5 Micro emulsions

![Micro emulsion diagram](image)

**Figure 2.3. Reverse and normal micelle structure.**

A micro emulsion is a thermodynamically stable isotropic dispersion of polar and non-polar immiscible liquids e.g. water and oil (McClements, 2012). Water is polar and oil is non-polar; when mixed together in suitable proportions, two classes are formed i. water in oil (W/O) and ii. Oil in water (O/W) as shown in **Figure 2.3**. A micro emulsion also needs a surfactant to stabilize the interfacial film or surface active molecules (Rosen et al., 2012). In this current study the micro or Nano emulsion based metal, mixed metal oxides and magnetic ferrites have been prepared and applied for the decontamination of hydrogeological samples.

2.2.6 Hydrothermal fabrication

"Hydrothermal" was first introduced by a British Geologist, Sir Roderick Murchison (1792-1871), to explain the action of water at raised temperature and pressure that causes variations in the earth’s crust and forms several minerals and rocks (Kondalkar et al., 2015). A number of minerals, ore deposits were created after hydrothermal process in the presence of water, high temperature and pressures. An in depth understanding of mineral creation in an environment of water, temperature and pressure gave birth to hydrothermal fabrication method. In 1970, hydrothermal method was defined as the
growth of nanoparticles from aqueous solutions in ambient conditions (Byrappa et al., 2012). Later in 1973, it was redefined as the heterogeneous system for recrystallization in superheated aqueous solutions at elevated pressures (Ingebritsen et al., 1999). Similarly, it was also defined as heterogeneous chemical reaction in aqueous solvent or a mineralizer above 100 °C temperature and a pressure above 1 atmosphere (Byrappa et al., 2007). All these definitions are acceptable in material synthesis, but at this stage the exact low limits for the temperature and pressure have not been defined. Many studies have reported hydrothermal temperature higher than 100 °C and pressure >1 atm. In accordance with all these reported hydrothermal conditions, hydrothermal fabrication procedure is described as a heterogeneous reaction, in the company of aqueous or non-aqueous solvent in a closed container e.g. autoclave and Teflon line stainless steel above room temperature and 1 atm pressure.

Hydrothermal synthesis has been a commonly employed technique for the synthesis of nanomaterial. This technique exploits the solubility of almost all the metal salts in water and then the recrystallization of nanoparticles at elevated temperatures and pressures. Owing to the different structure of water at elevated temperature and very high vapor pressure, solubility and reactivity of molecules changes and water plays a crucial role in the transformation of precursors into products (Byrappa et al., 2007). Different reaction conditions including temperature, time, concentration of reactants and the amount of catalysts can be optimized to maintain nucleation rate and uniform particles size distribution.

Hydrothermal method has several advantages as compared to other methods (Table 2.2). Hydrothermal synthesis of nanomaterial in the laboratory needs a reaction vessel, called an autoclave. For the synthesis of metal and mixed metals nanomaterial, very corrosive salt are used over a long time. The autoclave must be constructed with
corrosion resistant materials e.g. Carbon tubes or Teflon lined stainless steel. Autoclave is sealed after addition of all the metallic salts and reagents and placed in an oven at programmed temperature. As the reaction temperature rises, it also increases the pressure inside the Carbon tube/ Teflon necessary for the formation of nanomaterial.

Table 2.2: Hydrothermal method superiority over other method for nanomaterial synthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>One pot synthesis, close system, less instrumentation, energy and labor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Green, environment friendly, no release of toxic effluents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generation of high pressure, require low temperature or reduce activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>energy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Better stoichiometric control, avoids volatilization of reactants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Offer better options to control nanoparticles size and morphology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.7 Sono-chemical technique

Sonochemistry concerns understanding of ultrasound waves for the formation of acoustic cavitation i.e. formation, growth and implosive collapse of bubbles in liquids. Interestingly, without the use of high temperature, pressure and long-time ultrasound waves efficiently convert precursors into products (Figure 2.4). The theory behind is that ultrasound initiates severe momentary conditions that result in the formation of hot spots with a tremendous rise in temperature above 5000 K, pressure above 1000 atm and heating/ cooling rates around $1.0 \times 10^{11}$ K.S$^{-1}$ (Xiao et al., 2013). The acoustic cavitation plays a vital role during the fabrication of nanomaterial. In a liquid medium, the speed of sound is 1000 to 1500 m/s, wavelength 10 cm to 100 μm and frequency 20 kHz to 15 MHz, which is greater than the molecular scale. The ultrasound has no direct interaction with the precursor but it interacts indirectly by the formation of bubbles,
growth and collapse, generating severe temporary environment with high temperature and pressure for the production of nanomaterials.

The mechanism involved in the ultrasonic synthesis explains the whole scenario for the synthesis of nanomaterial. When a liquid containing precursors is fed to ultrasound treatment, a chemical reaction starts by different mechanisms, as shown in Figure 2.4. Primary reaction takes place inside the collapsing bubbles at elevated temperature with the production of free metal atoms after bond dissociations. The free atoms diffuse into the liquid phase, then nucleate and form nanomaterial under appropriate template or stabilizers present in the solution. The non-volatile precursors undergo secondary reaction with the free radicals, outside the collapsing bubbles. The free radicals diffuse into liquid phase to initiate a series of reaction and reduction of metal positive ions ($M^{n+}$ where, $n=1,2$ or $3$). The low cost and speedy reaction kinetics enhances the performance of various phase transfer catalysis and improve the catalytic activity.

**Figure 2.4.** Sonochemical primary and secondary reactions for the formation of nanomaterial.
2.2.8 Agro-industrial waste for environmental remediation

The increasing interest in the use of agricultural and industrial wastes is because of the dual benefits. It is thought to remove the pollution causing waste and solves the problem of solid waste pollution but also it become an addition revenue resource by converting waste into products (Rehman et al., 2015). From chemical functional groups perspectives these waste are found to be rich in diverse types of functional groups and can be converted into activated carbons, clinkers and sands. The choice of agro-industrial adsorbents is mainly dependent on the processing cost, purity, bulk availability, renewable resources and consistency. Large amount of agricultural residues are annually generated and cause anxiety of environmental pollution to the production managers. From environmental point of view, innocuous dealing of these agro-industrial residues deserve immediate research.

2.2.9 Palm oil clinker sand (POCS)

Palm oil industry generated large amount of waste biomass in the form of empty fruit bunch (EFB), palm shell (PS) and fibrous residues. Nearly 110 million tons of non-oil agricultural mass is produced from palm oil industry each year. Normally, this waste is burnt in boilers to produce steam energy. After calcination in the boiler unit, the unburnt waste biomass is left as waste. This waste has a very fine texture, and is used to produce activated carbons. Each year nearly 4 million tons of palm ash is produced in Malaysia. However, an uncontrolled forest burning, the palm ash is spread into the environment and become a source of air pollution in the region. It calls for a revision in our understanding this abundant natural resource. A properly managed utilization leads to zero waste generation and lot of revenue is generating that makes it a profitable business. On the contrary, un-managed burning of trees only causes environmental pollution Figure 2.5.
2.2.10 Properties of adsorbents

In commercial application, an adsorbent is designed and developed with the anticipated properties. It is expected to own selective, specific, stable and suitable physicochemical properties such as surface morphology, pore size, pore volume, surface area, chemical functional groups, and an option for regeneration and reuse in continuous removal systems (Aksu et al., 2002; Da’na et al., 2011). A review of the currently used green, smart and efficient adsorbent shows a number of classes and types of adsorbents from diverse origins. Among these, silica and carbon-based materials with composite structures have been found to be suitable adsorbents for water treatment techniques (Rehman et al., 2015). The primary property is to taking advantage of the electrostatic attractions between the composite materials and wastes that can be reversed with the use of a suitable regeneration reagent for economic extended re-applications. The other interesting aspects is the separation after use or the recycling of used adsorbents. In this situation several metal oxides having magnetic properties for easy separation (Rehman
et al., 2015). After adsorption process start it has been observed these magnetic metal oxides began to act as catalysts and synergistically improve the decontamination ability of the designed systems (Rehman et al., 2015). Diverse morphologies have been prepared and used to remove toxic substances from the environment. A number of studies were reported for the preparation, characterization and application of unique micro-structure adsorbents and catalysts with dissimilar morphologies that competently remove the environmental contaminations (Sahoo et al., 2014; Su et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2013). It is noted that uniform, highly dispersed with a suitable support materials e.g. Nano-graphene sheets or carbon nanotubes improved adsorbents as compared to bulk forms probably due to surface areas and surface controlled interaction (Rehman et al., 2015). More research interest has risen in magnetic materials as they can be easily directed and separated by a magnetic field and they can be systematically assembled with other materials. By developing the magnetic characteristics in the Nano-structured and transition metal oxides, it is thinkable to design water treatment materials that are less cost and high adsorption capacity. The justification behind the research and development of these contaminant removal techniques is because of the increasing concentration of contaminants and failure to achieve the desired level of safe water in the event of stringent water quality standards. The techniques like coagulation, flocculation, and precipitation are generally unsuccessful to remove dissolved contaminants because of the availability of the universal reagents that is suitable for dissimilar contaminants (Trivedi, 2004). The water chlorination and ozone treatments are effective for killing pathogens but some degradation products formed in this process remain as such in the treated water (Camel et al., 1998). The biological processes, biofiltration, and soil aquifer treatment, have been shown to reduce the concentration of compounds that are biodegradable and/or readily bind to quench particles.
The commonly used adsorbents such as activated carbons remove organic or inorganic contaminants in water, but the removal efficiency is low and requires longer contact time, suffers inactivation by organic species, and contaminant resuspensions (Gupta, 1998). The advance water treatment processes such as Reverse osmosis (RO) and Nano-filtration (NF) membranes offer effective barriers for the rejection of contaminants, while microfiltration and ultrafiltration (UF) membranes provide sharp removal for contaminants with specific properties (Dhakras, 2011). Researchers apply concentration and clean-up techniques in order to improve sensitivity and limits of detection (LOD) and to eliminate some potentially interfering compounds (Smuleac et al., 2011). We observed in the literature, some researchers also applied liquid-liquid extraction (LLE), solid-phase extraction (SPE), solid-phase micro-extraction (SPME), stir-bar sorptive extraction (SBSE), single-drop micro-extraction (SDME), membrane-assisted liquid-liquid extraction (MALLE), dispersive liquid-liquid micro-extraction (DLLME) and cloud point extraction (CPE) as micro-extraction techniques for water treatment. Similarly, dispersive solid phase extraction (dSPE), a promising sample pre-
treatment technique to disperse and collect by centrifugations (Abu Qdais et al., 2004; Diallo et al., 2005; Savage et al., 2005).

The bio-adsorbent from agro-based industries exemplify a renewable and bulk raw materials resource to design adsorbents for water treatment. Materials of agricultural origin for example palm oil waste, have been reported to be the preferred to design low cost decontamination systems (Rehman et al., 2015). These low cost adsorbents offer an alternative to these traditional methods used for the wastewater treatment. More research is driven by the fact that these are relatively inexpensive, non-hazardous, left as waste in agro process based industries worldwide. The main benefit over other treatment materials includes, low cost, high volume, reuse options, universal availability and comparable efficiency (Rehman et al., 2015).

2.2.11 Fine-tuned Nano-adsorbents

The increasing trend in planning for the application of Nano-adsorbents is accelerated by the environmental friendly nature and improved efficiencies. Nano-adsorbents develop exceptional properties, particle sizes, large surface area, and chemical functional groups for interactions. The properties can be fine-tuned by chemical doping with metals for specific applications, capping and protection with suitable inert supports and synthesis of composites, offering more competent and compatible systems for environment applications. The primary objective of these modifications is to fulfil the desire for green, compatible and selective water treatment systems for the specific contaminants (Ashraf et al., 2014; Smuleac et al., 2011). The Nano-adsorbents using magnetically active properties have gain more interest owing to the energy reduction due to simple separation from open system e.g. river or ocean water. The next sub-section describes the application of magnetic Nano-adsorbents for hydro-decontamination strategies.
2.2.12 Magnetic separation

The attractive energy efficient separation by utilizing magnetic properties of adsorbents is the key to the success of these adsorbents. Lately, magnetic adsorbents with high adsorption capacity have been developed and applied for the treatment of contaminants, such as fluoride, nitrate, sulfate, arsenate and phosphate in water (Rehman et al., 2015). The magnetic adsorbents are generally iron, copper, cobalt and nickel transition metals oxides (Hua et al., 2012). The fine tuning of compositions and geometric configurations leads the production of adsorbents with unique properties (Rao et al., 2012). The low adsorption affinity for the pollutants is demanding area to research for better adsorbents that are stable, easily separable, reasonable adsorption capacities for competent removal efficiency. Presently, high adsorption capacities are reachable by composite formation but it requires a compromise between the magnetic properties and adsorption capacities (Rehman et al., 2015). In this regard, various composite formation strategies such as the doping of magnetic materials with some suitable dopant materials incorporates the desirable features and practical solutions (Tang et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015). The new generations of nano-materials, metal organic frameworks (MOFs) have been produce for the same energy efficient objectives. The MOFs involve an organic ligand to coordinate a metallic center, forming a highly porous and specific surface that not only supports magnetic separation but also improves adsorption capacities and scope of applications as magnetic adsorbents, catalysts, sensors and directed drug delivery systems (Wang et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2014). From application point of view the major challenges for these novel materials in the field of hydrology are discussed in the following sub-section.
2.2.13 Graphene and carbon nanotubes

The different allotropic form of carbon e.g. graphene, carbon nanotubes, have achieved considerable applications for the remediation of the aquatic environment (Stankovich et al., 2006). The reason for their extended applications is assigned to the desirable electrical, mechanical and morphological properties (Geim et al., 2007). Impressed by these properties, carbon nanotubes have been applied as Nano-adsorbents not only for removing a variety of pollutants such as heavy metals and organic compounds but also for purifying drinking water (Geim, 2009). It must be remembered that the π electron system graphene or CNTs need to be stabilized by desirable functional groups modification to improve the removal of the desired pollutants (Wang et al., 2007). It is widely known that acidic pretreatments improve the surface functional groups and lead to improve adsorption capacities (Castro Neto et al., 2009). For these reasons, various researchers have tried to modify these carbon supports by using different oxidizing acids. For instance, nitric acid for functionalization of multiwall carbon nanotubes for lead removal from water (Table 2.3). The characterization of the modified tubes indicated the formation of carboxylic and hydroxyl functionalities on the surface that were thought to improve the adsorption capacities through functional groups electrostatic interactions.

Graphene has been the focus of many research worldwide and has attracted the attention of scientists and researchers due to its useful applications in several fields (Geim et al., 2007). Graphene has exceptional physical, chemical, and mechanical properties, a capability to form composites in combination with various organic and inorganic functionalities (Castro Neto et al., 2009; Mcallister et al., 2007; Tian et al., 2012). Previous studies applied metal oxides or sulfides to construct required graphene nanocomposites (Tian et al., 2012). The present tendency is to study the combined effects of two or more substances, and to achieve graphene nanocomposites with better
properties (Geim, 2009; Tian et al., 2012). It is also highlighted that graphene plays an important role in magnetic and catalysis applications (Sun et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014), and also improves flexibility in morphology, phase, size, and uniform distribution. In addition, graphene is used as a promising alternative to multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) (Mcallister et al., 2007) because of its SP² hybridization bonds of carbon atoms, effect on electromagnetic properties, chemical inertness, thermal conductivity, structural flexibility and adsorption properties (Fu et al., 2014; Santhosh et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2013). Magnetic composite of graphene are of the carbon type selective materials used as electrochemical sensors, electromagnetic wave absorbers and adsorbents applications (Balandin et al., 2008; Dreyer et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2006; Stankovich et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). More recent application of graphene magnetic ferrites nanocomposite comprise the design of better catalytic reduction systems, novel composites industrial process catalysts, Nobel metals catalysts and energy storage devices (Ding et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). An attractive field is application of these novel nanocomposites to make non enzymatic glucose sensors because of attractive magnetic separation, electronic, thermal and catalytic properties (Zhang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2014).

However, in another study it was observed that acidic pretreatments bring about a decrease in surface area due to blockage of pores openings by the oxygen containing functional groups (Lu et al., 2006). The acidic oxidation process was reported to promote hydrophilic character of the Nano-adsorbents, due to this reason the modified Nano-adsorbent exhibit greater adsorption capacities for environmental pollutants (Kurniawan et al., 2012). The adsorptive removal of heavy metals was proposed to involve the ion exchange mechanisms and affected by the solution pH values. For
example, in acidic pH range Cr (VI) was predominantly in HCrO$_4^-$ for than Cr$_2$O$_7^{2-}$ form

Equation 2.1.

\[
Cr_2O_7^{2-} + H_2O \leftrightarrow 2HCrO_4^- \quad pK_a = 14.56
\]

The graphene or CNTs supported nanomaterials rich in carboxylic or hydroxyl groups become the source of electron donation to the solution, reducing Cr (VI) to Cr (III) oxidation state as shown by equation 2.2. It can be seen from this redox equation that an abundance of H$^+$ ions favor the formation of Cr$^{3+}$ oxidation state, it further reaction with water and form a complex cation as shown in equation 2.3.

\[
2HCrO_4^- + 7 H^+ + 3 e^- \leftrightarrow Cr^{3+} + 4H_2O \\
E^o = 1.2 \, V \, (pH \sim 5 - 6)
\]

\[
Cr^{3+} + H_2O \leftrightarrow [Cr(OH)]^{2+} + H^+
\]

The cations formed get adsorbed on the negatively charged adsorption sites present on the Nano-adsorbent surface as shown by the equation 2.4.

\[
[Cr(OH)]^{2+} + A^- \rightarrow ([Cr(OH)]^{2+}A^-)
\]

The electron donating functional groups on the adsorbents surface act as Lewis bases and the cationic or heavy metals pollutants due to their electron accepting properties act as Lewis acids. The cost of these novel interventions in wastewater treatment can be considerably lowered through a vigilant regeneration and reuse strategy for Nano-adsorbents. Table 2.3 present some commonly used adsorbents for waste water treatment with carbon nanotubes or modified carbon nanotubes. The BET surface area of MWCNT range from 130-600 m$^2$g$^{-1}$which is quite suitable to adsorb a number of metals e.g. Ni (II), Pb (II), Cr (VI) and Co (II). It is also observed that MWCNT show better adsorption results as compared to SWCNT, but after NaClO treatments the SWCNT show better adsorption of Ni (II) (Lu et al., 2006).
**Table 2.3 Waste water treatment with CNTs adsorbents.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nano-adsorbent</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Dose (g L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Type of pollutant</th>
<th>Conc. Of pollutant (mgL⁻¹)</th>
<th>time (min)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>R (%)</th>
<th>Ads. capacity (mgg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>(Lu et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Pillay et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Tuzen et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97.08</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Cd (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂ coated MWCNT</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Reaction Type</td>
<td>Experimental Conditions</td>
<td>Literature Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaClO treated MWCNT</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>60 720 8-11 NA 7.53</td>
<td>(Lu et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCNT</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>60 720 8-11 NA 9.22</td>
<td>(Lu et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaClO treated SWCNT</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>60 720 8-11 NA 47.85</td>
<td>(Lu et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceria nanoparticles coated CNT</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20 1440 3 80 20</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclodextrin coated CNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>p-nitrophenol</td>
<td>10 1440 NA 99 NA</td>
<td>(Salipri et al., 2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Peng et al. applied Ceria nanoparticles decorated CNTs for the adsorptive removal of As (V), with 80% removal efficiency (Peng et al., 2005). The cyclodextrin coated CNT has also been used for the adsorptive removal of p-nitro phenol (Salipira et al., 2007). The literature shows that still there is a tremendous potential for the generation of new libraries of adsorbents using the carbon based adsorbents.

The green aspect in these adsorbents arises due to their ability to regenerate after each application cycle. Table 2.4, show a number of eluents used to regenerate adsorbents. It was observed that in most of such type of studies an acid or base solution of known concentrations were used to recover the adsorbent for the next cycle (Pillay et al., 2009). In general, the applications of magnetic Nano-adsorbents for hydrological remediation do not generate secondary waste. After exhaustion, the spent adsorbents can be regenerated for reuse without and loss of adsorption capacities. The comparison of desorption efficiencies of various adsorbents indicates a range from 30% to 100% (Deliyanni et al., 2007; Uheida et al., 2006). The heavy metals e.g. Cr (VI) and As (V) were successfully desorbed with basic solution (NaOH). However, other metals e.g. Cu (II) and Co (II) were desorbed at the acidic pH using either HCl or HNO₃. Moreover, researcher also applied EDTA to remove the adsorbed Cu (II) ions on the surface of magnetic ketoglutaric (Zhou et al., 2009).
Table 2.4 Regeneration and reuse of Nano-adsorbents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nano-adsorbent</th>
<th>Pollut.</th>
<th>Conc.(mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Eluent</th>
<th>Conc. Of Eluent (mM)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Desorp. time (min)</th>
<th>Desorp. (%)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Na OH</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Pilla y et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Na OH</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>(Deli yanni et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Deli yanni et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Na OH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>(Hu et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>H NO₃</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>(Uhei da et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>H NO₃</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(Uhei da et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>H Cl</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(Bane rjee et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coater Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>H Cl</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(Bane rjee et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDTMA coated</td>
<td>PO₄³⁻</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Deli yanni et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nanoparticl e coated CNT</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic ketoglutari c</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Na₂ED TA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>(Zhou et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.14 Metal oxides and magnetic ferrites

Apart from graphene or CNTs electron donor supports, metallic oxides and magnetic ferrites are widely researched and applied for the treatment of contaminated hydrosphere. The magnetic ferrites Nano-adsorbents offer various advantages over the nonmagnetic Nano-adsorbents. The superiority is contributed to the energy efficient separation and effective remediation of pollutants. The properties are tailored by optimizing composition to fit the requirements of a specific application, enhancing the adsorption capacities for heavy metals or anionic species. The iron oxide such as magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) and maghemite (γ-Fe$_3$O$_4$) are widely used as magnetic oxides for water treatment. Magnetite has cubic inverse spinel structure where iron occupies the octahedral and tetrahedral sites while oxygen atoms occupying face centered regions of the cube. These structural sites can be tailored and offer a novel series of Nano-
adsorbents. For instance, Cr (VI) was removed by application of these magnetic forms of iron oxide. Interestingly, the use of manganese ferrites (MnFe$_2$O$_4$) enabled the minimum levels of contaminates in the treated waters which was not achievable as described in the previous section (Hu et al., 2007). For instance, 5 g/L dose of manganese ferrite at acidic pH (2) was reported to remove 100 mg/L of Cr within a short period of time (60 min). Similarly, other ferrites such as MgFe$_2$O$_4$, Zn Fe$_2$O$_4$, Cu Fe$_2$O$_4$, Ni Fe$_2$O$_4$ and Co Fe$_2$O$_4$ having comparable particle sizes (~20 nm) but different BET surface areas were reported to remove heavy metals within comparable reaction times. It was noted that chitosan coated magnetic ferrites have almost doubled the removal performance of these magnetic Nano-adsorbents. The magnetic Nano-adsorbents have been successfully researched and used to remove As (V), Co(II), Cr (VI), Co (II), Pb (II), Cr (III), Mn (II), Cu (II), Co (II) and Zn (II) along a range of acidic, neutral and basic conditions.

Table 2.5 comprises a number of input parameters to control the adsorption efficiencies of various magnetic adsorbents. It is clear that iron oxides are mostly used to remove As (V), Co (II), Cr (VI), Co (II) and Pb (II) ions from aqueous solutions. Some authors also used materials e.g. gum Arabic, chitosan, zeolites, alumina to make new adsorbents for the better removal of metals (Banerjee et al., 2007). The effect of various transition metals ferrites were compared to account the relative efficiencies of Cr (VI). The comparison show that MnFe$_2$O$_4$ showed 100 %, removal followed by 89% and 62 % for Mg Fe$_2$O$_4$ and Zn Fe$_2$O$_4$ respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nano-adsorbent</th>
<th>Particle diameter (nm)</th>
<th>BET (m²g⁻¹)</th>
<th>D (gL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Target pollut.</th>
<th>Conc. (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>T (min)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Temp (K)</th>
<th>R (%)</th>
<th>Qₑ</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>(Hristovski et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Uheid a et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>(Hu et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Uheid a et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cr (III)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mn (II)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>(Banerjee et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum Arabic</td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>(Banerjee et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>(Chang et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>(Chang et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>(Delianni et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cd (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>(Delianni et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>PO₄³⁻</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(Delianni et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Contact Time</td>
<td>Retention (%)</td>
<td>Desorption (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>5.5-6.5</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Lazari dis et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDTMA β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Delianni et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDTMA β-FeO(OH)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>PO₄³⁻</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>Delianni et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II) zeolite</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>Dousova et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Hg (II)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pacheco et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnFe₂O₄</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe₂O₄</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnFe₂O₄</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuFe₂O₄</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiFe₂O₄</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoFe₂O₄</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>Hristovski et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hristovski et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA = not available
2.2.15 Comparing adsorbents performance

The efficiency and effectiveness of the Nano-adsorbents was studied in comparison to the conventional adsorbents to collect useful information to construct future water purification systems. In this regards, the experimental parameters such as sample solution pH, required adsorbent dose, adsorbates concentration, temperature (K) and reaction time were compared to assess the performance of the Nano-adsorbents. Table 2.6 presents some adsorbents with high percent removal efficiency for the desired environmental pollutants. For instance, the nitric acid treated MWCNT has far better adsorption capacity for Pb (II) as compared to MnO$_2$ coated MWCNT (Li et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2007). The reason for such a difference of adsorption performance may be ascribed to the generation of negative centers on the surface of MWCNT for effective adsorptive interaction with metal cations. In batch adsorption studies times also plays important role as it controls the steps involved during adsorption. In case of nitric acid treatment four hours reaction time provide more adsorption capacity then MnO$_2$ treated adsorbent as only two hours were allowed to complete the adsorption process. In former case the BET surface area was not reported while the second study reported a large BET surface area as shown in the Table 2.1. The adsorbent particle sizes has shown contradictory effect e.g. the particle size of MnO$_2$-MWCNT is smaller than HNO$_3$-MWCNT but the percent removal and adsorption capacities are better in the second case. The zero valent iron (Fe (0)) has more BET surface area than Kaolinite supported Fe (0) Nano-adsorbent that provide 98 % adsorptive removal of Co (II) cations (Uzum et al., 2009; Uzum et al., 2008). The incorporation of kaolinite with nZVI leads to increase particle size from 8 to 300 nm as given in Table 2.6. Although nZI alone has more surface area, still the Kaolinite supported nZVI adsorbent has almost doubled adsorption capacity as it results in a 99 % removal efficiency of Co (II) of 100 mgL$^{-1}$ initial concentration as compared to nZVI with 98 % removal of Co (II) with only 50
mgL\(^{-1}\), when the remaining experimental conditions were reported similar in the individual studies (Uzum et al., 2009; Uzum et al., 2008). MCM 41 adsorbent has 99% removal efficiency for Cs with an initial concentration 2 mgL\(^{-1}\). The outstanding adsorption capacity 179 mg g\(^{-1}\) may be related to the BET surface area as shown in the Table 2.6.

The occurrence of Arsenic (As) in the surface or ground water at an increasing rate is currently reported all over the world (Arcibar-Orozco et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2000). It is estimated in different studies that As is affecting more than 100 million people all over the world with increasing problem of polluted waters (Arcibar-Orozco et al., 2014; Dixit et al., 2003). The World Health Organization (WHO) Arsenic established maximum allowable limit is 10\(\mu\)gL\(^{-1}\) (Bilici Baskan et al., 2010). The arsenic chemistry elaborates that it is found in two oxidation states i.e. 3\(^+\) and 5\(^+\) (Anirudhan et al., 2013; Dixit et al., 2003). The oxidation states are interchangeable with a relative change in environmental pH, temperature, concomitant ionic species and oxidation reduction conditions (Anirudhan et al., 2013; Bilici Baskan et al., 2010). Due to this reason one form of Arsenic which is less toxic at present, can transform into more toxic state with a change in environmental (Wang et al., 2014). There are different strategies to remove Arsenic beyond permissible level in water supplies (Sen Gupta et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2015; Trois et al., 2015). Adsorption is reported to be effective, efficient, easy, economical and accessible technology (Anirudhan et al., 2013; Naseri et al., 2014).
Table 2.6 Outstanding Nano-adsorbents for heavy metal removal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbents</th>
<th>BET (m²g⁻¹)</th>
<th>size (nm)</th>
<th>Dose (gL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Conc. (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>R (%)</th>
<th>Ads. Capacity (mg g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNO₃ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97.08</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO₂ coated MWCNT</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>78.74</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>As (V)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>(Hristovski et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akaganite (β-FeO(OH))</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>27.61</td>
<td>(Delianni et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (0)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Uzum et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite supported Fe (0)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Uzum et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine modified TiO₂</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Hg (II)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Skubal et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMAM dendrimer</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(Diallo et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM 41</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>(Lin et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.7 compares Nano-adsorbents working conditions such as pH, reaction time, initial concentration of pollutants and adsorbent dose for the effect on percent removal and adsorption capacities. The acid treated carbon nanotubes adsorbents were compared to remove lead in acidic pH condition. As it can be seen, the increase in initial
concentration from 10 to 20 mg L$^{-1}$ has a negative effect on percent removal as it decreases from 99 % to 75 % in these two comparative studies (Li et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2006).

Table 2.7 Experimental conditions for Nano-adsorbents applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbents</th>
<th>BET (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Pollut.</th>
<th>Conc. (mgL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>T (hr)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>R%</th>
<th>Q$e$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNO$_3$ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO$_3$ treated MWCNT</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>(Lu et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (0)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99 (Uzum et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(Leyva Ramos et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial by products</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(Gupta, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial by-products</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(Feng et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial by products</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(Feng et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural waste</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(Kadirvelu et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural waste</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>(Kadirvelu et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The other reason of this decline may be reduce reaction time or the use of more acidic pH in the later study. This highlights the importance of experimental conditions on the overall performance of Nano-adsorbents. Industrial by products offer an economical alternate raw source of Nano-adsorbent. Industrial by products were compared to remove Ni (II), Pb (II) and Cu (II) (Feng et al., 2004; Gupta, 1998). The adsorbent show comparable adsorption capacity for Ni (II) and Pb (II) but a decline of Cu (II) adsorption. Similarly, agricultural waste was reported to have better adsorption capacity for Cu (II) but less than that of industrial byproducts (Kadirvelu et al., 2001). In another study, a large BET surface area (592 (m²g⁻¹)) removed 63 mg g⁻¹ of Ni (II). The superior adsorption capacity of agricultural waste over the industrial by products (Table 2.7) supports the further studies to produce better adsorbents with large BET surface area, functional groups, particle size with a competitive affinity for pollutants.

2.3 Modelling adsorption

2.3.1 Design of experiments

Central composite design (CCD), using the Stat ease 9.0 software, was selected to plan for the adsorption experiments. The experiments were performed as suggested by the software. Adsorption capacity ($Q_e$ mg·g⁻¹) was calculated by following (Shanmugaprakash et al., 2013).

$$Q_e = \frac{(C_o-C_e)V}{m}$$

Equation 2.5

Where, $C_o$ and $C_e$ stand for initial and equilibrium adsorbate concentration (mgL⁻¹) respectively, V is the total volume of solution in liters and m is the mass of adsorbent (g). Adsorption experiments were repeated to check for systematic variations to determine standard deviation and reproducibility of results. Four variables viz. adsorbate initial conc. (mgL⁻¹), pH, temp and Adsorbent (Ads. mg) in the range of 300-
500 mgL\(^{-1}\), 3.0 to 9.0, 20 to 40 and 400 to 600 (mg) respectively. In this work, Equation 2.6, shows the selected quadratic model (Rehman et al., 2015).

\[
Q_e = \beta_o + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon \quad i \neq j
\]

Equation 2.6

Where \(\beta_o\) is a constant coefficient, \(\varepsilon\) symbolizes error, \(x_i\) and \(x_j\) are independent variables, \(\beta_i\), \(\beta_{ii}\) and \(\beta_{ij}\) represent coefficients of linear, quadratic and interaction effects respectively. The data for each experiment was checked for the goodness of fit into the statistical models. The verification of models was carried out by statistical tests i.e. analysis of variance (ANOVA), residuals analysis (RA), scaling residuals (SR) and prediction error sum of squares (PRESS) (Naseri et al., 2014). The verified and validated models were applied to optimize the four input variables and to maximize output i.e. fluoride, nitrates, nitrites, sulphates, phosphates, arsenic, cadmium, nickel, iron and related heavy metals adsorption capacity.

2.3.2 Response surface methodology

Design of experiments (DOE) strategy is found as a superior method as compared to one variable at a time “OVAT it strategy”, enables deeper insight into interactions among pre-selected experimental variables (Rehman et al., 2015). The DOE strategy applies collaborating experiments to minimize implementation cost of research and development (Rehman et al., 2015). Normally, multiple interactions of variables and non-linear behavior of adsorption process constitute a complex mechanism (Dora et al., 2013). Until now, response surface methodology (RSM) is a competitive modeling tool to analyze and present complex nonlinear relationships among variables either alone or in combination and their synergistic or antagonistic effects on adsorption (Simsek et al., 2013). Principle of RSM is to use polynomial equations in a programmed range to discover optimum values and the best system response (Roy et al., 2014). All significant
experimental variables are co-varied over a pre-selected range to find combined interactive effects and finally uniting all interaction results to build a mathematical model (Rehman et al., 2015). Model can be implemented to improve adsorption performance, reducing number of experiments and eradicating statistically insignificant variables.

2.3.3 Adsorption Kinetics

Kinetics is the science which deals with the mechanisms and rates of chemical reactions, and ideally kinetic models should be incorporated into geochemical models, along with thermodynamics. From a thermodynamic study of a reaction we are unable to say anything about how fast salt will dissolve in water. However there are complex reaction taking place in the hydrosphere and it is important to know the kinetics of these reactions to understand the complex interaction and mechanisms. Figure 2.7, shows the three well known stages of adsorbate adsorbent interaction. The rate of these entire steps controls the overall kinetics. The step taking more time to complete is known as the slowest or the rate determining step. While identification of rate determining steps offers an option to tailor the adsorption process. Adsorption kinetics provides useful information about the mechanism of adsorption but also the speed of adsorption reaction. A number of kinetic models are normally used to interpret the adsorption mechanism e.g. pseudo-second order reaction, pseudo-first order reaction and intraparticle diffusion models.
Figure 2.7. The three stages of adsorbate-adsorbent interactions.

For the design of an effective hydro-decontamination system we need to know the rate of chemical reaction taking place i.e. the rate of adsorption. With reference to geochemical modelling, we do not attempt to explain in detail the broad field of chemical kinetics, but just enough to understand the basics of kinetics. Several kinetic models are implemented to examine the experimental data to decide on the nature, intensity and mechanism of the adsorption process. The speed of adsorption was evaluated by employing four kinetic models: pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order, intraparticle diffusion and Banghams. The overall adsorption rate is proportional to the driving force and the concentration gradient in the case of the first order, while in the case of the second order, it is proportional to the square of driving force.

2.4 Challenges and role of adsorbents in remediation

Hydrology is concerned with the water properties, resources, resource conditions, and water movement in relation to land along the dynamic environments (Dingman,
While hydrological connectivity is determined by the presence of waterways, hydraulic infrastructure, soil type, topography and geology to facilitate flow of water across the land. Distribution pattern of water have a great influence on homing success, the level of gene flow and population structure (Olsen et al., 2010). Environmental fluctuations associated with water composition has a direct effect on all the depending living beings e.g. fish, plants and the primary, secondary and tertiary consumers (Chaparro et al., 2015). The global climate change, population increase, damming of rivers, water abstractions and more water pollutants have exerted multiple pressures on the water resources, aquatic and land ecosystem (Karthe et al., 2015). Occasionally, the hydrological samples contain elevated levels of fluorides, nitrates, sulfates, phosphates, chlorates, bromates, perchlorates and a number of heavy metals cause of serious environmental issues and adverse impacts on public health (Bohlke et al., 1995; Kröger et al., 2009; Pluta, 2001). Each geological regions have characteristic water availability and water quality parameters, including turbidity, temperature, total dissolved solids (TDS), pH, inorganic ions and organics and number of anthropogenic sources (Orem et al., 2011).

Figure 2.8. Challenges and role of adsorbents in hydro-harsh environments.

The geological regions are unique and require some specific modification in the water treatment systems. The environmental conditions have an influence on role of adsorbents, stationary phases, water pollutants and CO₂ capture, removal of organic and
inorganic species in routine or emergency situations as shown in Figure 2.8. For example biological contamination and inactivation through biological fouling results in decreased removal efficiency. A thorough understanding of the hydrosphere is compulsory to design, manage and realize the global socio-economic developments (Ruddell et al., 2015). The global water scarcity is an urgent and well-recognized problem. The concept of water scarcity appears related with water quantity and quality (Jiang, 2009; Zeng et al., 2013). However, without considering water quality criterion the assessments and estimates of water scarcity is less precise and water quality has become a critical factor with increasing number of pollutants (Zeng et al., 2013). Table 2.8 present current problem and issue with the use of adsorbents.

Table 2.8. General problems and issues of adsorbents (Karthe et al., 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Problem/ issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Effect of environmental acidity, basicity and alkalinity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Temperature fluctuations in different geological regions of the world.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Increased concentration of persistent organic pollutant (POPs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The biological contamination, inactivation of systems through fouling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Non-selectivity of specific problem contaminants in some regions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The binding with non-interest species in the environmental matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The prevalence of physical parameters in aquatic systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The diversified nature of environmental industrial effluents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The leaching of metal ions from the protective coatings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Research advancement in adsorbents protections

A number of research advancements have been observed in the literature in the past decade. Table 2.9 presents some of the current research advancements in iron derived adsorbents and protective measures as a contribution to advance the used or these magnetic adsorbents under harsh environments. A common observation is the
aggregation of magnetic nanoparticles which leads to reduce efficiency as the effective surface area is reduce by this behaviour of Nano-adsorbents.

Table 2.9 The protective measure for iron oxides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Research advancement</th>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Protective measure</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Preventing aggregations</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>SDS surface coatings</td>
<td>enhanced Arsenic immobilizations efficiency</td>
<td>(Kim et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reduce particle size</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>SDS surface coatings</td>
<td>enhanced Arsenic immobilizations efficiency</td>
<td>(Kim et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stability of magnetic particles in acidic environments</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>sec-octylphenoxyacetic acid (OPA)</td>
<td>Lanthanides removal system</td>
<td>(Zhu et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Introducing affinity for nanoparticles</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>polydimethylsiloxanes (PDMS)</td>
<td>enhance hydrocarbon adsorption</td>
<td>(Konieczny et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Environment friendly adsorbent</td>
<td>iron oxide</td>
<td>iron oxide coated with humic acid</td>
<td>efficient removal of heavy metals</td>
<td>(Campos et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Smart scavenger of Hg (II)</td>
<td>gold</td>
<td>coating gold particles with citrate</td>
<td>avoids the addition of reducing agents</td>
<td>(Ojea-Jiménez et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Thiol-functionalization</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>3-mercaptoprolyltriethoxysilane (MPTES)</td>
<td>adsorption of cadmium, lead and copper ions</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ionic liquids in hydrodecontaminations</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>Imidazolium based ionic liquid (IL)</td>
<td>reactive black dye</td>
<td>(Poursaberi et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Protected adsorbents</td>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>Prussian blue (K4[Fe(CN)6])</td>
<td>removal of radioactive cesium (Cs)</td>
<td>(Thammawong et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kim at al. reported that this issue can be resolved by the use of nanoparticle surface coating with surfactants e.g. SDS coating (Kim et al., 2012). Secondly, this development was also reported to reduce the effective particles size that enabled an enhanced heavy metal immobilization from aqueous samples. Similarly, the problem of stability in acidic environments were resolved by protective coating with sec-octylphenoxy acetic acid (OPA) (Zhu et al., 2011). Konieczny et al found that magnetic nanoparticles affinity for hydrocarbons removal can be amplified by coating with polydimethyl siloxanes (PDMS) (Konieczny et al., 2008). The environmental friendly humic acids (HA) coated Nano-adsorbents were developed to fulfill the decontamination challenge by heavy metals pollution (Campos et al., 2011).

The use of reducing agents to prepare magnetic Nano-adsorbents makes these methods environment non-friendly. This issue was resolved by coating gold particles with citrate for making environment friendly mercury smart scavengers by avoiding the use of chemical reducing agents (Ojea-Jiménez et al., 2012). Thiol functionalization of iron nanoparticles by mercaptopropyl triethoxysilane (MPTES) provide suitable magnetic modified Nano-adsorbent for heavy metals removal e.g. Cd, Pb and Cu (Chen et al., 2012). Imidazolim based Ionic liquids were also reported to protect magnetic Nano-adsorbents for organic dye removal from hydrological samples (Poursaberi et al., 2013). Protected magnetic Nano-adsorbents have been also used for the remediation of radioactive waste by the Prussian blue coatings (Thammawong et al., 2013).

The issues related to compatibility problems of nanotechnology materials with natural environments include matric effects from environmental range of pH, temperature, alkalinity, hardness, organics, inorganics, biological contaminants, increase in industrial effluents and functionality loss with the extended use Table 2.8. Aqueous streams with beyond permissible levels of heavy metals is regarded as one of
the most common problem in Malaysia (Fakhru’l-Razi et al., 2009). The attractive energy efficient separation of magnetic adsorbents has been explored to open new developments in the field of adsorbents for environmental treatment systems. Magnetic anion exchange resin for the removal of reactive blue dye were considered superior due to the easy magnetic separation (Shuang et al., 2011). The current literature review provides an overview that how we can overcome some of the challenges by a careful planning of adsorption system and protecting the magnetic Nano-adsorbents for an extended use and stability to overcome the hydro-harsh condition that adversely affect the separation efficiency, adsorption capacities in the extended adsorption/ desorption cycles.

2.5.1 Socio-economic development and public health

The socio-economic development and improved public health is linked with the supply of safe water. The rapid industrialization, urbanization and exponential increase in population imposes burden to sustainability of limited natural resources. Earth hydrosphere is facing evolving challenges by rigorous contamination from development activities (Smith et al., 2000). The desire for improve living standards have highlighted the needs for quantity and quality safe water. The awareness has risen gradually with time to improve the quality of water to improve quality of life. The statistics about population with access to safe water assumes ideal situations as they rely on perceived fact while ignoring the ground realities (Onda et al., 2012). The superfluous underestimate mislead the severity of water contamination problems, concerning public health. The reason behind may be the difference in pollution levels or range of contaminants concentration as indicator of the water quality in different geological regions based on the availability of water. As the water flows across urban water supply system it is continuously exposed to contaminants because of leakage or accidental rupture of water pipes. Therefore, a continuous monitoring system is necessary to
monitor the fault of a particular water resource over the course of time. A continuous
attention and care is mandatory for better public health and social development (Onda et
al., 2012). The safe water usage practice is obligatory to realize the health gains from
water quality interventions. The distorted hygiene practices with even occasional
consumption of untreated drinking water results in the adverse public health issues. A
similar effort is the use of portable water treatment system at homes (Brown et al.,
2012). The household water pre-treatment (HWPT) is believed to prevent 500 disability
adjusted life years (DALYs) per 100,000 persons per year. The declining percentages of
HWPT tend to reduce the predicted health benefits (Brown et al., 2012). The fecal
contaminations of drinking water is a common cause of water-borne diseases (Kyle
Onda et al., 2013). World Health Organization (WHO) has documented various
drinking water quality parameters to assign safe status to consumer water. The improper
disposal of municipal, industrial wastes and indiscriminate applications of
agrochemicals challenges water quality. The Microbial or chemical pollutants remain
the main concern for better public health (Azizullah et al., 2011). It is crucial to
minimize the contamination of underground water, rivers, and lakes for the collective
survival of community members. The development of Nano-adsorbents offers the
possibility to resolve or greatly ameliorate the current problems plaguing water quality
(Qu et al., 2012).

Surface and ground water resources are often found to have elevated levels of
contaminants beyond the safe water quality level (Smith et al., 2000). The problem of
municipal and industrial waste, the massive output of agrochemicals from agricultural
practices, and natural disasters have become the main causes of declining water quality
(Azizullah et al., 2011). The present science desires to development chlorine-free
biocides to selectively target disease causing bacteria and viruses in water (Savage et
al., 2005). The desalination of saline water, recovery of toxic and valuable metals,
contaminants including perchlorate, pharmaceuticals, endocrine disrupters and chiral compounds concerns present and future studies (Savage et al., 2005).

### 2.5.2 Resources scarcity

The basic problem is depletion of natural resources, massive exploration and rapid changes in geochemistry. This situation is worsening by the increasing water demand of population and the present pollution crisis. Further studies are mandatory to provide some practical solutions for the changing definition of water scarcity. The all form of life on the planet Earth gets benefits from the environment in the form of water, food and shelter. Since the beginning of life the Earth is the nursery in which life developed over billions of years (Postel et al., 2012). The natural hiccups in the environment occur from time to time, but life continue to survive. There are two types of issues; the natural and our own made environmental problem. The man made alteration in the environments are thought to impose threat to the survival of life (Stevens, 1997). The Earth provides the life support system and we must use it wisely not only for our survival but also for coming generations (Young et al., 2009). At the present time we have no other option to live on some other planets than Earth (Raymond et al., 2004). There is a desire to preserve and protect the natural resources for sustainable health and development. The contamination causes of hydrosphere must be checked and resolved to carry forward the safe environment for future generation. Adsorbents purifying and protecting materials have risen as a renewable, green and workable solution to protect the natural hydrosphere. The expanding use of resources and industrial development is necessary to fulfil the needs of increasing population but socio-economic development must comply with the green environment for sustainable public health.
2.6 Comparative hydrological remediation

A number of remediation techniques are compared to evaluate the competency of respective decontaminations strategies reported in the literature. The abundantly available calcium hydroxide provides basic pH medium to precipitate Mn (II) with a 99.3 % removal efficiency (Charerntanyarak, 1999). A comparison with coagulation with pol ferric sulfate for Cu (II) removal shows that the previous technique require 10 mg L$^{-1}$ optimum dose to remove 1.09 mg L$^{-1}$ while the later technique need more dose 25 g L$^{-1}$. As it is clear the later technique requires more adsorbent dose to accomplish a 99 % removal of Cu (II) from hydrological samples. Nevertheless, the second technique remove 20 mg L$^{-1}$ of Cu (II) that is far high concentration of pollutant as compared to the previous technique (Li et al., 2003). The role of ionic surfactants to remove Zn (II) cations from hydrological samples is compared by the use of surfactant 105 and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in two separate studies (Matis et al., 2004; Zouboulis et al., 2003). In these two flotation methods the SDS surfactant displayed better removal of Zn (II) as compared to Surfactant 105, as it remove 0.05 mg L$^{-1}$ (Table 2.10). Interestingly, the synthetic zeolites demonstrated 100 % removal efficiency for Cr (III), Ni (II) and Zn (II). As compared to ultrafiltration the nanofiltration with polyamide remove 200 mg L$^{-1}$ of Cd (II) with a 99 % removal efficiency (Abu Qdais et al., 2004; Juang et al., 2000). The reverse osmosis techniques was compared to remove Cu (II) and Ni (II) metal cations with a ES20 membrane (Ozaki et al., 2002). Similarly, membrane electrolysis provided 99.6 % removal efficiency for Cr (VI) by iron rotary with 130 mg L$^{-1}$ pollutants initial concentration in basic pH 8.5 (Martinez et al., 2004). Table 2.10 also compared metals removal efficiency of adsorption technique for Pb (II), Zn (II), Hg (II) and Co (II), with different adsorbent dosages ranging from 0.5 g to 5.0 g. It can be seen from the comparison results that with the use of more adsorbent dosage the percent removal efficiency increases linearly because of the availability of more surface sites for interaction.
with the hydrological pollutants (Deliyanni et al., 2007; Skubal et al., 2002; Uzum et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2007). Figure 2.9 provide a clear picture of the relative remediation performance in terms of adsorption capacities. The available activated carbon, industrial by produces and agricultural waste has comparable adsorption capacities for heavy metals. On the other side advanced supports e.g. MWCNT, MCM-41 and magnetic nZVI are demonstrating the high adsorption capacity as compared to conventional adsorbents. It clearly supports the use of advance materials for further research as it is evident from the literature review study.

Figure 2.9 Remediation performance of conventional and Nano-adsorbents.
Table 2.10 The comparative removal efficiency of remediation techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remediation technique</th>
<th>Environ. Pollutant</th>
<th>Remediation source</th>
<th>dose (gL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Conc. (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>R (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical precipitation</td>
<td>Mn (II)</td>
<td>Ca (OH)₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>99.30</td>
<td>(Charerntanyarak, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>Poly ferric sulfate</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10-11.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floatation</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>Surfactin 105</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Zouboulis et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floatation</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Matis et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion exchange</td>
<td>Cr (III)</td>
<td>zeolite</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Alvarez-Ayuso et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion exchange</td>
<td>Ni (II)</td>
<td>Synthetic zeolite</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Alvarez-Ayuso et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion exchange</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>zeolite</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Alvarez-Ayuso et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>YMI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>8.5-9.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Juang et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanofiltration</td>
<td>Cd (II)</td>
<td>Polyamide</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Abu Qdais et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse osmosis</td>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>ES20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Ozaki et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane electrolysis</td>
<td>Cr (VI)</td>
<td>Iron rotary</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>99.60</td>
<td>(Martinez et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Pb (II)</td>
<td>MnO2 coated MWCNT</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Zn (II)</td>
<td>Akaganetite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Deliyanni et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>Kaolinite supported Fe (0)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Uzum et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>Hg (II)</td>
<td>Arginine modified TiO₂</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(Skubal et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7 The competitive adsorption technique

The competency or preference of adsorption over other techniques relies on the involvement of surface phenomenon that can be fine-tuned to remove contaminants or the dissolved solutes from samples e.g. Industrial wastewater, polluted river and drinking water. Desorption is the process to remove adsorbed solute on the surface of adsorbent by the use of a suitable elution solvents e.g. acids, bases, ionic liquids, organic solvents or technique such as sonication, microwave or calcination. The opportunity to desorb contaminants from the surface of adsorbents paves the way to recycle and reuse of adsorbents. Water treatment techniques apply adsorbents as an effective, alternative and practical solution to remove contaminants (Crini, 2006). The extended applications include product purification, storage or capturing organics, chromatographic stationary phases, and removal of pollutants (Hicks et al., 2008; Rehman et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2002). The need-based adsorbents have sparked interest throughout the scientific community along with an allusive challenge of competency and stability during harsh environmental conditions (Jeremias et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2011). The rational design of adsorbents with prolonged stability in environmental stress conditions has lead towards the curiosity and search for more adsorbents (Masters et al., 2011). The manifestation of unforeseen disasters, such as earthquakes, floods and mega natural disasters highlight the need for straightforward adsorbents (Mahmood et al., 2011). The interest to make smart, portable water treatment devices with minimal energy consumption follows the developments in separation sciences applications (Baig et al., 2011; Mwabi et al., 2011). Water crisis in different geological regions of the planet has called for research to ensure superior adsorption capacity, magnetic separation and prolonged stability (Chaturvedi et al., 2012). Fortunately, the synthetic revolution in Nano-adsorbents and advanced characterization
techniques provide deep insights into the modified Nano-adsorbents (Rehman et al., 2015). Future research is dedicated to collective consequences from water chemistry, nanoparticles characteristics and their coating condition, performance of supports and adsorbents (Crini, 2015). We realize that the adherence to green approaches in pollutants removal techniques provides the roadmap for the future developments (Husain et al., 2015; Rezaei et al., 2015).

The feasibility of adsorption desorption cycles depends on the nature of adsorbent-adsorbate interaction. For example, there are two types of complex formation (a) Inner sphere complexes (ISC) (b) Outer sphere complexes (OSC). In case of ISC formation intense chemical bonding takes place and recycling is more challenging and require stringent desorption conditions or chemical, while in case of OSC the surface phenomenon is governed by the dominant physical forces of attraction holding the participants together through relatively weaker forces (Huang et al., 2008). In OSC the reuse and regeneration process is exercised to maintain the overall cost by reusing the materials over extended period of times (Loganathan et al., 2013). The regeneration and reuse of Nano-adsorbents is one of the crucial aspects as it controls the economy of the hydro-decontamination technologies. Many researchers has attempted to regenerate and recycle Nano-adsorbents, as it become the hot spot of the separation sciences. An understanding of the mechanism and chemistry of separation reactions helps to bring out novel ways to regeneration and reuse. Recent literature shows the use of various reagents such as acids, alkalis and chelating agents for application in the regeneration of adsorbents for extended economical usage. A comparison between the past and present research indicates, various number of studies reported on adsorbent that can be recycled several times for recycling and reuse (Table 2.11). The number of time a specific adsorbent recycles seems to be dependent on the stability of the adsorbent. The ferrihydride adsorbent demonstrated an unchanged efficiency even after 50 times of
regeneration and reuse cycles by controlling the pH of the medium to regenerate the adsorbents (Edwards et al., 1989). The graphene hydrogel and hydro-talcites were studied unto 10 regenerations with pH control, salt solution and organic solvent such as ethanol (Gao et al., 2013; Kuzawa et al., 2006). The chelating agents such as ethylene di-ammine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) can be used to regenerate magnetic bio-char based adsorbent for six consecutive cycles, however the adsorbent efficiencies were reported to decreased after each cycle (Wang et al., 2015). Normally, pH control of the solution by the use of appropriate amounts of acids or alkalis can be used to regenerate a number of adsorbent such as Chitin based adsorbents, cement adsorbents, clay adsorbents, chitosan, maghemite, zeolites and functionalized silica (Han et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2005; Kamble et al., 2007; Kundu et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2000; Mandal et al., 2009; Thakre et al., 2015). Organic solvents (e.g. n-hexane) were used to dissolve organic pollutants such as dyes and the organics adsorbed on the surface and regeneration of the exhausted adsorbents on the simple principal of solvent extraction (Ma et al., 2013). Asghar et al. reported an electrochemical regeneration method for un-treated and treated graphite based adsorbents (Asghar et al., 2013). At the anode, the oxidation of adsorbed dye acid violet 17 leads to the regeneration.

The high regeneration efficiency (100%) was reported over five adsorption/regeneration cycles for the un-treated and treated graphite. Besides this method involve the formation of several breakdown products during electrochemical oxidation of organic dye (Asghar et al., 2013). The most important aspect in regeneration and reuse strategy is a wise reuse of the desorbed concentrated amounts of organic or inorganic pollutants along with the recycled adsorbents. The best way of disposal management is to avoid Nano-toxicology and reuse of the desorbed pollutant in the relevant industry for the manufacture of various commodities (Ali, 2012). The regenerated metals may be reused in the metal processing industries.
Table 2.11 Adsorbents regeneration methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Type of adsorbents</th>
<th>Regeneration method</th>
<th>No of reuse (times)</th>
<th>Effect on efficiency</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ferri-hydride</td>
<td>pH control</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>unchanged</td>
<td>(Edwards et al., 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hydro-talcite</td>
<td>Salt and pH control</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>(Kuzawa et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Functionalized Silica</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Zeolites</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>(Han et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Graphene composite</td>
<td>Annealing</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>unchanged</td>
<td>(Geng et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Maghemite</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>unchanged</td>
<td>(Hu et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Graphene hydrogels</td>
<td>pH and ethanol</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>(Gao et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>(Kamble et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Porous desiccants</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Inglis et al., 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Activated carbons</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>(Ania et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Super adsorbent polymer</td>
<td>Photo catalytic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>(Dhodapkar et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>(Mandal et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Graphene composite</td>
<td>ethylene glycol</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>(Sun et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Zeolite</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>slight decrease</td>
<td>(Han et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Phosphate adsorbent</td>
<td>Ion exchange</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>(Urano et al., 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Chitin base adsorbent</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>(Thakre et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>Ethanol/ NaCl</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>Suppressed</td>
<td>(Du et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Magnetic bio char</td>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8 Summary of the literature review

Magnetic and Nano-adsorbents have been proven as effective measure for treating contaminated environment for green environment remediation. The high chemical consumption and treatment cost is reduced by the application of renewable adsorbents resources. The research of novel series of adsorbents by a number of preparation routes provides a solution to meet the increasing safe water requirement of the population. The poor socioeconomic conditions and generation of large volume of industrial and agricultural waste are the major challenges of the present time. The CCD modelling tools can be applied to model the adsorption process. The application of kinetic studies provides some basic information regarding the speed of pollutants removal during field applications. The emergence of novel composite adsorbents by the composite with grapheme oxide and carbon nano tubes offers practical advantages in adsorbent applications. A large number of composites formats were reviewed to compare the relative percent removal efficiency of some of the advance forms of adsorbents in this study.
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The success of green environmental remediation is dependent on the reliability of data, design of work, experimentation, application of precise and accurate research methodology. Normally, geological features of the study area are well documented by field observation followed by mechanical, physical and chemical analysis to interpret the causes of a particular environmental problem. In this regards, the geochemical models are efficient and selective in terms of large scale data presentation, three dimensional maps building through the use of vector and raster data. The interpretation of geochemical model identifies the environmental issue and local point pollution resources e.g. industrial waste, hospital waste or house waste. The identified problem is resolved by the application of magnetic Nano-adsorbents by following OVAT or DOE strategies to reduce time, cost and efforts. Well-planned and performed experiments ordinarily give reproducible and reliable data.

This chapter describes the materials as well as the methodology used in the study. The sampling methods, research instruments and statistical procedures to collect, analyze, interpret the data and findings are also presented. The experimental setup used to make magnetic Nano-adsorbents via mechanical milling, sol-gel method, micro-emulsions, co-precipitation, various analytical and spectroscopic techniques for adsorbents characterization and hydrogeological applications are described. All experiments are distinctive from each other and in each situation standard procedures were followed for data collection, interpretation and presentation. The experimental parameters were optimized through two strategies: one variable at a time (OVAT) as well as design of experiment (DOE). The adsorption experiments were repeated at least three times to establish the reproducibility of the experimental results. Maximum care is
taken in the design, conduct, collection and analysis of experimental results. The following section will discuss the materials and methods employed in this study.

3.1 Materials

In this work, the AR grade reagents with high purity were used as listed in Table 3.1. Acetonitrile (ACN), Water and Methanol of HPLC grade were purchased from Merck, Germany, and used for making Acephate aqueous solutions and for HPLC method. Throughout the experiments, all glassware was cleaned with 20% nitric acid and repeatedly washed with deionized water, followed by drying at 373K in an oven for 5 h. The samples of required concentrations were prepared by diluting the stock solution. The buffers of pH (1–3), (4–6) and (7–10) were prepared by mixing an appropriate volume of 0.1M solutions of HCl–KCl, CH₃COOH–CH₃COONa and H₃BO₃–NaOH, respectively [D. Perrin 1974]. In this work, de-ionized water (18.2 MΩ·cm at 25 °C) was used for preparing working and standard solutions.

3.2 Preparation of magnetic adsorbents

Magnetic adsorbents play a very important role in hydrogeological decontaminations, separation, physical and materials sciences. The superior physical, chemical, thermal, electrical, optical and magnetic properties attract extensive applications in green environmental remediation, removal of pollutants from drinking water, river water and polluted water resources. In this context, preparation of adsorbent is a very important assignment for material scientists. In this work, we have fabricated the different series of adsorbents by the hydrothermal, sol-gel method, micro-emulsions, co-precipitation, and mechanical milling methods.
Table 3.1: List of chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper nitrate</td>
<td>Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous nitrate</td>
<td>Fe(NO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric nitrate</td>
<td>Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Ferro cyanide</td>
<td>K$_2$[Fe(CN)$_6$]</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Ferri cyanide</td>
<td>K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$]</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium hydroxide</td>
<td>NH$_2$OH</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphuric acid</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric acid</td>
<td>HNO$_3$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphoric acid</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium di-chromate</td>
<td>K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon flakes</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerium ammonium nitrate</td>
<td>(NH$_4$)$_2$Ce(NO$_3$)$_6$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosodium phosphate</td>
<td>NaH$_2$PO$_4$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disodium phosphate</td>
<td>Na$_2$HPO$_4$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>C$<em>{4}$H$</em>{10}$NO$_3$PS</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>C$<em>{16}$H$</em>{18}$N$_3$SCl</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl orange</td>
<td>C$<em>{14}$H$</em>{12}$N$_3$NaO$_3$S</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boric acid</td>
<td>H$_3$BO$_3$</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>CH$_3$COOH</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexadecyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB)</td>
<td>C$<em>{19}$H$</em>{42}$NBr</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>&gt;97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenosephranine</td>
<td>3, 7-Damino-5-phenylphenazinium chloride</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadolinium nitrate hexahydrate</td>
<td>Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$.6H$_2$O</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>&gt;99.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.1 Co-precipitation method for the preparation of CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$

The pre-weighed quantities of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (12.338 g/250 cm$^3$), Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$ (3.1089 g/250 cm$^3$), and (NH$_4$)$_2$Ce(NO$_3$)$_6$ (2.1 g/250 cm$^3$) were separately dissolved in deionized water. All solutions were kept in a 2.0 dm$^3$ beaker and magnetically stirred at 330 K. NaOH (5.0 M) was poured dropwise, with stirring, as a precipitation reagent at 60°C. The ageing of the precipitates was completed by keeping them overnight at ambient temperature. The precipitates were centrifuged, washed, and dried at 110°C in the electrical oven for 24 h. The dried precipitates were annealed by heating in an electric furnace at 800°C for 500 min at a rate of 5°C per min$^{-1}$.

3.2.2 Micro-emulsion method for CuCe$_x$Fe$_{2-x}$O$_4$ (x=0 to 0.5).

A transparent microemulsion (1.0 dm$^3$) was prepared by sonicating hexadecyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) in cyclohexane, 1-propanol and water. The calculated amounts of respective abovementioned salts were dissolved by magnetic stirrer at 60 °C. The basic solution of ammonium hydroxide (NH$_4$OH) was drop wise added along with stirring to achieve pH 8.0. The precipitated ferrites were kept overnight at ambient temperature. The removal of unreacted or unwanted surfactants were accomplished through repeated washing using 85% methanol. The products were dried in an air electric furnace operating at 570 °C for 3.0 h at a rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$. As a final point, the dried products were ground in a quartz mortar and pestle into very fine powder for series of experiments with these adsorbents.
3.2.3 Hydrothermal reductive fabrication of CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO

Graphene sheets were prepared using a modified Hummer’s method (Azarang et al., 2015). The process began with the oxidation of graphite flakes by adding sulfuric acid and phosphoric acid (4:1 v/v) at room temperature. A weighed quantity of potassium permanganate ($\text{KMnO}_4$) was added slowly, and then continuously stirred with a magnetic stirrer for three days to complete the oxidation process. The chemical oxidation reaction was stopped by the addition of hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$), along with ice cubes, to keep the temperature to a minimum, which immediately formed yellow precipitates. A neutral pH condition was achieved by successively washing the precipitates with hydrochloric acid (HCl) several times to yield the final product: exfoliated graphene oxide (GO). For the preparation of the graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites, graphene oxide (GO) (10.0 mg) was dissolved into 50.0 cm$^3$ of deionized water and sonicated for about 20 min to obtain a clear solution. Similarly, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were dissolved in water by sonication, and mixed into the GO solution as shown in Figure 3.1. The above-mentioned solutions were transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated for 480 min at a heating rate of 5ºC per min in an electrical heating furnace. Following this, it was cooled, centrifuged and washed several times with deionized water. The graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites were then dried in an oven at 60ºC overnight.

![Figure 3.1. The scheme of hydrothermal reduction.](image-url)
3.2.4 Mechanical milling to form grades of POCS

Mechanical milling was used to prepare three grades of POCS:

i. Well graded

ii. Uniformly graded and

iii. Gap graded adsorbents from palm oil industrial waste.

The factory rejected waste materials were fed to a mechanical mill to obtain the particles of various sizes, followed by the separation of each type of particles according to the US standards sieving process. A standard criteria for well graded POCS is illustrated as in Figure 3.2.

![Figure 3.2. Mechanical grades of POCS by US standard sieving.](image-url)
Equation 3.1. Uniformity coefficient of adsorbents.

\[ C_u = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}} \]  

Equation 3.1

Where \( C_u \) is the uniformity coefficient of the particles and should be greater than 4-6, \( D_{10}, D_{60} \) corresponds to particles diameter of 10 % or 60 % finer respectively and is accepted as the effective size. The effective size of adsorbent particles is a good measure to estimate the hydraulic conductivity and drainage (Das, 2010). In Fig. 3.2, the blue curve represents the adsorbents with well graded adsorbents particles (0.1 mm to 5.0 mm). The coefficients \( C_u \) and \( C_z \) for the blue curve are 10.67 and 1.13 respectively satisfy the criterion for well graded adsorbents particles prepared by the mechanical milling process. The second criteria for well graded adsorbents is the coefficient of gradation (\( C_z \))

Equation 3.2. Coefficient of gradation.

\[ C_z = \frac{D_{30}^2}{D_{60} \times D_{10}} \]  

Equation 3.2

The desirable range of \( C_z \) for the adsorbent particles is between 1-3. The POCS (red curve) shows a very narrow range of particle size from 0.6mm to 2.3mm. The value of coefficients \( C_u, C_z \) is 2.28 and 0.77 respectively. From these values it is clear that the particles have different sizes with a gap represented by a linear trend. The POCS (green curve) consists of nearly uniform particles as shown by the graph. The high value of \( C_u \sim 29 \) and least value of \( C_z \sim 0.11 \) conforms to the uniformly graded adsorbents.
3.3 Characterization of fabricated Nano-adsorbents

The successful chemical synthesis of Nano-adsorbents can be judged by the yield and the homogeneity of the product. The materials performance is certified by using analytical and spectroscopic techniques. The characterization of Nano-adsorbents is an important part in the determination of functional groups, shape, size, phase purity, optical band gap and chemical composition. In the present work, the synthesized adsorbents are calcined at dissimilar temperatures and are structurally characterized by different spectroscopic and analytical techniques such as powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron emission spectroscopy (XPS), Raman Spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), scanning electron microscopy (SEM)/ energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and ultraviolet– visible spectroscopy (UV-Vis).

3.3.1 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD)

Powder XRD method is commonly exploited for characterization of Nano-adsorbents. In this method the materials to be analyzed is grinded to a very fine powder by a quartz mortar pestle and spread on the sample holder to feed into the beam of monochromatic X-rays. Each particle in the sample is a tiny crystal randomly oriented with respect to the incident X-rays. The tiny crystals reflect light at different 2-theta scale depending on the lattice plans (Chung, 1974). The reflected beam position and intensity is recorded for comparison with a known standard. This comparison delivers the information regarding the crystallinity, crystalline size, crystal stress or crystal strain, solid solutions and amorphous content of the sample. XRD is used to confirm the formation of solid state reaction, purity of phases, lattice constants, inter-planar distances, composition of elements at the octahedral and
tetrahedral sites (Suryanarayana et al., 2013).

Mathematically, the average crystallite sizes can be determined by using the Debye-Scherrer’s formula **Equation 3.3**.

\[ D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta_{hkl}\cos\theta} \]

**Equation 3.3**

Where, D is the crystal size perpendicular to the reflection plane, K is the unit cell geometry dependent constant and its value is typically between 0.85-0.99, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of X-rays radiations by the equipment (1.5418 Å), \( \beta_{hkl} \) is the broadening of the diffraction line measured at half the line maximum intensity (full width at half maxima; FWHM) and \( \theta \) is the angle between the incident X-rays and the reflection plane (2θ/2). The Bragg \( \beta_{hkl} \) can be written as **Equation 3.4**.

\[ \beta_{hkl} = (B_{hkl} - b) \]

**Equation 3.4**

Where, \( \beta_{hkl} \) is the broadening measured on the X-ray pattern, and b is the broadening error of the equipment, a typical of each instrument. The X-ray powder diffraction spectra determines the lattice constants, phase nature, purity and crystal structure of synthesized magnetic Nano-adsorbents. The XRD analysis was performed using PANanalytical X-ray diffraction model Almelo, The Netherlands equipped with HighScorePlus (Version: 3.0d (3.0.4 and License Number 10003698, Chemistry department, University of Malaya) software for data processing and analysis. The samples were finely grinded before placing on the sample holder with a gentle tapping to make a uniform powder layers. The sample holder was placed in the labelled samples vertical stage for identification. A continuous 2θ scan mode from 10o-95 o was used for whole range scan. A divergence slit ensure that the X-rays
focused only on the sample. The diffractograms were matched with the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) PDF 1 database 2.6 to confirm the identity of samples (**Figure 3.3**).

**Figure 3.3. Garnet ferrites diffractogram showing 100% phase purity.**

### 3.3.2 Thermogravimetric analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is an analytical technique that employs thermobalance to monitor changes in the weight of a sample as the temperature increases in the preselected programmed temperature range e.g. 50 °C to 900 °C. The thermogram is the graph that shows the pattern of weight loss. Each downfall in the thermogram indicates a degradation of sample, evaporation of water, decomposition or formation of certain phases. The thermogram is used to evaluate quantity of components, weight loss and thermal effects during the conversion of precursors to final metal oxides Nano-adsorbents.

The thermal strength of the magnetic Nano-adsorbents was assessed by using a computer programmed thermobalance equipped with a supply of inert gas (N₂), located at the central equipment facility, chemistry department university of
Malaya. An approximate weight (~10 to 50 mg) of each material was taken into the ceramic cup and placed inside the thermobalance followed by a lid on the top to provide an isolated environment. The software options were selected dynamically from ambient to 900°C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen gas with a flow rate of 20 ml min⁻¹. For the second sample, the thermobalance automatically cools down to room temperature before being heated again from ambient to 900°C at a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ with the same gas flow rate.

3.3.3 Electron microscopic analysis

Electron microscopy analysis tender structural information along a range of magnifications as compared to a simple light microscope from 10 μm to 0.2 nm, specifically for the minute quantity or tiny fragment of magnetic Nano-adsorbents particles at a time when optical microscopes are unable to image it to any further extent. The modern electron microscopes work in either transmission or reflection mode to view the particles of magnetic Nano-adsorbents. The primary principle or plan of each operative approach are discussed as follows.

3.3.3.1 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is one of the versatile and well known exploratory techniques (Tung et al., 2009). Compared to the old-fashioned optical microscope, an electron microscope offers high magnifying capability, high resolution, and inspection of Nano-adsorbents or simplicity of sample preparation. Electrons fired by an electron gun enter the surface of the sample and produce several low energy secondary electrons. The intensity of the secondary electrons is governed by the surface features and nature of the sample. Consequently, image is constructed by determining the secondary electron as a function of the location of the incidental electron beam (Cahn et al., 2013).
In addition to secondary electrons, backscattered electrons, Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDS) analysis delivers useful information about the magnetic Nano-adsorbents particle sizes, distribution and aggregation. In case of backscattered electrons imaging the intensity of the backscatter electron, generated by electron bombardment is correlated to the atomic number of the element, revealing the identity of the constituents’ elements and percent composition of the magnetic Nano-adsorbents. The fingerprints X-rays emitted from the component elements aid in deduction of elemental information of the magnetic Nano-adsorbents. It also serves chemical elements mapping along the entire surface and a semi quantitative analysis. At present, these analytical techniques are frequently used for non-destructive surface analysis, material characterization and wide applications in research and industry.

3.3.3.2 High resolution transmission electron microscopy

HRTEM is an indispensable technique for nanomaterials and nanotechnology for the determination of fine structure, shape and lattice properties at a very high resolution. In this technique, transmitted or forward-scattered electron beam interacts with a solid particles, creating a number of elastic or inelastic scattering. Further, the beam passes through a succession of lenses that determines the image resolution. The source electron beam is produced by current heating of cathode filament. TEM requires high vacuum for the travelling of electrons from cathode to anode. A supporting accelerating voltage is applied between 50 to 150 kW, to control the electrons and image resolution. The higher accelerating voltage provides shorter electron waves for high image resolution of the samples particles. The accelerated electrons reach to the bottom anode, following a similar way to that of light in an optical microscope. The electrons are focused by a condenser onto the object, where they are partially deflected after collision with the nanoparticles. The degree of
deflection varies according to the electron density of the nanoparticles. Generally, the greater the mass of the particles, the greater is the degree of electrons deflection. The scattered electrons are collected on an objective lens creating an image that is magnified by an additional system of lenses. The final processed image is visible on the computer screen, ready for saving into image file formats. In the present study, LEO-Libra 120 (Germany) transmission electron microscope is used to study the morphology of the products.

3.3.3.3 Surface analysis of Magnetic Nano-adsorbents

The surface morphology of the samples was studied by using a Quanta 200 FEI FESEM instrument and EDS was used to analyze the chemical composition of the sample surface. The powder samples were adhered to the aluminium sample holder using a small piece of carbon conductive tape before they are loaded into the sample chamber of the instrument. The air evacuation in chamber was performed before analysis. The EDS were analyzed using INCA-Suite version 4.02 software. The detailed parameters of the measurement were as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working distance</td>
<td>8-10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum mode</td>
<td>High vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating voltage</td>
<td>5 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
<td>2.5 – 3 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
<td>Large Field Detector (LFD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For measurement of the elemental composition of the samples the acceleration voltage was focused on the sample dispersed on carbon tape fixed on sample holder. The TEM specimens were prepared by dropping the samples on a copper grid. For the size measurement and phase identification, images of representative areas of the sample were taken at different magnifications with a CCD
camera.

3.3.4 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) is an analytical technique used to identify the functional groups present in the sample (Stuart, 2005). The FTIR spectrophotometer is used to measure the absorption of various infrared light wavelengths by the material of interest. The infrared absorption bands are used to identify a specific functional group. The early-stage IR instrument dispersive infrared light through a prism or a grating monochromator (Sheppard, 2006). The scanning rate of these pioneers’ instruments was slow requiring more time for the analysis of samples. The latest Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer work faster. A typical FTIR spectrometer obtains infrared spectra by collecting an interferogram of a sample signal with an interferometer, which measures all of infrared frequencies simultaneously (Stuart, 2005). The acquired interferogram is digitized by Fourier transform function to build an output FTIR spectrum (Stuart, 2005). The infrared light can be categorized as far infrared (4~400 cm⁻¹), mid infrared (400~4,000 cm⁻¹) and near infrared (4,000~14,000 cm⁻¹). When an infrared ray interacts with the sample chemical bonds oscillate, stretch or contract. A chemical functional group in the sample adsorbs infrared radiation of a specific wave number range irrespective of the structure of the rest of the molecule. Therefore, IR spectroscopy identifies various functional groups in a Nano-adsorbents.

3.3.4.1 Sample preparation & analysis

The FTIR spectrum was recorded in the transmittance mode using a Bruker-optic spectrometer with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. A small amount of Nano-adsorbent was placed on the holder to directly interact with FTIR laser light. All the FTIR spectra were recorded at a rate of 32 scan data accumulation in a 400-4000 cm⁻¹
range.

3.3.5 Ion Chromatography

The beginning of the ion chromatography or the ion exchange chromatography techniques is thought to be around the middle of the twentieth century. The “Manhattan project” considerably provides better insights about ion exchangers and their applications (1935 to 1950). The theoretical model to explain the ion exchange phenomenon was developed during the fifties and sixties. Table 3.2, lists the chronology of ion exchange chromatography.

Table 3.2. Chronology of ion exchange chromatography (Kolb et al., 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Developers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>Soil as an ion exchanger for cations e.g. NH$_4^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$.</td>
<td>Thomas &amp; Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td>Sulfonated and aminated condensation polymers e.g. formaldehyde and phenols.</td>
<td>Adams, Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>Sulfonated polystyrene/ divinyl benzene resins as cation exchangers (Manhattan-Project)</td>
<td>d’Allelio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>Animated polystyrene/ divinyl benzene resins as anion exchangers</td>
<td>McBurney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>Ion exclusion chromatography</td>
<td>Wheaton, Baumann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>Macroporous ion exchangers</td>
<td>Corte, Meyer, Kunin et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>Basic theoretical principle of IC</td>
<td>Helfferich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-70</td>
<td>Peculiar ion exchangers</td>
<td>Horvath, Kirkland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Ion exchange chromatography with conductivity detection with a “stripper”.</td>
<td>Small, Stevens, Baumann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Conductivity without “stripper”.</td>
<td>Gjerde, Fritz, Schmuckler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-80</td>
<td>Ion pair chromatography</td>
<td>Waters, Bidlingmeier, Horvath et al.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The introduction of continuous detectors and high pressures offered a performance jump from normal pressure liquid chromatography to high pressure or high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). At present IC is a competitive tool to study the anionic and cationic contaminants in hydrological samples and drinking water.

3.3.5.1 IC Measurement conditions

Anhydrous Na$_2$CO$_3$ (191 mg) and NaHCO$_3$ (143 mg) were weighed on analytical balanced and dissolved in deionized water with 20 mL of acetone to make up the final volume 1L. The IC operation conditions are listed in Table 3.3.

**Table 3.3 IC measurement conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Metrosep A Supp 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate of mobile phase</td>
<td>1.0 cm$^3$/ min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>5.5 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis time</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>20 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regeneration media for suppressor</td>
<td>50 mmol/ L H$_2$SO$_4$, ultra-pure water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.6 Electrochemical methods

3.3.6.1 Cyclic voltammetry

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measures the potential of working electrode in a cyclic manner by switching between the positive and negative direction of the applied potential. A typical plot between current and applied potential is known as cyclic voltammogram (Figure 3.4). A cyclic voltammogram is plotted by immersing the three electrode system (reference, counter and working electrode) into a background electrolyte solution of known concentration and measuring response with Auto lab
instruments. A proper potential range (window) is selected to study the effect of working electrode potential on the electro-active species.

As the potential of working electrode immersed in a redox couple e.g. 0.1 M KCl solution containing 1.0 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆] and K₄[Fe(CN)₆] (1:1), becomes negative, the reduction process takes place at the electrode surface and vice versa in a cyclic fashion. During reduction half cycle the uncharged species get electron and become negatively charged until a potential is reached when all the species have been reduced, at this stage a maximum current peak also known as cathodic current peak ($i_{pc}$) is observed as shown in Figure 3.4. Further increase negative cathodic potential has declined the current due to reduction of all the species. During the oxidation half cycle, the reduced species are oxidized by applying reverse anodic potential. Similar to the $i_{pc}$ as stage of maximum anodic current is reached ($i_{pa}$) but in opposite direction.

Consider a typical redox couple R and R-. If the electrode system is fully reversible and diffusion of the species i.e. the uncharged species (R) is the rate controlling step and there are well separated anodic and cathodic peak potential calculated by Equation 3.5.
\[ E_{PA} - E_{PC} = \frac{59}{n} \ V \]  

Equation 3.5

Where “n” is the number of electrons exchanged during the redox reaction. The Nernst equation is commonly used to measure the standard electrode potential \( (E^o) \) of the reversible R/R- redox system (Equation 3.6). It is also known as the mid peak potential between the cathodic and anodic peak potentials.

\[ E = E^o + \left( \frac{59}{n} \right) \log \left( \frac{a_R}{a_{R^-}} \right) \]  

Equation 3.6

Where \( a_R \) and \( a_{R^-} \) represents the activities of oxidation and reduction products respectively. When activities are exchanged with concentration in equation 3.6, the standard electrode potential \( (E^o) \) is named as the formal or conditional electrode potential \( (E') \), it is characteristic for a specific redox reaction. There are certain redox reaction in which diffusion is not the rate slowing step and electrode reaction in non-reversible. In these type of reaction over potential is used as the reaction driving force to continue the chemical reaction. In such situations the applied over potential creates more peak separation than estimated. The peak current \( (I_p) \) can be calculated by

Equation 3.7.

\[ I_p = \frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{dN}{dt} \times nF \]  

Equation 3.7

Where Q, F and N stands for the flowing charge in coulombs per second, Faraday constant and the number of moles of sample involved in the redox reaction at the glassy carbon electrode surface. The number of moles of sample can be calculated by re-arranging equation 3.7. The amount of sample adsorbed (mol cm\(^{-2}\)) can be calculated by dividing the number of moles by the surface area of the working electrode. Equation 3.8, number of moles of samples in redox reaction.
\[ N = \frac{Q}{nF} \]  
\text{Equation 3.8}

### 3.3.6.2 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

EIS measures the current flowing through a working electrode of an electrochemical cell by applying an alternating current (AC) of 5 to 10 mV. The electrochemical process at the electrode-electrolyte interface is treated as an electronic circuit containing a combination of capacitors and resistors as shown in Figure 3.5. The solution resistance (R_s) is placed in series with the parallel combination of the electrical double layer capacitance (C_{dl}) and an impedance of the reaction of interest, consisting of charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) and Warburg diffusion element (W). Following a hit and trial method the experimental data is fitted into a model circuit available in NOVA software, and can be modified manually according to the need of the work.

![Figure 3.5 A typical model circuit.](image)

Nyquist plot represent the EIS data with distinct regions of charge transfer by the first half circle followed by the mass transfer region under the Warber diffusion control, as observed in the low frequencies. The R_{ct} values are calculated from the diameter of the semicircle in the Nyquist plot as shown in Figure 3.6.
Figure 3.6 Model fit in Nyquist plot.

3.4 Nano-ferrites applications

Nano-ferrites are made for a variety of purposes and the purpose characteristically edict the content and precursors.

3.4.1 Nano-ferrites

Sample preparation is a critical step before physic-chemical analysis. The target molecules are usually present at very low concentration while interfering substances are usually present at higher concentrations. The quality of samples is ensured by taking different replicates samples from each bulk. Therefore, the target molecules need to be enriched; at the same time, the interfering substances need to be removed. A variety of functionalized materials such as activated carbons, silica, polymeric materials, nanoparticles, ferrites and biomass derived adsorbents have been used for the selective capture and enrichment of samples. Sample enrichment units are fabricated using these materials to suit the analysis needs of particular sample.

3.4.2 Analysis techniques

The heavy metals analysis procedure involve inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopic (ICP-MS) analysis for multiple metals analysis e.g. Ca, Mg, Pb, As, and Cr. A high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method was employed to separate and measure the amount of organic pollutant acephate. The amounts of anionic species e.g. F\(^{-}\), Cl\(^{-}\), NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) and SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) were estimated by ion
chromatography.

3.5 Modelling adsorption

3.5.1 Response Surface Model

The statistical models i.e. response surface standard method of experimental design was followed to study the effect of linear, interaction and quadratic effects of experimental factors. According to the selected DOE method, a central composite design (CCD) option was chosen in the Stat Ease 9.0 software. The modelling of adsorption process was carried out based on the designed experiments by the software to study the individual as well as the group effects of the factors selected for the present study. The experiments were repeated to determine any systematic or nonsystematic errors of the present adsorption system. The adsorbent adsorption capacity \( Q_e \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) was calculated by Equation 3.9 (Shanmugaprakash et al., 2013).

\[
Q_e = \frac{(C_o-C_e)V}{m}
\]

Equation 3.9

Where, \( C_o \) and \( C_e \) stand for initial and equilibrium adsorbate concentration (mgL\(^{-1}\)) respectively, V is the total volume of solution in liters and m is the mass of adsorbent (g). Adsorption experiments were repeated to check for systematic variations to determine standard deviation and reproducibility of results. Four variables are Adsorbate initial conc. (mgL\(^{-1}\)), pH, temp and Adsorbent (Ads. mg) in the range of 300-500 mgL\(^{-1}\), 3.0 to 9.0, 20 to 40 and 400 to 600 (mg) respectively. In this work, equation 3.10 is used for the selected quadratic model (ZHANG ET AL., 2015).

\[
Q_e = \beta_o + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=2}^{k} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon \quad i \neq j
\]

Equation 3.10

Where \( \beta_o \) is a constant coefficient, \( \varepsilon \) represents error, \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) are
independent variables, $\beta_i$, $\beta_{ii}$ and $\beta_{ij}$ represent coefficients of linear, quadratic and interaction effects respectively. The adsorption data of each experiment were analyzed and fitted into the quadratic models. The models were verified by statistical tests i.e. analysis of variance (ANOVA), residuals analysis (RA), scaling residuals (SR) and prediction error sum of squares (PRESS) (Naseri et al., 2014). The verified and validated models were applied to optimize the four input variables and to maximize output i.e. fluoride, nitrates, nitrites, sulphates, phosphates, arsenic, cadmium, nickel, iron and related heavy metals adsorption capacity.

3.5.2 Isotherms

Adsorbate-adsorbent interaction can also be presented in the form of isotherm, by plotting of the equilibrium concentration of ions in the exchanger or the solid phase versus equilibrium concentrations of the same ions in the co-existing solution phase. The design of hydro-decontamination system requires a thorough analysis of the adsorption capacity ($Q_e$) of each adsorbent and the percent removal ($%R$) efficiency. The adsorption process is taken to be completed in four steps i.e. bulk diffusion, film diffusion, pore diffusion and chemical reaction. First, the sorbate molecules travel from the solution to the sorbent surface where they diffuse through the boundary layer to the surface of the material. Then, these sorbate molecules diffuse into the interior of the particles where they chemically react with active sites on the surface of the sorbent. To verify the physical adsorption in the sorbent network and chemical interaction in the dye molecules during the adsorption process, several equilibrium models have been known to be used (Kyzas et al., 2015; Kyzas et al., 2015; Świetlik et al., 2015). The basic purpose of these equilibrium isotherms is to provide an insight into the molecular interaction. The suitability of a particular isotherm is decided based on the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) which is ideally 1, while
the other parameter is the degree of agreement between the experimental results and the isotherms predicted results. These parameters help to assess and decide on the success rate of a particular hydro-decontamination system and on the viability of the process for a specific contaminant at certain geological locations.

3.5.2.1 Langmuir Isotherm for monolayer adsorption

This model assumes an ideal localized monolayer adsorption phenomena on the surface of adsorbents. Each adsorbent has a fixed number of sites while each site can adsorb only one adsorbate ion or molecule. As all sites are uniform so the energy of adsorption is the same throughout the adsorbent surface. The linear Langmuir adsorption model (Gimbert et al., 2008), is given as equation 3.11.

\[
\frac{C_e}{Q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L} + \frac{a_L}{K_L} C_e
\]

Equation 3.11

The Langmuir constants, \(K_L\) and \(a_L\) are related to the adsorption energy and the binding force between adsorbent and adsorbate. The maximum adsorption capacity (\(Q_m\)) can be calculated from the ratio of these constants. The maximum adsorption capacity (\(Q_{\text{max}}\)) Equation 3.12.

\[
Q_{\text{max}} = \frac{K_L}{a_L}
\]

Equation 3.12.

The dimensionless separation factor \(R_L\) Equation 3.13, explains the nature of adsorption process. It can be calculated using:

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_e}
\]

Equation 3.13.

When \(R_L\) equals to zero it means irreversible adsorption process, a value from zero to one indicates favorable adsorption, while a value of more than one represents
unfavorable process.

3.5.2.2 Freundlich isotherm for heterogeneous adsorption

The Freundlich model explains the heterogeneous adsorption process at the adsorbate-adsorbent interface showing multilayer adsorption. Multiple adsorption is characteristic of adsorbents with affinities for the toxic substances due to their better surface morphology, porous structure and range of surface functional groups. This model assumes exponential distribution of surface active sites and energetics of adsorption systems. The primary adsorption sites are occupied first by the adsorbate molecules that forms a layer on which the secondary or tertiary layers of adsorbate are formed. However, the limitation of this model is that as the number of layers increases the adsorption desorption phenomenon takes place in these systems. The Freundlich model (Crini et al., 2007) is illustrated as **Equation 3.14**.

\[
ln q_e = ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} ln C_e
\]


Where, \(q_e\), is the equilibrium amount of adsorbate (mg g\(^{-1}\)); \(K_F\), the Freundlich constant associated with the degree of adsorption; \(1/n\), the heterogeneous factor related to the intensity of adsorption. A value of \(1/n\) greater than unity represents the physical adsorption.

3.5.2.3 Temkin Isotherm for energetics of adsorption

Temkin isotherm assumes that the heat of the adsorption process for all the molecules present in an adsorbed layer decreases linearly with surface coverage. It helps to calculate the energetics of the system and provides an insight on the exothermic and endothermic nature of the adsorption process. It can also be used to calculate the free energy of the system, entropy and enthalpy of the system.
According to the Temkin model, adsorption is characterized by a uniform distribution of binding energies up to some maximum value. The linear form of this model is given as Equation 3.15:

\[ q_e = B_t \ln K_T + B_t \ln C_e \]  
Equation 3.15

Where \( K_T \) is the equilibrium binding constant corresponding to the maximum binding energy, and \( B_t = RT/B \) is related to the heat of adsorption. The value of \( K_T \) and \( B_t \) are obtained from the intercept and slope of the plot of \( q_e \) versus \( \ln C_e \) respectively.

### 3.5.2.4 Flory Huggin (FH) model for degree of surface coverage

The FH model accounts for the degree of surface coverage that is characteristic of adsorbate and adsorbent. The linear form of the FH equation is represented by:

\[ \log \frac{Q}{C_i} = \log K_{FH} + n \log(1 - Q) \]  
Equation 3.16

Where \( Q \) is the degree of surface coverage, which can be calculated by the following:

\[ Q = 1 - \frac{C_e}{C_i} \]  
Equation 3.17

Where \( n \) is the number of adsorbate molecules occupying the adsorption sites, \( K_{FH} \) is the equilibrium constant. The \( n \) and \( K_{FH} \) constants can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the curve \( \log Q/C_i \) versus \( \log (1-Q) \).

### 3.5.2.5 Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm

The linear form of Dubinin Rudushkevish (Dubinin et al., 1947) model is given as Equation 3.18.
\[ \ln Q_e = \ln X_m - K_{DR} e^2 \]  

Equation 3.18

The value of \( X_m \) represents the monolayer sorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)); it can be calculated from the intercept of the plot between \( \ln Q_e \) and \( e^2 \).

**Equation 3.19**: DR model \( e \).

\[ e = RT \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C_e} \right) \]  

Equation 3.19

### 3.5.3 Adsorption Kinetics

For the design of an effective hydro-decontamination system we need to know the rate of chemical reaction taking place i.e. the rate of adsorption. With reference to geochemical modelling, we do not attempt to explain in detail the broad field of chemical kinetics, but just enough to understand the basics of kinetics. Several kinetic models are implemented to examine the experimental data to decide on the nature, intensity and mechanism of the adsorption process. The speed of adsorption was evaluated by employing four kinetic models: pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order, intraparticle diffusion and Banghams. The overall adsorption rate is proportional to the driving force and the concentration gradient in the case of the first order, while in the case of the second order, it is proportional to the square of driving force.

#### 3.5.3.1 Rate law

A rate law is a statement about how the rate of a reaction depends on the concentration of the participating species (Reactants). If we think at the molecular level, imagine molecules colliding with one another. The overall reaction rate is controlled by the number and orientation of collisions and the number and type of
molecules interacting. Let say we have a general reaction as in Equation 3.20.

\[ aA + bB \rightarrow cC + dD \]  
Equation 3.20

Where A, B, C and D are the chemical formulae, and a, b, c and d are the stoichiometric coefficients. The rate of reaction can be written as Equation 3.21:

\[ R = \frac{d\xi}{dt} k. C_A^{nA} C_B^{nB} C_C^{nC} D_D^{nD} \]  
Equation 3.21

The variable \( \xi \) is called the reaction progress variable and the proportionality constant, \( k \), is called the rate constant. The exponents \( n_A, n_B, \ldots, n_D \) are either integers or decimals and are used to calculate the order of a reaction. If \( n_A \) is 1, the reaction is designated as first order and if 2 as second order. The sum of exponents gives the overall order of the reaction. Rate laws are determined by analyzing one or more reactant or product as a functional of time as the reaction proceeds, and then fitting the results to see what theoretical model fits the data.

3.5.3.2 Zero order

A reaction is zero order if the rate of reaction is independent of substrate concentration, so the rate of reaction is constant. A plot of concentration vs. time will thus give a straight line. For example, bacterial reduction of sulfate in marine environments, where variables other than sulfate are constant (Gattuso et al., 1998).

Equation 3.22. Zero order

\[ -\frac{dC}{dt} = k \quad \text{Or} \quad C_t = C_i - k.t \]  
Equation 3.22

Where \( C_i \) and \( C_t \) are the reactants concentration at \( t=0 \) and \( t=t \) respectively.
### 3.5.3.3 First order

A first order reaction proceeds at a rate that depends only on the concentration of A as shown below. The more quantity of the reactant A, the more product is formed and vice versa.

\[ A \rightarrow \text{Products} \]

For example, the burning of methane in the air will continue only for as long as it is available. If all exponents in Equation 3.22 are zero except of \( n_A = 1 \), then the rate law becomes:

\[
\frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{dC_A}{dt} = k \cdot C_A
\]

Equation 3.23

For example, decay of radioactive elements is a first order reaction. Suppose \( C_A = C \) and \( C = C^o \), when \( t=0 \), then Equation 3.20, can also be simplified in the following forms (Zhu et al., 2002).

\[
\int_C^{C^o} \frac{dC}{C} = -k \int_0^t dt
\]

\[
\ln \frac{C^o}{C} = kt
\]

\[
\ln C = \ln C^o - kt
\]

\[
C = C^o e^{-kt}
\]

Equation 3.24

These forms show the various ways to plot data to see if they fit first order rate law. For example, a plot of \( \ln (C^o/C) \) vs. \( t \) will contribute a straight line with a slope equals to the rate constant for change in reactants concentration.

### 3.5.3.4 Second order

The bimolecular collisions reaction are generally second order. For example:

\[
A + B \rightarrow \text{Products}
\]
The rate law is proportional to the concentration of the reactants and the concentration of products has no effect on the rate of reaction. There are a number of other rate laws, but this will suffice to give an idea of the procedures. However, due to the complexity of chemical reactions, understanding them in terms of their fundamental mechanisms is not easy to achieve.

3.5.3.5 Pseudo order reactions

In the natural environment, we observe complex reactions that are not zero, first or second order but they partially follow the order criteria and are named as pseudo order reactions. Let us consider a reaction where A and B react to form a product (P).

\[
A + B \rightarrow P
\]

The rate equation for this general reaction can be written as Equation 3.25.

\[
\text{Rate} = k \cdot [A]^1[B]^1 \quad \text{(Overall order} = 2) \quad \text{Equation 3.25}
\]

Now suppose we perform an experiment in which \([A] = 0.01 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}\) and \([B] = 0.100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}\), i.e. B is 10 times more concentrated. After completion of the reaction, the concentration of reactant B remaining will be \([B] = 0.099 \sim 0.100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}\) i.e. the concentration of B remains unchanged.

For experiments where \([B] \gg [A]\), or \([B] = \text{constant}\), Equation 3.25 becomes:

\[
\text{Rate} = k \cdot [A]^1[B]^0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Rate} = k \cdot [A]^1
\]

The experimental results show that the reaction is first order instead of second order as determined by chemical equation. Such type of reaction in which a reactant is much more concentrated than the other, the concentrated reactant is made to have no effect on the rate law, resulting in an overall pseudo order reactions.
3.5.3.6 Pseudo-First Order Kinetic Model

The pseudo-first order kinetic model is widely used to predict the adsorption mechanism. The linear form of the pseudo-first order model is given by Equation 3.26.

\[ \ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t \]  

Equation 3.26

Where \( k_1 \), is the first-order rate constant of adsorption, while \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the maximum amount adsorbed at equilibrium and at time \( t \), respectively. The linear plots of \( \ln(q_e - q_t) \) versus \( t \) yield straight lines. The values of \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) and \( q_e \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the pseudo first order linear plots.

3.5.3.7 Pseudo second order model

The linear form of the pseudo-second-order model is given by Equation 3.27:

\[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \]  

Equation 3.27

Where \( k_2 \) (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) is the rate constant of pseudo-second order kinetic equation, which can be calculated from the intercept of the linear plot of time versus \( t/q_t \). The equilibrium adsorption capacity \( (q_e) \) can be calculated from the slope.

3.5.3.8 Intraparticle Diffusion Model

The intraparticle diffusion model was used to evaluate the experimental data to see the possibility of intraparticle diffusion as the rate limiting step. It is given as:

**Equation 3.28:** Intra-particle diffusion model.

\[ q_t = k_id t_1 + I \]  

Equation 3.28
Where \( k_{id} \) (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{1/2}\)) is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant and \( I \) is the boundary layer effect (mg g\(^{-1}\)). The value of \( I \) is directly proportional to the boundary layer thickness. According to Equation 3.28, the plot of \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \) should be a straight line with a slope \( k_{id} \) and intercept \( I \) when the mechanisms follow the intra-particle diffusion model.

3.5.3.9 Banghams’s Model

The hydro-decontamination kinetic data was evaluated with Banghams’s equation (Equation 3.29) to determine the slowest or the rate-controlling step during the adsorption process.

\[
\log \left( \log \left( \frac{C_0}{C_0 - q_t m} \right) \right) = \log \left( \frac{k_o m}{2.303 V} \right) + \alpha \log(t) \quad \text{Equation 3.29}
\]

Where \( V \) is the volume of solution (l) and \( \alpha \) and \( k_o \) are constants.

3.5.4 Thermodynamics

The study of adsorption reaction dependent on temperature gives valuable information about the change in free energy, enthalpy and entropy during adsorption. The values of adsorption energies (\( \Delta G \), \( \Delta H \) and \( \Delta S \)) are calculated using the following equations.

\[
\Delta G = -RT \ln K_L \quad \text{Equation 3.30}
\]

\[
\ln \frac{K_2}{K_1} = -\frac{\Delta H}{R \left( \frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1} \right)} \quad \text{Equation 3.31}
\]

\[
\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \quad \text{Equation 3.32}
\]
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This chapter describes the results and discussion related to the main phases of this study. In the phase I, adsorbent characterization results are discussed. While in the phase II, application and modelling results are presented. The following sub-section describes the characterization of adsorbents, followed by application for green environment remediation.

4.1 Characterization results of CuCe_{0.2}Fe_{1.8}O_{4}-rGO.

The characterization of adsorbents is an important step in the exploration of surface active functional groups, shape and size of particles, phase purity, optical band gap and chemical composition. In the present work, the synthesized adsorbents were characterized by different spectroscopic and analytical techniques. The crystal structure, crystallite size and lattice strain of the CuFe_{2}O_{4}, CuCe_{0.2}Fe_{1.8}O_{4} ferrite nanoparticles, and graphene-based CuCe_{0.2}Fe_{1.8}O_{4} nanocomposites were observed by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, PANalytical Empyrean), equipped with a monochromatic Cu Kα radiation source. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was carried out on a Perkin Elmer System 2000 series spectrophotometer (USA) between 4000-400 cm⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was used to examine the graphene and graphene-based CuCe_{0.2}Fe_{1.8}O_{4} nanocomposites. Energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS), elemental mapping, and Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) were applied to study the elemental composition, the surface distribution of the elemental components, and particle size and distribution. Raman spectroscopy, (Renishaw 2000 system) was performed using a green laser operating at 532 nm and room temperature. The magnetic hysteresis loops of CuFe_{2}O_{4}, CuCe_{0.2}Fe_{1.8}O_{4}, and graphene-based CuCe_{0.2}Fe_{1.8}O_{4} nanocomposites were recorded on a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM).
4.1.1 XRD analysis

Figure 4.1 shows the XRD patterns of the CuFe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles, and graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites. We observed the single-phase structure of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ that was obtained at 800°C and was indexed using JCPDS#034-425. Figure 4.1 (b) displays the diffraction patterns of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles. The structure shows the major peak (311), while two other peaks represent the CeO$_2$ peaks, and was indexed using JCPDS#043-1002 (Fig. 2b). The second phases were observed by adding Ce$^{3+}$ content into the CuFe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite. However, by increasing the Ce$^{3+}$(x>0.2), more peaks of CeO$_2$ and Ce$_2$O$_3$ can be seen, which may be the result of the larger ionic radii of Ce$^{3+}$(1.020Å) as compared to Fe$^{3+}$ (0.645 Å) (Wu et al., 2014). Thereby, we have investigated the second phase in the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ spinel ferrite structure. After the addition of graphene, similar developments were observed when looking at CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$. In fact, due to the inherent low crystalline structure of graphene, the typical peaks of graphene were absent in the XRD patterns (Figure 4.1 (c)). However, a slight increase in the peak increases with the introduction of graphene, which was noticed with the crystallite refinement and lattice microstrain decrement. During the formation of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ spinel ferrite structure, Ce$^{3+}$ ions were dissolved in the medium to form a solid solution. Annealing at 800°C, this forced the Ce$^{3+}$ ions to migrate into the crystal structure, spaces or grain boundary sites. The large ionic radius of Ce$^{3+}$, as compared to Fe$^{3+}$(Wu et al., 2014), offered a relative space interference to viable vacant crystal or interstitial sites in copper ferrites. Consequently, the excess Ce$^{3+}$ ions oxidized into Ce$^{4+}$ at this temperature and appeared as a separate phase in the XRD spectra.

XRD scientists have developed various theoretical models to assess these structural features through the mathematical analysis of XRD patterns. In this study, the average
crystallite size and micro strain of the samples were calculated using Equation 4.1 and Equation 4.2 respectively (Ebrahimi-Kahrizsangi et al., 2010):
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**Figure 4.1.** XRD patterns of the (a) CuFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (b) CuCe\textsubscript{0.2}Fe\textsubscript{1.8}O\textsubscript{4} (c) CuCe\textsubscript{0.2}Fe\textsubscript{1.8}O\textsubscript{4}-rGO.

Equation 4.1. The average crystal size.

\[ D_{\text{ave}} = \frac{k\lambda}{(B_{\text{obs}} - B_{\text{std}})(\cos\theta)} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.1}

Equation 4.2. The micro strain of crystals.

\[ E^2 = \frac{(B_{\text{obs}}^2 - B_{\text{std}}^2)}{(4\tan\theta)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4.2}

Where B stands for the difference in the fundamental profile width between a standard and an unknown sample, and thus describes structural expansion. However, K, the crystal shape coefficient (0.9-1.0), \( \lambda \), \( D_{\text{ave}} \), E, and \( \theta \) were the wavelength of the X–
ray (0.15406 nm), average crystallite size, mean lattice distortion (lattice strain), and Bragg’s angle (°) respectively.

Table 4.1. Crystallite sizes and micro-strain in CuFe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$, and graphene CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ferrites</th>
<th>Crystallite size (D nm)</th>
<th>Lattice strain ($\eta,%$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuFe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>28±2.1</td>
<td>0.424±0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCe$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{1.8}$O$_4$</td>
<td>50±1.3</td>
<td>0.251±0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCe$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO</td>
<td>59±1.7</td>
<td>0.211±0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The important features of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ and CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ spinel ferrites in the presence and absence of graphene were compared (Table 4.1). In this case, CuFe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite has a crystallite size of 28±2.1nm and a lattice micro strain of 0.424±0.021. In the absence of rGO, the average crystallite size of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ was 50.5±1.3nm and the lattice micro strain was 0.251±0.017. However, with the creation of rGO, we observed a slight increase in the average crystallite size (59.3±1.3 nm) and lattice micro strain (0.211±0.019). We noticed that the crystallite size increased with the introduction of both Ce$^{3+}$ matter and rGO. We furthermore observed that the combination of rare earth ions and graphene with a CuFe$_2$O$_4$ spinel structure would also affect the physical forms, functional groups, morphologies and magnetic properties.

4.1.2 FTIR functional groups

Figure 4.2 details the comparative FTIR functional groups of the CuFe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles and graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites. Fig. 3a displays the distinguishing absorption peaks of a typical CuFe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite (Rehman et al., 2015). Fig. 3b shows the depletion of 3360 cm$^{-1}$ bands, while the appearance of 1470 cm$^{-1}$ clearly indicates the creation of CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$. The involvement of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ functional groups with the arriving Ce is seen in the complete
vanishing of the FTIR band from 876 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1230 cm\(^{-1}\). The distinctive of the doped ferrite band at 574 cm\(^{-1}\) also indicates the formation of the desired spinel structure (Rehman et al., 2015). The absorption band near 1390 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1470 cm\(^{-1}\) matches to the carbonyl stretch (-C=O) of the carboxylic acid group and in-plane vibrations of the sp\(^2\) carbons (-C=C-) associated with the deoxygenation of GO (Ji et al., 2014). The FTIR analysis of the CuCe\(_{0.2}\)Fe\(_{1.8}\)O\(_4\) ferrite nanoparticles and graphene CuCe\(_{0.2}\)Fe\(_{1.8}\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites showed an powerful and characteristic band at 549 cm\(^{-1}\) of the ferrites, indicating the tetrahedral and octahedral sub-lattices in the prepared samples (Borhan et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014).

4.1.3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

The graphene sheets act as a filler to improve the mechanical, electrical and magnetic properties of the nanocomposites (Mcallister et al., 2007). The rapid loss of mass at 200\(^{\circ}\)C parallels to the decomposition of functional groups containing oxygen in the rGO (Ghadim et al., 2014). In contrast, the graphene-based CuCe\(_{0.2}\)Fe\(_{1.8}\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites showed only a slight weight loss at 380\(^{\circ}\)C. The value of delta Y (Figure 4.3) measured the amount of graphene as \(~0.5\) mg of graphene per gram of the CuCe\(_{0.2}\)Fe\(_{1.8}\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites. In addition, TGA also measures the graphene substance in the
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nanocomposites. We noted that the rGO decomposition peak was absent and that the decomposition of the graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites shifted to a higher temperature (380°C). This indicates the structural strength of the nanocomposites, which may be due to the incorporation of graphene and the forerunner CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$.

![Figure 4.3. TGA analysis of (a) rGO (b) CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO nanocomposites.](image)

**Figure 4.3. TGA analysis of (a) rGO (b) CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO nanocomposites.**

### 4.1.4 Morphology and microstructural analysis

The **Figure 4.4** shows FESEM images of graphene, CuFe$_2$O$_4$, CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$, ferrite nanoparticles and graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites. Fig. 5a demonstrates the agglomerated CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. However, the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles have less agglomeration as compared with CuFe$_2$O$_4$, which may be the result of additional Ce$^{3+}$ content. This change in behavior is credited to the van der Waals interactions seen in the specific large surfaces of the fine particles. From a nanochemistry point of view, neighboring nanoparticles sharing a common
crystallographic orientation may collide with each other, which produces coalescence (Balaz, 2008). Therefore, the adjacent primary particles appear to aggregate, yielding larger secondary particles. In addition, owing to their nanometer size, the nanoparticles collide and coalesce in a fashion that again results in bigger particles. This phenomenon may eventually cause agglomeration in the fabricated samples. In agreement with Fig. 5b, the average size of the inter- and intra-agglomerate pores were 300 and 30 nm, respectively.

![Figure 4.4. FESEM images](image)

Figure 4.4. FESEM images (a) CuFe₂O₄ (b) CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄ (c) CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄-rGO nanocomposites.

It is clear that the intra-agglomerate pore sizes are relatively smaller than the inter-agglomerate pore sizes. On the other hand, in the presence of graphene, the CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄ nanoparticles were adsorbed on the graphene sheets in the synthetic process (Fig. 5c). Similar to the CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄ nanoparticles, the graphene-based CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄ nanocomposites indicated a high tendency to agglomerate (Fig. 5d). The various processing parameters, such as the mixing speeds, intensity, and time, affected
the extent of agglomeration. Here, during co-precipitation and hydrothermal synthesis, the rate of particle collision increased and the resultant particles aggregated into larger particles. The average grain size of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanoparticles was 43±2 nm. In addition, the graphene sheets, with some wrinkles and folds, possessed a large aspect ratio, which is the main factor behind the intensification of the contact area with the ferrites phases.

4.1.5 EDS and elements maps

The EDS study and the relative distribution of the constituent elements provided exemplification of the XRD results, as shown in Fig. 6. The percentage (%) of the individual elements in the present composite was: 36.72, 29.49, 17.86, 12.30, and 3.63, of Fe, O, Cu, Ce and C respectively. We observed the carbon peak with a relative percentage of elements in the EDS full-scale profile of the sample. Interestingly, the EDS results show carbon peaks that were not prominent in the XRD spectrum (Figure 4.5). The EDS results also disclose nonappearance of any impurities during the followed synthesis route.

![Figure 4.5. EDS and elemental mapping in CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO nanocomposites.](image)

The elemental mapping shows a uniform distribution of the constituent elements carbon, copper, cerium, oxygen, and iron all over the nanocomposites (Fig. 6). The
results confirmed the formation of the graphene ferrite’s uniform microstructure through the hydrothermal process.

### 4.1.6 Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectroscopy, a powerful analysis tool is used to distinguish between the GO and rGO (Mondal et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2014). Raman is a non-destructive structural scrutinizing tool for the analysis of graphene based materials (Ferrari et al., 2006; Meidanchi et al., 2014). The precursor graphite shows in phase vibrations because of the highly ordered graphaite lattice (G band) and disorder graphite edges (D band) at 1575 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1355 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively (Figure 4.6) (Calizo et al., 2007; Ferrari et al., 2006). The D band is credited to the lattice defect induced phonon, and G band refers to the C-C bond increase or contraction in the hexagonal carbon conformations (Shahid et al., 2014; Shahid et al., 2015). In contrast, the GO and rGO has well defined D and G bands near 1343 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1596 cm\(^{-1}\) (Kudin et al., 2008). A small bump in Raman spectrum near 2685 cm\(^{-1}\) allotted to the 2D band in rGO associated with the reduced number of re-stacking because of the incorporation of ferrites during the hydrothermal process (Akhavan, 2015). The 2D band also suggest the construction of exfoliated rGO sheets from the dense multilayer GO (Calizo et al., 2007). It is also because of the spacer role of the Nano ferrites as confirmed by the FESEM. The Raman shift of G band of rGO also demonstrates the “self-healing” properties of the rGO (Cui et al., 2015). These observations support the successful transformation of Go to rGO during the hydrothermal process. Moreover the intensity ratio of the D to G band (I\(_D\)/I\(_G\)) is another Raman parameter that is related with the degree of disorder and interatomic size of the Sp\(^2\) hybridized carbons (Meidanchi et al., 2014; Shahid et al., 2015). The I\(_D\)/I\(_G\) ratio for rGO (1.064) is higher than GO (0.88), suggesting the formation of partially ordered crystal structures and relatively stronger bonding (Bacsa et al., 2015). The Raman spectroscopic analysis results also
complements the thermal analysis (TGA & DTA) associated to the relative stability of the nanocomposites because of the additional π bonding stability in the doubly bonded carbons in rGO (Chia et al., 2015).

4.1.7 Magnetic hysteresis loops

Figure 4.7(a-c) shows the hysteresis loops of the CuFe$_2$O$_4$, graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites, and CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanoparticles, which were measured at room temperature (25 ±0.6°C) with a maximum applied field from -12000 to 12000 Oe. The hysteresis loops revealed the magnetic properties, such as saturation, magnetization, remanence and coercive force, of the prepared samples. We observed that the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanoparticles demonstrated an increase in magnetic remanence (Mr), as compared with the CuFe$_2$O$_4$ and graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites. The CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanoparticles show a relatively large magnetic saturation (Ms). The intrinsic and extrinsic factors contributed to the noteworthy improvements in both Mr and Ms in the presence of Ce$^{3+}$ and graphene. The extrinsic factors depend on the morphology, density, and grain size of the nanoparticles (Potts et
al., 2011), whereas the intrinsic factors, such as the relative occupation of the sub-lattice sites, contributed to the magnetism in the Nano ferrites (Raju et al., 2014). The shape and width of the hysteresis loops depends on the method of preparation, composition, and the spreading of metals cations at the tetrahedral and octahedral sites, in addition to the spin canting and crystallite size (Borhan et al., 2013). The synthesis processes for the nanocomposites were the same, however, the incorporation of graphene in the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites increased the tetrahedral and octahedral distribution of the metal cations, changed the surface morphology, and decreased the crystal strains (Rehman et al., 2015). Consequently, these magnetic properties revealed a remarkable improvement in the nanocomposites, which could be attributed to the presence of Ce$^{3+}$ and rGO. It must be added that a variation in the coercive field (H$_c$) directly depended on the surface spin disorder (Kostopoulou et al., 2014). The FESEM images illustrated the homogenous distribution of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanoparticles over the graphene sheets, which in turn revealed that inactive magnetic behavior reduces magnetization. Therefore, the saturation and remanence decreased when compared to the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The decrease in Hc for the graphene-based CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites confirmed that rGO reduced the surface spin disorder.

Table 4.2 lists the squareness ratio (Mr/Ms) of the individual ferrite samples. The squareness ratio increased after the combination of Ce$^{3+}$ in the CuFe$_2$O$_4$, whereas it decreased with the supplement of graphene in the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ferrites</th>
<th>Hc (Oe)</th>
<th>Mr (emu/g)</th>
<th>Ms (emu/g)</th>
<th>Mr /Ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuFe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCe$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{1.8}$O$_4$</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>24.41</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCe$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.7. VSM hysteresis loops of (a) CuFe$_2$O$_4$ (b) CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$-rGO (c) CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites.

The decreasing behavior of the coercivity shows an improvement in the soft magnetic character of the nanocomposites. The magnetic properties of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ ferrites were controlled by the Fe$^{3+}$-Fe$^{3+}$ interaction and spin coupling of 3d valance electrons (Dixit et al., 2012). It was noted that the incorporation of graphene in the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ improved the magneto-static interactions (Domingo et al., 2012). The magnetic squareness ratio was less than one (>1), indicating the paramagnetic characters of the prepared nanocomposites.

In this study, the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles and the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$ nanocomposites with graphene showed higher magnetic saturation levels and lower levels of coercivity as compared to the original CuFe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite. This may be because of the better morphology, increased magnetic moments, and domain wall migration of the CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$/graphene nanocomposites (Dutta et al., 2006; Niaz Akhtar et al., 2014). Furthermore, it was observed that the paramagnetic behavior of the Ce$^{3+}$ ions would
lessen the resistance between the domain walls and weaken the exchange interactions, which correspond to a decrease in the coercivity in the ferrites. Further study of the VSM curves indicated a relatively Hc higher values as compared to magnetic ferrites and magnetic saturation was less than 49 emu/g (Nguyen et al., 2014). A similar high Hc (~1095 Oe) was found in the magnetic ferrites prepared by a micro-emulsion method (Rashad et al., 2015). However, Rao et al. found relatively reduced Hc (575.2 Oe) but relatively lower Ms (10.47 emu/g) and Mr (5.38 emu/g) values for CuFe₂O₄ thin films prepared by spray pyrolysis deposition technique (Rao et al., 2015). The magnetic behavior can be explained on the grounds of the development of two independent domains at tetrahedral and octahedral sites (A& B sites) that demonstrate ferromagnetic nature through magnetic coupling interactions (Rao et al., 2015). A manganese substituted ferrite (Mn-CuFe₂O₄) prepared by bio template medium and simple evaporation method also exhibited comparable Hc (492 Oe) and Ms (10.42 emu/g) (Ranjith Kumar et al., 2014). Based on these results, it is apparent that the CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄ nanoparticles and CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄/graphene nanocomposites act as potential candidates for superior catalysts, switching, electromagnetic wave absorbers and adsorbents for environmental remediation.

Magnetic graphene nanocomposites were fruitfully prepared using a facile co-precipitation hydrothermal reduction method. We assessed the structural, morphological, thermal, and magnetic properties using XRD, FTIR, TGA, FESEM, and VSM techniques, respectively. The magnetic Nano-composite showed improved thermal stability, which can extend its application under more severe temperature conditions. However, nanocomposites have a large crystallite size and large crystal strains as compared to CuFe₂O₄ ferrites. The FESEM results indicate the distribution of the CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄ ferrite constituent elements across the graphene surface. The CuCe₀.2Fe₁.₈O₄-rGO magnetic nanocomposites demonstrated an improvement in its
magnetic, thermal and energy efficient separation properties. These improved magnetic nanocomposites provide the basis for a broad range of applications and lead towards a better understanding of energy storage, electromagnetic wave absorbers, chemical process catalysts, electrochemical sensors and adsorbents for pollutants removal technologies.

4.2 Characterization results of Palm oil waste clinker sand.

The need of specific and competent adsorbents is increasing to remove heavy metals or to maintain permissible levels in drinking water. The excellent experimental results in comparison to external studies confirmed the relative importance of POCS based arsenic removal system for a green environment remediation approach. The reuse or recycle approach in industrial mega production industries is one of the most pertinent desires to re-utilize the enormous volume of solid waste (Ahmad et al., 2011; Dias et al., 2007; Hameed et al., 2009; Roig et al., 2006). Production managers always think about alternative strategies to reuse waste by-products and accomplish goal of “zero waste” (Li et al., 2007; Zaman et al., 2013). This is normally the case in palm oil industry in Malaysia and neighboring countries, where oil palm shell and fibers is produced in large amounts during oil extraction process (Ahmad et al., 2011). The generated waste left after incineration of shell and fiber is researched for conversion into useful adsorbents. At present, nearly 2.6 million tons of waste is a great challenge asking for reutilization of waste resource along with environmental sustainability (Hameed et al., 2009). Providentially, the industrial waste is recycled after suitable mechanical, chemical and physical treatments. The recycle process helps in resources preservation, energy efficient processing and environment friendly waste disposal (Ahmmad et al., 2014; Hameed et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2000).
4.2.1 POCS mechanical and physical treatments

The samples were grinded and forwarded to fractionation and separation process. The US standard sieves of different pore sizes were used to makes different mechanical grades. Each fraction was washed with distilled water to remove color and fine particles. The POCS particles were dried in an electrical heating oven at ambient temperature overnight. The fine grades were obtained after regrinding and sieving with a 12.00-4.75 mm mesh size (Fig. 4.8).

![Fig. 4.8. Mechanical and physical treatments.](image)

4.2.2 Application of POCS for As adsorption

The adsorption experiments were planned to achieve maximum POCS adsorption potential. Table 4.3 shows the factors names, codes and levels used to make design matrix.
The experimental data was collected as advised and designed by CCD, the equilibrium arsenic concentration ($Q_e$) was calculated by using equation 2.1 (Shanmugaparakash et al., 2013). The reproducibility of experimental adsorption capacity was ascertained by repeating the experiments to account for standard deviation. The applied method is robust and beneficial over the arsine gas generation method, as it required no extra reagents to produce gaseous phase arsenic (arsine gas). The selected quadratic model provided better insight into complex correlated, interacting adsorption variables. It assisted to identify the most influencing as well as least influencing variables (Roy et al., 2014). The interactive effects of four important variables were discovered to describe the arsenic adsorption. The designated graphical models clearly visualize and predict maximum arsenic adsorption. The value of each model coefficients was expended to explain important relationships among independent and dependent variables.

The experimental adsorption capacity was analyzed and model fitted to discover the goodness of fit of the proposed model. Successively, model was tested by statistical tests e.g. analysis of variance (ANOVA), residuals analysis (RA), scaling residuals (SR) and prediction error sum of squares (PRESS) (Smith et al., 2000). The verified and validated model was used to augment input process variables and to maximize output.
i.e. arsenic adsorption. The decided statistical model was also validated by supplementary experiments.

### 4.2.3 Batch adsorption

Arsenic adsorption study was performed in a batch mode of experiments. The pre-weighted POCS (mg) was taken into the conical flask, 20 cm$^3$ of analyte (As) of known concentration was added and pH adjusted with drops of 0.01M HCl or 0.01M NaOH respectively. Each sample flask was shaken (200 rpm) for 1 h, filtered through a PTFE SIMPLEPURE (0.22 µm) micro filter, and collected into ICPMS ampoules for subsequent analysis.

### 4.2.4 POCS Particle size distribution

Fig. 4.9 shows PSD curve correlating particle size with percent finer. It was observed that POCS has a fitness modulus, specific gravity and water absorption of 3.09, 2.01 and 3.75 % respectively. These results indicated that POCS as a well graded material. The PSD analysis was used to differentiate particle with appropriate features for arsenic adsorption. Previously it was reported that the particle size has a direct effect on degree of contact with desired adsorbate (arsenic) (Kim et al., 2013). The very fine particles possess relatively large surface area and offer better contact with arsenic (Kim et al., 2013). However, these very fine ultra-small particles were found to be unsuitable due to difficult separation and blockage of filter during early viability studies. The small diameter of particles offer extremely slows down speed of water molecules and causes an impractical separation process. Accordingly, 600 µm particle size was selected for further studies. The selected particles were found appropriate owing to porous networking structures, specific gravity, fitness modulus and water absorption properties (Ahmmad et al., 2014).
4.2.5 Functional groups analysis

FTIR study provides useful functional groups information regarding arsenic and POCS surface interactions during adsorption process. Surface interactions are due to presence of surface functional groups.

![Particle size distribution curve of POCS](image)

**Fig. 4.9. Particle size distribution curve of POCS.**

The surface of POCS indicated a number of interesting functional group that possibly become the reason for enhanced arsenic adsorption despite its porous networking structures (Ferraro, 2012).

**Figure 4.10** it can be seen that finger print region of IR spectrum contains a dominant and distinct peaks at 1022 cm$^{-1}$. It can be attributed to a number of metals/nonmetals oxides generated during calcinations process in a boiler. Previous studies observed a relative proportion of various metals, nonmetal and their respective oxides (Ahmmad et al., 2014). These mixed oxides are responsible for improved arsenic adsorption. A similar observations has been reported for a role of metal oxide for interaction with arsenic during adsorption process (Ferraro, 2012). Due to abundant
oxides, peaks of other functional groups look weak and negligible at a first glance. However, we selected a separate IR region from 1500 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) to account for other functionalities, responsible for a higher arsenic uptake during current adsorption process.

**Figure 4.10 (insect)).** Interestingly, the selected IR region shows peaks for other functional groups for arsenic. Particularly, FTIR spectrum shows peaks at 518 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-Br), 538 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-Cl), 621 cm\(^{-1}\) (N-H), 692 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-H), 710 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=C), 728-795 cm\(^{-1}\) aromatic (C=C), 800 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-H), 1022 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-N), 1056 cm\(^{-1}\) (Metal-O), 1160 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O), 1426 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=C), 1623 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=N), 2106 (C≡N), 2500-3000 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-H), 3176 cm\(^{-1}\) (N-H), 3219-3366 cm\(^{-1}\) (O-H). The functional groups study results verified the role of multiple functional groups on adsorbent surface.

![FTIR spectrum and functional groups peaks. Insect showing the 1200 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\).](image-url)
4.2.6  FESEM and EDX analysis

Figure 4.11 represents POCS surface morphology by FESEM. It can be seen that there are pores of various sizes and diameters. Figure 4.11 (A) shows small scattered fine particles and semi hexagonal type of pores on the porous networking surface. The surface pore can be classified by further exploration of FESEM at different area into the micrometer pores of small, medium and extra-large sizes (Figure 4.11 B & C). The FESEM results visualize a heterogeneous adsorbent surface that is more suitable for interactions and capture of arsenic. The elemental analysis of the selected area were scanned and analyzed by energy dispersive X-rays (EDS). Figure 4.11 D provides the relative percentage of elements e.g. silicon, oxygen, carbon, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and aluminum 34.5, 31.6, 13.3, 12.6, 5.2, 2.1 and 0.8 weight % respectively.

![Figure 4.11. FESEMs, micro porous structure of POCS (A) 50 µm (B) 400 µm (C 500 µm (D) EDX composition.](image)
The FESEM surface and elemental analysis indicated that the indigenous POCS was rich in a number of mixed metals, nonmetals and related oxides. Moreover, the attractive functional groups like carbonyl (-C=O), cyano (-CN), hydroxyl (-OH) and amide (-NH) groups (FTIR results) are very interesting for adsorption interactions.

4.2.7 A quantitative comparison of efficiencies

The adsorption capacities were compared and analyzed by an external comparative study by comparing a number of adsorbents. For illustration, Ferrous ions laden Apricot stone activated carbon \( (Q_e = 5.91 \text{mg g}^{-1}) \) (Tuna et al., 2013.), Ferric ions and Apricot stone activated carbon \( (Q_e = 5.74 \text{mg g}^{-1}) \) (Tuna et al., 2013.), the mesoporous alumina \( (Q_e = 39.06 \text{mg g}^{-1}) \) (Han et al., 2013), precipitated zeolites (ZNa-Fe) \( (Q_e = 6.73 \text{mg g}^{-1}) \) (Simsek et al., 2013), Alumnum(III) zeolites (ZNa-Al) \( (Q_e = 3.79 \text{mg g}^{-1}) \) (Simsek et al., 2013), and a thermally treated Iron on activated carbon \( (Q_e = 4.56 \text{mg g}^{-1}) \) (Nieto-Delgado et al., 2012). It became clear through the present comparative study that POCS has a better adsorption capacity. The relative measure of \( q_e \) provides a basic scale to recognize these new adsorbents and the prospective future application in adsorption based remediation techniques.

4.3 Modelling adsorption.

The proposed model for arsenic adsorption by POCS is: Equation 4.3. The proposed quadratic model for Arsenic.

\[
Q_e = +15.82 + 1.63 * X_1 + 1.84 * X_2 + 0.82 * X_3 + 3.12 * X_4X_4 + 0.04 * X_1 - 0.17 * X_1X_3 + 0.85X_1X_4 - 0.25X_2X_3 - 0.67 * X_2X_4 - 0.34X_3X_4 - 0.94 * X_1^2 - 1.03 * X_2^2 - 0.57 * X_3^2 - 1.65 * X_4^2
\]  
\[
\text{Equation 4.3}
\]

Where the coded variables \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \) and \( X_4 \) represent POCS (mg), As (mgL\(^{-1}\)), Temp. (°C) and pH respectively. The influence of variables is sorted out by comparing the coefficients obtained by the ANOVA test. The comparative values explain the significance of respective variables. Generally, a positive regression coefficient
symbolizes synergistic effect while a negative sign indicates opposite effect (Badkar et al., 2013). Fischer variation (F-value) and Probability values (p-value) and correlation coefficient ($R^2$) were compared to find out the adequacy of the proposed model. Table 4.4 procures tests applied on the present quadratic model for Arsenic.

![Predicted vs. Experimental](image)

**Figure 4.12. The model predicted and experimental results.**

The lack of Fit test with F-value 1.660 implies that model “Lack of Fit” is insignificant as compared to pure error. The model predicted $R^2$-value of 0.96 is in reasonable agreement with adjusted $R^2$ of 0.98. **Figure 4.12**, the correlation between experimental and predicted system response. The adequate precision test measures signal to noise ratio and the desirable value of precision is greater than 4.0. The Arsenic adsorption quadratic model proposed showed an adequate precision 39.22. It confirms that proposed model for managing optimum arsenic adsorption is adequately precise.

### 4.3.1.1 The variables influence on model response

The linear and quadratic terms were compared to elaborate the effect of each process variable. A term p-value greater than 0.1 indicates an insignificant term. In this perspectives, POCS dose ($X_1$) F-value 203.2 and p-value less than 0.1, and the corresponding quadratic term ($X_1^2$) F-value 78.16 indicates a remarkable decrease of
the F-value. It can be interpreted as an increase in POCS has resulted in a decrease in its effect on $Q_e$, during fixed pH, temperature and arsenic initial concentration (mg L$^{-1}$). Similarly, linear term of As initial concentration ($X_2$) has F-value 254.0 and p less than 0.0001. $X_2^2$ has F-value 93.4 and p less than 0.0001. It means that both linear and quadratic terms for initial concentration are significant.

Table 4.4. Arsenic adsorption statistical analysis results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>514.63</td>
<td>36.76</td>
<td>118.44</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual error</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Fit (model error)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error (replicate error)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>519.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $R^2$                        | 0.991 |

Abbreviations: df= degree of freedom; SS=sum of squares; MS= mean squares.

Moreover, the relative values show that initial concentration beyond optimum has resulted in a declined arsenic adsorption. Temperature linear term (X has F-value 50.01 and p less than 0.0001 while quadratic term ($X_3^2$) has F-value 28.25 & p less than 0.0001. These comparative values of respective statistical terms indicate a relatively lesser effects of temperature as compared to other variables in present design of experiment. The most prominent variable was pH ($X_4^2$) in this study. The variable pH showed a relatively higher impact on adsorption as can be seen in its relatively high F-value 745.6. The quadratic term of pH was still dominant on other variables. A comparison among linear and quadratic terms describes the relative influence of linear and quadratic terms for the variables competitive role during adsorption. It is beauty of
RSM design of study as it explain combined effects of interactive variable, as compared to OVAT strategy of experiments (Witek-Krowiak et al., 2014).

4.3.1.2 RSM 3D plots

Figure 4.13 portrays the response surfaces (RSM 1 to 6) the three dimensional model presentation to discover the optimum adsorption. It is interesting that the response surface method not only explains shared effects but also support optimum variable ranges for optimum adsorption. Figure 4.13 (RSM 1) shows a combined effect of arsenic initial concentration (mgL⁻¹) and POCS dosage (mg). It is observed that arsenic initial concentration has more influence on $Q_e$ as compared to adsorbent dose. For instance, POCS dose in the range from 500 to 1000 mg at a fixed pH 4.0 and temp.25ºC increases Arsenic $Q_e$ from 4.0 to 5.9 mg g⁻¹. Conversely, an increase in initial concentration of from 100 to 250 mg L⁻¹ (pH 4.0 and temperature 25ºC) bring about a double increase of $Q_e$ from 4.0 to 9.0 mg g⁻¹. The RSM 1 projected maximum $Q_e$ ~18.0 mg g⁻¹ with 1.0 g adsorbent dosage and 250 mg L⁻¹ arsenic. Figure 4.13 (RSM 2) depicts effects of temperature and POCS dose on Arsenic. The RSM 2 shows direct influence from temperature on $Q_e$, indicating an endothermic reaction during the arsenic adsorption. Relatively, it shows lesser effect. E.g. during fixed adsorbent dose, pH and initial concentration, temperature increase from 15 to 55ºC resulted in a slow increase in $Q_e$ from 12.0 to 15.0 mg g⁻¹. RSM 3 $Q_e$ increases regularly with pH and dose. The variable range of pH exhibited leading role as compared to dose of adsorbent in this study. E.g. at a fixed dose 1.0 g, temperature 45ºC and initial concentration the p$H$ increase resulted in a dramatic increase in $Q_e$ from 13.0 to 18.5 mgg⁻¹. Figure 4.13 (RSM 4) displays the effect of temperature and initial concentration. It shows that an increase in arsenic concentration goes linearly with $Q_e$ when other variables were held constant. Figure 4.13 (RSM 3) uncovers the combined i.e. pH and POCS dose effect.
From The relative increase in $Q_e$ can be credited to the higher number of effective collisions and high concentration. Likewise, temperature provides the kinetic energy for more effective collisions and improved adsorption. The two process variables work for a better $Q_e$. However after optimum a further increase in both variables decreases $Q_e$ as understood in RSM 4. The reason for decrease in $Q_e$ is attributed to the increased
velocity of molecules and a widening of pores at elevated temperatures. Figure 4.13 (RSM 5) illustrates an effect of pH and arsenic initial concentration on $Q_e$. In an experiment with POCS 750 mg, arsenic initial concentration 175 mg L$^{-1}$ and temperature 35°C an increase in pH from 6.5 to 11.5 changes $Q_e$ from 16.7 to 15.81 mg g$^{-1}$. The results indicated that at elevated concentration, increase in pH has comparatively slighter effect on adsorption process. Figure 4.13 (RSM 6) shows the effect of pH and temperature at a static POCS dose and arsenic concentration. The response surface also explains optimum $Q_e$ within a dynamic range of pH and temperature. The response surfaces optimizations experiments results explain the connections among selected variables and the synergistic effects on adsorption. The model presented a suitable design to realize optimum adsorption efficiency by controlling the ranges of the respective input variables.

4.3.1.3 2D adsorption contour plots (CPs)

Figure 4.14 shows the 2D CPs (1 to 6) regarding optimum area of adsorption for batch mode adsorption design based on CCD strategy. The CPs explain the common influence of two variables on adsorption (Bilici Baskan et al., 2010; Roy et al., 2014). In this study, the CP1 displays the effect of POCS dose (mg) and As (mg L$^{-1}$). A regular increase in $Q_e$ with a corresponding change in dose and concentration variables can be seen from this design. Nevertheless, outside optimum contour lines yet again display area with a $Q_e$ drop from the optimum (Figure 4.14 CP1). The CP1 likewise shows a middle red zone area of the contours circles for a maximum of $Q_e$ 17.3 mg g$^{-1}$. The CP2 proves the effect of dose (mg) and temperature whereas the other variable are kept constant. The middle red zone shows a maximum $Q_e$ followed by yellow, green and blue areas in a decreasing order. The CP3 portrays the effect of dose (mg) and pH at a constant As initial concentration (mg L$^{-1}$) and temperature.
Remarkably, CP3 exhibits a fairly large red zone. It means that we can optimize along a broad range of these variables. The CP4 offers the collective outcome of concentration and temp. It is obvious that CP4 has a reduced red zone but approximately equal to that CP2. It can be ascribed to a relatively lesser influence of
temperature in this study. The CP5 reveals a synergistic consequence of pH and As concentration. The responsible adsorption variables has proved to be the most influencing as the effect on broad red zone is clear from CP5. Finally, the CP6 presents the reasonable effects of pH and temp. Once more time the pH stands out as a dominant adsorption variable as it broadened area of middle contour considerably. Arsenic adsorption results visibly detailed the effects of four variables to accomplish optimum adsorption.

From the results of DOE strategy for Arsenic adsorption we deduced following conclusions: The indigenous POCS bears suitable adsorbent properties for Arsenic e.g. water absorption, specific gravity and fitness modulus. The selected dimensions of the adsorbent possess rich surface functional groups along with the micro porous networking that supports outstanding adsorption. All the selected variables influence the adsorption and were found statistically significant process variables. The strong correlations between predicted and experimental results proves the accuracy and precision of the model. RSM and CPs visualize the effect of variables on the Arsenic adsorption in 3D and 2D fashion respectively. The model finding suggest that a systematic environmental management strategy with indigenous renewable adsorbents resources can be designed to reuse and recycle abundant volumes of agro industrial waste.

4.4 Modelling Acephate adsorption.

The green natural abundant resource from palm oil industry is a versatile material with diversified functional groups, pore structure, mechanical strength and ability to form various grades of natural adsorbents. Impressed by its natural properties, various experimental parameters and their effect on adsorption of acephate have been studied for their impact to remove an organophosphorous group’s pesticides from hydrological
samples. The present study only focused on the three main types of models i.e. i. Isotherms models ii. Kinetic models and iii. Thermodynamics models. The equilibrium adsorption data is subjected to various adsorption isotherms (Langmuir, Freundlich, Flory-Huggins (FH), Dubinin-Radjuvic (DR) and Temkin). Pseudo-first order, second order and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models are used for kinetic studies.

The frequent application of pesticides is being exercised in most of the agricultural countries to control the crops damages by pests. However the proper care to protect the natural environments from unwise or accidental discharge of these toxic molecules into aquifer raises our concern to protect natural environment. There are many classes of pesticides but we selected organophosphorous group (OPPs) of pesticides and more specifically Acephate (AP) (Figure 4.15). The AP is known to cause toxic effects in vertebrates, for example, affecting central nervous system (CNS) (Aragay et al., 2012), respiratory (Tian et al., 2012) and reproductive systems (Salameh et al., 2003) and also adverse genetic changes in the genetic code (DNA) (Singh et al., 2011). The AP is an insecticide for controlling the sucking and biting insects. However its discharge into waters, air and soil is a serious cause of environment quality degradation over time. At present various technologies for pollutants removal e.g. liming, photo-degradation, catalytic degradation have been implemented including adsorption as the superior choice due to the availability of adsorbents and reuse and recycle capabilities. Adsorption is a versatile technique having many practical advantages over the other water treatment techniques. It exploits interplay of complex phenomenon that is sorbate-sorbent interactions, surface phenomenon, matrix matching effects, electrostatic effects, types of bonding.
The role of ultrasound assisted rapid mixing of sorbate and sorbent molecules leads to many faster steps involved in chemical kinetics (Entezari et al., 2005). The use of ultrasound increases the mass transfer rate by reducing diffusion resistance (Schueller et al., 2001; Zheng et al., 2005). The present study explores the use of ultrasound assisted rapid mixing to facilitate the different steps of adsorption that is bulk diffusion, film diffusion, pore diffusion and chemical binding with the sorbent (POC) (Renault et al., 2008). Acephate was only chosen to develop isotherms i.e. Langmuir, Freundlich, Dubinin-Radushkevich and Florry Huggin model. The Isotherms were developed at two distinct temperatures i.e. 293 K and 313 K respectively. The detailed parameter are given in Table 4.5 “Isotherm results for Acephate. Moreover the model results are completely discussed in sub-section 4.5.3, along with all the experimental parameters in details. It was also exercised to study the Kinetics model i.e. Pseudo-first order, Pseudo-second order and intra-particle diffusion model recommended model for such type of studies. The recommended model were only selected after careful consideration of the current literature to support such type of studies. Moreover the full details of the each model parameters are clearly mentioned in Table 4.6 and fully discussed in sub-section 4.5.4.

4.4.1 HPLC for acephate

The POC was taken in a glass vial along with the addition of small quantity of HPLC mobile phase in a ratio H$_2$O-ACN (20:80). The known amount of AP was placed in the ultrasonic bath for the pre-determined optimized time interval. After that it was taken out, filtered out the solid sorbent particles and immediately injected into HPLC (D-Star
Instruments 8424 Quary Rd., VA 20110–800-DSTAR12), equipped with a Mightysil RP-18, GP 250-4.6 (5µm) column and UV–Vis detector, at 215 nm wavelength (Figure 4.16). The percent of Acephate (% AP) can be obtained using the following Equation 4.4:

\[
\% \text{ AP} = \frac{\text{Area of sample peak}}{\text{Area of Std. peak}} \times \frac{\text{Wt. of Standard}}{\text{Wt. of Sample}} \times \text{Wt. of Standard} \times \text{Wt. of Sample} \times \% \text{ Purity of Std.}
\]  

Equation 4.4

**Figure 4.16 HPLC schematic**

4.4.2 **Sonication time optimization**

An Ultrasonic bath with temperature control conditions was used to study sonication effects. The sonication time was optimized with 1.0 mL Acephate (100 mgL-1), taken in a vial with fixed amount of POC adsorbent. The sorption was determined after different time intervals. The time based studies of AP revealed initially the AP uptake was relatively faster, it became slower towards the equilibrium point. It is explained, at the start of sorption, there were more vacant surface sites on POC available for AP adsorption.
4.4.3 Isotherm models for acephate

The chemical equilibrium was studied using 0.01g of POC, varying the initial AP from 25 mgL\(^{-1}\) to 300 mgL\(^{-1}\), pH 3.0 and temperature at 293K and 313K.. Chemical equilibrium studies were performed at 293 K and 313K at pH 3.0, dose 0.01g, initial concentration of acephate 25-300mgL\(^{-1}\) with 3.0 min sonication time and the results are given in the Table 4.5. Langmuir parameters \(Q_{\text{max}}\), \(K_L\), \(R_L\) and \(a_L\) are given in Table 4.5. The factor \(a_L\) decreases with increase in temperature representing a decrease in sorption capacity with temperature as shown in Figure 4.17. The \(R_L\) parameter describes whether the adsorption process is favorable: The \(R_L\) parameter is less than 1 but greater than 0, indicating the feasible sorption process. The Freundlich parameter \(n\) and \(K_F\) are in good agreement with the Langmuir parameter \(R_L\), \(K_L\) and \(a_L\). It is clear from Table 4.5, that the value of Temkin constants \(K_T\) and \(B_t\) decreases with an increase in temperature, indicating an exothermic sorption process. The DR isotherms adsorption energy indicated the exothermic adsorption as the value of E decreases from 201.779 KJ mol\(^{-1}\) to 148.278 KJ mol\(^{-1}\) with an increase in temperature from 293K to 313K.
Table 4.5 Isotherms results for Acephate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm model</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>293K</td>
<td>313 K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{max}$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>11.228</td>
<td>10.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_L$ (L/g)</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_L$ (L/mg)</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_L$</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_F$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temkin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_T$ (L/g)</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_t$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9472</td>
<td>0.9321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubinin-Radushkevich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_m$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>9.517</td>
<td>8.341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.1E-05</td>
<td>1.9E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (KJ/mol)</td>
<td>301.8</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9605</td>
<td>0.9521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>2.1418</td>
<td>3.3459</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{FH}$</td>
<td>0.0357</td>
<td>0.0286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.8761</td>
<td>0.8978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FH model parameter for the extent of adsorbate surface coverage also supported the previous results as the value of “n” model parameter increase from 2.142 to 3.36 with a corresponding increase of temperature.
Figure 4.17 I-1, I-2, I-3, I-4 and I-5 represent Freundlich, Langmuir, Temkin, DR and FH Isotherms respectively.

Figure 4.18 a comparison of $Q_e$ predicted by different isotherm models.
4.4.4 Kinetics models for Acephate

The Kinetic experiments were performed at 293K and 313K using the 100 mgL\(^{-1}\) of acephate, 0.01g of adsorbent dose, pH 3.0 with different sonication time intervals. The adsorption capacity at any time interval “t” was determined by: **Equation 4.5**, adsorption capacity with time “\(Q_t\)”.

\[
Q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m}
\]

: Equation 4.5

**Table 4.6 Acephate Kinetic models results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic model</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>293K</th>
<th>313 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first-order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_e) (exp.)/mg g(^{-1})</td>
<td>11.607</td>
<td>11.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_1) (min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_e) (model)/mg g(^{-1})</td>
<td>12.427</td>
<td>12.280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_2) (g mg(^{-1})min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h) /mg g(^{-1})min(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.3058</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{1/2}/) min</td>
<td>169.183</td>
<td>165.730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparticle diffusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I) (model)/mg g(^{-1})</td>
<td>5.040</td>
<td>4.642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{id}) (g mg(^{-1})min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The value of constants \(K_2\) (g.mg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)) and \(Q_e\) (mgg\(^{-1}\)) are given in the Table 4.6. According to correlation coefficient values, the 2\(^{nd}\) order model is more suitable to the Acephate adsorption kinetic data than that of the first order model. Table 4.6 shows that the value of \(Q_e\) decreases by increasing the temperature. It is an established fact that the value of intraparticle parameter “I” is directly proportional to the boundary layer thickness (Bekçi et al., 2008). The Value of I (Table 4.6) shows a deviation of model curve from origin which means that surface adsorption and intraparticle diffusion steps are operating simultaneously during the adsorption process Figure 4.19.

Figure 4.19, K1, K2, K3 show the 1\(^{st}\), pseudo 2\(^{nd}\) and intraparticle diffusion models respectively.

Figure 4.20. Comparison among experimental and model predicted results for AP adsorption.
From Figure 4.21, A simple $Q_{e\,(exp)}$ and $Q_{e\,(model)}$ graphical comparison highlights that the pseudo 2nd order kinetic model better fits and explains the AP adsorption.

4.4.5 Thermodynamics of Acephate adsorption

Table 4.7 presents the thermodynamics at the selected temperature i.e. 293K and 313K. The negative value of Gibb’s free energy ($\Delta G$) represents the spontaneous adsorption process. The negative values of $\Delta H$ show the exothermic nature of adsorption process. It can be seen that the entropy of the system show a decrease, that support the hypothesis that adsorption decreases the degree of system disorder ($\Delta S$).

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Temp (K)} & \text{Sorbent} & \Delta G [\text{kJ mol}^{-1}] & \Delta H [\text{kJ mol}^{-1}] & \Delta S [\text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}] \\
\hline
293 & \text{POC} & -0.5309 & -22.5902 & -0.0757 \\
313 & \text{POC} & -1.9926 & -22.5902 & -0.0703 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

The present results conclude the sorption of Acephate (AP) onto POC using batch mode of experiments performed at different experimental condition. The HPLC technique was used to estimate the AP concentration in the sample obtained after sorption batch experiments. The HPLC results were examined using different isotherm model. The best fit model based upon the correlation coefficient was Langmuir followed by the DR model. The sorption kinetics results were fitted into pseudo 1st order, pseudo 2nd order and Intraparticle diffusion models. Among the studied models, the pseudo 2nd order better fitted the AP sorption data. The thermodynamic results illustrated the nature of the sorption to be spontaneous, exothermic with a reduction in the entropy of the system.

4.5 Fluoride remediation using doped and un-doped magnetic ferrites.

Fluoride is an essential element for health as it prolongs dental life to offer resistance to the acids that are formed by bacteria feeding on the teeth trapped food. A proper
washing of teeth and regular tooth brush activities is a simple solution to overcome dental decay or plaque formation. Fluoride consumption is reportedly increasing beyond maximum permissible limits that is believed to cause health problems (Bain et al., 2012; Gonzalo et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2003). The maximum allowable concentration level (MCL) of 1.5 mg/L fluorides has been recommended by World Health Organization (WHO). The drinking of lots of fluorides results into impaired growth, intelligence, arthritis, skeletal deformations, osteoporosis and even cancer in severe cases (Kang et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2014; Onyango et al., 2004; Velazquez-Jimenez et al., 2013; Yadav et al., 2007). The present problem of fluorides access in consumer water give an idea to make an adsorptive removal strategy using some series of magnetic ferrites.

However, some problems remain in the development of adsorbents for fluoride adsorption, such as instability, matrix effects, mechanical strength and poor adsorption capacity. Therefore, more efforts should be devoted towards new classes of adsorbents with high de-fluoridation capacity. In recent times, magnetic adsorbents with competent adsorption capacities and environmental friendly properties have been designed, developed and applied for the treatment of anionic waste products, such as fluoride, nitrate, sulfate, arsenate and phosphate. The magnetic adsorbents include magnetic materials, such as iron, copper, cobalt and nickel oxides (Hua et al., 2012). The flexible geometric structures of transition metal oxides allows the manufacture of adsorbents with exceptional properties (Rao et al., 2012). The cubic close packed geometry of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ offer two types of sites, i.e., tetrahedral sites (A) and octahedral sites (B) (Gadkari et al., 2009). The electrical and magnetic properties depend on the position of each metal on each site. These sites play a deciding role in the electromagnetic properties (Ahmed et al., 2006). Ferrites exhibit the trade of electrons during Fe-Fe interaction, causing polarizations and electrical conductivity (Ahmed et al., 2006). However, doping rare earth cations alters the electrical, magnetic and physical
properties (Kobayashi et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2008). For instance, Cerium (Ce) is a unique single electron system; it enables particle dispersion, which is a anticipated and expected properties for an adsorbent. Cerium also promotes a larger number of active functional sites, surface area, and a larger pore size and pore volume. These improvements expedite the adsorbate-adsorbent interactions because the adsorbate can straightforwardly diffuse and reach the active sites for adsorption.

The ion chromatographic (IC) parting and detection method for quantifying anions provides numerous benefits. First, only a small amount of sample (20 µL) is required, which permits for the separation of associated ions, depending on the retention times (t_R). Second, aligned ion suppressor controls the conductivity by suppressing the concomitant ions, in this manner causing high conductivity. Third, a number of anions can be concurrently spotted and quantified in a single run due to the specific interaction and partition amid the stationary phase and the mobile phase. Besides, IC separation is a highly specific, selective, reproducible, robust and cost-effective method.

Electric, magnetic and dielectric behavior of ferrites is a function of structural properties (Ahmed et al., 2015; Larbi et al., 2015; Larbi et al., 2014). Therefore XRD, ATR and FESEM are especially used for adsorbent characterization. High penetrating power, X-rays provides important information regarding structural properties of the matter. Every material is distinctive due to its size of atoms, arrangement of atoms and ability to scatter X-rays. Since no two atoms have exactly same size and X-ray scatter ability, the intensities of diffracted beam will be only one of its kinds for every material. This individuality helps to identify the structure and determine the structural parameters of the material (Gadkari et al., 2009). Multiphase structure, crystallite sizes and crystal strains of CuCe_xFe_{2-x}O_4 were observed by XRD, (PANanalytical`s Emprean), equipped with a monochromatic Cu Ka radiation source. Ferrites surface morphology, particle
size distribution were studied by FESEM. FTIR analysis was performed on a Perkin Elmer System 2000 series spectrophotometer (USA) between 4000-400 cm⁻¹. Magnetic hysteresis loops were recorded on VSM. BET specific surface area study of the samples was carried out on the basis of nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherms measured at 77K using a BELSORP-max nitrogen adsorption equipment (Japan Inc.). CV and EIS experiments were carried out on Autolab PGSTAT 302N and NOVA 1.10 software for the analysis of data.

4.6 Characterization of doped and undoped magnetic ferrites

4.6.1 XRD analysis

In the absence of cerium (x=0.0), all of the identified peaks match with copper ferrite (CuFe₂O₄), indicating the creation of spinel-type CuFe₂O₄ (Mexmain, 1971). After the addition of Ce (x=0.1), some additional peaks of low intensity appeared in the XRD spectra corresponding to CeO₂ (Wyckoff, 1963). The accumulation of cerium into the pristine copper ferrite showed distinct variation in the percentages of the respective phases. The organized increase in dopant concentration (x) caused in a gradual increase in the intensity of the CeO₂ peaks. Moreover, we observed a decline in the (311) peak. A judgment among the crystallite sizes divulges a regular fall of crystallite size with a gradual increase in dopant (Ce). Table 4.8 shows that while doping copper ferrites with Ce reduced the crystallite sizes of pristine ferrite.
Table 4.8. Crystal sizes and the micro-strain and BET properties of a series of copper ferrites CuCexFe2-xO4 (x=0 to 0.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>x=0.0</th>
<th>x=0.1</th>
<th>x=0.2</th>
<th>x=0.3</th>
<th>x=0.4</th>
<th>x=0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BET Surface area (m²/g)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallite sizes D (nm)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average pore size (nm)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore volume (cm³/g)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattice strain η (%)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This decrease was attributed to the formation of secondary phases (Khandekar et al., 2013). This decrease was also supported by a relative increase in the lattice parameters. Accordingly, the Ce³⁺ ions were oxidized to Ce⁴⁺ at this temperature and appeared as a separate phase in the XRD spectrum (Figure 4.21).

The surface properties, surface area, and pore size and volume of the adsorbents were analyzed via BET. [Table 4.8] indicates that a measured increase in dopant concentration (x) parallels to an increase in the BET surface area. Cerium oxide likely constrains the growth of larger particles because of its high dispersion (Zhao et al., 2008). The BET results indicate that during adsorption, more fluoride can interact with the available active sites that are created by doping in these magnetic ferrites.
Figure 4.21. XRD spectra [A] un-doped CuFe₂O₄, [B] doped CuCe₀.1Feₑ.₉O₄, [C] CuCe₀.₂Fe₁.₈O₄, [D] CuCe₀.₃Fe₁.₇O₄, [E] CuCe₀.₄Fe₁.₆O₄, and [F] CuCe₀.₅Fe₁.₅O₄ adsorbents for fluoride, annealed at 650 °C for 3 h.

4.6.2 Electro-analytical results

The electrochemical properties of ferrite modified electrodes were studied using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) (Figure 4.22). The EIS technique measures the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) and parting efficiency between the holes and electrons. The studied R_{ct} is a critical factor in the charge separation efficiency. The arc radius shrinkages from x=0 to x=0.2, which indicates higher charge resistance with higher Ce content. According to the hopping mechanism, changes in the mobility of the charge carriers lead to the transmission of current by hopping from one iron atom to the next (Rezlescu et al., 2000). When cerium is doped in the place of iron, the resistivity of the sample is found to increases. This behavior can be explained by the greater resistivity of cerium (74.4 × 10⁻⁸Ω m) compared with iron (8.57 × 10⁻⁸ Ω m) (Lamarca et al. (2005). Further increase in the Ce dopant in the range of x=0.3 to x=0.5 again uncovers that larger semicircles are associated with the higher amounts of R_{ct}. The LSV analysis was used to study the electrochemical properties of the adsorbents.
Ferrite with x=0.2 exhibited the maximum photocurrent response, followed by x=0.1. The photo-electrochemical activity is well known to be determined by the light harvesting capacity and the separation of electron-hole pairs (Zhang et al., 2014). Generally, a high value of the photocurrent indicates that the sample has a strong ability to generate and transfer the photo-excited charger carrier under irradiation. The EIS and LSV results confirm that the ferrite adsorbents can be used as photo-catalysts and photo-electrode materials in addition to their excellent adsorbent properties.

4.6.3 FTIR of spinel ferrites

The inter-metallic ionic mode of vibration in FTIR spectroscopy analysis provides information regarding the ions involved in the formation of the crystal lattice (Borhan et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). The characteristic peaks of the spinel structure observed in the range of 560 to 580 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to Fe-O stretching vibration at the tetrahedral site (Figure 4.23). FTIR functional groups were compared in pristine and Ce-doped ferrites. All Ce-doped ferrites have distinct peaks near 850 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1440 cm\(^{-1}\).
1. The additional functionality is thought to be responsible for the electrostatic interaction with the adsorbate to enhance the overall fluoride adsorption. The hydroxyl and sulfate groups are clearly involved in the fluoride adsorption. The abundance of these groups in the Ce-doped ferrite may be the main reason for the high fluoride uptake.

4.6.4 FESEM results

“When x=0.0, we observe normally sized CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nano-crystals (~74 nm) with uniform morphology along with some agglomerations of larger size (~180 nm). This behavior was due to the large specific surface of the fine particles for van der Waals interactions. From a Nano chemistry perspective, adjacent nanoparticles that share a common crystallographic orientation may collide with each other, which leads to coalescence (Balaz, 2008) because adjacent primary particles attach to each other and form aggregates.
In addition, due to the nanometer-scale sizes, these particles continue to collide and coalesce to form even larger particles. This phenomenon may eventually cause agglomeration in the fabricated ferrites. The agglomeration is affected by various processing parameters, such as speed, intensity and mixing time. Relatively smaller sizes were observed with further addition of ceria (x=0.1 to x=0.5). Moreover, the addition of ceria particles was found to increase the surface area by allowing for maximum dispersion and retarding large grain growth (Figure 4.24). These results complement the XRD results and provide evidence of an increased surface area, which can facilitate better fluoride adsorption. These results also agree with those of other studies, indicating that doping lanthanides delays particle grain growth (Ishaque et al., 2015; Khandekar et al., 2013). The observed incremental diminution in grain morphology via the orderly doping of ceria promotes fluoride adsorption.
Figure 4.24. FESEM images of (A) un-doped CuFe$_2$O$_4$, (B) doped CuCe$_{0.4}$Fe$_{1.6}$O$_4$, (C) CuCe$_{0.3}$Fe$_{1.7}$O$_4$, (D) CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$, (E) CuCe$_{0.1}$Fe$_{1.9}$O$_4$, and (F) CuCe$_{0.5}$Fe$_{1.5}$O$_4$ prepared in micro-emulsion (w=15).
4.6.5 Magnetic properties

The value of the squareness ratio (SQ) was analyzed by dividing the value of the magnetic remanence (Mr) by the magnetic saturation (Ms) (Appendix E). The SQ value reflects the magneto-crystalline anisotropy and the super-exchange interactions of the ferrite series (Chinnasamy et al., 2003). CuFe$_2$O$_4$ exhibits a SQ value of 0.13 (x=0.0), but after Ce doping, this value increases in the cases of x=0.1 and x=0.2. Note that the incorporation of Ce reduced the magneto-static interactions (Domingo et al., 2012). However, the further supplement of Ce exhibits a regular declining inclination from x=0.3 to x=0.5. This phenomenon indicates that the local canting effect is taking control over the surface canting effects associated with crystallite size and coercivity (Wahba et al., 2015). The relative change in Mr and Ms with Ce content has exactly the same trend, as expected from the SQ values for each member of the series Table 4.9.

Table 4.9. Magnetic properties of the CuCe$_x$Fe$_{2-x}$O$_4$ adsorbents by VSM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnetic parameters</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coercivity (T)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms (emu/g)</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>25.31</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>8.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr (emu/g)</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Hc value is obviously increasing with the quantity of dopant (x from x=0.0 to x=0.2), and further doping results in a regular decline (from x=0.3; the maximum decrease occurs when x=0.5), which is credited to extrinsic and intrinsic factors. The extrinsic factors depend on the density, morphology and grain size (Potts et al., 2011). The intrinsic factors, such as relative occupation of sub-lattice sites, contributed to the magnetism in the nano-ferrites (Raju et al., 2014). The shape and width of the hysteresis loops depend on the method of preparation, composition, and distribution of the metal.
cations at the tetrahedral and octahedral sites, the spin canting and the crystallite size (Borhan et al., 2013). The values of $M_r$ and $M_s$ exhibit a regular decline. This behavior could be described by the decrease of $D$ from 73 nm to 18 nm, and the respective increase in the Ce content at the B-sites. Because the magnetic moment of Ce is less than that of Fe, theoretically, increasing the Ce content will reduce the $M_s$ monotonically (Petrovic et al., 2001; Wahba et al., 2015). Generally, rare-earth elements have a strong anisotropic subsystem with a adequately high anisotropic exchange constant for iron (Gornostaeva et al., 2013). Thermal excitation breaks the Kondo state, which causes a gradual recovery of magnetic moments (Buschow, 2005).

$\text{Ce}^{3+}$ magnetic moments are managed by direct or indirect exchange interaction, namely RKKY interaction facilitated by conduction electrons (Fikáček et al., 2013). Appropriately strong exchange interaction produces a magnetically ordered ground state. However, in the case of $x=0.3$, a dominance of the Kondo interaction occurs, which results in a nonmagnetic ground state. A variation in the coercive field ($H_c$) directly depends on the surface spin disorder (Kostopoulou et al., 2014). The decrease in $H_c$ of the present series affirmed the reduced surface spin disorder. The magnetic properties of the ferrites are controlled by Fe-Fe interaction via the spin coupling of 3d valance electrons (Dixit et al., 2012). The present decrease in coercivity is assigned to morphology-increased magnetic moments and domain wall migration (Niaz Akhtar et al., 2014). The lower values of $M_r$ and $M_s$ are due to the oxidation of cerium into diamagnetic states (Dutta et al., 2006). However, the present decrease in magnetic character has little effect on the fluoride adsorption capacity, but it affects the magnetic separation capability due to the change in its morphology, crystal structure and related electromagnetic properties.
4.6.6 Ion chromatography separation results

The anions were identified and quantified based on their retention time ($t_R$) when performing Ion chromatography (IC), as presented in Table 4.10. Anion calibration standards of 1, 5 and 10 mgL$^{-1}$ were run to develop a calibration method. The $t_R$ values of standards and samples were compared and quantified with MagICNet™1.0. In suppressed ion chromatographic separation, an ion suppressor was inserted between the separating column and the detector to reduce the inherent conductivity of the eluent for improved anion detection. The reactions involved with the chemical suppressor are represented by the following equation (Eith et al., 2001).

![Figure 4.25. Magnetic properties of (A) un-doped CuFe$_2$O$_4$, (B) doped CuCe$_{0.1}$Fe$_{1.9}$O$_4$, (C) CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$, (D) CuCe$_{0.3}$Fe$_{1.7}$O$_4$, (E) CuCe$_{0.4}$Fe$_{1.6}$O$_4$, and (F) CuCe$_{0.5}$Fe$_{1.5}$O$_4$.](image)

Table 4.10. Retention times of the selected anions during ion chromatography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anions</th>
<th>$F^-$</th>
<th>$Cl^-$</th>
<th>$NO_3^-$</th>
<th>$SO_4^{2-}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_R$</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>16.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Na⁺ ions coming in mobile phase are substituted with H⁺ ions, reducing the conductivity of the background electrolyte, as represented by Equation 4.46. The anion in sample, e.g., F⁻ remains unchanged by the suppressor, detected and quantified by IC system, as represented by Equation 4.57.

**Equation 4.4.** Reaction in chemical suppressor

\[ R - SO_3H^+ + Na^+ + HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons R - SO_3Na^+ + H_2O + CO_2 \]  
Equation 4.6

**Equation 4.5.** Fluorides for IC detection.

\[ R - SO_3H^+ + Na^+ + F^- \rightleftharpoons R - SO_3Na^+ + H^+ + F^- \]  
Equation 4.7

4.6.7 Effect of competing anions

Concomitant anions, such as chlorides, bicarbonate, nitrate, sulfate and arsenate, commonly exist in surface and ground water. Because these anions compete with fluorides for adsorbent active sites, their influence on fluoride adsorption was experimentally studied under different concentrations (0.1, 1.0 and 10 mM). In addition, arsenate (As), which is a concomitant anion of widespread concern, was studied at four different concentrations (2, 5, 10 and 30 mgL⁻¹). The results show that to fluoride adsorption was affected by the presence of competitive anions. The red, orange, yellow, green and blue areas highlight the degree of adverse effect, with red indicating the greatest effect and blue indicating the least effect on fluoride adsorption (Figure 4.26).

In summary, by using a straightforward doping of cerium into magnetic ferrite, we fruitfully fabricated a series of novel magnetic adsorbents for fluoride adsorption and water remediation technology. Compared with un-doped parent material, superior results were obtained, and the present enhancement in adsorption behavior contributed to the active functional groups created after doping, the increased surface area with appropriate porosity and the electro-magnetic properties.
Figure 4.26. Effect of some concomitant anions on fluoride adsorption, $m=0.1 \text{ g L}^{-1}$, $[F]_0 = 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, pH=7.0: (A) un-doped CuFe$_2$O$_4$, (B) doped CuCe$_{0.2}$Fe$_{1.8}$O$_4$, (C) CuCe$_{0.3}$Fe$_{1.7}$O$_4$, and (D) CuCe$_{0.5}$Fe$_{1.5}$O$_4$. 
However, the presence of some coexistent species that are usually found in high concentration in industrial effluents reduced the efficiency of the present series of adsorbents due to competition for adsorbent active sites, which led to a decline in fluoride removal. The series of ferrite adsorbents for fluoride adsorption open new vistas for a broad range of applications and may lead to better insights into energy efficient magnetic adsorbents, electrochemical sensors and photo catalysts for environment remediation.

4.7 Fluoride adsorption Model.

The fluoride adsorption is given by Equation 4.8. The results of ANOVA test were used to compare the coefficients values for each factor. In this model, positive value of a coefficient symbolizes a synergistic effect, whereas a negative value indicates an opposite effect (Badkar et al., 2013).

**Equation 4.8.** The proposed model for Fluorides.

\[
q_e = +139.71 + 14.29 \times X_1 + 15.31 \times X_2 + 6.99 \times X_3 + 28.31 \times X_4 + 0.67 \times \\
X_1X_2 - 1.11 \times X_1X_3 + 6.73X_1X_4 - 1.38X_2X_3 - 6.25 \times X_2X_4 - 3.35X_3X_4 - 7.40 \times \\
X_1^2 - 8.60 \times X_2^2 - 4.71 \times X_3^2 - 14.40 \times X_4^2
\]

Equation 4.8

Where \(X_1, X_2, X_3\) and \(X_4\) are the coded variables for pH, \(\text{F}^{-1}\) (mgL\(^{-1}\)), Temp. (°C) and ferrite dose (mg), respectively. The adequacy of the model was ensured and compared by Fischer variation (F-value), probability values (p-value) and the correlation coefficient \(R^2\). The lack of fit value of the model of 0.74 was insignificant relative to the pure error. The predicted \(R^2\) value of 0.9988 was in reasonable conformity with an adjusted \(R^2\) of 0.9994. The adequate precision of the model is a measure of the signal-to-noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is desirable. In the present case, a ratio of 194.5
indicates an adequate signal. The effect of variables was analyzed by comparing the values of the linear, quadratic and interaction terms. The pH linear term ($X_1$) with an F-value of 2112.63 indicates the significant role of pH in fluoride adsorption. However, the pH quadratic term ($X_1^2$) has an F-value of 2239.4, which is greater than that of the linear term and indicates a positive role of pH increase in the selected range on fluoride adsorption. An optimum fluoride adsorption was achieved at neutral pH, as shown in (Figure 4.27) (RSM A, B and C).

According to the speciation of fluoride, it exists as a HF species instead of ionic (F$^{-1}$), which may be the reason for the reduced adsorption under acidic conditions (Yu et al., 2015). According to the electrostatic interaction mechanism, a comparatively stronger attraction is observed at a pH below 6.12. However, pH is not the only factor that controls the fluoride adsorption. In the same way, the linear and quadratic terms of the fluoride initial concentration are significant during fluoride adsorption. An increase in the fluoride initial concentration improved the rate of adsorption. However, increasing the fluoride concentration beyond the optimum reduces the fluoride adsorption, as shown in (Figure 4.27) (RSM A and D). The linear and quadratic terms of temperature ($X_3$ and $X_3^2$) indicate a decrease in fluoride adsorption at elevated temperatures. The most prominent variable was adsorbent dose ($X_4$), which has a significant influence on fluoride adsorption. This influence can be explained by the adsorbent dose being directly related with the number of active sites for fluoride adsorption.
Figure 4.27. Response surfaces showing the effects of two variables on fluoride adsorption (A) pH and $F^-$ (mg L$^{-1}$), (B) pH and Ads. Dose (mg), (C) $F^-$ (mg L$^{-1}$) and Ads. Dose (mg), and (D) Temp. ($^\circ$C) and Ads. Dose (mg).
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS

5.1 Conclusions

In response to the worldwide environmental contamination contributed by both natural and anthropogenic sources, two different sources of Nano-adsorbents, indigenous Palm oil waste and synthetic magnetic ferrites, have been prepared, characterized and applied for green environment remediation. Studies on adsorbents are of prime importance to understand the characteristics of the adsorbate-adsorbents interactions and their efficiency in the removal of hydrological contaminants for the potential remediation of the hydrosphere. The natural abundantly available palm oil clinker waste was researched for its versatile surface morphology, green origin and magnetic separation and application as a renewable resource of natural adsorbent. The mechanical, physical and chemical treatment were applied to impart the desired characteristics to the waste biomass.

The primary objective was to convert waste into wealth resource for the betterment of the agricultural sector that is facing a pollution challenge from the enormous volumes of bio-waste. A series of adsorbents were prepared based on particle size distribution, specific gravity, pore size, pore volume and fitness modulus by standard methods. The reproducibility of experimental adsorption capacity was ascertained by repeating the experiments to account for standard deviation. The present procedure is robust and advantageous over arsine gas generation method, as it required no extra reagents to produce gaseous phase arsenic (arsine gas). The analysis of the adsorption capacity data was subjected to mathematical modelling. The selected quadratic model provided better insight into complex correlated, mutually influencing system variables. Unique series of natural and magnetic Nano-adsorbents were prepared and tested for the hydro decontamination of heavy metals, organophosphorous pesticides, organic dyes and anionic water contaminants. The Nano-adsorbents were investigated for the crystal
structure, functional groups, thermal stability, morphology and magnetic properties. Graphene was found to have distinct effects on the surface morphology, crystallite size, lattice strain, and thermal stability of magnetic Nano-adsorbents.

The average crystallite size and grain size were found to increase, whereas the lattice strain decreased, with the use of graphene. The Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) results indicated that the saturation magnetization and coercivity followed a declining trend owing to the separation of magnetic centers in the nanocomposite. The fabricated composite ferrite materials were evaluated to enhance the hydro decontamination efficiencies for the maximum removal of arsenic, fluorides species along with acephate (AP), by using the preferred Design of Experiment (DOE) strategy. The adsorption data was analyzed by isotherm models e.g. Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, Dubinin-Redishkevich (DR), Florry huggins (FH). The present thesis corroborates the efficiency of Nano-adsorbents under single and dynamic competent environmental conditions. The adsorption mechanisms was elaborated by the interpretation of pseudo 1st order kinetic model, pseudo 2nd order and Bhangam’s model. Present work provides a solution for pollution abatement through the use of novel classes of natural, synthetic and modified magnetic ferrite adsorbents. It also presents the use of the latest computer software for strategic application of magnetic Nano-ferrites to reuse industrial waste and remove toxic pollutants from water.

5.2 Recommendation

Further research for future environmental applications relies on the few continuous natural resources of adsorbents. The proposed sources of adsorbents can be utilized for energy free separations or green environment remediation. In case of catastrophic accidents such as earthquake, floods and distress, these classes of adsorbents provide a low cost and energy saving alternate solution to provide efficient water decontamination
systems. Palm oil industry waste can be reprocessed and exported to the town planners, situation managers and community users looking for economical adsorbents to protect and purify water, air and soil. Novel series of adsorbents designed and used for making nanocomposites with emerging base materials such as graphene and nanotubes of carbon have long future impacts on public health and social developments. The use of the DOE strategy resolves the execution and implementation issues e.g. time, efforts and cost in research and development. The statistically well planned experiments yield better insight and interaction among the competing process variables responsible for controlling the adsorption capacities of the Nano-adsorbents. The use of POCS and magnetic Nano-adsorbents may be extended for industrial process catalysis, reduce byproducts and protect moisture or air sensitive materials e.g. medicines (Figure 5.1). Pollutants in the hydrosphere have a direct negative impact on public health and our combined socio-economic development. The aftermaths of hydrosphere contaminations embrace toxic waste production, equipment degradation, increased risk to the health and safety of humans, plants and animals. Henceforward, pollutants in the hydrosphere require contaminants removal technologies to circumvent the negative consequences of polluted environments. Nevertheless, the studies of specific magnetic Nano-adsorbents are still in need of the collaboration from the related agricultural and environmental businesses.
Figure 5.1 Further work plan for future studies.
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