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ABSTRACT

The sintering behavior of hydroxyapatite (HA) powder produced by wet chemical precipitation method via three different drying methods, i.e. freeze drying (FD-HA), microwave drying (MD-HA) and oven drying (OD-HA) were investigated over the temperature range of 1050°C to 1350°C. The characterization of HA was assessed in terms of powder morphology, powder element analysis, phase stability, bulk density, microstructure, grain size, Vickers hardness and fracture toughness. Based on these results, the HA powder that demonstrated the optimum properties was chosen for further studies to investigate the effects of microwave sintering on the sinterability of the chosen HA. The microwave sintering carried out in temperature ranging from 950°C to 1250°C. The sinterability of microwave sintered HA was compared to that of conventional pressureless sintered HA. Subsequently, the effects of adding zinc oxide (ZnO) ranging from 0.1 wt% to 1.0 wt% on the sinterability of HA when sintered between 1100°C to 1300°C via conventional pressureless sintering were also evaluated.

In the present study, the use of microwave drying accelerates the manufacturing of HA powder as only 15 minutes were required to dry the HA powder (MD-HA) while at least 16 hours and 36 hours drying time were required for conventional oven drying (OD-HA) and freeze drying (FD-HA), respectively. It has been revealed that MD-HA possess overall better sinterability and mechanical properties than OD-HA and FD-HA. The optimum sintering temperature for the synthesized MD-HA was 1200°C with the following properties being recorded: relative density of 97.5%, Vickers hardness of 5.04 GPa and fracture toughness of 1.15 MPam^{1/2}. Besides, decomposition of MD-HA phase upon sintering was not observed in the present work but small amount of tricalcium phosphate was observed in OD-HA when sintered at 1350°C.
The current study also revealed that microwave sintering played an important role in enhancing the mechanical properties of HA matrix particularly at low sintering temperature. HA with high fracture toughness value of \(~1.85\ \text{MPam}^{1/2}\) was produced at 1050°C via microwave sintering. In addition, the addition of 0.5 wt% of ZnO was found to be beneficial in improving the fracture toughness of HA powder. The results indicated that the resulting 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA sintered body exhibited an increased toughness of to \(1.37\ \text{MPam}^{1/2}\) and hardness value to 5.63 GPa when compared to the undoped body (\(1.16\ \text{MPam}^{1/2}\) for fracture toughness and 4.75 GPa for hardness) at 1150°C via conventional sintering.

The main advantageous of this research is the economical and rapid production of HA that exhibited enhanced sinterability at low temperatures that is suitable for the production of biomedical devices without compromising the phase stability and biocompatibility nature of the HA.
Abstrak

Sifat persinteran HA dihasilkan daripada pemendakan kimia basah melalui tiga kaedah yang berbeza iaitu pegeringan melalui pembekuan (FD-HA), pergeringan melalui gelombang microwave (MD-HA) dan pergeringan melalui ketuhar (OD-HA) telah disiasat dalam lingkungan suhu persinteran 1050°C hingga 1350°C. Pencirian HA dinilai dari segi morfologi serbuk, analisis unsur serbuk, kestabilan fasa, ketumpatan pukal, mikrostruktur, saiz butiran, kekerasan Vickers dan keliatan patah.

Berdasarkan keputusan ini, serbuk HA yang menunjukkan ciri-ciri yang terbaik akan dipilih untuk melanjutkan pelajaran untuk menyiapkan pesinteran gelombang microwave atas sifat persinteran HA. Suhu ketuhar gelombang mikro persinteran adalah dari 950°C hingga 1250°C. Sifat persinteran HA melalui gelombang microwave dibandingkan dengan HA yang disinterkan melalui persinteren konvensional tanpa tekanan. Selepas itu, kesan penambahan ZnO antara 0.1 % berat hingga 1 % berat atas sifat persinteran HA melalui persinteren konvensional dan ketuhar gelombang mikro dalam lingkungan suhu 1100°C hingga 1300°C telah dikaji.

Dalam kajian ini, penggunaan pengeringan ketuhar gelombang mikro mempercepatkan pembuatan serbuk HA kerana hanya 15 minit dikesihetadik untuk mengeringkan serbuk HA manakala sekurang-kurangnya 16 jam masa pengeringan dikesihetadik untuk pengeringan ketuhar konvensional dan 36 jam untuk pengeringan pembekuan. Kajian ini membuktikan MD-HA telah didapati mempunyai sifat persinteren dan sifat-sifat mekanikal yang lebih baik daripada OD-HA dan FD-HA. Suhu persinteren optimum untuk MD-HA disinter adalah 1200°C dengan sifat-sifat berikut direkodkan: ketumpatan pukal relatif 97.5%, Vickers kekerasan 5.04 GPa dan patah keliatan 1.15 MPam$^{1/2}$. Selain itu, tiada kesan decomposition fasa MD-HA bila disinterkan tetapi sedikit trikalsium fosfat dikesan dalam OD-HA apabila disinter pada
1350°C. Kajian ini juga mendedahkan bahawa pensinteran microwave memainkan peranan penting dalam meningkatkan sifat-sifat mekanik matriks HA pada suhu pensinteran rendah. HA dengan nilai keliatan patah yang tinggi ~ 1.85 MPam\(^{1/2}\) telah dihasilkan pada suhu 1050°C melalui persinteran microwave. Di samping itu, penambahan 0.5 wt% ZnO membawa kebaikan dalam meningkatkan keliatan pepatahan HA. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa 0.5 wt% ZnO dop HA menunjukkan peringkatan dalam keliatan pepatahan ke 1.37 MPam\(^{1/2}\) dan kekerasan ke 5.63 GPa apabila berbanding kepada HA tanpa ZnO (1.16 MPam\(^{1/2}\) keliatan pepatahan dan 4.75 GPa kekerasan) pada 1150°C melalui persinteran konvensional.

Faedah utama kajian ini adalah menghasilkan HA yang dengan cara yang murah dan cepat selain mempamerkan sifat persinteran yang tinggi pada suhu persinteran yang rendah dan sesuai sebagai peranti bioperubatan tanpa mengorbankan kestabilan fasa dan bioserasi HA.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The bones in the human body play important roles such as providing structural support, protecting bodily organs and serving calcium phosphorus for the blood cell formation (Karin, 2005). Unfortunately, bone is susceptible to fractures due to injuries, degenerative diseases and aging where the hard tissue loss gradually in their biological system (Best et al., 2008). An estimated 1.7 million hip fractures occur annually around the world, with one third happened in Asia. This problem is rapidly emerging in Asia as statistics show that more than half of all osteoporotic hip fractures expected in Asia by the year of 2050 (Lau et al., 1997). Therefore, medical treatment is eagerly needed to heal or replace the damaged hard tissue or bones.

In early stage, transplantation such as autograft or allograft was a promising method to replace damaged hard tissue or bones. However, it was later found to be unsuitable for medical purpose due to the scarcity of suitable donor tissues, risk of disease transmission, risk of tissue rejection and low success rate (Karin, 2005). This fact leads to the exigency research and development of advanced synthetic materials for the fabrication of replacement implants. Metallic biomaterials used in orthopaedic have drawbacks due to corrosion, wear and negative tissue reaction which lead to loosening of the implant (Hench, 1991). Therefore, an ideal implant material must show biocompatibility. An interpretation of the word “biocompatibility” has been based upon the interaction(s) between synthetic substances to the local tissues. In this respect, interactions have been correlated with conditions of minimal harm or change, either to the host or to the implants (Doremus, 1992; Lemons, 1996). A material that exhibits excellent biocompatibility is non-immunogenic, non-toxic, non-irritant, has no mutagenic effects on the biological system and stable under extreme physical and chemical conditions in the living body (Suchanek & Yoshimura, 1998; Williams, 2008).
Therefore, great demands have been placed on the use of ceramics as implant materials as they possess favourable properties such as ease of processing and cause no toxic response in human body (Katti, 2004; Fathi, 2008) in addition to their good biocompatibility; the ability to stay in body without giving adverse effects (Hench, 1998). These ceramics are subsequently termed as ‘Bioceramics’. Besides, bioceramics are non-toxic, have thermal and chemical stability; high wear resistance and have wonderful durability. These excellent properties all contribute to make them as good candidate material for surgical implants (Jayaswal et al., 2010).

Amongst all the bioceramics, calcium phosphate family ceramics, particularly hydroxyapatite [Ca_{10}(PO_{4})_{6}(OH)_{2}, HA] (Liu et al., 1997) has been widely employed as medical implant and hard tissue replacement because it is chemically similar with the inorganic component of hard tissue of human bones and teeth (Best et al., 2008; Irma et al., 2006; Hench, 1998). Furthermore, HA is a bioactive material, having excellent biocompatibility which denotes that it does not exhibit any rejection by the human body (Suchanek and Yoshimura, 1998). Therefore, a great deal of different synthesising methods to produce HA powder has been established such as wet chemical precipitation (Loo et al., 2008; Sung et al., 2004), mechanochemical (Mochales et al., 2004; Nasiri-Tabrizi et al., 2009) and sol-gel (Han et al., 2004; Rajabi-Zamani et al., 2008).

The wet chemical precipitation method is one of many novel methods found to be simple and cost effective (Kong et al., 2007; Verwilghen et al., 2007). The earlier work showed that powder synthesised through the wet chemical precipitation method is homogenous, with good crystallinity, physiologically stable, morphologically similar to hard tissue and has high relative density (Kothapalli et al., 2004; Donadel et al., 2005; Tolouei et al., 2012). In wet chemical method, drying of the precipitate is one of the crucial steps. Drying is divided into heating and non-heating method. Conventional
oven drying is categorized under heating method as it involves convective, conductive and radiation drying by external heat sources. It is the most commonly used drying method in wet chemical due to its simplicity and low cost. However, oven drying usually takes a very long drying hour for the precipitate to dry thoroughly which is not practical for mass production and may lead to serious agglomeration of the synthesized powder (Yu et al., 2010). Freeze drying on the other hand is a widely used non-heating drying in wet chemical method (Lu et al., 1998; Stanley & Nesaraj, 2014; Yoruc & Koca, 2009). In freeze drying, the initial liquid suspension is frozen and the pressure above the frozen states is reduced and the water is removed by sublimation. This drying method produces homogenous and uniform fine-grained powders (Lu et al., 1998; Wang & Lloyd, 1991). Nonetheless, there are a few drawbacks of freeze drying method such as high equipment cost, complex operations and procedures as well as long drying time. Therefore, there is a need to search for an alternative drying method that would significantly reduce the drying hours of HA precipitate while eliminating the serious powder agglomeration problem.

Microwave drying has been identified as one of the alternative drying method of HA precipitate as this drying method offers several advantages including shorten the drying times, provides reduction of energy requirements in synthesizing, improve the quality of products and lower operating cost (Atong et al., 2006; Feng at al., 2012; Yu et al., 2010; Abd Rahman et al., 2009; Tonanon et al., 2006; Hart et al., 2007). Microwaves are electromagnetic waves with wavelengths range 1 mm to 1 m; having frequencies lies between 300 MHz to 300 GHz (Sun et al., 1994). The range of wavelengths and frequencies allows microwaves penetrate into the wet product effectively that the heat is generated uniformly within the material. Microwaves are very specific to small polar molecules such as water which makes it suitable to be used in the drying of wet HA precipitate as water is the only by product of the wet chemical
method. Due to the dipolar nature, water molecules randomly oriented in the materials if there is no microwave field exists (Das et al., 2009). With the presence of the alternating microwave fields, water molecules tend to follow the electric field associated with the electromagnetic radiation by oscillating at high frequencies (many millions times per second). This high frequency oscillations produce molecular friction results in the generation of the instantaneous heat within the material. The repeated movement of water molecules due to the flip flopping electrical field causes the material to gain more energy and heat up. This heat generation creates a temperature gradient between the core and the surface of the material. The high temperature in the core drives the evaporating liquid to the surface of the material (lower temperature) which enables the water movement and its subsequent removal from the material. The heating of water occurs selectively due to the greater dielectric loss of water as compared to the material to be dried. Therefore, further drying or the danger of overheating could be avoided once the water is removed. Hence, microwave drying has a great potential in producing high quality HA powders coupled with enhanced mechanical properties of the sintered samples with shorter processing time.

To be an ideal implant, the simultaneous achievement of bioactivity and a match of the mechanical properties of the implant with the bone are required to guarantee clinical success. Therefore, numerous research has been done to produce dense HA ceramics through powder compaction followed by time consuming conventional sintering to improve the mechanical properties of HA. However, the use of HA is still limited in load bearing applications because of its low fracture toughness, thus, is prone to mechanical failure (Rodríguez-Lorenzo et al., 2002). The low mechanical properties of HA could be attributed to the conventional sintering method as it regularly calls for high sintering temperature and lengthier sintering schedule (approximately 18–24 hours) which propagate rough grained microstructure, resulting poor mechanical properties
Microwave energy has been very popular and reported to produce ceramics such as alumina (Fang et al., 2004; Cheng et al., 2000), zirconia (Binner et al., 2008), zinc oxide (Gunnewiek & Kiminami, 2014; Savary et al., 2011) and etc. (Oghbaei & Mirzaee, 2010) that possessed improved mechanical properties. Microwave sintering is fundamentally different from conventional sintering as it is fast and rapid. The heat is generated volumetrically by the electromagnetic energy (Das et al., 2009) within the material instead of being transferred from outer area of the material (Ramesh et al., 2007; Agrawal, 1998). As the microwave sintering is rapid, it could improve the mechanical properties of HA by suppressing the grain coarsening that occurred due to long sintering time. In short, microwave sintering offers shorter time of processing, uniform heating, enhanced material properties and suppressed grain coarsening that normally occurred in conventional sintering. Hence, it has great potential to produce HA ceramics with high mechanical properties.

Another economical technique to improve the mechanical properties of HA while maintaining its bioactivity is by incorporating appropriate low temperature sintering additives (Suchanek et al., 1997) Zinc oxide (ZnO) is of interest as sintering additives as zinc has proven to play an important role in proliferative effects on osteoblastic cells and the beneficial effects of zinc oxide (ZnO) on the bioactive properties of HA have been extensively studied (Ishikawa et al., 2002; Jallot et al., 2005). Besides, there are numerous works investigated the microwave sintering effects on the sinterability of pure HA (Ramesh et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2002; Vijayan & Varma, 2002; Nath et al., 2006; Bose et al., 2010). However, the sinterability of zinc oxide doped HA has not been systematically studied.

Hence, the effects of microwave drying, microwave sintering and the addition of ZnO on the sintering behavior of hydroxyapatite were investigated.
1.2 **Scope of Research**

The research is divided into three phases where the initial phase of the research was to prepare pure HA powder using novel wet chemical precipitation method (Ramesh, 2004) via freeze drying, microwave drying and conventional oven drying. Freeze drying of HA precipitate was carried out in a freeze dryer at temperature below -45°C and the vacuum below 0.049 mBar for 36 hours. On the other hand, microwave drying of the HA slurry was carried out in a household microwave oven at 900 watts for 15 minutes while oven drying was carried out in a conventional oven for 16 hours at 60°C before sieving. The morphology, specific surface area, phase stability and elemental composition of the synthesized powders were examined and compared. Subsequently, the sintering behaviour of the three synthesized HA was compared in terms of HA phases stability, bulk density, hardness, fracture toughness and grain size. Optimisation studies were carried out at temperature ranging from 1050°C to 1350°C using a standard heating and cooling rate of 2°C/min and a holding time of 2 hours. The sintering was conducted in conventional electrical furnace.

Based on these results, the HA powder that demonstrated the optimum properties was chosen for further studies to investigate the effect of microwave sintering and sintering additives on the sinterability of HA. In the first part of second phase, the HA pellets were microwave sintered (MWS) in a microwave furnace at a constant power output of 2000 watts. The sintering regime employed was at temperature range of 950°C - 1250°C. Then, the sinterability of microwave sintered HA was compared to that of conventional sintered HA samples.

The subsequent stage of the research was to reinforce zinc oxide (ZnO) into HA according to the different amount of weight percentage: 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt%, 0.5 wt% and 1.0 wt% respectively. All the undoped and ZnO-doped HA samples were subjected to
conventional sintering at temperatures ranging from 1100°C to 1300°C. The effects of sintering temperature and the influence of ZnO as dopants on the densification, microstructure, hardness, fracture toughness and phase stability of the sintered HA were evaluated. The flowchart of the research scope is shown in Figure 1.1.

![Flowchart of the research scope](image)

Figure 1.1: Flow chart of the research scope.

Hence, the ultimate goal of the present study is to fabricate and study the effects of drying methods, sintering methods and the ZnO addition on pure HA and to produce HA with high relative density (~97% theoretical) and enhanced fracture toughness via rapid and effective method.

1.3 Objectives of the Research

The objectives of the present research are as follows:

- To develop a simple, repeatable, and relatively rapid drying process to synthesize pure hydroxyapatite (HA) powder using a household microwave oven via wet chemical precipitation method.
- To produce nanostructured and submicron HA compacts those are suitable for clinical applications.
➢ To study and compare the phase stability, densification, microstructural differences and mechanical properties of the microwave and conventionally sintered HA.

➢ To enhance the fracture toughness of HA through the addition of sintering additives

➢ To evaluate the mechanical properties of the engineered HA.

1.4 Structure of the Thesis

In chapter 2, literature review on bioceramics and their classification are addressed. Subsequently, a general literature on hydroxyapatite (HA) and its importance are presented. Types of the synthesis methods of HA and the synthesis parameters involved in wet chemical method are extensively discussed. Besides that, the microwave theories and introduction to the potential usage of microwave drying on HA have been reviewed.

Chapter 3 presents the significant parameters that affect the sinterability of HA such as sintering temperature, sintering time, sintering ramp rate, powder consolidation techniques and sintering additives that have been reported by various researchers. At the end of the chapter, the potential of ZnO as sintering additives on ceramics are presented. This chapter provides a framework for a better perceptive of factors controlling the physical and mechanical properties of HA ceramics.

A detailed description of the experimental techniques such as drying process, synthesis process, ultrasonic process, ball milling process, uniaxial pressing, cold isostatic pressing, sintering process, polishing and the usage of several apparatus used in this research are documented in Chapter 4. In addition, powders and sintered samples characterization such as X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX), Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM), Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, Transmission Electron
Microscope (TEM), Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR), density measurement, hardness and fracture toughness measurement are further discussed in this chapter.

The experimental findings and discussion are explored and comprehended in Chapter 5 and 6. In chapter 5, discussion mainly focused on the comparison between HA powders synthesized via three different drying methods, i.e. microwave drying, freeze drying and oven drying in terms of powder characteristics and sintering behaviour. Based on the result of this study, the powder that exhibited the overall best sintering properties is selected for further studies. In Chapter 6, the effect of microwave sintering on the sinterability of the selected pure HA powder is deliberated. Additionally, the sinterability of the ZnO-doped HA and pure HA in conventional sintering are compared and discussed with regards to phase stability, bulk density, hardness and fracture toughness.

Lastly, Chapter 7 emphasizes on the suggestion for future work and conclusion drawn from the current research findings. The appendices, documented the pictures of equipment used, water density table and the JCPDS files.
CHAPTER 2: SYNTHESIS METHODS OF HYDROXYAPATITE

2.1 Introduction to Hydroxyapatite

Hydroxyapatite (HA) is a hydrated calcium phosphate mineral and is the hydroxyl end member of the complex apatite group (Myoui et al., 2003). The chemical formula of HA is Ca_{10}(PO_{4})_6(OH)_2 and it is a natural occurring phosphate on earth. HA is also known as hydroxylapatite, apatite and calcium hydroxyapatite (Chou et al., 1999; DeGroot et al., 1987). Pure HA has the theoretical composition of 39.68 wt% Ca, 18.45 wt% P and a set of crystallographic properties which have close resemblance of that hard tissue. Due to its similarity with the inorganic component of human bone and teeth as shown in Table 2.1 (Hench, 1998; LeGeros & LeGeros, 1993), hydroxyapatite (HA) has drawn great interest from researchers to be used clinically in different applications.

Table 2.1: Comparison between composition and physical properties of human enamel, bone and HA ceramic (Hench, 1998; LeGeros & LeGeros, 1993).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (wt%)</th>
<th>Enamel</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>HA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium, Ca^{2+}</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>39.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus, P</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>18.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/P molar ratio</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, Na^{+}</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium, K^{+}</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium, Mg^{2+}</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate as CO_{3}^{2-}</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride, F^{-}</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride, Cl^{-}</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total inorganic (mineral)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total organic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorbed H_{2}O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crystallographic Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lattice Parameters (± 0.003 Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallinity index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average crystallite size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition products @ 800°C - 950°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The similarities of HA to the hard tissues’ mineral phase promote osseointegration process and integrate well with the surrounding host bone and promote new bone formation without showing any adverse effects like toxicity, inflammatory and immunogenic (Wang et al., 2007; Murugan & Ramakrishna, 2005). Hence it has been widely used for hard tissue repairs include bone and tooth defect fillers, alveolar ridge augmentations and reconstruction, small and unloaded ear implants, repair of periodontal bony defects, dental implant, biocompatible and bioactive coatings on metallic implants for dental implants and hip joint prosthesis (Dorozhkin, 2009; Xia et al., 2013; Valletregi, 2004; Yang & Chang, 2005; Saiz et al., 2007; Dorozhkin, 2010).

Its biocompatibility and ability to bond with surrounding tissues/bone has been experimentally proven to be superior by in vitro and in vivo methods (Akao et al., 1993; Cao & Hench, 1996; Sinha et al., 2001). In vitro test is known as cell culture test where the bioactivity of biomaterials is estimated by a simulation environment (Sun et al., 2006; Banerjee et al., 2007) such as the simulated body fluid (SBF). SBF has ions and ion concentration close to human blood plasma as shown in Table 2.2 (Oréfice et al., 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>SBF</th>
<th>Blood Plasma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>142.0</td>
<td>142.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>103.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPO₄²⁻</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Ionic concentration (mmol/dm³) of SBF and human blood plasma (Oréfice et al., 2000).
The growth rate of the apatite layer on the surfaces of the material immersed in SBF can be used to estimate the bioactivity of the material. In the experiment conducted by Sun et al. (2006), HA powder particles sintered at 900°C was soaked in SBF and was found to possess high bioactivity as apatite layer formed in short period on the HA particles surface. Kim et al. (2005) reported that apatite with sharp needle-like morphology grew on the surface of dense HA sample after its immersion in SBF for a short period of time. On the other hand, study indicated that HA is non-cytotoxic as human osteoblast derived from human bone tissue and cells attached well on dense HA surfaces (Banerjee et al., 2007). In 2010, Catros et al. (2010) did an in vitro characterization of HA powder by observing cell proliferation using MTT assay. The result showed that HA was biocompatible with osteoblastic MG63 cells and the formation of mature bone tissue was observed. The biological performance of HA is important in the field of tissue engineering.

In vivo test on the other hand, involves the implantation of the material in body. The samples were implanted in a living organism to access the bioactivity, biocompatibility and cytotoxicity of the material. Early in 1993, Tatsuo et al. (1993) implanted HA into the tibias of male rats for a month. The authors observed a 100% contact between HA and the natural bone of the young rats. Another in vivo test has been done on eight mice on the calvarial bone (Catros et al., 2010). HA demonstrated osteoconductive properties after 1 month healing as there is no foreign body reaction detected around the implanted HA crystallite. This finding proved that HA composite has extraordinary biocompatibility and could integrate with bone without forming fibrous tissue. There are many more in vivo studies of HA implanted in other animals like sheep (Liu et al., 2000; Gatti et al., 1990), dogs (Xue et al., 2004), rats (Okamoto et al., 2006) and rabbits (Chu et al., 2006; Darimont et al., 2002). All these studies draw the same conclusion that the HA bond chemically with the bone after a certain period of implantation.
Aside from implantation in animals, there are researchers conducted to access the biocompatibility of HA in human hard tissue. Van Blitterswijk et al. (1985) have shown great biocompatibility of HA ceramics when implanted in human middle ear for a studied duration of 4 – 40 months. Further to that, Oguchi et al. (1995) reported that HA appeared to bond directly to human bones without causing damages to the fibrous tissue after an implantation period of 3.5 to 9 years. Besides, Sires and Benda (2000) have carried out the histological findings of HA orbital implant after 5.5 years of implantation in a 17 years old female patient in which the authors concluded that bone may integrate throughout the pores of HA orbital implants.

The practical potential applications of HA stem primarily from the nature of the HA structure. HA is a compound of a definite composition, $\text{Ca}_{10}\text{(PO}_4\text{)}_6\text{(OH)}_2$ and a definite crystallographic structure. Stoichiometric HA has a Ca/P ratio of 1.67 and a crystal structure of hexagonal system with space group $P6_3/m$ with lattice parameters of $a=b=9.42$, $c=6.88$ Å and $\gamma = 120^\circ$ (LeGeros & LeGeros, 1993). Besides that, HA can be easily obtained from solid solutions via chemical reactions with various kinds of metal oxides, halides and carbonates. $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ can be substituted to some extent with monovalent ($\text{Na}^+$, $\text{K}^+$), divalent ($\text{Sr}^{2+}$, $\text{Ba}^{2+}$, $\text{Pb}^{2+}$) and trivalent ($\text{Y}^{3+}$) cations, while the $\text{OH}^-$ can be substituted by fluoride, chloride or carbonate ions (Barralet et al., 1995; Jha et al., 1997). The substitutions in the apatite structure for (Ca), (PO$_4$) or (OH) group result in changes in properties such as lattice parameter, morphology and solubility without significantly changing the hexagonal symmetry as described in great detail by Elliott et al. (1973).

In terms of phase stability, HA is the most stable calcium phosphate at normal temperature and pH between 4 to 12 (Koutsopoulous, 2002). However, at higher temperature, phases such as $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ ($\beta$-tricalcium phosphate, $\text{C}_3\text{P}$, TCP) and $\text{Ca}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_9$ (tetracalcium phosphate, $\text{C}_4\text{P}$) are present. As shown in the phase diagram (Figure 2.1),
the phase equilibrium of HA depends on both the temperature and the partial pressure of water \( (p_{H_2O}) \) in the sintering atmosphere. When the water is present, HA can be formed and is stable up to 1360°C for CaO and P₂O₅. Without water, C₄P and C₃P are the stable phases (DeGroot et al., 1990). It is also noteworthy that OH⁻ ions remain stable in the HA structure even at high temperatures up to 1350°C (Jha et al., 1997).

![Calcium phosphate phase equilibrium diagram at 66 kPa (DeGroot et al., 1990).](image)

**Figure 2.1: Calcium phosphate phase equilibrium diagram at 66 kPa (DeGroot et al., 1990).**

In general, HA exists in various forms and has found numerous uses in biomedical application including fully dense sintered implant (Banerjee et al., 2007), coatings of orthopedic and dental implants (Yang & Chang, 2005), porous form for alveolar ridge augmentation and scaffolds for bone growth (Saiz et al., 2007) and as powders in total hip and knee surgery (Hench, 1991). Different phases of calcium phosphate ceramics are used in biomedical application depending upon whether a resorbable/biodegradable or bioactive material is desired.
Besides hard tissue repair, HA is considered as potential material as a temporary scaffold for bone tissue engineering applications, allowing subsequent bone tissue regeneration after implantation in vivo (Oh et al., 2006; Zhou & Lee, 2011). HA can also be served as drug carrier for controlled drug/protein delivery to the site of infection in body. It is worth mentioning that it suppresses inflammation process in the infection part, has low toxicity, has inertia to microbial degradation and excellent storage ability (Li et al., 2010; Rodriguez-Ruiz et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2011).

The other applications of HA include soft tissue repairs where HA can activate the fibroblasts to support the skin wounds healing (Okabaysahi et al., 2009), applications in cell targeting, bioimaging and diagnosis (Kozlova et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2012; Ashokan et al., 2010) where mono-dispersed nano-sized HA enhanced the simultaneous contrast of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence imaging and also as purification agent in chromatography for the separation of nuclei acid, proteins and antibodies (Akkaya, 2013; Morrison et al., 2011).

As reported in the previous section, HA is known to be bioactive where bone growth is supported directly on the surface of the material when implanted next to bone. This bioactive response leads HA to be used in clinical applications in both powder and bulk form as mentioned above. However, there is concern with regards to its mechanical properties. It has relatively low fracture toughness, i.e. 0.7 – 1.2 MPam^{1/2} as compared to 2.2 – 4.6 MPam^{1/2} for natural bone (Table 2.3). Consequently, the usage of HA is limited to non-load bearing applications (Ruys et al., 1995; Muralithran & Ramesh, 2000; Ramesh et al, 2007) such as artificial hip joint, knee joint, etc. (Suchanek & Yoshimura, 1998). Table 2.3 compares the mechanical properties of sintered HA with human hard tissue (LeGeros & LeGeros, 1993). In view to this limitation, parameters
controlling the sinterability of HA and methods to improve the mechanical properties of sintered HA must be identified and deliberated in Chapter 3.

Table 2.3: Comparison of mechanical properties of sintered HA with human hard tissue (LeGeros & LeGeros, 1993).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enamel</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>HA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.9 – 3.0</td>
<td>1.5 – 2.2</td>
<td>3.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Density (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95 – 99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain Size (µm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength (MPa)</td>
<td>250 – 400</td>
<td>140 – 300</td>
<td>270 – 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending Strength (MPa)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100 – 200</td>
<td>80 – 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength (MPa)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20 – 114</td>
<td>90 – 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s Modulus (GPa)</td>
<td>40 – 84</td>
<td>10 – 22</td>
<td>35 – 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture Toughness (MPam¹/²)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.2 – 4.6</td>
<td>0.7 – 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (GPa)</td>
<td>3.4 – 3.7</td>
<td>0.4 – 0.7</td>
<td>3.0 – 7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Synthesis Method of Hydroxyapatite (HA) Powders

High demand has been placed on producing HA powder due to the advantages and clinical potential of HA. Therefore, several techniques have been developed for the synthesis of HA powders such as wet chemical, hydrothermal, sol-gel, mechanochemical (solid state reaction) etc. Synthesis methods of HA can have significant effects on the morphology, stoichiometry, specific surface and sinterability of HA powders (Orlovskii et al., 2002; Suchanek & Yoshimura, 1998; Pretto et al., 2003). The subsequent sections provide a brief account of some main synthesis technique that has been reported in the literature for the production of hydroxyapatite powder.

2.2.1 Wet Chemical Method

Wet chemical method, also known as wet precipitation method is most widespread, common and simplest route to produce HA powder (Pattanayak et al., 2007; Adamopoulos & Papadopoulos, 2007) due to the minimal operating cost (Santos et al.,
2004), inexpensive raw materials, low probability of contamination (Afshar et al., 2003) and it can be easily carried out at low temperature ranging from room temperature to 100°C (Kumar et al., 2004). Generally, steps involved in the wet chemical method of HA include:

**Step 1:** Titration process by reacting calcium (Ca²⁺) ion with phosphate (PO₄³⁻) ion at pH above 7 and room temperature

**Step 2:** Stirring

**Step 3:** Aging

**Step 4:** Washing

**Step 5:** Filtering

**Step 6:** Drying

**Step 7:** Crushing and Sieving

![Figure 2.2: General procedures involved in wet chemical method.](image)

HA powder synthesized through this method is homogenous, has high purity and is morphologically similar to hard tissue (Donadel et al., 2005; Kothapalli et al., 2004). However, the shortcomings of this method are the resulting powder is poorly crystallized without regular shape and the powder quality is greatly affected even by a slight difference in the reaction/process variables (Kumta et al., 2005). Therefore, it is indispensable to study the effects of the process variable associated with the wet chemical method; in relation to the impacts they imposed on the HA powder properties and sinterability.
2.2.1.1 Starting Precursors

A variety of starting precursors can be selected such as calcium nitrate (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$), calcium chloride (CaCl$_2$), calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$), calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$), calcium sulphate (CaSO$_4$) as calcium ions and ammonium phosphate (NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$), phosphoric acid (H$_3$PO$_4$), potassium phosphate (K$_3$PO$_4$), and diammonium phosphate ((NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$) as phosphate ions source (Sadat-Shojai et al., 2013).

Initially, calcium nitrate (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$) and diammonium phosphate ((NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$) was used as starting precursors by Hayek and Stadlman (1955) via the following equation:

$$10\text{Ca(NO}_3\text{)}_2 + 6(\text{NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{HPO}_4 + 8\text{NH}_4\text{OH} \Rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4\text{)}_6(\text{OH})_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 20\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

Later, various researchers (Sung et al., 2004; Bianco et al., 2007; Mobasherpour, 2007; Pattanayak et al., 2007; Monmaturapoj, 2008) used calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O) to produce HA based on the following equation:

$$10\text{Ca(NO}_3\text{)}_2\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6(\text{NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{HPO}_4 + 8\text{NH}_4\text{OH} \Rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4\text{)}_6(\text{OH})_2 + 20\text{H}_2\text{O} + 20\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

These authors reported that the synthesized HA powders have particle size ranging from nanometer to micrometer and have Ca/P ratio in the range of 1.25 to 1.70.

Akao et al. (1981) on the other hand, proposed that calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$) and orthophosphoric acid (H$_3$PO$_4$) to be used as starting materials. HA powders with a Ca/P ratio of 1.69 were successfully synthesized. The reaction follows the formula:

$$10\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 6\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \Rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4\text{)}_6(\text{OH})_2 + 18\text{H}_2\text{O}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
The byproduct contains only water which makes this reaction favorable to the researchers. Osaka et al. (1991), Tampieri et al. (2000), Afshar et al. (2003), Ramesh et al. (2008) and Teh et al. (2014) have also adopted this method. These authors attained HA powders in the nanometer to submicron range with Ca/P ratio ranging from 1.5 to 1.9.

There are studies on deriving calcium precursors from natural resources and bio-waste such as eggshells, seashells and snail shells and they appear to be a promising source of calcium for the preparation of hydroxyapatite. Adak et al. (2011) extracted calcium source from dead snail shells. The dead snail shells were washed and heated at 1000°C to decompose the organic matters and converted to calcium oxide which in turn on exposure to atmosphere forms calcium hydroxide. Pure HA powder with high thermal stability (no secondary phases were detected at 1200°C) was then produced via chemical route. The HA particle exhibited spherical shape with average particle size of 60 – 80 nm.

Using the similar extraction method, Kamalanathan et al. (2014) obtained calcium hydroxide from raw eggshells as calcium precursor. HA was then synthesized using the Ca(OH)\(_2\) and H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) via wet chemical method. XRD results indicated the synthesis of single phase and nanocrystalline (35.3 nm) HA was produced in the work. Furthermore, phase pure HA was obtained and remained stable after albeit sintered at 1250°C. Similar to the abovementioned methods, CaO was derived from sea shells as starting material which was converted to calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) and subsequently reacted with phosphoric acid by wet chemical reaction (Santhosh et al., 2013). The synthesized powder was pure HA in rod-shape, having crystallite size about 101 nm with Ca/P ratio of 1.8.
2.2.1.2 Synthesis Temperature

In 2007, Bianco et al. (2007) compared wet chemical synthesized HA powder produced at 40°C and 70°C. Both synthesis temperatures produce needle-like HA particle which is not in agreement with the study conducted by Bouyer et al. (2000). As shown in Figure 2.3, low synthesis temperature gives needle shape nanoparticle while increasing the reaction temperature leads to particle of a more regular shape close to circular (Figure 2.3). Thus, it can be observed that the shape factor (particle length divided by particle width) of the HA particle decrease with an increase of the synthesis temperature.

![Figure 2.3: TEM pictures of as-synthesized HA nanocrystal at different synthesis temperature: (a) 35°C; (b) 85°C (Bouyer et al., 2000).](image)

Besides affecting the morphology of the HA particles, reaction temperature was found to affect the crystallinity but not the phase purity of the synthesized HA as shown in Figure 2.4 (Pham et al., 2013). The XRD spectra (Figure 2.4) shows that all the HA has characteristics peaks of pure HA while but the crystallinity increased with increasing synthesis temperature as the peaks get sharper at higher temperature.
2.2.1.3 Reaction pH

Proper control of the reaction pH is indispensible in wet chemical process as improper control of the pH can affect the phase purity, microstructural configuration, crystallite size, mechanical properties etc (Sadat-Shojai et al., 2013). The pH of the solution is usually adjusted by using ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (Orlovskii et al., 2002) to provide an alkaline medium.

Wang et al. (2010) pointed out that pH values equal or higher than 10 is required to produce pure HA powder as shown in Figure 2.5. HA synthesized at pH 11 and 10 revealed pure HA peaks with slight difference in degree of crystallinity as shown in Figure 2.5. As observed from Figure 2.5, the HA prepared at pH 9 was proved to be the mixture of β-TCP and HA where the diffraction of β-TCP was very weak while the phase of HA prepared at pH 8 was most of β-TCP.

Figure 2.4: XRD spectra of HA powder synthesized at different temperatures (Pham et al., 2013).
Besides that, Inthong et al., (2013) claimed that the reaction pH has effects on HA particle as increasing the pH value would increase the formation of particle agglomeration but reduce the particle size of the HA powder as shown in Figure 2.6.

In the same study, reaction pH value has direct effect on the grain size and mechanical properties of HA. It is perceived that HA synthesized at pH value of 9 shows the highest density and hardness value as shown in Table 2.4. Low reaction pH value (5 – 7) results in lower density and hardness value compared to those synthesized at high pH value (8 – 11).
Table 2.4: Mechanical properties of HA prepared with different pH values (Inthong et al., 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH value</th>
<th>Density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Grain Size (µm)</th>
<th>Hardness (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.1.4 Ca/P Ratio

Pham et al. (2013) studied the effect of various Ca/P ratio (3/2, 10/6 and 10/3) on the properties of HA powder and Ca/P ratio did not affect the purity of HA as all the HA powder revealed XRD peaks corresponding to pure HA without any presence of secondary phases. This result is different from those reported by Raynaud et al. (2002). According to Raynaud et al. (2002), powders having a Ca/P molar ratio of 1.667 and composed of single HA phase could only be synthesized with a high reproducibility provided that the Ca/P ratio of the initial reagents were fixed at 1.667. Any Ca/P ratio lower or higher than 1.667 would lead to phase decomposition as shown in Table 2.5. Furthermore, Adak et al. (2011) stated that HA of Ca/P = 1.67 has better densification and finer particle size.

Table 2.5: Phase composition of HA prepared with different Ca/P ratio (Raynaud et al., 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ca/P (Molar Ratio)</th>
<th>Phase Composition (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.50</td>
<td>β-TCP + β-Ca$_2$P$_2$O$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(minor phase ~ 10 wt %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.511 ± 0.001</td>
<td>93% β-TCP + 7% HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.535 ± 0.004</td>
<td>78% β-TCP + 22% HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.631 ± 0.004</td>
<td>80% HA + 20% β-TCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.643 ± 0.003</td>
<td>87% HA + 13% β-TCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.655 ± 0.002</td>
<td>93.5% HA + 6.5% β-TCP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.5, continued.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.663 ± 0.001</td>
<td>98% HA + 2% β-TCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.667 ± 0.005</td>
<td>&gt; 99.5% HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.71 ± 0.01</td>
<td>98.5% HA + 1.5% CaO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.1.5 Drying Methods

Drying can be defined as a process of removal of water as vapor from the material surface (Abd Rahman et al., 2009). It is one of the crucial steps in the wet chemical synthesis of HA powder. A few drying methods such as oven drying, freeze drying, spray drying and microwave drying have been utilized in wet chemical synthesis of HA powders.

(a) *Oven Drying*

Majority of the research employed oven drying on the HA slurry at drying temperature ranging between 65 – 105°C to obtain HA powder (Lazic et al., 2001; Saeri et al., 2003; Smiciklas et al., 2005; Markovic et al., 2004; Ramesh et al., 2007). Oven drying involves heating of the surface and the heat transferred into the material by the means of convective, conductive and radiation (Singh et al., 2015) and it usually takes a very long drying hours (16 – 48 hours). Zhang and Yokogawa (2008) studied the effect of drying conditions during HA powder synthesis on the properties of the HA powders. The HA slurry was dried at temperature ranging from 60 – 150°C for 3 – 21 days, respectively. In this study, the author noticed that the drying period had no effects on the phase stability, Ca/P molar ratio and particle shape of the HA powders. However, they found that HA powder particle sizes decreased with the drying time (Figure 2.7) but independent of the drying temperature. They also found that the longer the drying period, the larger the powder agglomeration as evidence from the TEM micrographs (Figure 2.8) of HA powder.
Figure 2.7: Relation between drying period and the particle size of HA powder dried at 60°C (Zhang & Yogokawa, 2008).

Figure 2.8: TEM photos of HA powders dried at 60°C for (a) 3, (b) 10 and (c) 18 days (Zhang & Yogokawa, 2008).

The severe powder agglomeration of HA powders produced via wet chemical methods is in agreement with various studies (Lu et al., 1998; Hsu & Chiou, 2011;
Yu et al., 2010). Although oven drying is economic and simple but it is also well known that wet chemical synthesized HA powders dried by the means of conventional oven drying are invariably agglomerated often with several levels of porosity. Hence, drying methods such as freeze drying and spray drying were introduced to dry the HA precipitate while microwave drying was found to be a potential alternative to those drying methods. The comparison studies between oven dried HA powders with the HA dried by the alternative drying methods are discussed in the next sections.

(b) Freeze Drying

Freeze drying has been reported as an efficient way to eliminate the powder agglomerations problem (Girija et al., 2012) and preparing uniform fine-grained powders which can be sintered to very high density (Lu et al., 1998; Chesnaud et al., 2007; Shlyakhtin et al., 2000; Wang et al., 1992). Hence, it has become a popular drying method in the wet chemical synthesis of hydroxyapatite (Lu et al., 1998; Girija et al., 2012, Wang et al., 2010).

Firstly, the HA slurry is frozen to solid state (Chen & Wang, 2007) which can be carried out by immersing the slurry in liquid nitrogen (fast freezing) or in an industrial deep freezer (slow freezing). Fast freezing rate is desirable to prevent aggregation and recombination of the particles but it usually requires special equipment and there are risks in handling liquid nitrogen. After freezing, the frozen HA slurry, mostly in ice form is sublimated by reducing the pressure below the triple point (Figure 2.9) of the water through vacuum pump. Therefore, in freeze drying, the frozen HA precipitate directly transformed into a gaseous form without passing through a liquid phase and high temperature is not required.
A systematic study on the effects of freeze drying on the powder properties and sinterability of hydroxyapatite was reported by Lu et al. (1998). In their work, HA precipitate mixture was rapidly frozen with liquid nitrogen and subsequently brought into freezing drying apparatus for about 36 – 70 hours to get HA powder form, hereafter known as FD-HA. The authors confirmed that as-synthesized FD-HA powder is pure and has ideal stoichiometry (Ca/P = 1.67). However, it was found that the FD-HA powder decompose to β-TCP at sintering temperature of 1300°C. A similar decomposition temperature was found on the conventional oven dried HA powder indicated that freeze drying has no negative impact on the thermal stability of the HA powder.

TEM images show that the powder particle size of FD-HA (33 nm) was smaller than oven dried HA powder (40 nm) and the FD-HA powders are homogenous while oven dried HA is in the form of large agglomerates (Figure 2.10). This could be the reason that the density of sintered FD-HA is always higher than the oven dried HA throughout the sintering regime as Lin et al. (2007) reported that smaller particle

**Figure 2.9: Schematic diagram for the triple phases of water (Yu et al., 2011).**
size with less agglomerates provides higher driving force for the densification of ceramics during sintering (Lin et al., 2007).

Figure 2.10: Transmission electron micrographs of HA powders after calcining at 800°C for 3 hours: (a) freeze dried HA, (b) oven dried HA (Lu et al., 1998).

A maximum density of ~99% was obtained from FD-HA at 1350°C and the maximum hardness for the FD-HA is 820 MPa, compared to 670 MPa for the oven dried HA. The high hardness of FD-HA is due to the simultaneous effects of the high density coupled with small and uniform grain size of the sintered FD-HA as shown in Figure 2.11.

Figure 2.11: SEM micrographs of etched fracture surface of (a) freeze dried HA, (b) oven dried HA, sintered for 3 hours at 1350°C (Lu et al., 1998).

The beneficial effects of freeze drying were supported by Girija et al. (2012). In their work, HA precipitate was subjected to pre-freezing at −5°C. This pre-frozen
product was dried in a vacuum freeze dryer at –50°C. The freeze dried HA has higher thermal stability compared to oven dried HA where decomposition to β-TCP took place at sintering temperature of 900°C and subsequently transform to α-TCP at 1100°C for oven dried HA while pure HA phase remained for HA synthesized by freeze drying at all sintering temperature. Moreover, the sintered freeze dried HA was found to have homogenous grain and highly densified compared to sintered oven dried HA. The author proposed that the formation of tri-calcium phosphate and pores in oven dried HA leads to less shrinkage and hence less densification and higher porosity of the sample.

(c) **Spray Drying**

The spray drying is a continuous process of drying liquid or slurry into a free flowing powder material (Murtaza *et al.*, 2012). The slurry of the material is sprayed as a fine spray or mist into a chamber with heated air to produce spherical powder agglomerates of relative homogeneity. It offers several advantages such as the ability to control particle size, particle size distribution, bulk and particle density with careful choice of spray dryer operating parameters (Bertrand *et al.*, 2005), can be scaled up to ton quantities (Iskandar *et al.*, 2003), low heat and short processing time. Hence, spray drying is introduced in the synthesis of HA to produce HA with homogenous morphology (Luo *et al.*, 1999; Murtaza, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2009).

Wang *et al.* (2009) synthesized hydroxyapatite slurry via wet method and spray drying. The spray dried HA powder has low crystallinity and possessed XRD peaks that match perfectly to the HA standard peaks without impurities such as TCP and CaO. Under the examination of SEM, the shape of the spray dried HA powder particle is smooth and uniform; having microspheres morphology with broad size distribution.
On the other hand, Murtaza et al. (2012) successfully produced uniform HA particles with solid spherical shape via spray drying which is consistent with the reported study from Wang et al. (2009). However, Murtaza et al. (2012) obtained powders with narrow particle size distribution which is opposed to the results published by Wang et al. (2009). This could be due to different starting precursors were used in their experiments. Both studies emphasized that by manipulating process parameters like liquid feed rate, slurry viscosity, inlet air temperature and compressed air flow rate can affect the HA properties such as specific surface area, particle size, particle size distribution and powder morphology.

(d) Microwave Drying

In the past 30 years, the research on microwaves has been popular in the food related field, treatment of biomass and biosolids, mineral processing, materials processing etc. (Decareau, 1985; Dorantes-Alvarez et al., 2000; Rodríguez et al., 2003; Yongsawatdigul & Gunasekaran, 1996; Lefeuvre, 1981; Moller & Linn, 2001; Shyju, 2010) due to its numerous advantages over the conventional method such as fast and rapid for extreme time and energy savings, material can be dried completely due to volumetric heating, it provides uniform energy distribution, it is specific only to certain substance (ie. water), it is environmental friendly as no harmful greenhouse gas emissions from the heat source (Srogi, 2006; Moller & Linn, 2001; Abd Rahman et al., 2009). Due to the abovementioned benefits, microwave is termed as potential alternative drying method to the conventional oven drying.

In conventional drying methods, the heat required to evaporate the moisture has to be transmitted inward through the moist material from the surface where this process is very time consuming (Venkatesh & Raghavan, 2004). This hurdle is eliminated in microwave drying, where internal heat generation phenomenon is the
source of drying. Microwave is an electromagnetic waves falls in the frequency range of 300 MHz to 300 GHz (Sun et al., 1994) that acts specifically on polar molecules like water. The charged portions of the water molecules move with respect to the rapid oscillating electric field of the electromagnetic waves. This rapid movement is realized as molecular vibrations that result in local kinetic heating in the core of the material in a very short period of time. The high temperature/heat in the core drives the evaporating liquid to the surface of the material, enabling the water movement and its subsequent removal from the material rapidly. This phenomenon is known as volumetric heating (Strumillo & Kudra, 1986). The difference of microwave drying and conventional drying in terms of temperature profile is shown in Figure 2.12.

![Temperature profile](image)

**Figure 2.12**: Temperature profile inside sample of (a) conventional drying (b) microwave drying (Hui, 2008).

Due to the advantages offered by microwave drying, it has been utilized in the drying of ceramics. Thevi et al. (2009) reported that milled mixture of β-TCP and CaCO₃ was rapidly dried using microwave oven for 60 – 70 minutes and followed by conventional sintering to form porous HA scaffolds. The sintered HA is highly crystalline and the elements exist are mainly calcium phosphorus coupled with a Ca/P ratio ranging from 1.62 – 1.69 which was confirmed by ICP-AES analysis. On
the other hand, Sundaram et al. (2008) showed that gelatin-starch with nano HA composite has been successfully fabricated via microwave drying for 40 – 45 minutes.

Most of the studies were focused on the use of microwave drying in fabricating porous HA scaffolds composite as stated above. Literature reports on the use of microwave in drying pure HA and the effects of microwave drying on the properties of the HA powder are limited. The only available study was done by Santhosh and Prabu (2012) who compared HA powders that dried in electric furnace at 80°C for 8 hours with HA powder dried in domestic microwave oven at 480 W for 25 minutes. There was evident from their XRD analysis that no significant change in phase formation had occurred due to microwave drying. However, the size of HA crystallites produced by microwave drying were bigger than those produced by furnace drying. Microwave dried HA had crystallite size in the range of 34 – 102 nm as compared to 7 – 34 nm of the furnace dried HA. This was due to fact that ion-covalent materials such as HA is microwave sensitive which absorb the microwave power rapidly, causing the grain coarsening.

Besides bioceramics, microwave drying has been applied on engineering ceramics such as alumina and zinc oxide. Abd Rahman et al. (2009) have discussed the effects of microwave drying on the properties of alumina-zeolite foam. The ceramic slurry was obtained by mixing commercial alumina powder and zeolite with water and then underwent microwave drying in a common domestic microwave oven at 800 watts. It is worth mentioning that 7 minutes is sufficient to remove all the free and bound water from the material. The dried green bodies were subsequently sintered at 1350°C. In comparison to conventional oven drying, the linear shrinkage of the microwave dried foam is lower. In terms of flexural strength,
microwave drying shows significant improvement (2.11 MPa) compared to oven dried foam (0.76 MPa). The higher flexural strength of the microwave dried foam is attributed to its finer and smaller grains as evident in Figure 2.13.

![Figure 2.13: SEM micrographs of sintered foam from (a) conventional drying and (b) microwave drying (Abd Rahman et al., 2009).](image)

On the other hand, Shirai et al. (2006) applied a rapid microwave drying technique on ZnO ceramic and the characteristics of the ceramic bodies were compared with those dried by conventional drying techniques. In their study, commercially available ZnO powder was dispersed in 60 vol% distilled water with 0.32 mass% of ammonium poly acrylilate dispersant and subjected to ball milling for 12 hours to obtain the ZnO slurry. After that, a batch of green bodies was dried at oven at 80°C for at least 24 hours while another batch was dried in a microwave oven for 30 minutes. Upon drying, the green bodies were sintered in a conventional furnace at 1000°C. The authors noticed that only 30 minutes are required to eliminate all the water contents from the green bodies via microwave drying while in oven drying, 5 hours is necessary to remove all free and bound waters. Moreover, Shirai et al. (2006) reported that microwave drying produce sintered ceramic bodies with relative density that significantly higher than that of oven dried ceramic bodies.
In conclusion, microwave drying has shown promising results in enhancing the powder properties, densification and mechanical properties of ceramics within a short period of time. Hence, it has a great potential to be incorporated in the wet chemical synthesis of hydroxyapatite powder.

2.2.1.6 Other Synthesis Parameters

Besides the starting precursors, synthesis temperature, reaction pH, Ca/P ratio and drying methods, the effects of other synthesis parameters such as solvent used for the precipitation, the acid addition rate, the stirring and aging time were investigated by researchers too. The solvent/medium used for the precipitation process affects the morphology and particle size of the synthesized HA (Alobeedallah et al., 2011). Alobeedallah et al. (2011) found that HA particles formed from ethanol solution were rod like shape crystals while those synthesized in water had irregular to spherical shape particle. Besides, the HA crystal formed in ethanol was smaller than those prepare in water system.

The acid addition rate was found to have effects on the size of the formed HA crystal. Pham et al. (2013) conducted a study to investigate the effects of acid addition rate on the crystal size and phase of HA. The results concluded that the increase of acid addition rate could lead to the increasing HA crystal length. According to Pham et al. (2013), the faster the acid adding rate, the greater the frequency of reactant collisions, so larger HA crystals were created. In general, all the FTIR spectra with different dropping rates have similar shape and specific peaks corresponding to functional groups of HA (Figure 2.14). XRD results in Figure 2.15 confirmed that pure HA was synthesized regardless of acid addition rates.
Figure 2.14: FTIR spectra of HA powder synthesized at different acid addition rate: 1, 2 and 5 ml min\(^{-1}\) (Pham et al., 2013).

Figure 2.15: XRD spectra of HA powder synthesized at different acid addition rate: 1, 2 and 5 ml min\(^{-1}\) (Pham et al., 2013).

Stirring during the precipitation has found to have effects on the HA powder properties. Jarcho et al. (1976) reported that stirring of 24 hours or more gives the solution a Ca/P ratio close to the stoichiometric hydroxyapatite 1.67. In the study conducted by Santos et al. (2004), they claimed pure HA produced under vigorous stirring while biphasic HA/TCP was obtained under slow stirring. Moreover, a powerful
and high speed stirring would yield a good homogenous medium for the precipitation of HA (Afshar et al., 2003).

In terms of aging or settling time, Pham et al. (2013) investigated the effects of various settling time (0, 2, 15, 21 and 24 hours) on the HA powder properties. It was found that all the synthesized HA are pure HA with cylinder shape morphology regardless of the settling time. However, it does have effects on the particle size. The particle size reduced when the settling time increased from 0 to 15 hours and increased continuously up to 21 and 24 hours. Thus, the authors concluded that 15 hours is the optimal settling time to get the smallest HA particles powder.

2.2.2 Hydrothermal

The synthesis of HA powder through hydrothermal process involves the reaction of chemicals in an aqueous solution at elevated temperature (typically above water’s boiling point) in a sealed vessel (pressure exceeds the ambient pressure) (Zhang et al., 2011; Manafi & Rahimipour, 2011; Yoshimura & Byrappa, 2008). Besides producing high crystalline and high purity HA powder, it has been reported that hydrothermal offers advantages such as low cost reagents, short reaction time and post heat treatment on HA powder is not required (Guo and Xiao, 2006; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang & Vecchio, 2007). In addition, hydrothermal synthesis can produce powders with different morphologies such as nanowire, sphere, nanobelt and prism-like particles (Zhu et al., 2008).

Liu et al. (1997) reported a simplified hydrothermal process of synthesizing high quality HA powders by utilizing a pressurized cooking utensil. According to this method, reagent grade Ca(H₂PO₄)₂.2H₂O and Ca(OH)₂ powders were ball-milled and dried under an infrared lamp and subsequently mixed with 2 litres distilled water in a commercially available pressurized pot at pressure of ~ 0.125 MPa and ~ 109º for 1 – 3
hours. The reaction product was filtered, washed and dried at 90°C to obtain HA powder. Some researchers used different combination of starting precursors such as CaCO$_3$ and NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$ (Orlovskii et al., 2002), Ca$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ and CaO (Li et al., 1994) and etc (Andres-Verges et al., 1998; Guo & Xiao, 2006) to produce HA powder.

Although, the hydrothermal process is able to produce single phase HA, this process is very time consuming and requires equipment that must be corrosion resistant, able to withstand high pressures and high temperatures and hence expensive compared to other methods.

2.2.3 Sol-Gel Method

Sol-gel method is one of the preferred method to produce HA powder due to the low synthesis temperature, homogenous molecular mixing of starting materials and effective in producing nanophasic HA. It needs strict control process parameters (Balamurugan et al., 2006) as it involves the molecular level mixing of the calcium and phosphorus. It has been reported that sol gel synthesized HA has enhanced stability at the bone interfaces in vitro and in vivo (Li et al., 1994). Moreover, the HA powder obtained via sol-gel method has high purity and large surface area with small particle size (Fathi et al., 2008; Hsieh et al., 2001, Wang et al., 2007). In general, sol gel process involves the creation of a three-dimensional, interconnected network, termed a “gel”, from a suspension of very small, colloidal particles, called a “sol” (Chen et al., 2011; Ioitescu et al., 2009). One of the major disadvantages of this method is the complicated and lengthy process includes the mixing of alkoxides in either aqueous or an organic phase, aging at room temperature, gelation, drying, stabilization and post heat treatment (calcination).

The aging of the solution necessitate more than 24 hours to stabilize the solution system to enable the formation of monophasic HA (Liu et al., 2001). The need of long
aging time is in agreement with the studies carried out by Chai et al. (1998) and Liu et al. (2002). Apart from that, the high cost of the raw materials especially alkoxide-based precursors is also a major discouragement to researchers (Kim & Kumta, 2004). Eshtiagh-Hosseini et al. (2007) and Jillavenkatesa and Condrate Sr. (1998) reported that the generation of secondary phase like calcium oxide (CaO) is always found in sol-gel derived HA. The formation of CaO is unfavorable as CaO adversely affects the biocompatibility of HA. Besides CaO, insufficient aging or uncontrolled gelation and heat treatment may cause the generation of various impurities such as Ca$_2$P$_2$O$_7$, Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$ and CaCO$_3$ (Eshtiagh-Hosseini et al., 2007; Hsieh et al., 2001).

2.2.4 Mechanochemical (Solid State Reaction)

Mechanochemical powder synthesis takes advantage of the perturbation of surface-bonded species by pressure to enhance thermodynamic and kinetic reactions between solids (Coreno et al., 2005; Mochales et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2004). This transformation is induced by mechanical milling of the precursors. During the process, the precursors subjected to the deep squeezing and crushing between the milling media or the milling media and the container wall while the molar ratio between the precursors is kept at the stoichiometric ratio. Phase transformations occur at room temperature as a high local heat is generated in the milling container during the milling process. The main processing variables include the following: (a) the type of milling equipment such as low energy ball mills, attrition mill, planetary and vibratory mills; (b) the type of milling medium; (c) the type and diameter of the milling balls; (d) the duration of the milling process; (e) the powder to ball mass ratio and (f) the type of starting precursors (Suchanek et al., 2002; Tian et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2004; Fathi & Mohammadi Zahrani, 2009; Honarmandi et al., 2010). Besides, mechanochemical method involves long heat-treatment times (post synthesis calcination) (Orlovskii et al., 2002; Suchanek et al., 1997; Naruporn Monmaturapoj & Chokchai, 2010). It offers advantages such as
simple, high productive, suitable for mass production and is cost effective (Pramanik et al., 2007). However, it has a few shortcomings such as long milling hours to ensure homogeneity of mixing materials, produces HA with low specific surface area and low sinterability (Suchanek & Yoshimura, 1998).

The commonly used starting precursors selected to prepare HA powder via this method includes dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (CaHPO$_4$), dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (CaHPO$_4$.2H$_2$O), monocalcium phosphate monohydrate (Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$.H$_2$O), calcium pyrophosphate (Ca$_2$P$_2$O$_7$), calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$), calcium oxide (CaO) and calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$), etc. (Otsuka et al., 1994; Chaikina, 1997; Rhee, 2002). Silva et al. (2003) carried out mechanochemical synthesis in planetary mill with different types of precursors combinations and they found out that the following reactions successfully produced single phase nano-sized HA powder.

\[
3\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2\cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \Rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2 + x\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2.4)
\]

\[
10\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 3\text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \Rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2 + 9\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2.5)
\]

\[
4\text{CaCO}_3 + g\text{CaHPO}_4 \Rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{CO}_2 \quad (2.6)
\]

In order to find a suitable medium for mechanochemical, Rhee (2002) compared the results when either water or acetone was used as the medium. Rhee concluded that pure HA powder could be obtained after milling for 8 hours using water as milling medium while powders attained through acetone as milling medium revealed the presence of β-TCP. In another work, Yeong et al. (2001) used ethanol as the milling medium and the milling process has to be extended to 20 hours in order to produce pure HA powder. Thus, water is favourable in producing pure HA powder via mechanochemical reaction.
2.2.5 Other Processing Techniques

There are also alternative techniques for preparation of HA powder, such as spray-pyrolysis (An et al., 2007; Cho et al., 2008; Itatani et al., 2010), electrocrystallization technique (Manso et al., 2000; Shirkanzadeh, 1994), emulsion route (Zhang & Cooper, 2005; Yang et al., 2011; Jarudilokkul et al., 2007), self propagating combustion synthesis (Tas, 2000; Sasikumar et al., 2010), hydrolysis route (Shih et al., 2006; Monma & Kamiya, 1987) and microwave hydrothermal route (Pushpakanth et al., 2008; Han et al., 2006).

These methods have the ability to synthesize HA powder with varying degree of purity, crystallinity, morphology and stoichiometry. However, there are some major drawbacks of these methods such as time consuming and complex methodology, requires special and expensive equipment and high cost of starting precursors which make them unsuitable for mass production (Pushpakanth et al., 2008; Cao et al., 2005; Wang et al. 2007).

In summary, it is well established that the processing of ceramics is complicated by the number of steps typically required for manufacture and the need to optimize the processing steps. The processing of ceramics is extremely important because ceramics are basically flaw intolerant materials. As a result, minor chemical and physical defects can severely degrade properties. Proper selection of raw materials and processing conditions is especially important in ceramics because mistakes generally cannot be corrected during the firing and post-firing processes.

Thus, there is a great need for a simple, reliable and reproducible synthesis technique to manufacture a high purity and crystalline hydroxyapatite powder which has a good sinterability at low firing temperatures coupled with superior mechanical properties suitable for biomedical applications.
CHAPTER 3: THE SINTERING AND SINTERABILITY OF HYDROXYAPATITE

3.1 Powders Consolidation (Sintering) Techniques

One of the main methods to produce bulk hydroxyapatite (HA) is sintering process (Prokopiev & Sevostianov, 2006). Sintering process is a consolidation process that eliminates the intra- or inters- agglomerate pore of material and brings the individual particles together to form a solid body (Uhlmann et al., 1976). It has been reported that sintered HA has high density (Ramesh et al., 2007c) than non-sintered HA and can form tight bonds with bone tissue (Stea et al., 1995). To date, various consolidation techniques have been developed to sinter bulk HA such as conventional pressureless sintering (CPS), microwave sintering (MS), hot pressing sintering (HPS), spark plasma sintering (SPS) and two steps sintering (TSS).

3.1.1 Conventional Pressureless Sintering (CPS)

Conventional pressureless sintering (CPS) is performed in a conventional programmable furnace and it is a widely used consolidation method on hydroxyapatite (HA) owing to its practicability and simplicity (Wang & Chaki, 1993). However, the main problem associated with this method is the generation of thermal stress which leads to the formation of microcracks in the HA specimen (Prokopiev & Sevostianov, 2006). To avoid this problem, the employed ramp rate must be less than 5°C/min, and thus, makes CPS a very time consuming process. Furthermore, high sintering temperature and long holding time required in CPS not only lead to the production of large grain HA (Mazaheri et al., 2008), but also promote the decomposition of HA into secondary phases. The presence of secondary phases can hinder the mechanical properties of HA (Wang & Chaki, 1993). Due to these drawbacks, other alternatives such as MS, HPS, SPS and TSS are introduced.
3.1.2 Microwave Sintering (MS)

Microwave energy provides advantages in various industries such as fast and rapid, time and energy saving, complete drying of material and environmental friendly. In terms of sintering, microwave sintering (MS) serves as alternative to the common conventional pressureless sintering (CPS) and has been applied successfully to sinter various types of ceramics (Clark & Sutton, 1996; Agrawal, 1998; Leparoux et al., 2003). In MS, the density of the material can be enhanced to higher level than would be achieved in CPS at the same temperature (Agrawal, 2006; Wang et al., 2006). MS also requires less sintering time and less energy consumption. In addition, MS enhanced the microstructure and mechanical properties of ceramics by inhibiting the excessive grain growth (Ramesh et al., 2007a). Both CPS and MS provide heat to the material in order for them to densify. However, they work differently in terms of the heat transfer profile. Heat is transferred to the ceramics via conduction, convection and radiation from the surface into the interior of the ceramics in CPS (Figure 3.1 (a)). In contrast, MS demonstrates inverse temperature flow as electromagnetic radiations are transformed into heat inside the core of the ceramic and subsequently transported to the surface from the core as shown in Figure 3.1 (b).

![Comparison of heating procedure between (a) Conventional sintering and (b) Microwave sintering (Agrawal, 2006).](image)
In Chapter 2, the application of microwave energy is mainly on drying which involved the use of domestic microwave oven where the water molecules are excited to generate heat. In microwave sintering, heat produced due to the interaction between the microwaves with the ceramics without the assist of water molecules. Unlike microwave drying, microwave sintering requires much higher temperature and higher heating rate.

Materials are divided into two main categories in terms of MS, namely conductive materials or resistive (dielectric) material (Vollmer, 2004). When microwave strike the interface of materials, some of the energy is reflected. Conductive materials such as metals reflect nearly all of the microwave energy and hence, metals are considered to be opaque to microwaves as shown in Figure 3.2 (a). On the other hand, most ceramics are dielectric (insulators) materials that contain atoms and molecules where the electrical charges are bound. They create dipole by slightly displaced from their original position. Therefore, dipole alignment is the primary mechanism in the conversion of microwave energy to heat energy (Groza, 2007). Basically, the molecular dipoles are said to be polarized when they tend to align themselves along the field lines when subjected to the uniform electric field. In slow oscillating field (few hundred hertz), the dipoles oscillate with the field but no significant heat was generated due to small amounts of friction (Grant & Halstead, 1998). However, when ceramics interact with a very fast oscillating field like microwave (2.45 GHz), the dipoles will reverse their orientation each time the field reverses (few billions times per second) and this energy dissipated from the electromagnetic field is converted into heat by dipoles molecular friction and collision. This is known as dielectric loss. If there is a sufficient dissipation of the energy as the electromagnetic waves travel through the material, the energy is absorbed (Figure 3.2 (b)) and dielectric heating occurs just like the case in ceramic materials (Lidström et al., 2001).
Although MS is advantageous in various aspects, a major problem has been encountered in the MS of hydroxyapatite (HA) as HA lacked of microwave susceptibility at ambient temperature due to their loss factor and low thermal conductivity (Del Regno, 2006; Lasri et al., 2000). Below critical temperature, HA is poor absorber and it needs to be heated up to a critical temperature to become microwave absorbent (Edabzadeh & Valefi, 2008; Haung et al., 2009). This problem can be overcome by using an external susceptor to initiate the heating. Susceptor is an excellent microwave absorber and it supplies heat to HA by absorbing microwave up to a temperature high enough that HA starts to absorb the microwave and heat by itself (independent of suspector).

The most common susceptor materials used in the microwave sintering of HA are silicon carbide (SiC) and zirconia (ZrO₂) (Nath et al., 2006; Fang et al., 1994; Vijayan & Varma, 2002; Bose et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2002; Ramesh et al., 2008, Ramesh et al., 2007a). All these authors used either zirconia or SiC as susceptor to sinter the HA in microwave furnace. They found that MW has substantial effects on the densification,
microstructure, phase stability and mechanical properties on the sintered HA compared
to CPS.

For instance, Ehsani et al. (2013) experimented sintering on HA using both MS and CPS technique. The authors reported that with MS, densification began at 900°C which was 100°C lower than for CPS. MS-HA obtained higher density than CPS-HA throughout the sintering regime and met plateau at temperature above 1300°C as shown in Figure 3.3.

![Figure 3.3: Comparative sintering curves for HA sintered by microwave and conventional heating (Ehsani et al., 2013).](image)

The beneficial effect of MS in densification of HA is in agreement with the experiment carried out by Nath et al. (2006). In their study, the density of MS-HA was higher than that of CPS and maximum relative density of 99% was obtained by MS-HA at 1000°C sintered for 30 minutes. At the similar temperature, CPS-HA could attain only 96.99% albeit being sintered for 3 hours. Although the density of MS-HA is higher, MS-HA sintered at 1100°C has grain size of about ~20 – 30 μm, which significantly bigger than that of CPS-HA (5 – 10 μm) at the same temperature.
However, Ramesh et al. (2008) reported that the densification of CPS-HA was higher than MS-HA although the grain size was finer for the latter as shown in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.4, respectively.

Table 3.1: Total sintering time taken to achieve the respective sintered density based on the two different sintering techniques (Ramesh et al., 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sintering Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>CPS</th>
<th>MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative density (%)</td>
<td>Time taken (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>1090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>1340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.4: Microstructural evolution of CPS-HA (a-c) and MS-HA (d-f) when sintered at 1150°C (a,d), 1250°C (b,e) and 1300°C (c,d) (Ramesh et al., 2008).

The fine and smaller grain size of MS-HA was the main factor contributed to the high fracture toughness of MS-HA. Overall, throughout the sintering regime, MS-HA obtained fracture toughness higher than CPS-HA, ranging from 1.24 MPam$^{1/2}$ to 1.45 MPam$^{1/2}$ while CPS-HA attained value from 0.91 to 1.22 MPam$^{1/2}$. The author concluded that MS not only improved the toughness and microstructure of HA, it significantly reduced the time taken to sinter the samples as shown in Table 3.1.

In terms of phase stability, Harabi et al. (2010) found that HA starts to decompose into TCP when microwave sintered at 1050°C and the percentage of this phase was increased from 46% to 61% when the sintering temperature increases from 1050°C to 1100°C, respectively. For samples sintered at 1150°C and above, the TCP slowly
transformed into $\alpha$-TCP. The XRD pattern of the microwave sintered HA at various sintering temperature is presented in Figure 3.5. The author claimed that this decomposition is due to the higher heating efficiency of microwave heating. This work is in contrary to research carried out by previous workers (Yang et al., 2002; Ramesh et al., 2008; Ramesh et al., 2007a) as they did not found a significant HA decomposition.

![Figure 3.5: XRD of HA sintered at different temperatures for 15 minutes, using MS (Harabi et al., 2010).](image)

In summary, MS has beneficial effects on the sinterability of HA (Vijayan & Varma, 2002; Bose et al., 2010; Ramesh et al., 2007a; Dasgupta et al., 2013; Mangkonsu et al., 2016). It can be concluded that HA compacts of certain green density can be sintered by microwave irradiation to high densities (> 96% of theoretical density), high hardness (> 4.19 GPa), high fracture toughness (> 1.3 MPam$^{1/2}$) and fine grain size (nano to micron size) within a short period of time (5 – 30 minutes). Besides that, in most of the studies, MS also did not alter the phase stability of the sintered HA throughout the employed sintering profile. In all cases, pure HA is recorded and no sign of the presence of TCP or any other phases is observed.
3.1.3 Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS)

Spark plasma sintering (SPS) is a rapid sintering method that uses self-heating phenomena of the ceramic powder where green compacts are subjected to arc discharges produced by a high energized electric current. Xu et al. (2007) studied the sintering behaviour of HA prepared by CPS and SPS. With CPS at 1200°C for 5 hours and 10°C/min heating rate, relative density of 90.6% was obtained whereas a relative density as high as ~96.3% was successfully attained by employing SPS at 1100°C for only 3 minutes with 100°C/min heating rate. Gu et al. (2002) on the other hand managed to obtain HA with high relative density (~99.5%) and high fracture toughness (1.25 MPam$^{1/2}$) at 950°C in 5 minutes using SPS technique. However, HA decomposed into $\beta$-TCP at temperature beyond 1000°C. The low thermal stability of SPS-HA is in accordance with the research by Cuccu et al. (2015). They reported that SPS accelerated the decomposition of HA at 800°C where the phase transformed drastically from biphasic HA-TCP into $\beta$-TCP phase and at 1200°C, the main phase was $\beta$-TCP rather than HA as shown in Figure 3.6.
Figure 3.6: Comparison of XRD patterns before and after consolidation by SPS at 75°C/min (Cuccu et al., 2015).

It has been well known that SPS successfully sintered HA with higher density in a significantly shorter sintering time and lower sintering temperature compared to CPS. In spite of these advantages, sophisticated and expensive equipment is required for SPS which cast a shadow on the mass production of this method (Mazaheri et al., 2009).

3.1.4 Hot Pressing Sintering (HPS)

Hot pressing is one of the pressure assisted sintering method that can enhance the densification of HA while limiting grain growth. Veljović et al. (2008) made comparison study between HPS and CPS of HA. Dense HA ceramics with fine grain size (ranging from 50 nm to 380 nm) were produced by hot pressing HA green compacts at 20 MPa and temperature of 950°C and 1000°C for 2 hours.
On the other hand, CPS of HA at 1000°C, 1050°C, and 1100°C resulted in compacts having porous microstructure. Moreover, the fracture toughness and microhardness of HA attained through CPS was only 0.28 MPam$^{1/2}$ and 2.39 GPa, respectively. For the HPS-HA, both fracture toughness and microhardness were greatly higher, marked at 1.52 MPam$^{1/2}$ and 4.30 GPa. The authors also found that HPS did not affect the phase stability of HA as no decomposition was observed.

This result was in agreement with the study carried out by Rapacz-Kmita et al. (2005) who noticed that no decomposition into secondary phases occurred for HA samples sintered through neither HPS nor CPS. Nonetheless, dehydroxylation was observed from CPS-HA sintered at 1300°C where OH$^-$ groups in the HA structure, correspond to the band at 635 cm$^{-1}$ is missing as shown in Figure 3.7 (b) as compared to the hot pressed HA (Figure 3.7 (a))
3.1.5 Two Steps Sintering (TSS)

Another novel method to control the grain growth of HA especially at the final stage of sintering is called two steps sintering (TSS) which was first proposed by Chen and Wang (2000). This is a modified pressureless sintering technique which suppresses the grain boundary migration that responsible for grain growth while keeping grain boundary diffusion for densification. This method modifies sintering regimes as followed: high temperature ($T_1$) sintering that could sinter the sample to a critical relative density of $\sim$70% and followed by rapid cooling at lower temperature ($T_2$) until the densification is completed. This method was also applied by Mazaheri et al. (2009) to control the exaggerated grain growth of HA nanopowder compacts. In order to achieve dense HA specimen, CPS requires sintering temperature higher than 1100°C while TSS requires only 900°C for $T_1$ and 800°C for $T_2$ to obtain full dense HA samples (>98%). The densification, grain growth and mechanical properties of CPS-HA and TSS-HA are compared and tabulated in Table 3.2. The high fracture toughness of TSS-

![Figure 3.7: Comparison between FTIR spectra of (a) hot pressed and (b) pressureless sintered HA samples at various sintering temperatures (Rapacz-Kmita et al., 2005).](image)
HA was due to the effectiveness of TSS in suppressing the grain growth compared to CPS as shown in Table 3.2. Regarding the reduction of the grain size from 1.7 µm (CPS) to 190 nm (TSS), the fracture toughness increased from 0.98 ± 0.12 MPam$^{1/2}$ to 1.92 ± 0.20 MPam$^{1/2}$ which is almost 95% of increment.

| Table 3.2: Mechanical properties of HA sintered via CPS and TSS (Mazaheri et al., 2009). |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                    | CPS            | TSS            |
| Fractional Density (%)             | 98.3           | 98.8           |
| Grain Size (µm)                    | 1.7            | 0.19           |
| Hardness (GPa)                     | 7.06 ± 0.27    | 7.8 ± 0.41     |
| Fracture Toughness (MPam$^{1/2}$) | 0.98 ± 0.12    | 1.92 ± 0.20    |

The considerable grain size reduction can also be observed in the SEM micrograph of the TSS-HA in Figure 3.8 (a) while a coarse grained microstructure was obtained by the CPS-HA as shown in Figure 3.8 (b).

![SEM micrographs of HA compacts sintered under (a) CPS at 1100°C and (b) TSS at $T_1 = 900°C$ and $T_2 = 800°C$ (Mazaheri et al., 2009).](image)

3.2 Sintering Temperature

Sintering temperature is reportedly able to develop densification by providing activation energy for consolidation of materials (Lu, 2008). Green bodies need to be sintered up to a certain temperature to initiate the densification process. Nonetheless,
when surplus activation (due to elevated sintering temperature) supplied to material, severe grain enlargement or decomposition of material began (Muralithran & Ramesh, 2000).

Petrakova et al. (2013) investigated the sinterability of HA at temperature range from 900°C to 1400°C. It was observed that the porosity of the samples decreased with increasing temperature but to the detriment of grain growth as perceived in Figure 3.9. However, the relative density and microhardness increased proportionally to the sintering temperature as shown in Figure 3.10. The authors hence concluded that the strength of HA was dependent on the porosity and density of the samples rather than the grain size.

![Figure 3.9: Temperature dependence of (a) open porosity and (b) average grain size of hydroxyapatite (Petrakova et al., 2013).](image)

Figure 3.9: Temperature dependence of (a) open porosity and (b) average grain size of hydroxyapatite (Petrakova et al., 2013).
However, Muralithran and Ramesh (2000) had different findings in terms of the relationship between the hardness, density and grain size. The author reported that below ~ 2µm, the hardness trend correlated well with the change in relative density i.e. hardness increased steadily with relative density (Figure 3.11). However, above 2 µm, the variation in hardness seems not to be in agreement with the density curve as the relative density was found to remain constant at ~98% while the hardness gradually decreased with increasing grain size. Hence, it is hypothesised that below some critical grain size, the hardness is governed by density and grain size is the controlling parameter when the grain size is above the critical grain size.
The author concluded that the optimum sintering temperature was at 1250°C; having relative density of > 99% and microhardness of ~ 6 GPa. SEM examination of HA samples sintered below 1200°C showed the presence of large pores which explained the low bulk density of these samples at low temperature. Besides, the author found that high sintering temperature can disrupt the phase stability of HA as decomposition of HA into TCP was detected at 1400°C. Similarly, Zhou et al. (1993) reported that sintering HA at elevated temperature has the tendency to results in the decomposition of HA phase. They reported that the dehydroxylation of HA starts at sintering temperature between 1200°C to 1400°C as OH⁻ were depleted gradually. The thermal dehydroxylation and decomposition of HA results correlated well with the findings reported by Ramesh et al. (2016) as shown in Figure 3.12 where α-TCP and TTCP was detected at 1300°C.

Figure 3.11: Variation of Vickers hardness and relative density as a function of average grain size (Muralithran & Ramesh, 2000).
Figure 3.12: XRD patterns of HA derived from eggshells sintered at temperatures ranging from 1050°C to 1350°C (Ramesh et al., 2016).

Besides densification and hardness, sintering temperature has great influence on the toughness of HA. Kamalanathan et al. (2014) found that sintering temperature has effects on the fracture toughness of HA as shown in Figure 3.13.
The authors noted a trend where the fracture toughness increased with sintering temperature and peaked at 1.14 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1000°C and then decreased with further increase in temperature as shown in Figure 3.13. At 800°C and 900°C, the fracture toughness values were low at 0.38 MPam$^{1/2}$ and 0.56 MPam$^{1/2}$ respectively. This low value at such low temperatures could be attributed to the weak grain boundaries of the HA matrix. The author inferred that the variation of the fracture toughness with sintering temperature was due to the grain size as toughness increased with an increase in grain size as temperature rises. Small grain size reduces the inherent flaw size of the sintered microstructure which then improves the mechanical property of HA (Wang & Shaw, 2009). In a view of the above study, it can be concluded that further rising in the sintering temperature gave adverse effects on the mechanical properties of HA by the virtue of serious grain growth.
3.3 Sintering Time

The nominal sintering time chosen is critical to ensure favourable densification of ceramic body is achieved. Tolouei et al. (2011) carried out sintering of HA using dwell times of 1 minute and 120 minutes. They found that at lower sintering temperature like 1000°C, long holding time (120 minutes) produced HA with higher density than short holding time (1 minutes). However, at sintering temperature above 1000°C, both 120 minutes and 1 minute holding time produced HA samples with almost equivalent densities. Besides, the fracture toughness of HA sintered with short holding time was higher than those sintered at long holding time. This is because shorter holding time reduced the jeopardy of extreme grain growth which tends to deteriorate the toughness of HA. The use of short holding time is contradict to experiment conducted by Liu et al. (1997) who stated that the HA samples could attained relative density of more than 98% at 1200°C for 2 hours. The need for 2 hours of holding time is supported by Veljović et al. (2009) as they claimed that samples sintered for 45 minutes exhibited porous microstructure (Figure 3.14 (a)). By prolonging the dwell time to 2 hours, porosity decreased as shown in Figure 3.14 (b). However, HA samples showed sign of fracture when sintering time prolonged to 8 hours.

![Figure 3.14: SEM micrograph of sample sintered at 1050°C for (a) 45 minutes and (b) 2 hours (Veljović et al., 2014).](image-url)
3.4 Sintering Ramp Rate

Sintering ramp rate is another intrinsic part of sintering that could affect the microstructural condition of the sintered HA as heat transferred to ceramics body due to thermal gradient (Chu et al., 1991). Some researchers reported that higher ramp rate have positive effects in retaining relatively fine microstructure at high sintered densities (Brook, 1982; Johnson, 1984). Controversial discussion performed by Ramesh et al. (2007c) and Prokopiev & Sevostianov (2006). These authors recommended that the heating rate mustn’t be too high above as excessive heating rate leads to thermal stress. This thermal residual stress will propagate microcracks and slowly to macro-cracks in sintered bodies (Pramanik et al., 2007). This is in agreement with the study carried out by Samuel et al. (2012) who reported that HA decomposition in their work is due to the thermal stresses generated by accelerated ramp rates. They found that at high temperature of 1300°C, HA sample sintered with a low ramp rate (2°C/min) maintain pure HA phase as shown in Figure 3.15 (a) while HA decomposition to β-TCP was confirmed in the HA sample sintered with ramp rate of 5°C/min as presented in Figure 3.15 (b). A further increase in ramp rate (10°C/min) resulted in the transition of β-TCP into α-TCP as shown in Figure 3.15 (c). Gibson et al. (2001) supported this finding by highlighting the decomposition of HA was associated with partial dehydroxylation due to the rapid heating rate, resulting in partial decomposition of the HA to TCP.
3.5 Sintering Additives

As discussed in the previous sections, the sinterability of HA can be enhanced by unconventional sintering methods. Besides these, adding sintering additives (also known as dopants) was reported to be cost effective and have beneficial effects on the sinterability of HA (Suchanek et al., 1997; Oktar et al., 2007; Ramesh et al., 2007b). The sintering additives should significantly enhance the densification without decomposition of HA, prohibit abnormal grain growth of HA grains and hence improve the toughness of HA ceramics.
The decomposition of HA into secondary phase such as CaO is unfavourable as it would affect the biological performance of HA. Hence, various studies have been carried out to study the effects of different sintering additives on the phase stability of HA especially upon sintering. Suchanek et al. (1997) have doped HA with the 5 wt% of the selected sintering additives such as K-, Na-, Li-, Mg-, Ca-, Al-, Si- Li- oxides, K-chlorides and silicon. All the doped HA samples were subsequently sintered at 1000°C – 1100°C. Exaggerated grain growth was not observed in the samples studied. The addition of K$_2$CO$_3$, Na$_2$CO$_3$, KF and all sodium phosphates was found to improve the sinterability of HA. The authors further revealed that other than the undoped HA, KCL and β-NaCaPO$_4$, all other additives investigated caused either decomposition of HA into β-TCP or formation of CaO throughout the sintering regime employed. These secondary phases should be avoided as it increases the biodegradability of the HA ceramics. The authors concluded that β-NaCaPO$_4$ would be an effective sintering agent as it significantly enhances densification without affecting the HA phase stability.

It was found that the amount of the dopant addition has effects on the phase stability of HA. Tolouei et al. (2011) noticed that the doping HA by nano-silica of 0.8 wt% or higher resulted in the formation of secondary phases such as β-TCP when sintered at 1250°C and the percentage of β-TCP formation increased when the amount of nano-silica increased. The decomposition of HA into β-TCP was found to hinder the densification and mechanical properties of HA as the density and hardness achieved for doped-HA was greatly lower than the pure HA. This is attributed to the differential shrinkage between HA and the secondary phases formed at the sintering temperature (Rao & Kannan; 1999). The findings correlate well with the findings carried out by Xu and Khor (2007) who reported that the apatite structure became less stable and a secondary phases of β-TCP was detected in all the samples doped with silica. Similarly, Kutty and Singh (2001) found that the HA phase decreases with increasing Y-TZP
content in the system regardless of sintering temperature employed. The presence of α-TCP, β-TCP and CaO peaks were detected, indicating that HA phase was disrupted by the addition of Y-TZP.

The addition of dopants not only affects the thermal stability of HA but it influences the mechanical properties of the ceramic. The influences of additives on the microstructure and sinterability of HA using 0.05 wt% to 1 wt% manganese oxide (MnO₂) had been studied by Ramesh et al. (2007b). According to Ramesh et al. (2007b), the doping of 0.05 wt% MnO₂ was proven to obtain a hardness of 7.58 GPa and fracture toughness of 1.65 MPam¹/² as compared to 5.72 GPa and 1.22 MPam¹/² for undoped HA. It was found that the hardness of HA was closely related to the grain size. The hardness of the HA increase with grain size and reached a maximum at a certain grain size limit before decreasing as shown in Table 3.3. It was seen that 0.05 wt% doped HA sample had the smallest grain size and hence highest hardness and fracture toughness. Besides, the authors found that the addition of 1 wt% MnO₂ was found to be detrimental to hardness of the sample as the hardness marked the lowest value at 5.32 GPa.

Table 3.3: Grain size at which maximum hardness were measured for undoped and MnO₂-doped HA (Ramesh et al., 2007b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MnO₂ Content (wt%)</th>
<th>Grain Size (µm)</th>
<th>Maximum Vickers Hardness (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (undoped)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, Oktar et al. (2007) did a study on the sinterability of HA when doped with a variety of dopants. In this experiment, with the objective of improving the sinterability and mechanical properties of HA, it was doped with 5 wt% and 10 wt% of
SiO$_2$, MgO, Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZrO$_2$. The presences of these oxides in HA are encouraging as they can act as crack arresters which will directly reduce the brittleness of HA. From their work, doping HA with 5 wt% MgO gave the best sintering result because it possessed denser HA compared to other dopants while the addition of SiO$_2$ caused a collapse in the hardness and density of HA. The additions of Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZrO$_2$ did not exhibit significant improvement in mechanical strength of HA.

The beneficial effects of the addition of MgO was supported by Tan et al. (2013). As presented in Figure 3.16 (a), doping of pure HA with different amount of MgO noticeably enhanced the fracture toughness with the highest value recorded at 1.48 MPam$^{1/2}$ for 1.0 wt% MgO-doped HA as compared to 1.08 ± 0.05 MPam$^{1/2}$ measured for the undoped HA when sintered at 1150°C. This value is encouraging as other researchers who doped HA with different additives such as zirconia (Kim et al., 2003), alumina (Champion et al., 1996) and titania (Manjubala and Kumar, 2000) obtained fracture toughness ranging between 1.0-1.4 MPam$^{1/2}$. Moreover, there are reports indicating that decomposition would occur when HA is doped with these dopants. However, in their study, the phase stability of HA was not disrupted by the addition of MgO as pure HA phase remained for all the doped and undoped samples throughout the sintering regime. Furthermore, hardness and relative density have greatly increased in HA with the reinforcement of MgO in the HA matrix. With the addition of MgO, the hardness of HA maintained in a high range of ~6 GPa to 7.52 GPa even at considerably low sintering temperatures as shown in Figure 3.16 (b).
Figure 3.16: Fracture toughness and Vickers hardness of MgO-doped and undoped HA (Tan et al., 2013).

3.5.1 Zinc Oxide as Sintering Additives

In short, it is clear that the mechanical properties of HA depend on the type and the amount of sintering additives. It should be aware that the dopants added into HA matrix should enhance the sinterability of HA without compromising the biocompatibility of HA to ensure the reliability of HA as an effective implant material. Therefore, it is
important to choose a suitable dopant with adequate quantity of addition to ensure the stability of the dopant within the HA matrix.

Zinc oxide (ZnO) was found to be a potential sintering additive to be doped into HA matrix as zinc is an essential trace element in human bone. It presents in a small amount in the enamel of human teeth and bone (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006). Moreover, zinc promotes bone growth (Yamaguchi et al., 1987), inhibits bone resorption (Moonga & Dempster, 1995) and offers antimicrobial resistance (Hernández-Sierra et al., 2008) which is indispensible for bone implant materials. In the study carried out by Yu et al. (2014), HAP/ZnO biocomposite has significant antibacterial efficacy against various microorganisms, indicate enormous potential for its use in anti-inflammatory application as maxillofacial bone repair biomaterial. Additionally, zinc oxide has been doped into beta-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) to develop zinc-releasing biomaterials and it has been proved to promote bone formation (Ito et al., 2000). The positive effect of ZnO in biological properties of calcium phosphate is supported by Kawamura et al. (2000) as zinc promotes excellent bioactivity than the undoped calcium phosphate. Stimulated bone formation was observed around ZnO containing calcium phosphate ceramics implanted in rabbit femora. The bioactive properties of ZnO-doped HA have also been extensively studied (Ishikawa et al., 2002; Jallot et al., 2005). Although small addition of ZnO is advantageous to the host material, but a high zinc concentration can have serious toxic side effects on human cells (Bettger & O’dell, 1993). In addition, Ito et al. (2000) have also reported that the addition of ZnO higher than 1.2 wt% in TCP could release zinc and subsequently cause cytotoxicity effects in human body. This is in agreement with the cell culture study by Bandyopadhyaya et al. (2007) where the cell material interaction shows that ZnO addition on calcium phosphate ceramic was non-toxic at the initial stage. However, as the ZnO content increased, the cell spreading and cell-cell interactions in both TCP and HA decreased. It can be concluded that good cell
attachment is up to 1.5 wt% ZnO doping and addition of ZnO more than 1.5 wt% limited the cell spreading and growth on the HA surface. Therefore, the ZnO content in the doped ceramic has to be less than 1.2 – 1.5 wt%.

To be a reliable human implant, the ZnO-doped HA ceramics should have a harmonious balance between biocompatibility and mechanical properties. Hence, the ZnO addition should also enhance the mechanical properties of HA ceramics. Bandyopadhyay et al. (2007) have proved that the addition of ZnO improved the sintered density and microhardness of HA as shown in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: Properties of HA containing varying amounts of ZnO (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HA (wt %)</th>
<th>ZnO (wt %)</th>
<th>Linear Shrinkage (%)</th>
<th>Sintered Relative Density (%)</th>
<th>Microhardness (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>4797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further to that, interconnected porous β-TCP scaffolds improved by ZnO were successfully prepared by Feng et al. (2014). It was found that the addition of ZnO improved the densification of the strut of β-TCP scaffolds. Moreover, the addition of ZnO could improve the mechanical properties such as fracture toughness, stiffness and hardness of the scaffolds as presented in Figure 3.17. In vitro cell tests showed that the scaffold with ZnO doping exhibited a good cellular viability as well as cellular proliferation. The formation of a new bone like apatite layer indicated that the scaffold demonstrated better bioactivity.
Researchers have also done investigation on the effects of ZnO addition on the sinterability of other ceramics such as ceria based material, SnO₂ based ceramic and Tin-doped indium oxide. With the addition of ZnO, the relative density, grain size and microstructure of these host materials have improved without affecting the phase stability (Liu et al., 2014; He et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014). Hence, ZnO is a potential sintering additives to improve the mechanical properties of HA without compromising the phase stability and biological performance of HA.

In summary, this chapter has provided a brief overview of the various parameters that governs the sinterability of hydroxyapatite ceramics such as powder consolidation technique, sintering temperature, sintering time and heating rates and sintering additives. Therefore, particular attention has to be paid to optimize these parameters in the quest to improve the sinterability of the hydroxyapatite powders.
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

4.1 Synthesis of HA Powder via Wet Chemical Method

The hydroxyapatite (HA) powder used in the present work was prepared according to a novel wet chemical method comprising precipitation from aqueous medium via a titration process by reacting calcium ion with phosphate ion based on a molar ratio of Ca/P = 1.67 and maintained at a pH of about 10-12 by the addition of small amounts of ammonium (NH₃) solution (25% concentration) (Ramesh, 2004). The starting precursors used were commercially available calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)₂ (98% purity, RNM) and orthophosphoric acid H₃PO₄ (85% purity, Merck) following the chemical reaction:

\[ 10\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 6\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10} (\text{PO}_4)_{6} (\text{OH})_2 + 18 \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  (4.1)

In general, the synthesis process involved the preparation of H₃PO₄ and Ca(OH)₂ solution. To prepare the H₃PO₄ solution, the required amount of H₃PO₄ was diluted in distilled water, stirred for 10 minutes and subsequently contained in a titration funnel. The pH of the prepared acid solution was about 1. A similar procedure was employed to prepare the Ca(OH)₂ solution under stirring condition. The pH of the prepared Ca(OH)₂ solution was about 12. The beaker containing the Ca(OH)₂ solution was covered with a cling film to minimize contamination as well as any reaction between carbon dioxide in air with the mixture.

The prepared H₃PO₄ solution was then added dropwise into the stirring suspension of Ca(OH)₂ in distilled water. The titration process normally takes about 3 hours. Throughout the titration process, the pH of the mixture was monitored and kept above 10.5 by the addition of NH₃ solution. Stirring process was continued after the titration process for another 6 hours and the precipitate was allowed to settle down overnight before filtration. For the filtration process, the aged HA solution was poured into the
prepared funnel connected to the pump and layered with 2 filter papers. The filtered precipitate (HA slurry) was rinsed three times using distilled water and placed in ceramic bowls to be dried. In this experiment, three different drying methods were applied, namely conventional oven drying, microwave drying and freeze drying.

### 4.1.1 HA Powder Prepared via Oven Drying

The rinsed precipitate (HA slurry) was placed in a ceramic bowl and dried in an oven (Memmert UF30, Germany) at about 60°C. The slurry was weighed at hourly intervals throughout the drying process until the water has been completely removed (constant weight was obtained). It was found that 16 hours of oven drying period is sufficient to remove all the water as there was no change in the slurry’s weight after 16 hours of drying. The dried HA slurry was crushed using mortar and pestle and then hand sieved (212 µm) to obtain HA powder, hereafter named OHA. The flow sheet of this method is shown in Figure 4.1.

![Figure 4.1: OHA wet chemical method flow sheet.](image)
4.1.2 HA Powder Prepared via Microwave Drying

In terms of microwave drying, the rinsed HA precipitate was placed in a ceramic bowl and then subjected to microwave irradiation in a household microwave oven (Sharp, R-898M, 900 W power). The specifications of the microwave oven are shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Specifications of Sharp R-898M Microwave Oven.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specifications</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microwave Power Consumption</td>
<td>1400 watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heater Power Consumption</td>
<td>1700 watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave Output</td>
<td>900 watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heater Output</td>
<td>1600 watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Dimension</td>
<td>520 mm (W) x 309 mm (H) x 486 mm (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity Dimension</td>
<td>343 mm (W) x 209 mm (H) x 357 mm (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave Frequency</td>
<td>2450 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The precipitate was dried in the microwave oven at 100% power (900 watt) to remove the water content completely. The temperature and rate of heating were not controlled as those parameters were fixed for this microwave oven. Under microwave drying, the precipitate was removed periodically from the microwave oven for every 1 minute. This procedure was repeated to reach the case of no change in precipitate weight. The time taken for the samples to reach constant weight was 15 minutes. The microwave dried HA cake was crushed and sieved to obtain HA powder, hereafter named MD-HA. The flow sheet of this method is shown in Figure 4.2.
4.1.3 HA Powder Prepared via Freeze Drying

As for freeze drying, the rinsed HA precipitate was transferred into a specific freeze dry container. The amount of precipitate was about 1/3 of the container’s capacity to ensure the high evaporation efficiency throughout the freeze drying process. The freeze dry container was immediately transferred into a freezer (Telstar, Igloo, Netherlands); deep freeze at $-80^\circ$C for 24 hours to solidify the water and induce solid liquid phase separation. On the other hand, the freeze dryer (FDU-1200, Japan) was pre-set at $-45^\circ$C and was evacuated by means of vacuum pump (60L/min, 0.049 mBar). After 24 hours, the frozen sample was removed from freezer and quickly taken to the freeze dryer. By maintaining the temperature of the HA sample below $-45^\circ$C and the vacuum below 0.049 mBar, water was removed by sublimation in the freeze dryer. The weight of the HA precipitate was not recorded periodically as removing the sample from the freeze dryer could affect the temperature and pressure of the system. After 36 hours of freeze
drying, the HA sample was completely dried as no frost was observed on the surface of the freeze dry container. Crushing of HA is not required as a fluffy HA powder was obtained. The HA powder was sieved to obtain HA powder, hereafter named FD-HA. The flow sheet of this method is shown in Figure 4.3.

![Flow sheet of HA wet chemical method with freeze drying](image)

**Figure 4.3**: HA wet chemical method with freeze drying flow sheet.

### 4.2 ZnO-doped HA Powder Preparation

The starting zinc oxide (ZnO) powder used in the present research was of 99% purity (Systerm). The powder compositions, i.e. 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA were prepared through a process involving ultrasonic and ball milling.

In a typical procedure, both powders (MD-HA powder and ZnO powder) were measured according to the respective ratio (composition) and put into a beaker
containing approximately 200 ml of ethanol. The solution underwent ultrasonic bath at 28–34 kHz for 22 minutes to improve dispersion of ZnO in the MD-HA powder. Upon the completion of the ultrasonic bath, the mixture was subsequently poured into a high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottle (500 ml) followed by 3 mm diameter zirconia balls as the milling media. The mixture was ball-milled for 1 hour at rotating speed of 350 rpm.

During the ball milling process, the HDPE bottles were being shaked for every 15 minutes to ensure that the mixture mixed well. Immediately after the milling process, the slurry was separated from the milling media through a sieve and was dried overnight in oven at 60°C. The dried filtered cake was then crushed and sieved to obtain ready-to-press ZnO-doped HA powders. Throughout the powder preparation process, care was exercised to avoid contamination of the slurry by ‘foreign’ particles. The milling procedures were kept the same for all level of dopant to ensure a fair comparison of performance. A flow chart showing the powders prepared in the present work is shown in Figure 4.4.

Figure 4.4: A flow chart showing the powders prepared in the present work.
4.3 Green Samples Preparation

The as prepared HA powders (OD-HA, MD-HA and FD-HA) as well as the ZnO-doped HA powder were uniaxial compacted at about 1.3 MPa to 2.5 MPa into discs (20 mm dia. 5 mm thickness) and into rectangular bars (32 x 13 x 6) mm using a hardened steel mould and die set. The cleanliness of the die was essential, so as not to contaminate the samples. The die used in conjunction with the sample preparation was cleaned with an oil-based liquid such as WD-40, in order to prevent powder lamination.

It is well established that the density variation in the green product will cause differential shrinkage and crack initiation upon sintering (Bortzmeyer, 1995; Satapathy, 1997). Thus, in order to avoid this, the green compacts were subsequently cold isostatically pressed (CIP) at a pressure of 200 MPa (Riken, Seiki, Japan) and hold at this pressure for about 1 minute. In CIP, pressure was applied on the as-pressed samples in all directions with the aid of hydraulic oil inside the cold isostatic pressing machine. Therefore, it produces more uniform density green samples. The green bodies with uniform density enable more uniform shrinkage during the sintering process and this prevents the samples from warping and cracking.

4.4 Sintering

4.4.1 Conventional Sintering

In the present research, sintering was achieved by by conventional pressureless sintering where the green compacts were sintered in air, using a standard rapid heating furnace (LT Furnace, Malaysia) at different temperatures; 1050°C–1350°C (50°C interval) for the OD-HA, MD-HA and FD-HA powder while temperature range of 1100°C–1300°C for ZnO-doped HA powder. A furnace ramp rate of 2°C/min (heating and cooling) and soaking time of 2 hours was used for each firing. In this method, the
duration of the sintering process ranges from between 15 to 24 hours depending on the sintering temperature. The sintering profile is shown in Figure 4.5.

![Sintering profile of conventional sintering](image)

**Figure 4.5: Sintering profile of conventional sintering.**

### 4.4.2 Microwave Sintering

All the pressed HA pellets were microwave sintered (MS) in a microwave furnace (Delta MF, China) with an alumina fibre insulation box. A constant power output of 2000 watt was employed to sinter the HA samples at temperature of 950°C–1250°C. Figure 4.6 plots the sintering profile of the microwave sintered HA. A constant microwave power of 2000 watt at 2.45 GHz was maintained throughout the sintering process. The sintering rate for the first 250°C was not controlled as this parameter was fixed for this microwave furnace; depending on the absorbance of the material. From 250°C to 500°C, the sintering rate was set as 15°C/min to avoid thermal shock. Then, the samples were sintered at 30°C/min to the desired temperatures (950°C–1200°C) with a holding time of 15 minutes to avoid excessive grain growth. Finally, the furnace was switched off and natural cooling of the sample was allowed. In this method, the duration of the sintering process ranges from between 5 to 6 hours depending on the sintering temperature.
4.4.2.1 Samples Arrangement

A variety of sample arrangements was tried, and it was found that disc shaped samples arranged as shown in Figure 4.7 gave the optimum results.

To initiate the microwave heating and minimize the thermal gradients, both silicon carbide (SiC) cylindrical and irregular shaped susceptor were used as auxiliary heating elements (hybrid heating). They were arranged as shown in Figure 4.7, where cylindrical SiC was placed right in front of the source of magnetron and the irregular shaped SiC was scattered around the insulation box. The insulation box is made from alumina fiber (not microwave susceptible) and was required to retain heat. At the same time, the samples were placed on top of pre-sintered zirconia plate. It was necessary to include zirconsia plate in the arrangement in order to have sufficient load to achieve good coupling of the electromagnetic energy. The loss factor of the zirconia plate became sufficiently large to permit heating by microwave absorption, permitting more efficient microwave heating of the sample.

Figure 4.6: Sintering profile of microwave sintering.
Figure 4.7: Samples arrangement in the microwave furnace: (a) plan view; (b) side view.

4.5 Grinding and Polishing

All the conventional and microwave sintered HA disc samples were firstly ground and then polished on one face prior to density measurement, phase analysis, Vickers hardness testing, fracture toughness evaluation and SEM investigation. Surface grinding and polishing was done manually using an Imtech Grinder-Polisher. The samples were
ground on SiC paper (600 grit-1200 grit) before being diamond polished to a 3 µm finish and subsequently to a 1 µm finish so as to obtain an optical reflective surface.

4.6 Characterization

The characterization process includes the specific surface area, crystallite size, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) for HA powders; Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR), X-Ray diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) analysis for both HA powders and HA sintered samples followed by grain size evaluation (SEM and Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM)), bulk density measurement, Vickers hardness and fracture toughness examination for all the HA sintered samples.

4.6.1 Specific Surface Area and Crystallite Size

The specific surface area of the powder was measured by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Nitrogen gas adsorption analysis was performed on a physisorption analyser (ASAP 2020, Micromeritics, USA). Samples were outgassed at 150°C for 30 minutes under vacuum.

The average crystallite size \(d\) can be estimated by measuring the broadening of a particular peak in a XRD diffraction pattern associated with a particular planar reflection from within the crystal unit cell based on Scherrer’s formula (Cullity and Stock, 2001):

\[
d = \frac{0.9\lambda}{B \cos \theta}
\]

(4.2)

where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the X-ray which is 1.54056 Å for CuK\(\alpha\) radiation, \(\theta\) is the diffraction angle at \(2\theta = \sim 31.7^\circ\) and \(\sim 25.7^\circ\) and \(B\) (in radians) is the measured full width at the half maximum (FWHM) of a diffracting reflection.
4.6.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Analysis

The morphology of the as synthesized oven dried (OD-HA), microwave dried (MD-HA) and freeze dried (FD-HA) HA powder was analysed using TEM (JEOL, JEM-2100F, Japan) operated at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. The particle size of the powder was measured from TEM micrographs. Prior to TEM observation, the as synthesized HA powder was dispersed in 1 – 2 ml of spectroscopic grade ethanol solution followed by ultrasonication for 1 hour to break up agglomerates. Approximately 50 µl of the OD-HA and MD-HA suspensions produced were deposited on a 200 mesh copper grid which was subsequently dried to remove the ethanol solvent. The deposited HA powder on the 200 mesh copper grid was then used in the TEM analysis.

4.6.3 Fourier Transformation Infrared (FTIR)

A Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR – Brukers IFS-66-VS, Germany) with a reflectance mode was used for qualitative analysis of the molecular radicals. Prior to testing, 40 mg of KBr is mixed with 0.4 mg of the tested powder. Subsequently, the powder is pressed into pellet of 13 mm in diameter. Advanced preparation is not required for the sintered samples. The possible structural variation and reactions in those samples were examined. The infrared spectrum with a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) and a scan number of 32 was adopted with a scan range 400 – 4000 cm\(^{-1}\).

4.6.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX)

The morphology, the Ca/P ratio and the distribution of elemental within the HA powder and sintered samples were examined on a phenom Pro-X microscope equipped with an energy dispersive X-Ray (Pro Suite & Elemental Analysis, Phenom).
Measurements were made in terms of atomic %, weight % and elemental spectra acquisition.

4.6.5 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction (XRD) provides information that relates to the crystal lattice of the material and can characterise the crystalline phases present. In the present work, the phases present in the powders as well as the sintered samples were determined at room temperature using X-Ray diffraction (Empyrean, PANalytical, Netherlands) operated at 35 kV and 15 mA with Cu-Kα as the radiation source. The X-ray scan speed and step scan were 0.5°/min. and 0.02°, respectively. The peaks obtained were compared to standard reference JCPDS-ICCD (Joint Committee of Powder Diffraction Standard – International Center for Diffraction Data) files for HA (PDF No. 74-566 for Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂, α-TCP (PDF No. 9-348), β-TCP (PDF No. 9-169), TTCP (PDF No. 25-1137) and CaO (PDF No. 37-1497). The files are attached in Appendix C.

4.6.6 Microstructural Examination

The microstructural evolution of the HA under various sintering temperature was examined by using the SEM (Pro-X, Phenom) and FE-SEM (JEOL, JSM7600F, Japan) both at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV and 15 kV. The sintered samples were firstly polished to a mirror like surface finished and then thermally etched to delineate the grain boundaries. The etching temperature employed was 50°C below the sintering temperature of the sample at a heating and cooling rate of 10°C/min, with a holding time of 30 minutes prior to cooling. In order to remove any contamination, the surface to be examined was cleaned in acetone and subsequently stuck on to an aluminium stub. The samples for SEM and FE-SEM examination were coated with platinum, which provides a conducting layer, to prevent charging from occurring in the microscope.
4.6.7 Grain Size Measurement

The grain size of sintered HA was determined on thermally etched specimens from scanning electron micrographs using the line intercept method. This technique requires measurements taken from polished sections. In a typical analysis, a known test line is drawn on a A4 size SEM micrograph of the selected polished section and the number of intercept between the test line and grain boundaries are counted. The test line should cover at least 50 grains and several lines are drawn and measured before average value is taken.

The average grain size is then calculated according to the equation proposed by Mendelson (1969):

\[ \bar{D} = 1.56\bar{L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

where \( \bar{D} \) is the average grain size and \( \bar{L} \) is the measured average interception length over a large number of grains which can be represented by:

\[ \bar{L} = \frac{C}{MN} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

where \( C, M \) and \( N \) are the total length of the test line, the magnification of the SEM micrograph and the number of intercepts respectively.

The technique used to count the number of intersections was according to an international standard test method for intercept counting (ASTM E112-96, 2004). Essentially, the end points of a test line are not intersections and not counted unless the end appeared to exactly touch a grain boundary, when a ‘0.5’ intersection is scored. A tangential intersection with a grain boundary is scored as a ‘1’ intersection while for
intersection coinciding with the junction of 3 grains is scored as a ‘1.5’ intersection as shown in Figure 4.8.

![SEM micrograph](image)

**Figure 4.8:** Diagram showing the score given for the type of intersections.

### 4.6.8 Bulk Density Measurement

The bulk densities of dense compacts (above 90% of theoretical density) were determined by the water immersion technique based on the Archimedes principle using a standard Mettler Toledo Balance AG204 Densi-meter. In the present research, distilled water was used as the immersion medium. The procedure to measure the bulk density can be summarized as follows:

(a) The dry weight of the sample is first measured.

(b) The sample is placed on a dish immersed in the distilled water after the electronic balance is zeroed. The weight of the sample in water is subsequently recorded. Extra care has to be taken, as any minor disturbance will incur vibration causing the readings to fluctuate.

The bulk density was calculated using equation (4.5) as followed:
\[ \rho = \frac{W_a}{W_a - W_w} \rho_w \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Where \( \rho \) is the bulk density of sample (g/cm\(^3\)), \( W_a \) is the weight of the sample in air (g), \( W_w \) is the weight of the sample in water (g) and \( \rho_w \) is the density of distilled water. The temperature effect on the density of distilled water was taken into consideration using the table provided in Appendix B. The relative density was calculated by taking the theoretical density of HA as 3.156 g/cm\(^3\). However, for low-density samples (below 90\% of theoretical density) the bulk density was obtained from the measurement of geometric dimensions and sample mass. This is because the former method allows water to penetrate the pores, resulting in an overestimate value of the sample’s density.

### 4.6.9 Vickers Hardness and Fracture Toughness Evaluation

The Vickers hardness testing method was used to ascertain the hardness of the sintered HA. The indentations were made using a pyramidal diamond indenter (HMV series Shimadzu, Japan) with an applied load varying between 50 g to 200 g. In the Vickers test, the load is applied smoothly, without impact, and held in place for 10 seconds. The physical quality of the indenter and the accuracy of the applied load as defined clearly in ASTM E384-99 (1999) must be controlled to get the correct results. In general, the Vickers impression (Figure 4.9) appears to be square, and the two diagonals have almost similar lengths. After the load is removed, the impression diagonals as shown in Figure 4.9 are measured usually with a filarmicrometer built in the attached microscope on the Vickers machine, to the nearest 0.1 \( \mu \)m and the average value, \( 2a \), is obtained. The Vickers hardness \( (H_v) \) is calculated based on the surface area of the indent using equation (4.6):

\[ H_v = \frac{1.854P}{(2a)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)
Where $P$ is the applied load and $2a$ is the average diagonals. In the present work, five indentations were made for each sample and the average values were taken.

![Diagram of indentation fracture pattern](image)

**Figure 4.9**: Schematic indentation fracture pattern of an idealized Vickers median (or half-penny) crack system (Niihara et al., 1982).

Using the same indentation image from Vickers hardness tester, fracture toughness ($K_{lc}$) was determined from the equation derived by Niihara (1985):

$$K_{lc} = 0.203 \left( \frac{c}{a} \right)^{-1.5} (H_v)(a)^{0.5}$$

(4.7)

Where $H_v$ is the Vickers hardness, $c$ is the characteristics crack length ($L+a$), $L$ is the average crack length and $a$ is the half diagonal of indent.
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS (PART 1)

5.1 HA Powder Characteristic

5.1.1 XRD Analysis and Crystallite Size

The wet chemical precipitated HA powders synthesized via three different drying methods, namely freeze drying (FD-HA), microwave drying (MD-HA) and oven drying (OD-HA) were subjected to X-ray diffraction (XRD) to examine the phase purity. The resulting XRD spectra for the synthesized HA are shown in Figure 5.1.

![XRD profiles](image)

**Figure 5.1:** The XRD profiles of HA powder synthesized through wet precipitation method via three different drying methods.

All powders produced peaks correspond to the standard JCPDS card no: 74-0566 for stoichiometric HA with no significant evidence of secondary phases such as CaO, TTCO, β-TCP or α-TCP being detected. As illustrated in Figure 5.1, FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA produced nearly identical peaks with minimal difference in terms of the degree of crystallinity as MD-HA displayed slightly narrower diffraction at $2\theta = 31.9^\circ$. The results proved that microwave drying has no adverse effects on the phase purity of
HA powders as supported by Santhosh & Prabu (2012) and Chanda et al. (2009). In general, broad diffraction peaks were observed for all the HA powders indicated that HA powders produced by the wet chemical method are poorly crystallized with a large amount of amorphous phase regardless of drying methods. The broad peaks of wet chemical produced HA powders could be resulted from the slow addition rate of the acid solution that gave the nanocrystalline nature of the synthesized powders. This is in agreement with the study carried out by Santos et al. (2004), Angelescu et al. (2011) and Alobeedallah et al. (2011). Moreover, the broad diffraction peaks indicated that the HA crystal size were very small and the crystal size could be estimated from XRD data using Scherrer’s equation (Pratihar et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2008) at prominent peak of HA such as (211) and (002) reflection as shown in Table 5.1.

Table 5.5.1: Estimate crystal size of HA particles based on the Scherrer’s equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Crystal size (nm) based on XRD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2 1 1) reflection  @ 2θ = ~31.9º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-HA</td>
<td>21.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-HA</td>
<td>46.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD-HA</td>
<td>48.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results showed that all the HA powders has crystal size lie within nanometer range which is favorable for osseointegration due to large interfaces (Murugan & Ramakrishna, 2005) and HA with small crystal size exhibits higher bioactivity compared to coarse HA crystal (Mazaheri et al. 2008). From Table 5.1, FD-HA has smaller crystal size (21.83 nm) than MD-HA and OD-HA at (2 1 1) reflection while MD-HA and OD-HA recorded similar crystal size at 46.09 nm and 48.64 nm, respectively. OD-HA recorded the biggest crystal size at both (2 1 1) and (0 0 2) plane could be due to the aggregation of small particles as powder particles coalesce
during the long drying process where heat was constantly supplied to the HA slurry for 16 hours. The long drying hours allows the motion of molecules which increase the chance of molecules collision, hence, HA particle concentrated to form larger particles. This could explain the smaller crystal size of FD-HA as no heat was involved in the freeze drying process, eliminated the chance of particles to collide with each other and form large agglomerates. A similar finding was reported by Girija et al. (2012) who found that HA produced by freeze drying has smaller crystal size than that of oven dried HA powder. Besides, the OD-HA produced slightly bigger crystallite size than MD-HA is in disagreement to the study carried out by Santhosh & Prabu (2012). In their research, furnace dried HA had crystal size smaller than those produced by microwave drying. This contradict finding could be due to the shorter oven drying hour (80°C for 8 hours) compared to the current research (60°C for 16 hours), reduces the chance of particle coalescence.

5.1.2 FTIR Analysis of the Synthesized HA Powder

The phase purity of HA produced by three different drying methods was further examined by FTIR and the FTIR spectrum of the HA powders are shown in Figure 5.2. A comparison of the wave numbers for the functional groups of the HA produced by three different drying method and HA powder produced by Brundavanam et al. (2015) is tabulated in Table 5.2. From Figure 5.2, it can be deduced that all the HA powders displayed typical FTIR spectrum of pure HA powder. The characteristic bands corresponding to the \((v_3 \text{ and } v_1)\) PO_4^{3-} were clearly observed at \(~1035\text{ cm}^{-1} - 1038\text{ cm}^{-1}\) and \(962 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), respectively for all the HA powders. In addition, the characteristic bands attributed to the apatitic OH were observed at \(~3567 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) (stretching) for all the powders, proved that freeze drying and microwave drying did not alter the basic apatitic structure of HA powder.
Broad peaks assigned to chemically absorbed H$_2$O was observed at frequencies around 3341 cm$^{-1}$ and 1632 cm$^{-1}$ for FD-HA, 3360 cm$^{-1}$ and 1643 cm$^{-1}$ for MD-HA and 3345 cm$^{-1}$ and 1640 cm$^{-1}$ for OD-HA. It is worth mentioning that the chemically absorbed water peak was more obvious for MD-HA especially at 3345 cm$^{-1}$ (stretching) compared to FD-HA and OD-HA. This indicated that OD-HA and FD-HA have lower water content than the MD-HA powder. The low water content of OD-HA could be due to the long oven drying hours (16 hours) which eliminated most of the water content from OD-HA. For FD-HA, liquid was not involved in the entire freeze drying process which lead to the narrow water peak in the FTIR as indicated in Figure 5.2.

![FTIR Spectrum](image)

**Figure 5.2:** The FTIR spectrum of the synthesized HA powders: (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA.

The characteristic peak corresponding to free CO$_2$ was detected from MD-HA (~2340 cm$^{-1}$) and FD-HA (2333 cm$^{-1}$) could be attributed to the atmospheric CO$_2$
concentration (Taquet et al. 2013). Similar CO$_2$ bond was also reported by Pretto et al. (2003) and Kamalanathan et al. (2014). Besides, the band at 1415 cm$^{-1}$ (FD-HA), 1419 cm$^{-1}$ (OD-HA) and 1421 cm$^{-1}$ (MD-HA) suggesting the presence of B-type carbonate where the PO$_4^{3-}$ in HA was substituted by CO$_3^{2-}$, similar to those reported in previous studies (Fuentes et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2013). The appearance of this band is due to carbonaceous impurities in ambient air or the interaction between the trace impurities in the starting precursors with the atmospheric CO$_2$ while some authors claimed that the presence of the B-type carbonate was due to the dissolution of atmospheric CO$_2$ in the alkaline medium during the powder synthesis process (Balamurugan et al., 2006; Vaidhyanathan & Rao, 1996; Alobeedallah et al., 2011; Sooksaen et al., 2010) as alkaline solution readily absorb CO$_2$ (Osaka et al., 1991). However, the B-type carbonate did not affect the purity of HA according to the XRD spectrum in Figure 5.1 and its appearance can be eliminated by subsequent sintering (Alobeedallah et al., 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional groups/bond</th>
<th>Associated FTIR wave number (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD-HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν (OH)</td>
<td>3568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν$_3$ (PO$_4^{3-}$)</td>
<td>1038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν$_1$ (PO$_4^{3-}$)</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν$_2$ (H$_2$O absorbed)</td>
<td>1632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν$_3$ (CO$_3^{2-}$)</td>
<td>1415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν$_3$ (CO$_2$)</td>
<td>2333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O absorbed</td>
<td>3341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.3 EDX Analysis of the Synthesized HA Powder

Figure 5.3 - 5.5 show the energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum and the mass percentage of all the detected elements of the FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA powders, respectively.

Figure 5.3: The EDX spectrum and elemental composition of FD-HA.

Figure 5.4: The EDX spectrum and elemental composition of MD-HA.
According to the EDX spectrum (Figure 5.3 - 5.5), all the synthesized HA powders consist of main constituents of HA, where calcium, phosphorus and oxygen are present. From the results, it can be seen that all the HA powders, namely FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA have Ca/P ratio greater than the stoichiometric value of HA (1.667); recorded values of 1.77, 1.85 and 1.90, respectively. This is not in agreement with the findings from previous studies where Ca/P ratio of pure HA was close to 1.60 - 1.667 (Fanovich & Porto Lopez, 1998; Ramesh et al., 2012; Pattanayak et al., 2007; Lu et al., 1998). Apart from that, OD-HA recorded the highest Ca/P ratio of 1.90 compared to MD-HA and FD-HA which is in agreement with the study carried out by Santhosh et al. (2012) and Lu et al. (1998).

The high Ca/P ratio of the current study could be associated with the presence of B-type carbonate substitution as described in Figure 5.2 and Table 5.2. The substitution was believed to reduce the phosphorus content of HA, thus cause the higher value of Ca/P ratio (Boutinguiza et al., 2012). Moreover, it was reported that EDX results

Figure 5.5: The EDX spectrum and elemental composition of OD-HA.
represent the sample only at a microscopic level and hence the discrepancy between EDX data and the expected values have been frequently reported with some deviations (Kieswetter et al. 1994). Although the Ca/P ratio of all the synthesized powders are deviated from 1.667, it can be identified as HA because Ca/P ratio for HA could vary from 1.2 – 2.0 (Larsen & Widdowson, 1971). Liu et al. (2008) and Landi et al. (2000) stated that deviation from stoichiometric Ca/P ratio would affect the reactivity and phase stability of HA, however phase disruption was not observed in current study as evidence from XRD analysis (Figure 5.1).

5.1.4 FE-SEM Analysis of the Synthesized HA Powder

The FE-SEM micrographs of FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA are presented in Figure 5.6, Figure 5.7 and Figure 5.8, respectively. Generally, FD-HA (Figure 5.6) and MD-HA (Figure 5.7) consist of small to large size agglomerates made of small particles while OD-HA (Figure 5.8) consists of extremely large agglomerates. From Figure 5.6, the FD-HA powder was a loose agglomerates mixture of fine particle size. The distribution of HA particles was uniform and homogeneous. The agglomerates sizes are in the range from extremely small of 0.5 µm to a large size of 3 µm. Therefore, it can be deduced that the individual HA particle size is extremely small in nanometer range which is in agreement with the HA crystal size calculated from Scherrer’s equation (Table 5.1). As for MD-HA, FE-SEM analysis revealed that the powder consists of a mixture of small and large agglomerates in size ranging from 0.4 µm to 4 µm. The larger particle appears to be large agglomerate of loosely packed smaller particles in nano regime. Conversely, the OD-HA powder as shown in Figure 5.8, consist of large and hard agglomerates, resulting in rougher surface. The smallest agglomerate of OD-HA is about 2 µm to as large as 10 µm. The powder seemed to be more compacted compared to FD-HA and MD-HA. It is well known that wet chemical synthesized HA powder dried by the means of conventional oven drying are accompanied by severe
agglomeration (Lu et al., 1998; Hsu & Chiou, 2011; Yu et al., 2010; Zhang & Yogokawa, 2008).

**Figure 5.6:** FE-SEM micrograph of synthesized FD-HA powder.

**Figure 5.7:** FE-SEM micrograph of synthesized MD-HA powder.
Figure 5.8: FE-SEM micrograph of synthesized OD-HA powder.

The small particle size of FD-HA is due to the fact that no heat was involved in the freeze drying process and hence crystal structure does not grow as there was no supply of heat energy. Microwave drying was found to produce HA powders with small particle size. During microwave drying, the internal and surface of the HA slurry was heated simultaneously. The water molecules within the HA slurry, being specific to microwave irradiation was heated instantly and triggered the quick expansion of vapor during the drying process. This rapid expansion of vapor caused the breakage of large aggregates into several tiny lumps, hence, small particle size (Qi et al., 2006). Oven drying removed water from the HA slurry via evaporation at an elevated temperature which promotes particles in close contact with each other. As the heat was supplied to the HA slurry constantly, solid particle bridging was formed between particles and lead to the formation of hard agglomerates (Yu et al., 2005). In conclusion, the drying method has significant effects on the powder particle and agglomerates size of the synthesized HA powders. It should be noted that the individual particles of all the powders could not be recognized due to their extremely small size and thus TEM analysis has to be carried out to further examine the particle sizes of all the powders.
5.1.5 TEM Analysis of the Synthesized HA Powder

The effects of different drying route on the morphology of the synthesized HA powder was determined via transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and the micrographs are shown in Figure 5.9. FD-HA (Figure 5.9 (a)) and OD-HA (Figure 5.9 (c)) resembled needle-like structure. The needle-like morphology was said to be similar to the bio-crystal found in human hard tissue (Nejati et al., 2009; Ferraz et al., 2004; Patel et al., 2001). Nevertheless, MD-HA was found to exhibited nano-rod structure and similar HA particle shape was reported by Santhosh & Prabu (2012) who synthesized HA via microwave drying. Siddharthan et al. (2006) claimed that the difference is HA particle shape was affected by the microwave power. They found that the shapes of HA changed from needle shape to rod/platelet shape as the microwave power increased from 175 W to 600 W. The resulting nano-rod shape could be explained as the consequence of high microwave power (800 W) applied in current research. Although MD-HA displayed different particle shape from FD-HA and OD-HA, it was found that all the powders are in nanometric range as tabulated in Table 5.3. Nano-size particles are desirable as they give better resorption and biological activity which promotes the adhesion and proliferation of bone cells (Le Geros, 1991; Pham et al., 2013). Moreover, nano-sized powders produced dense and nanocrystalline sintered ceramic that possesses superb mechanical properties by having higher driving force for the densification (Wang & Shaw, 2007). On the contrary, micro-sized powders caused exaggerated grain growth during sintering due to the agglomerated and irregular morphology (Groza & Dowding, 1996; Lin et al., 2007).
Figure 5.9: TEM micrographs of synthesized HA powder: (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA.

Table 5.3: Summary of the average size of HA powder synthesized via different drying methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Particle size distribution</th>
<th>Average Particle Size (Length)</th>
<th>Average Particle Size (Width)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD-HA</td>
<td>40 – 180 nm (Length) 10 – 50 nm (Width)</td>
<td>82.0 nm</td>
<td>24.8 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-HA</td>
<td>20 – 140 nm (Length) 10 – 40 nm (Width)</td>
<td>66.7 nm</td>
<td>23.6 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD-HA</td>
<td>30 – 160 nm (Length) 10 – 30 nm (Width)</td>
<td>75.0 nm</td>
<td>21.4 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 5.3, it can be seen that the particle size of FD-HA was slightly larger compared to MD-HA and OD-HA, having average particle size of 24.8 nm in width and 82.0 nm in length. Despite bigger particle size, the crystal particles show good
dispersibility with minimal agglomeration (Figure 5.9 (a)) compared to MD-HA and OD-HA which is consistent with the FE-SEM micrograph shown in Figure 5.6. Similarly, Wang et al. (2010), Bildstein et al. (2009) and Saluja et al. (2010) also reported that HA particle produced by freeze drying method displayed bigger particle size than other drying methods. However, this contradicts to the results reported by Lu et al. (1998) as they found that the particle size of FD-HA is smaller than that of normal heat dried HA powder. The dissimilarities in results might be due to the fact that the HA slurry was froze rapidly with liquid nitrogen in their work which fast cooling prevent ice nucleation which hinder the growing of large dendritic ice crystal and hence smaller particle size was produced (Kurapova et al., 2012). Similar to the previous study (Santhosh & Prabu, 2012), microwave drying manage to produce HA particles in nanometric range. However, the average particle sizes of MD-HA in the current study, recorded at 66.7 nm (length) and 23.6 nm (width) was bigger than that reported by Siddhartahn et al. (2006) at ~32 nm (length) and ~12 nm (width). The bigger HA particle size obtained from current study could be associated with the use of higher microwave power which favored the maturation of HA crystal growth. Although OD-HA has smaller particle size than FD-HA, the agglomeration of powders are rather serious compared to FD-HA. From Figure 5.9 (c), OD-HA shows agglomerated clusters with particles in needle shape. Lin et al. (2007) stated that the agglomerations in powder are detrimental to the densification of ceramics during sintering and should be avoided.

5.1.6 Specific Surface Area of the Synthesized HA Powder

The specific surface areas (SSA) of all the synthesized HA powder were determined via BET analysis and the finding is tabulated in Table 5.4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Specific Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD-HA</td>
<td>97.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-HA</td>
<td>111.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD-HA</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FD-HA and OD-HA obtained similar SSA of 97.4 m²/g and 97.1 m²/g, respectively while MD-HA exhibited high SSA of 111.1 m²/g. Wang & Shaw (2007) claimed that high surface energy is stored in HA nano-rods as the side surface of nano-rods composed of the high energy prism planes of HA crystal. Therefore, it could be deduced that the high SSA of MD-HA compared to FD-HA and OD-HA was associated with the dissimilarities in HA particle shapes as shown in Figure 5.9 where MD-HA demonstrated nano-rod structure.

Nonetheless, the SSA of HA powders obtained in current study are generally higher than those reported in literature as most of them recorded SSA below 70 m²/g (Wilson Jr & Hull, 2008; Saeri et al., 2003; Patel et al., 2001; Raynaud et al., 2002; Veljovic et al., 2009). The high SSA value attained in the current study is advantageous as high SSA induced higher driving force in enhancing densification processing kinetic and sintering activity (Lin et al., 2007) due to high surface energy stored in HA particle (Wang & Shaw, 2007). Therefore, it can be concluded that microwave drying is a promising method of manufacturing HA powder with higher SSA.

5.2 Sinterability of the HA Powder

5.2.1 HA Phase Stability

The HA powder synthesized via drying methods were subjected to sintering in air atmosphere and the phase stability was determined via XRD analysis. The phases
analysis of the sintered FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA are shown in Figure 5.10, Figure 5.11 and Figure 5.12, respectively.

The formation of secondary phases were not detected in FD-HA and MD-HA throughout the sintering regime as all the XRD peaks showed good correlation with the stoichiometric HA as shown in Figure 5.10 and Figure 5.11. However, β-TCP was observed in the OD-HA sintered at 1350°C as shown in Figure 5.12. The results indicated that freeze drying and microwave drying can preserve the thermal stability of HA better than conventional oven drying method.

![Figure 5.10: The XRD profiles of FD-HA sintered samples](image)

Figure 5.10: The XRD profiles of FD-HA sintered samples (a) 1050°C, (b) 1150°C, (c) 1250°C and (d) 1350°C. All peaks belong to the HA phase.
Figure 5.11: The XRD profiles of MD-HA sintered samples (a) 1050°C, (b) 1150°C, (c) 1250°C and (d) 1350°C. All peaks belong to the HA phase.

Figure 5.12: The XRD profiles of OD-HA sintered samples (a) 1050°C, (b) 1150°C, (c) 1250°C and (d) 1350°C. The unmarked peaks belong to the HA phase.
Although the phase stability of FD-HA was not disrupted throughout the sintering regime, a small peak shift to lower diffraction angle was observed for sample sintered at 1350°C. This variation could be the consequence of dehydroxylation phenomenon due to water loss at high temperature (Lin et al., 2001; Wang & Chaki, 1993) or the loss of OH⁻ radial from HA lattice (Gu et al., 2002; Bianco et al., 2007). It was reported that thermal decomposition of HA occur in sequence of dehydroxylation (Slosarczyk et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2004). During dehydroxylation, HA will lose OH⁻ gradually and form oxyapatite according to the following equation (Zhou et al., 1993; Kijima & Tsutsumi, 1979; Trombe & Montel; 1978):

\[
2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow
\]  

\[
\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{OH})_2(\text{PO}_4)_6 \rightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\cdot\text{O} \cdot \square + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

where \(\square\) is a vacancy and oxyapatite \(\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\cdot\text{O} \cdot \square\) is also known as hydroxy-ion-deficient product. Accordingly, one of the lattice site was occupied by the two OH⁻ group in HA unit cell is now replaced by an oxygen atom while the other was left vacant. In addition to that, Zhou et al. (1993) reported that the oxyapatite phase is stable and will not cause phase transformation without further heating.

However, if sintering carried out at higher temperature, HA would decompose into tricalcium phosphate and tetracalcium phosphate in accordance to the following process:

\[
\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{OH})_2(\text{PO}_4)_6 \rightarrow 2\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 + \text{Ca}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_9 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

This phenomenon was observed from OD-HA sintered samples as illustrated in Figure 5.12. From the XRD profiles, HA phase was the main constituent phases present in these compacts at sintering temperature ranging from 1050°C to 1250°C. However, the decomposition of HA into β-TCP was clearly observed from OD-HA sintered at...
1350°C. The present results obtained for OD-HA samples contradicted the findings of Muralithran & Ramesh, 2000, Bianco et al., 2007 and Ramesh et al., 2008. In general, these authors reported that their HA powder which was synthesized using drying method as the present OD-HA showed that the transformation of HA into secondary phases only at sintering temperature beyond 1350°C. According to Muralitran & Ramesh (2000) and Arias et al. (1998), both dehydroxylation and decomposition reaction could be slow down by providing a high local humid furnace atmosphere during sintering as the dehydration of the OH from HA matrix could be prevent. Hence, it could be deduced that the differences in the findings could be attributed to the humidity, drying temperature and drying hours of the samples in the conventional oven.

It is worth mentioning that HA produced via microwave drying shows high thermal stability as no peak shifting nor decomposition were observed on MD-HA throughout the sintering profile. Since all of the HA sintered under the same sintering atmosphere, it could be confirmed that the high thermal stability of MD-HA compared to FD-HA and OD-HA was not due to the humidity of sintering atmosphere. The ability of MD-HA to preserve the phase stability at high temperature could be attributed to the significant amount of absorbed water remained in the structure of MD-HA compared to FD-HA and OD-HA as evidence from FTIR analysis of the HA powders (Figure 5.2).

In conclusion, the thermal stability of all the HA sintered samples (regardless of drying methods) are generally higher than most of the available literatures (Ruys et al., 1995; Finoli et al., 2010; Sanosh et al., 2010; Kothapalli et al., 2004; Kong et al., 2002). They produced HA with low thermal stability where the decomposition of HA started at sintering temperature lower than 1300°C. As such, the results obtained in the present work are encouraging and microwave drying was found to produce single phase HA stable up to 1350°C.
5.2.2 FTIR Analysis of Sintered HA Samples

Figure 5.13 shows the FTIR analysis of the FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA sintered at 1350°C and the comparison with their respective as-synthesized powder. It was observed that the CO$_3^{2-}$ band and the chemically absorbed H$_2$O bands are no longer visible in all the HA sintered at 1350°C as indicated in Figure 5.13.

![FTIR profiles of HA sintered at 1350°C (left) with their respective as-synthesized powder (right): (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA.](image)

The intensity of peaks associated to the OH$^-$ band of the sintered FD-HA and MD-HA are remarkably decreased as shown in Figure 5.13 (a) and (b). The weak OH$^-$ band and the missing H$_2$O bands could be the primary factor that caused the dehydroxylation of FD-HA at 1350°C as revealed by the aforementioned peak shifting in the XRD profile shown in Figure 5.10. Besides, the free CO$_2$ was previously appeared in the synthesized FD-HA and MD-HA are removed from the HA structure as the
corresponding peaks was not seen. The results showed that the sintering of FD-HA and MD-HA induced the elimination of combined water and carbonates without causing further decomposition of HA.

On the contrary, the FTIR peaks of OD-HA (Figure 5.13(c)) shows the appearance of CO₂ peak and the absence of the peaks corresponding to OH⁻ after sintering. The CO₂ could interrupt the HA phase and cause further decomposition while the absence of OH⁻ peak confirms its transformation into oxyapatite (Wang et al., 2009). Hence, the decomposition of OD-HA at 1350°C was due to the combined effects of the appearance of the CO₂ and absence of the OH⁻ peak.

5.2.3 Bulk Density

The variation of sintered density with sintering temperature for all HA samples synthesized via different drying methods are shown in Figure 5.14.

![Figure 5.14: The effect of sintering temperature on the relative density of HA.](image-url)
In general, the results proved that the relative density of the sintered HA increases when the sintering temperature increase and reach at plateau at 1300°C, irrespective of the different drying methods of the HA powders. A small increase in density is observed at 1050°C to 1100°C for FD-HA and OD-HA which corresponds to the first stage of sintering where necks forming into grains (Gibson et al., 2001). It could be seen that MD-HA has higher starting density than FD-HA and OD-HA. For example, MD-HA obtained high relative density of ~91% at 1050°C compared to FD-HA and OD-HA which attained similar relative density of ~85% at 1050°C. The high starting density of MD-HA is due to the high specific surface area reported in earlier section which provides high surface energy for the densification process (Göller & Oktar, 2002). The second stage of sintering involved the onset of densification and the removal of porosity and this stage is indicated by a sharp increase in the sintered density. For both FD-HA and OD-HA, the sharp increment of relative density was between 1100°C to 1150°C. Conversely, the onset of densification of MD-HA started at low temperature of 1050°C.

The MD-HA sintered samples exhibited densification of 93.2% at 1100°C and achieved densification of ~97.5% when sintered above 1200°C. Similar observation was reported by Gibson et al. (2001) and Rodriguez-Lorenzo (2001) that HA attained ~97% relative density at 1200°C. On the contrary, Mostafa (2005), Prokopiev & Sevostianov (2006), and Aminzarea et al. (2013) reported otherwise whereby their sintered HA samples achieved relative density less than 90% at 1200°C. At similar temperature of 1100°C, FD-HA and OD-HA attained only ~87.6% and 88.2% of theoretical density and both of them recorded relative density of ~98% at 1200°C which is slightly higher than that of MD-HA. This is not in agreement with the findings of Van Landuyt et al. (1995), Thangamani et al. (2002) and Sanosh et al. (2010) as they found that sintering above 1300°C was required to achieve ~98% of theoretical density. This difference in observation could be related to the different powder characteristics used.
Generally, all the HA samples achieved the maximum density at the same temperature of 1300°C. FD-HA attained the maximum density of 98.74% at 1300°C and drop slightly to 98.5% at 1350°C. MD-HA recorded maximum density of 98.4% at 1300°C and drop to 87.74% at 1350°C. On the other hand, OD-HA achieved the maximum density of 98.42% at 1300°C and drop drastically to 80.3% at 1350°C. The sharp drop in relative density of OD-HA at 1350°C is ascribed to the presence of secondary phase (β-TCP) in the sintered OD-HA as indicated in XRD data in Figure 5.12. Overall, MD-HA samples showed better densification than FD-HA and OD-HA as lower sintering temperature was required to achieve dense MD-HA samples of >90%. Moreover, as evident from the results in Figure 5.7 and Figure 5.9 (b), MD-HA powder was in nano-sized, homogenously distributed with small agglomerates and has high specific surface area. All these factors contribute to the MD-HA achieving higher densification than FD-HA and OD-HA at low temperatures (Patel et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2007; Göller & Oktar, 2002).

5.2.4 Microstructure Evolution and Grain Size

SEM analysis was carried out on FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA sintered samples to determine the microstructure and grain size of the samples. As shown in Figure 5.15 (b), MD-HA sintered at 1050°C shows discrete grains with no visible formation of necking; corresponds to the second stage of sintering where densification has started and most of the porosities were removed. The dense microstructure with a few pores (0.1 µm to 0.4 µm) of MD-HA sintered at this temperature correlates well to the relative density results of ~91%. At similar temperature, large numbers of pores are remaining in the FD-HA and OD-HA samples as shown in Figure 5.15 (a) and 5.15 (c) respectively. The results showed that at 1050°C, the sintering was at the first stage for FD-HA where some necks formation were detected and large pores were observed. As for OD-HA, the sintering was at the end of first stage sintering as the interconnected pores started to from grains.
and grain outline can be seen. This could explain the lower density (~85%) of both FD-HA and OD-HA at 1050°C.

Figure 5.15: SEM images of (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA sintered at 1050°C.
Subsequently, SEM images of samples sintered at 1150°C increase the sintering temperature could improve the densification and homogeneity of samples. At 1150°C, most of the pores were removed and denser microstructures were observed for all the samples; indicating that complete sintering has been achieved. These observations are in agreement with the relative density of the sintered HA samples whereby the FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA attained relative density of ~96.5%, ~96.52% and ~97.6%, respectively. In addition, the grain size of the FD-HA (Figure 5.16 (a)) and MD-HA (Figure 5.16 (b)) samples sintered at 1150°C were observed to have a uniform distribution, having average grain size of 1.03 µm and 0.79 µm, respectively. Conversely, OD-HA attained an average grain size of 0.97 µm; accompanied by an uneven microstructure at the similar temperature as shown in Figure 5.16 (c). The recorded HA grain sizes are in agreement with most of the literatures as researchers reported that the grain size of HA sintered at 1150°C is often less than 2 µm (Chaki & Wang, 1994; Veljovic et al., 2008; Mazaheri et al., 2009; Ramesh et al., 2007).

When sintering was carried out at 1200°C, all the HA samples exhibited dense microstructure where the grains are closely packed as shown in Figure 5.17. OD-HA samples sintered at 1200°C shows better uniformity in terms of grain size distribution compared to those sintered 1150°C. Additionally, FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA attained average grain size of 1.73 µm, 1.34 µm and 1.67 µm, respectively at 1200°C.
Figure 5.16: SEM images of (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA sintered at 1150°C.
Figure 5.17: SEM images of (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA sintered at 1200°C.

As sintering temperature goes up to 1350°C, FD-HA in Figure 5.18 (a) showed fully sintered microstructure with considerable grain growth which is in line with the relative
density of ~98.5%. Similarly, OD-HA in Figure 5.18 (c) was observed to display fully dense microstructure with minimal pores. Therefore, it could be deduced that the low density (80.34%) of OD-HA at 1350°C was solely triggered by the presence of β-TCP in the OD-HA matrix. Unlike FD-HA and OD-HA, MD-HA presented fully sintered microstructure accompanied by the existence of several intergranular pores of ~2 µm at 1350°C as shown in Figure 5.18 (b). The pores were believed to have contributed to the sudden drop in the relative density (to 87.74%) of MD-HA. These open pores were formed when the high internal pressure caused by the dehydration of HA at elevated temperature exceeds the strength of the closed pores (Ramesh et al., 2007).

Although the HA samples displayed different microstructure, the grain growth occurred for all the HA samples is significant with grains grew up to 8.9 µm - 10.9 µm as shown in Figure 5.18. This growth in the grain size due to the increase in sintering temperature was also observed by Kong et al. (2002), Mobasherpour et al. (2007), Kamalanathan et al. (2014), Ramesh et al. (2013) and Ramesh et al. (2016). This grain growth was due to the enhancement of homogeneity of the HA powder with increasing sintering temperature.
Figure 5.18: SEM images of (a) FD-HA, (b) MD-HA and (c) OD-HA sintered at 1350°C.
Under current study, the average grain sizes for all the synthesized HA with increasing sintering temperature are shown in Figure 5.19. All three HA samples demonstrated increasing grain size trend with increasing sintering temperature and MD-HA samples showed slower grain growth rate at 1050°C - 1200°C compared to FD-HA and OD-HA. However at an elevated sintering temperature of 1350°C, MD-HA recorded the highest grain size of 10.85 µm compared to FD-HA (8.9 µm) and OD-HA (9.3 µm).

Figure 5.19: The effect of sintering temperature on the average grain size of sintered HA.

5.2.5 Vickers Hardness and Fracture Toughness

The dependence of hardness on the sintering temperature for all the sintered HA is shown in Figure 5.20. The results showed that hardness of FD-HA was at ~ 3 GPa when sintered at 1050°C and obtained a maximum hardness of 5.3 GPa at 1150°C followed by a decrease in hardness thereafter. The observed maximum hardness of FD-HA is close to 5.83 GPa obtained by Uysul et al. (2014) using similar freeze drying method. The increasing trend of the hardness of the FD-HA at temperature below 1150°C was
attributed to the increase in density (Wang & Shaw, 2009; Niakan et al., 2015). However the hardness was found to decline as the sintering temperature increased from 1200°C to 1350°C despite the relative density was increasing from ~98% to ~98.5%. The decline of hardness at sintering temperature beyond 1150°C was due to the increased of grain size (Hoepfner & Case, 2003; He et al., 2008; Veljovic et al., 2008; Ramesh et al., 2016). The effects of average grain size and relative density on the hardness of FD-HA are illustrated in Figure 5.21.

From Figure 5.20, the hardness results show that MD-HA exhibited similar increasing trend with increasing sintering temperature up to 1200°C. At temperature of 1050°C, the hardness value of MD-HA was at 3.5 GPa, slighter higher than that of FD-HA at the similar temperature. Subsequently, the hardness value of MD-HA reached a maximum value of 5.04 GPa at 1200°C and then drop gradually to 4 GPa at 1350°C. Similar increasing trend was observed for OD-HA sintered sample where hardness value increased from 3.73 GPa at 1050°C to a maximum of 5.12 GPa at 1150°C and then declined almost linearly with the increasing of temperature. A sharp decrease to a hardness value of 3.02 GPa was observed for OD-HA sintered at 1350°C. It is believed that this decline in the hardness is associated to the simultaneous effects of low density and the decomposition of HA phase (Koutsopoulos, 2002; Aminzare et al., 2013) as confirmed by density curve and XRD analysis as shown in Figure 5.14 and Figure 5.12, respectively. The presence of secondary phases at high temperature creates volume changes and hence strains in the ceramic matrix which lowers the mechanical integrity of sintered body (Ruys et al., 1995).
Figure 5.20: The effect of sintering temperature on the hardness of HA.

Figure 5.21: The variation of the relative density and hardness of sintered FD-HA as a function of average grain size.

In conclusion, the maximum hardness values for FD-HA, MD-HA and OD-HA were 5.3 GPa, 5.04 GPa and 5.12 GPa, respectively and these values are rather promising as
most of the previous studies recorded hardness lower than 5 GPa for conventional sintered HA (Aminzare et al., 2013; Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2012). Moreover, the hardness of sintered HA obtained from current study make them as a potential candidate for bone implant as the hardness is nearly 12 times higher than cortical bone (0.396 GPa) and cancellous bone (0.345 GPa) (Pramanik et al., 2005).

The effects of sintering temperature on the fracture toughness of the three different HA samples are shown in Figure 5.22. All the sintered HA exhibited similar trend where maximum fracture toughness obtained at certain temperature and sintering beyond that temperature caused the decline of fracture toughness. For example, the fracture toughness of MD-HA increased from 1.07 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1050°C to a maximum of 1.16 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1150°C. Further heating to 1200°C showed no improvement in fracture toughness and the value remained at 1.15 MPam$^{1/2}$. However, sintering MD-HA at temperature beyond 1200°C showed signed of deterioration and lowest hardness value of 0.68 MPam$^{1/2}$ was obtained at 1350°C. Similarly, the FD-HA shows a maximum fracture toughness of 1.13 MPam$^{1/2}$ at the sintering temperature of 1150°C and then decreased to 0.69 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1350°C. This maximum value is slightly lower than that of MD-HA. On the other hand, OD-HA could only attain maximum fracture toughness value of 1.01 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1200°C. Furthermore, any further increased in the sintering temperature caused severe deterioration of fracture toughness of the sintered OD-HA as fracture toughness was as low as 0.54 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1350°C.
Correspondingly, the fracture toughness of sintered HA samples is dependent on the grain size where fracture toughness increase with a decrease in grain size. The relationship of fracture toughness and grain size of the sintered HA is plotted in Figure 5.23. All the three HA demonstrated similar trend where critical grain size detected at 1150°C whereby beyond this point, the fracture toughness is inversely proportional to the average grain size, i.e fracture toughness decrease with the increasing of grain size. A similar trend was observed in the previous literature by Tolouei et al. (2011), Wang & Shaw (2009), Kamalanathan et al. (2014), Ramesh et al. (2007). From Figure 5.23, it is clearly observed that smaller average grain size possess higher fracture toughness. The small grain size is favorable as small grain size lead to more grain boundaries per unit of volume that exist in the HA matrix, hence giving more limitation to the dislocations movement as grain boundary can stop dislocations. When the dislocations were effectively hindered, the permanent distortion of the sample can be prevented and hence giving the sintered sample higher fracture toughness.

Figure 5.22: The effect of sintering temperature on the fracture toughness of HA.
Overall, the fracture toughness of MD-HA was noted to be superior when compared to FD-HA and OD-HA throughout the sintering regime. The highest fracture toughness value of $1.16 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ obtained from MD-HA was greater than pure sintered HA observed in the previous studies (Thangamani et al., 2002; Ramesh et al., 2011; Banerjee et al., 2007; Wang & Shaw, 2009; Descamps et al., 2013).

Although the mechanical properties of HA powders prepared by wet chemical method via microwave drying was found to be similar to those prepared by freeze drying and oven drying, the advantage of microwave drying can be viewed in the context of time consumed to obtain the respective hardness value and the high fracture toughness value. This advantage is clearly shown in Table 5.5 which compares the time taken to produce the MD-HA powders. The time taken to produce HA powders via microwave drying is significantly lower than the FD-HA and OD-HA. Hence, it can be concluded that microwave drying is a promising method to produce dense HA with high fracture toughness in a remarkably shorter time.

**Figure 5.23:** The effects of grain size on the fracture toughness of sintered HA.
Table 5.5: Critical grain size for the sintered HA with their corresponding maximum hardness and fracture toughness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Drying duration</th>
<th>Sintering Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Critical Grain Size (µm)</th>
<th>Maximum Hardness (GPa)</th>
<th>Maximum Fracture Toughness (MPam$^{1/2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD-HA</td>
<td>36 hours</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-HA</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD-HA</td>
<td>16 hours</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, the current work proved that MD-HA exhibited slightly better sinterability compared to FD-HA and OD-HA and MD-HA requires significantly shorter synthesis time. Hence, MD-HA was chosen for further studies to investigate the effects of microwave sintering and sintering additives on the properties of MD-HA which will be discussed in the subsequent chapter.
CHAPTER 6: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS (PART 2)

6.1 Effect of Microwave Sintering on the Sinterability of MD-HA

In Chapter 5, the sinterability of the wet chemical synthesized HA produced by three different drying methods were investigated. From the results obtained, HA powder prepared by microwave drying demonstrated the overall optimum properties when sintered under conventional pressureless sintering (CPS). Microwave sintering has been widely applied in the sintering of HA compacts by Nath et al. (2006); Bose et al. (2010), Ramesh et al. (2008); Hassan et al. (2016). In this chapter, the influences of microwave sintering (MS) on the sintering behavior of the microwave dried HA will be discussed.

6.1.1 XRD Analysis of CPS and MWS Sintered HA

The XRD profiles of HA samples sintered at different temperatures by CPS and MWS are represented in Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2, respectively.

![XRD profiles of HA sintered by CPS at different temperatures.](image)

Figure 6.6.1: The XRD profiles of HA sintered by CPS at different temperatures. All peaks belong to HA phase.
Figure 6.2: The XRD profiles of HA sintered by MWS at different temperatures. All peaks belong to HA phase.

From Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2, it was found that HA is stable up to 1250°C for both sintering methods as the only existing phase is HA. Secondary phases such as TTCP, TCP and CaO were not presented in the compacts suggesting that the phase stability of HA was not disrupted by microwave sintering. Further to that, no peak shifting was observed from XRD profiles regardless of sintering method indicated that dehydroxylation of HA did not occurred. These results were in good agreement with those reported by Nath et al. (2006), Ramesh et al. (2007) and Thuault et al. (2014) who reported that the phase stability of HA was preserved up to 1250°C. However, these results are in contradiction with the findings obtained by Harabi et al. (2010). The authors compared the phase stability of CPS and MWS sintered HA. They found that HA phase of CPS-HA was stable up to 1150°C and then decomposed to β-TCP at 1200°C while MWS-HA started to decompose into TCP when subjected to microwave sintering at 1050°C and the percentage of TCP phase increased from 46% to 61% when
sintering temperature increased to 1100°C. Finally, the MWS-HA was found to transform into 85% TTCP and 10% α-TCP with remaining 5% as HA phase. The author suggested that this phenomenon was attributed to the higher heating efficiency of microwave heating compared to the conventional sintering (Harabi et al., 2010) which speed up the decomposition rate of HA into secondary phases.

6.1.2 Bulk Density of CPS and MWS Sintered HA

The effects of CPS and MWS on the densification of HA are illustrated in Figure 6.3.

![Figure 6.3: The effect of sintering temperature on the density of HA sintered by conventional sintering and microwave sintering technique.](image)

Generally, both HA samples sintered by conventional and microwave sintering exhibited similar trend where relative density increase with increasing temperature but at different densification rates. At low temperature range (below 1150°C), the density of MWS-HA was significantly higher than the CPS-HA. The densification curves were shifted downward nearly 100°C at the lower sintering temperature (< 1150°C) with difference decrease as higher temperature were reached as shown in Figure 6.3. At low temperature of 950°C, ~89% densified MWS-HA was obtained and the relative density
increased to ~96.8% at 1050°C. Further sintering lead to highly densified MWS-HA; exhibited >97% of theoretical density when sintering temperature increased to temperature above 1100°C. The maximum relative density of ~98% was achieved for MWS-HA sintered at 1250°C. In contrast, the CPS-HA displayed a relatively lower relative density value of 77.5% at 950°C compared to MWS-HA (~89.3%). CPS-HA could only achieve relative density above 97% at high sintering temperature of 1200°C. Apart from that, at sintering temperatures above 1200°C, the densities of both HA compacts appeared to be similar.

From the results, the densification rate of MWS-HA was remarkably higher than CPS-HA especially at low temperature range. This high sintering rates was caused by the high diffusion rate induced by the microwave field that interact directly to the crystals in the ceramics without the need of heat transfer from surface to the core of the ceramics. This direct interaction between microwave and the material cause volumetric heating and hence the sintering and densification can occur at much lower temperatures with shorter sintering time and lower energy consumption (Demirskyi et al., 2011). The enhanced densification of MWS-HA at low sintering temperature compared to CPS have been widely reported (Ehsani et al., 2013; Thuault et al., 2014; Bose et al., 2010; Mangkonsu et al., 2016). Nonetheless, Ramesh et al. (2008) reported that the densification of CPS-HA was higher than MWS-HA throughout the sintering regime of 1000°C - 1300°C. This contradiction could be due to the different microwave power setting of the microwave furnace.

Overall, the high densification rate of MWS-HA is favorable as highly dense HA could be obtained at low temperature which reduces the risk of decomposition and the time consumed for the sintering process was greatly reduced. For example, in order to achieve high relative density of >97%, the CPS-HA samples need to be sintered to
1200°C in a conventional pressureless furnace for a total sintering time (inclusive of sintering, soaking and cooling to the room temperature) of 1290 minutes. On the contrary, highly dense MWS-HA (~97%) could be achieved at 1050°C albeit the total microwave sintering time consumed was only 185 minutes which is about 7 times shorter than that of conventional sintering. Hence, the beneficial effect of microwave sintering in enhancing densification of HA can be realized.

6.1.3 Microstructural Evolution and Grain Size

The SEM micrographs of the MWS-HA and CPS-HA sintered at 950°C, 1000°C, 1050°C, 1100°C and 1250°C are presented in Figure 6.4 – 6.8.

It can be noted that densification of HA pellets had not really started when subjected to conventional sintering at 950°C and 1000°C as shown in Figure 6.4 (a) and Figure 6.5 (a) respectively. The samples were hardly densified as no proper grains were formed and many pores were observed. This corresponds to a relative density of ~77.5% and ~83.2%. On the other hand, it could be observed that final stage of sintering has started when MWS-HA sintered at 950°C as interconnected pores started to form grain and grain outline can be seen from Figure 6.4 (b). From Figure 6.5 (b), as temperature goes up to 1000°C, MWS-HA sample which had remnant porosity (~8.8%) exhibited microstructure where some areas are fully densified while some nano-pores remained. It is worth mentioning that MWS-HA sintered at 1000°C showed uniform fine grained microstructure and the grain size was found to be in nanometer range.

At 1050°C, MWS-HA (Figure 6.6 (b)) displayed almost dense microstructure that corresponds to the relative density of ~97% with a few extremely small pores (7 nm – 10nm) existed as indicated by the arrows. In contrast, CPS-HA demonstrated larger pores that varied in size (20 nm – 35 nm) as shown in Figure 6.6 (a), correlate well with
its lower density value of \(~90.85\%\). This indicated that the densification of MWS-HA was at final stage at 1050°C but not the case for CPS-HA.

Figure 6.4: SEM images of HA sintered by (a) conventional sintering and (b) microwave sintering at 950°C.
Figure 6.5: SEM images of HA sintered by (a) conventional sintering and (b) microwave sintering at 1000°C.
However, as the sintering temperature was increased beyond 1100°C, all the CPS-HA exhibited very little porosity as shown in Figure 6.7 (a) and Figure 6.8 (a). The SEM micrographs correlated well with the measured density (Figure 6.3) for all the samples sintered at high temperature regardless of sintering method as almost full densification was accomplished when compacts sintered \( \geq 1100^\circ\text{C} \).

**Figure 6.6:** SEM images of HA sintered by (a) conventional sintering and (b) microwave sintering at 1050°C.
At high temperature of 1250°C, both MWS-HA and CPS-HA exhibited fully dense microstructures which consisted of varying grain sizes and low level of porosity as shown in Figure 6.8. Significant grain growth was observed for all the HA samples sintered at 1250°C with some individual grains grown up to 4 – 6 μm in size. This growth in grain size due to the increase of sintering temperature was commonly observed in HA as reported by previous literatures (Layrolle et al., 1998; Mostafa, 2005; Mobaspherpour et al., 2007).
In general, the mean grain size of MWS-HA increased slowly from nanolevel (300 nm) to microlevel (~4.33 µm) with increasing temperature from 950°C to 1250°C. As for CPS-HA, the grain size couldn’t be measured at low 950°C and 1000°C as grains were hardly seen. The average grain size of the sample conventionally sintered at 1050°C was 500 nm and increasing temperature to 1250°C, the grain size increased to 4.45 µm. Figure 6.9 represents the average grain size of HA sintered by microwave sintering and conventional sintering as a function of the sintering temperature. In both MWS-HA and CPS-HA, the grain size increase as the sintering temperature increases.

Figure 6.8: SEM images of HA sintered by (a) conventional sintering and (b) microwave sintering at 1250°C.
Figure 6.9: The effect of sintering temperatures on the average grain size of HA samples sintered by (a) conventional sintering and (b) microwave sintering.

It is interesting to discover that the rate of grain growth of microwave sintered HA is very slow at temperatures ranging from 950°C - 1100°C. However, as temperature increased further, the grain size for MWS-HA increased rapidly. The grain size of the MWS-HA increased slowly from ~300 nm (950°C) to ~688 nm (1100°C) and then increased rapidly by a factor of ~2.7 from ~1.60 µm to ~4.33 µm as temperature increased from 1150°C to 1250°C. In comparison, the grain growth rate of CPS-HA was higher than MWS-HA as evidence of the steep slope shown in Figure 6.9. The grain size of CPS-HA increased by a factor of about 6.4 from ~691 nm to ~4.45 µm at sintering temperature of 1150°C and 1250°C, respectively. The increasing trend of grain size against sintering temperature was in good agreement with the trend reported by (Akao et al., 1981; Ramesh et al., 2007; Muralithran & Ramesh, 2000; Ramesh et al., 2008). The drastic grain growth of HA was observed at 1250°C regardless of sintering methods. In conventional sintering, the grain enlargement of HA was associated with the surplus activation energy supplied to the HA due to elevated sintering temperature.
Current research shows that the efficacy of microwave sintering in preventing grain coarsening is profound at low temperature but not at high sintering temperature. Excessive grain growth with non-uniform grain size distribution was observed from MWS-HA sintered at 1250°C as shown in Figure 6.8 (b). At high microwave sintering temperature, a large amount of microwave was absorbed by HA and leads to localized heating which resulted in excessive grain growth (Vijayan & Varma, 2002; Bykov et al., 1999). As the temperature increase, there is risk of getting non-uniform heating profile in the samples which contribute to the localized heating in different spots of the sample. Hence, there are some “hot spots” where heating is much more rapid than the other spots that caused the heterogeneous heating. Therefore, some of the MWS-HA grains are large and some of the grain retained in ultrafine size as shown in Figure 6.8 (b).

In short, the results showed that microwave sintering is effective in preventing grain growth while maintaining high density throughout the sintering regime and nano grain size was maintained up to 1100°C. In microwave sintering, the high heating rate of MWS-HA lower the sintering time exposure and leads to the suppression of the surface diffusion. Hence, the exaggerated grain growth was restricted. The smaller grain size of MWS-HA is vital because smaller grain size would generally yield HA with enhanced mechanical properties compared to HA containing large grain.

6.1.4 Vickers Hardness and Fracture Toughness of CPS and MWS Sintered HA

The variation of the Vickers hardness of both conventional and microwave sintered HA at various temperatures are shown in presented in Figure 6.10. In general, the hardness of HA samples regardless of sintering method revealed similar trends with increasing sintering temperature up to certain value at particular temperature and then decrease with further heating. The results showed that the hardness values of MWS-HA are higher than CPS-HA at lower temperature range (950°C - 1100°C). However,
similar hardness values were recorded for both MWS-HA and CPS-HA sintered at 1150°C. Upon 1150°C, the hardness values of CPS-HA are higher than MWS-HA.

At 950°C, both MWS-HA and CPS-HA recorded lowest hardness values of 4.09 GPa and 2.89 GPa, respectively. As sintering temperature increased, the hardness value of MWS-HA increased and marked the maximum value of ~4.8 GPa at 1150°C. However, when microwave sintering was carried out >1150°C, the hardness started to decline linearly to ~4.4 GPa at 1200°C and ~3.87 GPa at 1250°C. In contrast, the hardness of CPS-HA start to rise sharply from 3.01 GPa at 1000°C to a maximum of ~5.04 GPa at 1200°C. Similar to MWS-HA, the CPS-HA showed a decline in hardness value as sintering proceed to 1250°C.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 6.10**: The effect of sintering temperature on the hardness of HA sintered by conventional sintering and microwave sintering technique.

In addition, the hardness of sintered HA was found to depend on the bulk density and average grain size and these relationships are plotted in Figure 6.11. Figure 6.11 clearly reflected that increase in relative density of CPS-HA from 77.5% at 950°C to 97.5% at 1200°C enhance the hardness of samples from 2.8 GPa to 5.04 GPa. Similarly,
improved hardness value was found when the sintering temperature increased from 950°C to 1150°C, in accordance with the increment in relative density from 89.3% to 97.6%. However, when the temperature exceeds certain point (>1200°C for CPS-HA and >1150°C for MWS-HA), it was found that the change in hardness was not in accordance to the change in bulk density as the hardness value decline even the density increased. This deterioration in hardness value was the result of the large grain size obtained. For example, the hardness of CPS-HA declines from 5.04 GPa (1200°C) to 4.75 GPa (1250°C) when the grain size increase from ~1.34 µm to ~4.45 µm while big grain size of ~4.33 µm correspond to the low hardness of 3.87 GPa of MWS-HA sintered at 1250°C whereas small grain size of ~1.6 µm correspond to 4.8 GPa for MWS-HA sintered at 1150°C.

Figure 6.11: Vickers hardness dependence on the relative density of HA sintered by conventional sintering and microwave sintering technique.

The effects of sintering temperature on the fracture toughness of MWS-HA and CPS-HA are shown in Figure 6.11. The fracture toughness of CPS-HA sintered at 950°C and 1000°C was not measure due to the porous surface of those HA compacts. The graph
(Figure 6.12) showed that HA compact sintered by microwave sintering exhibited similar trend to the Vickers hardness trend, i.e. toughness value increase with increasing temperature and met a plateau at certain temperature and decrease thereafter. The fracture toughness value of MWS-HA increased greatly from 1.1 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ at 950°C to 1.85 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ at 1050°C. Further sintering above 1050°C showed sign of deterioration as the toughness values decrease almost linearly with increasing sintering temperature from 1.85 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ to 0.88 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ at 1250°C. In comparison, the fracture toughness of HA samples sintered via conventional sintering perked at 1150°C, recording a value of 1.16 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ and decline to a minimum value of 0.81 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ when sintered at 1250°C.

![Figure 6.12: The effect of sintering temperature on the fracture toughness of HA sintered by conventional sintering and microwave sintering technique.](image)

The fracture toughness value of the MWS-HA sintered at 1050°C was significantly higher than the values reported in the literature for synthetic HA samples sintered via microwave sintering as shown in Table 6.1. Sintered dense HA was usually reported to
have low fracture toughness values less than 1 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ (Tan et al., 2011) which limit its use in load bearing applications. Hence, the high fracture toughness HA obtained in current research is encouraging. In conventional sintering, grain growth mechanism dominant in the early stage of sintering and followed by the densification mechanism and hence the risk of getting large grain HA is high. Nevertheless, sample was rapidly sintered to temperature region where densification predominate the grain coarsening mechanism in microwave sintering. Therefore, the grain growth can be minimized while retaining high densification during the microwave sintering of HA as evidence from current study, i.e. MWS-HA recorded relative density ~97% while maintaining nano grain size of 356 nm lead to a great enhancement of fracture toughness (1.85 MPa.m$^{1/2}$) at 1050°C. Therefore, it is inferred that the high fracture toughness value could be attributed to the combine effects of improved sinterability and restricted grain growth of HA during microwave sintering.

Table 6.1: A comparison of optimum fracture toughness values of current study to the available literatures (Ramesh et al., 2008; Kutty et al., 2015; Thuault et al., 2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sintering Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Fracture Toughness (MPa.m$^{1/2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Study</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2008)</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutty et al. (2015)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thuault et al. (2014)</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dependence of fracture toughness on both relative density and grain size is plotted in Figure 6.13. From Figure 6.13, it is postulated that the fracture toughness of HA increase as relative density increases below a critical grain size (~356 nm in current
Beyond the critical grain size, the fracture toughness appeared to be governed by grain size in the trend that fracture toughness decreases with increasing grain size.

Small grain size was desirable to produce high toughness HA (Kamalanathan et al., 2014) as suggested by Hall-Petch equation, $\sigma_f = \sigma_0 + kd^{-1/2}$, where $\sigma_f$ is the fracture strength, $d$ is the grain size, $k$ and $\sigma_0$ are constants, the strength decreases with increasing grain size. The strength of the materials depends on the ability to restrict the motion of dislocations and the grain boundaries serve as barriers to limit the dislocation movement. At the grain boundary, the dislocations are blocked. As shown in Figure 6.14, the pile up created driving force to push the dislocation towards the nearby grain. The bigger the grain, the bigger the pile up and therefore the bigger the driving force and hence, a small load is sufficiently to push the dislocation to the adjacent grain. By reducing the grain size, there are less pile ups creating less driving force, requiring a larger stress to move the dislocation to next grain.

Figure 6.13: The variation of fracture toughness and relative density of HA sintered by microwave sintering technique.
Figure 6.14: The schematic diagram of the dislocation pile up in (a) large grains and (b) small grains.

In short, small grain size microstructure provided large numbers of grain boundaries per unit volume which resulting in a smaller number of pile ups in grain (boundaries limit the dislocation movement) and in turn increase the strength of sample. This correlates well with the current study where the optimum fracture toughness of 1.85 MPa.m$^{1/2}$ obtained from HA microwave sintered at 1050°C corresponds to the extremely small grain size of ~356 nm.

In summary, the results obtained suggest that microwave sintering is a promising sintering technique to produce highly dense HA ceramics with fine grained microstructure, high phase stability and enhanced mechanical properties.
6.2 Effect of Zinc Oxide (ZnO) addition on the Sinterability of HA

In this section, the effects ZnO addition on the mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite will be presented. The hydroxyapatite powder used in this work was prepared by the wet chemical precipitation method via microwave drying. Different amount of ZnO powder was added into the HA powder based on ball milling method as described in Chapter 4. The green compacts were then cold isostatic pressed at 200 MPa followed by pressureless sintering at temperatures range between 1100°C to 1300°C.

6.2.1 XRD Analysis of Undoped and ZnO-doped HA Powder

The XRD signatures of the ZnO-doped as well as the undoped HA powders were present in Figure 6.15. The analysis shows that all the powders produced only peaks corresponded to the stoichiometric HA as shown in Figure 6.15. This result proved that the presence of ZnO had negligible effect on the HA phase stability which is consistent with the findings of other researchers (Gross et al., 2013; Deepa et al., 2013). However, it was found that ZnO-doped HA powders have higher intensity than the pure HA as indicated by the sharper and narrower peaks.

![Figure 6.15: The XRD profiles of undoped HA powders and HA powders containing 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt%, 0.5 wt% and 1 wt% ZnO, respectively.](image-url)
6.2.2 XRD Analysis of Undoped and ZnO-doped Sintered HA

XRD spectrum (Figure 6.16) proved that all the samples sintered at high temperature of 1300°C were pure HA regardless of the amount of ZnO addition.

![XRD patterns of HA samples sintered at 1300°C for undoped HA and HA containing 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt%, 0.5 wt% ZnO and 1 wt% ZnO, respectively.](image)

Figure 6.16: XRD patterns of HA samples sintered at 1300°C for undoped HA and HA containing 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt%, 0.5 wt% ZnO and 1 wt% ZnO, respectively.
This result revealed that the presence of ZnO and high sintering temperature had negligible effects on the sintered HA phase stability. This is inconsistent with the study reported by Bodhak *et al.* (2011), Buys *et al.* (1995) and Finoli *et al.* (2010) who observed that high sintering temperature of 1200°C and above could affect the HA phase stability. Bodhak *et al.* (2011) reported that the inclusion of ZnO destabilize the HA phase and the decomposition of ZnO-doped HA started at about 1200°C where strong β-TCP peaks were detected. The decomposition could be due to the nature of the starting HA powders but not the inclusion of ZnO as the authors used commercially available HA powders in their experiment. Buys *et al.* (1995) and Finoli *et al.* (2010) on the other hand reported that thermal decomposition of pure HA into TCP and CaO started at about 1200°C and the presence of TCP would deteriorate the mechanical properties of HA (Royer *et al*., 1993; Wang & Chaki, 1993) while CaO would hinder the biological performance of HA (Ślósarczyk & Piekarczyk, 1999).

### 6.2.3 Bulk Density of Undoped and ZnO-doped Sintered HA

The densification curves of undoped and ZnO-doped HA as a function of sintering temperatures are shown in Figure 6.17. The relative density increased with increasing sintering temperature for pure HA throughout the sintering regime. However, for ZnO-doped HA regardless of dopants amount, the bulk density increase with increasing temperature and met a plateau at about 1250°C. From Figure 6.17, it is noticeable that ZnO-doped HA samples have demonstrated higher densification that pure HA as all the doped samples attained high relative density > 98% at 1200°C while pure HA could obtain only ~97.5% of the theoretical density at the similar temperature. On the other hand, sintering study showed that 1250°C is optimum sintering temperature in densifying Zn-doped HA as all the doped samples attained the highest relative density at 1250°C except for pure HA. Pure HA attained highest density of ~98.43% at 1300°C.
Besides, it also appeared that higher ZnO amount is beneficial for the densification of HA especially at high temperature of 1250°C as shown in Figure 6.17. Higher content of ZnO appeared to be advantageous to the densification of HA since the 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA attained the highest relative density of ~99.13%; followed by 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA (99.12%), 0.3 wt% (98.64%), 0.1 wt% ZnO-doped HA (98.3 %) and the undoped HA achieved only 98.08% of relative density at 1250°C. The inclusion of ZnO improved the mass transport along the grain boundaries and thus lead to higher final densities. Moreover, zinc oxide was found to be beneficial in enhancing the densification of HA when compared to other sintering additives such as silver, iron and titania (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Kramer et al., 2013; Wang & Chaki, 1994).

6.2.4 Microstructure Analysis of Undoped and ZnO-doped Sintered HA

Figure 6.18 shows the representative microstructures of the ZnO-doped HA and undoped HA sintered at 1150°C. SEM observation in Figure 6.18 (a) showed that small pores were detected (indicated by arrows) on undoped HA and this is in agreement with
the slightly lower relative density of 96.5% at sintered at 1150°C. In contrast, Figure 6.18 (b) and Figure 6.18 (c) displayed dense microstructure which confirmed that the 0.1 wt% and 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA ceramic obtained higher density of ~97.5% which correlated well with the bulk density analysis in Figure 6.16. Besides, it could be seen that undoped HA had slightly smaller grain size (0.69 µm) than the 0.1 wt% and 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA, recorded grain size of 0.74 µm and 0.73 µm, respectively.

![SEM analysis of HA samples sintered at 1150°C](image)

**Figure 6.18:** SEM analysis of HA samples sintered at 1150°C for (a) undoped HA (b) 0.1 wt% ZnO-doped HA and (c) 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA.

The SEM micrographs of 0.5 wt% and 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA sintered at 1150°C are shown in Figure 6.19 and Figure 6.20, respectively. It was found that high ZnO addition is beneficial in enhancing the density of HA while suppressing the grain growth as fully dense microstructure with small grains were found as shown in Figure 6.19 and Figure 6.20. 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA obtained smallest grain size of 0.51 µm
while 1.0 wt% recorded grain size of 0.59 µm. From Figure 6.19, some tiny bright particles were found in the 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA matrix and EDX analysis confirmed that the tiny bright particles were ZnO. They were found randomly dispersed on the HA matrix where some located intragranularly while some intergranularly situated at the grain boundaries and also at the triple junction.

Figure 6.19: SEM analysis of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA samples sintered at 1150°C (inset as EDX spectrum).
Besides, Figure 6.20 proved that 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA contained ZnO particles in the matrix as confirmed by EDX analysis. However, the ZnO was found to be in cluster as shown in Figure 6.20. This could be due to the fact that higher amount of ZnO addition are more difficult to be dispersed homogenously, caused some of the ZnO remain as cluster within the HA matrix. In contrast, the tiny bright particles (ZnO) were not detected on the 0.1 wt% and 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA as indicated in Figure 6.18 (b) and (c), respectively.

Figure 6.20: SEM analysis of 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA samples sintered at 1150°C (inset as EDX spectrum).
The comparison of the grain size of all the HA sintered at 1150°C is summarized in Table 6.2. From Table 6.2, the grain size of 0.1 wt% and 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA was found to be slightly bigger than undoped HA, proved that 0.1 wt% and 0.3 wt% of ZnO addition are not sufficient to inhibit the grain growth of HA. This was evidence from the SEM in Figure 6.18 (b) and (c) where no ZnO particles were detected in the samples. 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA was found to obtained smallest grain size, proved that 0.5 wt% ZnO addition can hinder the grain growth of HA. The intragranularly and intergranularly seated ZnO slow down the grain boundary diffusion/migration by preventing the HA grains to contact with each other freely. Hence, the diffusions of atoms along the grain boundaries between HA grains and also between the HA and ZnO grains were slow down, and the grain growth could be restricted. As ZnO content increased to 1.0 wt%, the grain growth inhibition was found to be less effective compared to 0.5 wt% ZnO addition. This could be attributed to inhomogenous dispersion of ZnO on the HA matrix as shown in Figure 6.20.

**Table 6.2: Grain size of undoped and ZnO-doped HA sintered at 1150°C.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZnO content (wt%)</th>
<th>Grain Size (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undoped</td>
<td>0.6911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When sintering was carried out at 1300°C, the grain size increased for all the samples regardless of dopant addition as shown in Figure 6.21. Pure HA showed the most significant grain growth as the grain size increased to 6.9 µm. 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt%, 0.5 wt% and 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA recorded grain size of 4.4 µm, 2.74 µm, 2.15 µm and 3.23 µm, respectively. The results indicated that 0.5 wt% can inhibit grain growth effectively.
even at high sintering temperature of 1300°C as it exhibited smallest grain size amongst all the HA samples. It is worth mentioning that the 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA exhibited microstructure of extremely large grain that accompanied by small grains as shown in Figure 6.21 (e). This is due to the agglomeration of ZnO cluster on the HA matrix as the agglomerates can act as seeds for abnormal grain growth due to different densification rate (Mouzon et al., 2008).

Figure 6.21: SEM analysis of HA samples sintered at 1300°C for (a) undoped HA (b) 0.1 wt% ZnO-doped HA, (c) 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA, (d) 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA and (e) 1 wt% ZnO-doped HA.
For current work, the average grain size of the undoped and ZnO-doped HA increase with increasing temperature as shown in Figure 6.22. This growth in grain size due to elevated temperature was also observed by Layrolle et al. (1998) and Prokopiev & Sevostianov (2006). From the graph, the undoped HA showed a higher rate of grain growth compared to the ZnO-doped HA. It can be seen that upon 1200°C, the grain size of undoped HA increased by a factor of 5 when the temperature increased from 1200°C to 1300°C. As for 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA, the grain size increased gradually from 0.73 µm (1200°C) to 2.15 µm (1200°C).

![Figure 6.22: Effect of sintering temperature and ZnO addition on the average grain size of HA.](image)

6.2.5 Vickers Hardness and Fracture Toughness of Undoped and ZnO-doped Sintered HA

The effects of ZnO addition and sintering temperature on the Vickers hardness of sintered HA are shown in Figure 6.23. A general observation which can be made from Figure 6.23 is that the measured hardness of all the undoped and ZnO-doped HA revealed a similar trend where the hardness increases with increasing temperature,
consequently reaching the maximum hardness value at certain temperature; followed by a decrease with further increase of sintering temperature. For instance, the hardness of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA perked at 1150°C (5.63 GPa) and decrease drastically to 4.28 GPa at sintering temperature of 1300°C. On the other hand, the maximum hardness was obtained at higher sintering temperature (1200°C) by undoped, 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt% and 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA; recording value of 5.04 GPa, 5.12 GPa, 5.3 GPa and 5.37 GPa, respectively. Subsequent sintering caused deterioration or constant hardness values ranging from 4.22 GPa to 4.8 GPa as shown in Figure 6.23.

![Graph showing the effect of sintering temperature and ZnO addition on Vickers hardness of HA.](image)

**Figure 6.23: Effect of sintering temperature and ZnO addition on the Vickers hardness of HA.**

The beneficial effect of ZnO in improving the hardness of HA has been revealed. It could be perceived that at temperature from 1100°C to 1200°C, all the ZnO-doped HA samples demonstrated hardness superior to that of undoped HA. The maximum hardness achieved for all undoped and ZnO-doped HA is summarized in Table 6.3. In short, the addition of 0.5 wt% was found to be most effective as the sample obtained highest hardness values among all the samples as shown in Table 6.3.
The enhancement of hardness obtained from the 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA was due to the smaller grain size. Figure 6.24 shows the hardness of undoped and ZnO-doped HA ceramics as a function of the inverse square root of grain size. The values in the graph was reasonably fitted with a line ($R^2 = 0.9264$), which means that this dependence followed the Hall-Petch relationship (Wang et al., 2009) where hardness increased with decreasing grain size. The enhancement in the hardness of HA due to the smaller grain size was also observed by Veljovic et al. (2009), Ramesh et al., (2008) and Tekeli et al. (2006) as they stated that smaller grain size give more grain boundaries as per unit volume which acts as obstacle for plastic deformation.

![Figure 6.24: The dependence of the hardness of undoped and ZnO-doped HA on the inverse square root of grain size.](image)

**Table 6.3: A comparison of optimum Vickers hardness between undoped and ZnO-doped HA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZnO content (wt%)</th>
<th>Maximum Vickers Hardness (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undoped</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The fracture toughness variation with respect to the sintering temperature for undoped and ZnO-doped HA is shown in Figure 6.25. At low temperature of 1100°C, low fracture toughness values (0.97 – 1.06 MPam$^{1/2}$) were recorded for all the sintered samples which could be attributed to the weak grain boundaries of the HA matrix at low temperature (Champion, 2013). For undoped HA, no obvious trend or relationship could be observed between fracture toughness and sintering temperature (Figure 6.25) as fracture toughness fluctuated with temperatures.

Further analysis indicated that the fracture toughness variation of all the ZnO-doped HA samples exhibited similar trend to the variation of Vickers hardness (Figure 6.23) as fracture toughness met a plateau at certain temperature and thereby decrease with increasing temperature. For example, the fracture toughness of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA peaked at a maximum value (1.37 MPam$^{1/2}$) when sintered at 1150°C and decreased almost linearly with increasing temperature (>1150°C). Similarly, 0.1 wt% and 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA obtained maximum fracture toughness value of 1.06 MPam$^{1/2}$ and 1.19

![Figure 6.25: Effect of sintering temperature and ZnO addition on the fracture toughness of HA.](image-url)
MPam$^{1/2}$ respectively at 1150°C and then decrease. On the other hand, 0.3 wt% ZnO-doped HA obtain its maximum toughness value of 1.09 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1200°C and decreased with further sintering.

From Figure 6.25, low fracture toughness values were recorded for all the samples sintered at 1300°C. According to Buys et al. (1995), the decline in mechanical properties of HA are expected at higher temperatures above 1200°C mainly due to HA decomposition to TCP and CaO. The volume changes associated with the formation of these new secondary phases creates internal strain within the HA matrix. This was not the case in the present work since HA decomposition was not detected for all the ZnO-doped HA sintered at 1300°C and the drastic drop of fracture toughness observed could be associated to the increment in average grain size as shown in Figure 6.21. These large grains are believed to provide an easy path for crack propagation and hence lower fracture toughness (Ramesh et al., 2011).

### 6.2.6 Toughening Mechanism

The previous section has shown that 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA demonstrated the most enhanced hardness and fracture toughness as compared to other HA samples. Hence, the cracking behavior introduced by the Vickers indentation of the 1150°C sintered 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA (Figure 6.27) was compared to the pure HA (Figure 6.26). As shown in Figure 6.26, pure HA tends to propagate cracks in straight line where the deflection angle is barely observed due to the absence ZnO particles as obstacles. The overall path of crack propagation was completely linear, predominantly transgranular fracture mode. In contrast, the crack path of the 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA has significant crack deflection with a mixture of transgranular and intergranular fracture mode as shown in Figure 6.27. The toughening mechanism of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA can be summarized as the combine effects of crack deflection and crack bridging as shown in the magnified
image in Figure 6.28 and Figure 6.29, respectively. As for crack deflection (Figure 6.28), the crack cross the HA grains transgranularly and hit the intragranularly seated ZnO. This caused the crack to be deflected to the grain boundaries and continue to propagate intergranularly within the HA and ZnO grain boundaries. The high deflection angle of crack resulted in torturous crack path where higher fracture energy is needed for the crack to propagate. According to the following equation stated by Jang et al. (1996):

$$K_{IC} \propto \gamma_f^{1/2} \quad (6.1)$$

The fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) was directly proportional to the square root of fracture energy ($\gamma_f$), the higher fracture energy resulted from the crack deflection contributed to the enhanced toughening in the 0.5 wt % ZnO-doped HA. This toughening via crack deflection has been reported elsewhere (Faber & Evans, 1983; Wang & Shaw, 2009). The magnified image in Figure 6.29 showed the presence of crack bridging. The ZnO particulate bridges the two surface of crack, providing stress to counteract the applied stress and hence delay the crack propagation. The crack bridging and crack deflections as a result of ZnO addition were schematically depicted in Figure 6.30 (a) and Figure 6.30 (b).
Figure 6.26: SEM micrograph of the indentation crack paths of pure HA sintered at 1150°C.

Figure 6.27: SEM micrograph of indentation crack paths of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA sintered at 1150°C.
Figure 6.28: A close up view of the crack paths of Figure 6.27 indicated the crack deflection.

Figure 6.29: A close up view of the crack paths of Figure 6.27 indicated the crack bridging.
Figure 6.30: A schematic diagram of the proposed toughening mechanism: (a) crack bridging and (b) crack deflection.

In summary, the inclusion of ZnO into HA matrix is beneficial in terms of sinterability and mechanical properties without disrupting the phase stability of HA. 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA sintered at 1150°C was found to exhibit the highest hardness of 5.63 GPa and fracture toughness of 1.37 MPam$^{1/2}$. The higher mechanical properties of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA compared to other samples were attributed to the effectiveness of 0.5 wt% ZnO in suppressing the grain coarsening. Moreover, the high fracture toughness value recorded in the present work is very promising as other researchers who doped HA with different additives such as zirconia, titanium oxide, alumina and bismuth oxide (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2003; Champion et al., 1996; Ramesh et al., 2011) obtained fracture toughness less than 1.24 MPam$^{1/2}$. Further to that, zinc oxide was proved to improve the biological properties of calcium phosphate (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2014; Ishikawa et al., 2002; Ito et al., 2000), making it a potential candidate for clinical applications.
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

7.1 Conclusions

This study was carried out to evaluate the sinterability of HA powders synthesized via three different drying methods, i.e. oven drying (OD-HA), microwave drying (MD-HA) and freeze drying (FD-HA). Then, the effect of microwave sintering on the HA powder were evaluated and explained. The beneficial effect of adding ZnO on the sintering behaviour of HA particularly on the fracture toughness has also been evaluated. The following conclusions can be drawn from this present work.

1. Single phase pure HA powder were successfully synthesized by wet chemical method via oven drying, microwave drying and freeze drying as proved by XRD analysis and FTIR analysis.

2. EDX analysis showed that FD-HA had Ca/P ratio of 1.77, closer to the stoichiometry HA (Ca/P = 1.67) compared to MD-HA (Ca/P =1.85) and OD-HA (Ca/P = 1.90). However, it was found that the Ca/P ratio did not affect the phase stability of the HA powder as all the three drying methods produced pure HA.

3. FE-SEM micrographs proved that MD-HA and FD-HA consisted of small to large size agglomerates that made of HA particles in nanosize while OD-HA consisted of extremely large agglomerates.

4. It was found that both FD-HA and OD-HA demonstrated needle like morphology with specific surface area of ~ 97 m²/g. In comparison, MD-HA was in nanorod morphology accompanied with higher specific surface area of ~ 111 m²/g.
5. Microwave drying significantly reduced the time of HA synthesis and it was found that MD-HA exhibited higher thermal stability that OD-HA. MD-HA showed no sign of decomposition into tri-calcium phosphate, tetra tri-calcium phosphate and calcium oxide despite sintering at a high temperature of 1350°C. However, β-TCP peaks were detected from the OD-HA sintered at 1350°C. On the other hand, peak shifting was detected from the XRD profiles of FD-HA indicating the possibility of dehydroxylation.

6. MD-HA was found to be slightly more sinteractive than FD-HA and OD-HA particularly at low temperature range below 1150°C. The enhancement in the sinterability of synthesized HA at low temperature could be attributed to the nanorod morphology, homogenous distribution and the high specific surface area of MD-HA particles.

7. All the synthesized HA achieved a final sintered density of 96.5% to 98% of theoretical density at 1150°C - 1300°C regardless of drying methods.

8. All the HA recorded increasing grain size value as the sintering temperature increased. MD-HA sample demonstrated slower grain growth rate typically at 1050°C - 1200°C compared to FD-HA and OD-HA. However, as the temperature increased to 1350°C, MD-HA recorded the highest grain size of 10.85 µm compared to FD-HA (8.9 µm) and OD-HA (9.3 µm).

9. Throughout the sintering regime, it was found that the drying methods had minimal effects on the hardness of HA. All the HA samples exhibited similar trend whereby hardness increased to a maximum hardness value at certain temperature (i.e. 1150°C for FD-HA and OD-HA and 1200°C for MD-HA) and thereafter declined with further sintering. FD-HA and OD-HA recorded
maximum hardness values of 5.3 GPa and 5.12 GPa, respectively, at 1150°C while MD-HA recorded the maximum hardness of 5.04 GPa at 1200°C.

10. MD-HA possessed better fracture toughness when compared to both FD-HA and MD-HA throughout the sintering regime. The MD-HA achieved maximum fracture toughness of 1.16 MPam$^{1/2}$ at 1150°C. In comparison, both FD-HA and OD-HA could only achieved toughness values of 1.13 MPam$^{1/2}$ and 1 MPam$^{1/2}$, respectively at the similar temperature. The low fracture toughness value of OD-HA could be attributed to the inhomogenous grain distributions as indicated by the SEM analysis.

11. Further investigation indicated that the fracture toughness of sintered HA samples is dependent on the grain size of sintered HA where fracture toughness increase with a decrease in grain size. Hence, the MD-HA that recorded the smallest grain size of 0.691 µm at 1150°C recorded higher fracture toughness compared to FD-HA (1.023 µm) and OD-HA (0.974 µm) at the similar temperature.

12. The effects of microwave sintering (MWS) on the sinterability of MD-HA were investigated in terms of phase stability, sintered bulk densities, microstructure and grain size, hardness and fracture toughness. The study revealed that microwave sintering plays an important role in enhancing the densification and mechanical properties of HA and dense microwave sintered HA was produced at low temperature of 1050°C.

13. The study revealed that microwave sintering did not disrupt the phase stability of HA. Secondary phases such as TTCP, TCP and CaO were not presented in the compacts throughout the sintering range. Further to that, no peak shifting was
observed from XRD profiles regardless of sintering method indicated that dehydroxylation of HA did not occurred.

14. Both HA samples sintered by conventional pressureless sintering (CPS) and microwave sintering (MWS) exhibited similar trend where relative density increase with increasing temperature but at different densification rates. At low temperature range (below 1150°C), the density of MWS-HA was significantly higher than the CPS-HA. At low temperature of 950°C, ~89% densified MWS-HA was obtained and further sintering lead to highly densified MWS-HA; exhibited >97% of theoretical density when sintering temperature increased to temperature above 1100°C. In contrast, the CPS-HA displayed a relatively lower relative density value of 77.5% at 950°C and CPS-HA could only achieve relative density above 97% at high sintering temperature of 1200°C.

15. In both MWS-HA and CPS-HA, the grain size increase as the sintering temperature increases. The mean grain size of MWS-HA increased slowly from nanolevel (300 nm) to microlevel (~4.33 μm) with increasing temperature from 950°C to 1250°C. As for CPS-HA, the grain size couldn’t be measured at low 950°C and 1000°C as grains were hardly seen. Then, the grain size of CPS-HA increased by a factor of about 6.4 from ~691 nm to ~4.45 μm at sintering temperature of 1150°C and 1250°C, respectively.

16. The results showed that microwave sintering is effective in preventing grain growth while maintaining high density throughout the sintering regime and nano grain size was maintained up to 1100°C.

17. The results showed that the hardness values of MWS-HA are higher than CPS-HA at lower temperature range (950°C - 1100°C). However, similar hardness
values were recorded for both MWS-HA and CPS-HA sintered at 1150°C. Upon 1150°C, the hardness values of CPS-HA are higher than MWS-HA.

18. The results proved that microwave sintering has significantly enhance the fracture toughness of the HA. Fracture toughness value as high as 1.85 MPa.m^{1/2} was obtained from MWS-HA sintered at low temperature of 1050°C as compared to 1.16 MPa.m^{1/2} of CPS-HA sintered 1150°C.

19. The enhancement in the fracture toughness of microwave sintered HA was due to the combine effects of improved densification and restricted grain growth of HA during microwave sintering.

20. ZnO doping carried out in the current work had negligible effects on the phase stability of HA powders. The XRD traces indicated that the sintering of ZnO-doped HA (up to 1.0 wt% ZnO) did not result in the formation of secondary phases throughout the sintering range employed, thus indicating that the addition of ZnO did not interrupt the thermal stability of HA phase.

21. The bulk density variation of all the composition (undoped, 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt%, 0.5 wt%, 1.0 wt% of ZnO) possessed similar increasing trend with increasing sintering temperature. Higher content of ZnO appeared to be advantageous to the densification of HA since the 1.0 wt% ZnO-doped HA attained the highest relative density of ~99.13%; followed by 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA (99.12%), 0.3 wt% (98.64%), 0.1 wt% ZnO-doped HA (98.3 %) and the undoped HA achieved only 98.08% of relative density at 1250°C.

22. The beneficial effect of ZnO especially for the 0.5 wt% addition in enhancing the mechanical properties (hardness and fracture toughness) of HA has been revealed. The highest hardness value of 5.63 GPa was obtained for HA doped
with 0.5 wt% ZnO sintered at 1150°C. In addition, at the similar temperature, the 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA sample achieved the highest fracture toughness of 1.37 MPam$^{1/2}$. In contrast, undoped HA could only attained hardness of 4.75 GPa and 1.16 MPam$^{1/2}$ at the similar sintering temperature of 1150°C.

23. The improvement in the hardness of 0.5 wt% ZnO-doped HA compared to undoped HA is associated with a decreased in the grain size of the HA sintered body; correlated well with the Hall-Petch relationship. The smaller grain size gives more grain boundaries as per unit volume which acts as obstacle for plastic deformation.

24. The introduction of ZnO as dopants into the HA matrix is believed to change the transgranular fracture mode of the pure HA into the mix mode of transgranular and intergranular fracture. The ZnO seated intragranularly and intergranularly in the HA matrix deflected the crack, resulted in torturous crack path where higher fracture energy is needed for further crack propagation. As fracture toughness is directly proportional to the fracture energy, the fracture toughness of ZnO-doped HA was increased. Besides, the ZnO particles were found to bridge the two surfaces of cracks, delaying the crack propagation which in turn increased the fracture toughness of the sintered HA.

In conclusion, the objectives of the research were achieved as a rapid microwave drying method was incorporated to accelerate the synthesis process of HA without compromising the properties of HA. On the other hand, microwave sintering and the addition of ZnO were beneficial in enhancing the mechanical properties of HA, particularly on fracture toughness. A high fracture toughness of 1.85 MPam$^{1/2}$ was successfully obtained by sintering microwave dried HA pellets in microwave furnace at 1050°C.
7.2 Further Work

Following are some suggestions for further work to be done:

1. Raman spectroscopy studies would provide a more insightful detail on the dehydroxylation phenomenon and the relation to the XRD peak shifting of the current work.

2. The biocompatibility of the HA sintered samples can be examined using the simulated body fluid (SBF). The surface morphological changes of the HA samples upon immersion in the SBF could be determined by the scanning electron microscopy.

3. The use of more sophisticated equipment such as transmission electron microscope (TEM) could be used to investigate the microstructure of the sintered HA compacts as the resulting microstructure of sintered HA could affect the mechanical properties of HA.

4. Other powder consolidation techniques such as two step sintering, spark plasma sintering, hot pressing and hot isostatic pressing could be applied to produce HA with high density and high mechanical properties. Besides, a comparison of the sinterability of ZnO-doped HA obtained from these advanced sintering techniques could be interesting.

5. The influences of other sintering additives such as magnesium oxide, titanium oxide, zirconia, alumina etc on the mechanical properties of sintered HA should also be investigated.
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INSTRUMENTATION

Figure A-1: Drying Oven

Figure A-2: Microwave Oven
Figure A-3: Freeze Dryer

Figure A-4: Table Top Ball Milling
Figure A-5: Ultrasonic Bath Machine

Figure A-6: Uniaxial Press
Figure A-7: Cold Isostatic Press

Figure A-8: Convetional Sintering Furnace
Figure A-9: Microwave Sintering Furnace

Figure A-10: Grinding and Polishing Machine
Figure A-11: Vickers Hardness Indenter

Figure A-12: XRD Machine
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APPENDIX B

WATER DENSITY TABLE

As a Function of Water Temperature

(Source: Operating Instruction, Density Determination Kit, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland, Manual No. P706039, pp. 14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°C</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>0.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.99973</td>
<td>0.99972</td>
<td>0.99971</td>
<td>0.99970</td>
<td>0.99968</td>
<td>0.99966</td>
<td>0.99965</td>
<td>0.99965</td>
<td>0.99966</td>
<td>0.99967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.99963</td>
<td>0.99962</td>
<td>0.99961</td>
<td>0.99960</td>
<td>0.99959</td>
<td>0.99958</td>
<td>0.99956</td>
<td>0.99956</td>
<td>0.99956</td>
<td>0.99957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.99953</td>
<td>0.99951</td>
<td>0.99950</td>
<td>0.99949</td>
<td>0.99948</td>
<td>0.99947</td>
<td>0.99946</td>
<td>0.99946</td>
<td>0.99946</td>
<td>0.99947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.99941</td>
<td>0.99939</td>
<td>0.99938</td>
<td>0.99937</td>
<td>0.99936</td>
<td>0.99935</td>
<td>0.99934</td>
<td>0.99934</td>
<td>0.99934</td>
<td>0.99935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.99927</td>
<td>0.99926</td>
<td>0.99925</td>
<td>0.99924</td>
<td>0.99923</td>
<td>0.99922</td>
<td>0.99921</td>
<td>0.99921</td>
<td>0.99921</td>
<td>0.99922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.99913</td>
<td>0.99911</td>
<td>0.99910</td>
<td>0.99909</td>
<td>0.99908</td>
<td>0.99907</td>
<td>0.99906</td>
<td>0.99906</td>
<td>0.99906</td>
<td>0.99907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.99897</td>
<td>0.99896</td>
<td>0.99894</td>
<td>0.99893</td>
<td>0.99892</td>
<td>0.99891</td>
<td>0.99890</td>
<td>0.99890</td>
<td>0.99890</td>
<td>0.99891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.99880</td>
<td>0.99879</td>
<td>0.99878</td>
<td>0.99877</td>
<td>0.99876</td>
<td>0.99875</td>
<td>0.99874</td>
<td>0.99874</td>
<td>0.99874</td>
<td>0.99875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.99862</td>
<td>0.99860</td>
<td>0.99859</td>
<td>0.99858</td>
<td>0.99857</td>
<td>0.99856</td>
<td>0.99855</td>
<td>0.99855</td>
<td>0.99855</td>
<td>0.99856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.99843</td>
<td>0.99841</td>
<td>0.99840</td>
<td>0.99839</td>
<td>0.99838</td>
<td>0.99836</td>
<td>0.99835</td>
<td>0.99835</td>
<td>0.99835</td>
<td>0.99836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.99823</td>
<td>0.99821</td>
<td>0.99820</td>
<td>0.99819</td>
<td>0.99818</td>
<td>0.99817</td>
<td>0.99815</td>
<td>0.99815</td>
<td>0.99815</td>
<td>0.99816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.99802</td>
<td>0.99800</td>
<td>0.99799</td>
<td>0.99798</td>
<td>0.99797</td>
<td>0.99796</td>
<td>0.99795</td>
<td>0.99795</td>
<td>0.99795</td>
<td>0.99796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.99780</td>
<td>0.99777</td>
<td>0.99775</td>
<td>0.99774</td>
<td>0.99773</td>
<td>0.99772</td>
<td>0.99771</td>
<td>0.99771</td>
<td>0.99771</td>
<td>0.99772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.99755</td>
<td>0.99754</td>
<td>0.99752</td>
<td>0.99751</td>
<td>0.99749</td>
<td>0.99748</td>
<td>0.99747</td>
<td>0.99747</td>
<td>0.99747</td>
<td>0.99748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.99732</td>
<td>0.99730</td>
<td>0.99729</td>
<td>0.99728</td>
<td>0.99727</td>
<td>0.99726</td>
<td>0.99725</td>
<td>0.99725</td>
<td>0.99725</td>
<td>0.99726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.99707</td>
<td>0.99704</td>
<td>0.99702</td>
<td>0.99701</td>
<td>0.99699</td>
<td>0.99698</td>
<td>0.99697</td>
<td>0.99697</td>
<td>0.99697</td>
<td>0.99698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.99681</td>
<td>0.99678</td>
<td>0.99676</td>
<td>0.99675</td>
<td>0.99673</td>
<td>0.99672</td>
<td>0.99671</td>
<td>0.99671</td>
<td>0.99671</td>
<td>0.99672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.99654</td>
<td>0.99651</td>
<td>0.99648</td>
<td>0.99646</td>
<td>0.99644</td>
<td>0.99643</td>
<td>0.99642</td>
<td>0.99642</td>
<td>0.99642</td>
<td>0.99643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.99626</td>
<td>0.99623</td>
<td>0.99620</td>
<td>0.99617</td>
<td>0.99614</td>
<td>0.99612</td>
<td>0.99611</td>
<td>0.99611</td>
<td>0.99611</td>
<td>0.99612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.99597</td>
<td>0.99594</td>
<td>0.99591</td>
<td>0.99588</td>
<td>0.99586</td>
<td>0.99585</td>
<td>0.99583</td>
<td>0.99583</td>
<td>0.99583</td>
<td>0.99584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.99567</td>
<td>0.99564</td>
<td>0.99561</td>
<td>0.99558</td>
<td>0.99556</td>
<td>0.99555</td>
<td>0.99553</td>
<td>0.99553</td>
<td>0.99553</td>
<td>0.99554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure B-1: Water Density Table
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JCPDS FILES

Name and formula
Reference code: 01-074-0565
Mineral name: Hydroxyapatite
Compound name: Calcium Hydroxide Phosphate
Empirical formula: Ca_{10}H_{2}O_{28}P_{6}
Chemical formula: Ca_{10} (PO_{4})_{6} (OH)_{2}

Crystallographic parameters
Crystal system: Hexagonal
Space group: P6_3/m
Space group number: 176
a (Å): 9.4240
b (Å): 9.4240
c (Å): 6.8790
Alpha (°): 90.0000
Beta (°): 90.0000
Gamma (°): 120.0000
Calculated density (g/cm^3): 3.15
Measured density (g/cm^3): 3.06
Volume of cell (Å^3): 529.09
Z: 1
RIR: 1.06

Subfiles and quality
Subfiles: ICSID Pattern
Inorganic
Mineral
Quality: Calculated (C)

Comments
Creation Date: 1/1/1970
Modification Date: 1/1/1970
ICSD Collection Code: 026234
Test from ICSD: Calc. density unusual but tolerable
Sample Source or Locality: Specimen from Holly Springs, Georgia, USA
Additional Patterns: See PDF 00-009-0432, 01-072-1243 and 01-074-0566
Significant Precision in Crystal Structural Details: Holly Springs Hydroxyapatite.

References
Primary reference: Calculated from ICSID using PCMD-12++, (1997)

Peak list
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>d [Å]</th>
<th>2θ [deg]</th>
<th>I [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.16142</td>
<td>10.802</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.25985</td>
<td>16.042</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.71200</td>
<td>18.017</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.05071</td>
<td>21.762</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.88745</td>
<td>22.885</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.50956</td>
<td>25.357</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.43550</td>
<td>25.883</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.16953</td>
<td>28.131</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00473</td>
<td>26.921</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.81487</td>
<td>31.766</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.77811</td>
<td>32.195</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.72047</td>
<td>32.697</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.62392</td>
<td>34.063</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.52988</td>
<td>35.455</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.35000</td>
<td>38.168</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.29645</td>
<td>39.197</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.26387</td>
<td>39.791</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.22690</td>
<td>40.436</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.20753</td>
<td>40.845</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.15016</td>
<td>41.986</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.13372</td>
<td>42.325</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.06183</td>
<td>43.876</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.04036</td>
<td>44.862</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.99502</td>
<td>45.330</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.96142</td>
<td>46.381</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.94737</td>
<td>46.939</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.88084</td>
<td>48.001</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.87238</td>
<td>48.558</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.84027</td>
<td>49.490</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.80563</td>
<td>50.475</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.78097</td>
<td>51.285</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75482</td>
<td>52.075</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.71975</td>
<td>53.220</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.68280</td>
<td>54.484</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.64449</td>
<td>55.863</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.63228</td>
<td>56.318</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.61089</td>
<td>57.134</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.53019</td>
<td>58.027</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.58477</td>
<td>58.164</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.58153</td>
<td>58.295</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.57067</td>
<td>59.737</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.54236</td>
<td>59.925</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.53266</td>
<td>60.404</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.50500</td>
<td>61.571</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.50209</td>
<td>61.704</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.47465</td>
<td>62.982</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.46503</td>
<td>63.404</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.45365</td>
<td>63.998</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.45028</td>
<td>64.165</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.43565</td>
<td>65.000</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.40855</td>
<td>66.412</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.38006</td>
<td>67.359</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.36536</td>
<td>66.461</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.36024</td>
<td>68.985</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.35666</td>
<td>69.193</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.34546</td>
<td>69.573</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.34173</td>
<td>70.075</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.33140</td>
<td>70.517</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elem.</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Biso</th>
<th>sof</th>
<th>Wych.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.06000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>O1</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.19500</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>9e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.24600</td>
<td>0.25340</td>
<td>0.25000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>6h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CA2</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.33333</td>
<td>0.66667</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>4e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.39870</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.25000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>6h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>O2</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.34370</td>
<td>0.08560</td>
<td>0.07020</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>12l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>O3</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.58730</td>
<td>0.12220</td>
<td>0.25000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>6h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>O4</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.15640</td>
<td>0.48960</td>
<td>0.25000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>6h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stick Pattern
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Figure C-1: JCPDS No. 74-566 for HA
Name and formula

Reference code: 00-001-0667
Compound name: Calcium Phosphate
Empirical formula: Ca₂O₇P₂
Chemical formula: Ca₁₀(PO₄)₇

Crystallographic parameters

Crystal system: Orthorhombic
a (Å): 8.5240
b (Å): 12.6150
c (Å): 5.3090
Alpha (°): 90.0000
Beta (°): 90.0000
Gamma (°): 90.0000

Calculated density (g/cm³): 2.95
Measured density (g/cm³): 2.83
Volume of cell (Å³ pm³): 572.28
Z: 4

RIR: -

Subfiles and quality

Subfiles: Common Phase
            Forensic
            Inorganic
Quality: Indexed (I)

Comments

Creation Date: 1/1/1970
Modification Date: 1/1/1970
Optical Data: a=1.594, b=1.599, c=1.605, Sign=+, 2V=50°
Melling Point: 1350°C
Sample Preparation: Sample obtained by heating CaHPO₄·2H₂O. Transition point 1140°C (Hill, tibid.)
Additional References: To replace 00-001-0667.

References

Primary reference: de Wolff, P., Technisch Physische Dienst, Delft, The Netherlands, JCOD Grannin-And

Peak list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>d [Å]</th>
<th>2Theta [deg]</th>
<th>I [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.06000</td>
<td>12.828</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.31000</td>
<td>14.024</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.030000</td>
<td>17.443</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.900000</td>
<td>18.056</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.500000</td>
<td>19.713</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.260000</td>
<td>20.835</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.780000</td>
<td>23.817</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.670000</td>
<td>24.333</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.310000</td>
<td>28.208</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.320000</td>
<td>26.832</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.000000</td>
<td>26.998</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.210000</td>
<td>27.769</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.160000</td>
<td>28.218</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.090000</td>
<td>20.967</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.996000</td>
<td>29.797</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.964000</td>
<td>30.127</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.963000</td>
<td>30.197</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.771000</td>
<td>32.280</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.655000</td>
<td>33.732</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.610000</td>
<td>34.331</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.590000</td>
<td>34.605</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.532000</td>
<td>35.322</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.508000</td>
<td>35.805</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.490000</td>
<td>36.101</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.435000</td>
<td>36.587</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.424000</td>
<td>37.058</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.359000</td>
<td>39.201</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.139000</td>
<td>38.628</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.020000</td>
<td>39.104</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.250000</td>
<td>39.988</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.190000</td>
<td>40.625</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.200000</td>
<td>40.375</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.130000</td>
<td>41.524</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.154000</td>
<td>41.907</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.132000</td>
<td>42.570</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.108000</td>
<td>42.367</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.102000</td>
<td>42.995</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.046000</td>
<td>44.233</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.998000</td>
<td>45.595</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.977000</td>
<td>45.063</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.963000</td>
<td>46.209</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.953000</td>
<td>46.458</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.940000</td>
<td>46.789</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.910000</td>
<td>47.569</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.083000</td>
<td>40.158</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.085000</td>
<td>49.071</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.034000</td>
<td>49.671</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.790000</td>
<td>50.970</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.780000</td>
<td>51.285</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.764000</td>
<td>51.784</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.752000</td>
<td>52.166</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.732000</td>
<td>52.344</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.731000</td>
<td>53.514</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.677000</td>
<td>54.668</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.663000</td>
<td>55.187</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.647000</td>
<td>55.770</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.635000</td>
<td>56.218</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.631000</td>
<td>56.366</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.623000</td>
<td>56.669</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.611000</td>
<td>57.129</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.607000</td>
<td>57.285</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.598000</td>
<td>58.115</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C-2: JCPDS No. 9-345 for α-TCP
Name and formula

Reference code: 00-009-0159
Mineral name: Whittackite, syn
Compound name: Calcium Phosphate
Empirical formula: Ca₃O₈F₂
Chemical formula: Ca₃(PO₄)₂

Crystallographic parameters

Crystal system: Rhombohedral
Space group: R-3c
Space group number: 167
a (Å): 10.4290
b (Å): 10.4290
c (Å): 37.3900
Alpha (°): 90.0000
Beta (°): 90.0000
Gamma (°): 120.0000
Calculated density (g/cm³): 3.07
Measured density (g/cm³): 3.12
Volume of cell (Å³): 3520.91
Z: 21.00
RRR: -

Subfiles and quality

Subfiles: Common Phase
Corrosion
Educational pattern
Forensic
Inorganic
Mineral
Quality: Indexed ()

Comments

Color: Colorless, white, gray, yellow
Creation Date: 1/1/1970
Modification Date: 1/1/1970
Color: Colorless, white, gray, yellow
Optical Data: λ=1.625, β=1.629, Sign=-
Sample Source or Locality: Sample obtained by heating a commercial sample
Structure: Nearly euctectial with calcite
Additional Patterns: Validated by calculated pattern 00-042-0077
Additional Patterns: See ICSD 6191 (PDF 01-072-2265).

References
### Peak list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>d (Å)</th>
<th>2θ (deg)</th>
<th>I [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.150000</td>
<td>10.647</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.490000</td>
<td>13.833</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.220000</td>
<td>14.228</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.210000</td>
<td>17.005</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.800000</td>
<td>18.469</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.390000</td>
<td>20.212</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.150000</td>
<td>21.394</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.060000</td>
<td>21.876</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.000000</td>
<td>22.206</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.450000</td>
<td>25.603</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.400000</td>
<td>26.189</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>26.507</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.250000</td>
<td>27.421</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.230000</td>
<td>27.769</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.160000</td>
<td>28.481</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.030000</td>
<td>29.655</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.880000</td>
<td>31.027</td>
<td>109.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.757000</td>
<td>32.449</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.710000</td>
<td>33.027</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.674000</td>
<td>33.405</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.607000</td>
<td>34.372</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.562000</td>
<td>34.995</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.553000</td>
<td>35.122</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.520000</td>
<td>35.590</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.499000</td>
<td>35.907</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.407000</td>
<td>37.329</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.375000</td>
<td>37.852</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.263000</td>
<td>39.391</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.249000</td>
<td>40.059</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.241000</td>
<td>40.309</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.195000</td>
<td>41.089</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.186000</td>
<td>41.685</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.130000</td>
<td>42.974</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.076000</td>
<td>43.361</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.066000</td>
<td>43.738</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.061000</td>
<td>43.894</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.033000</td>
<td>44.531</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.022000</td>
<td>44.763</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.017000</td>
<td>44.903</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>45.208</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.970000</td>
<td>46.015</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.946000</td>
<td>46.436</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.933000</td>
<td>46.569</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.895000</td>
<td>47.959</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.879000</td>
<td>48.404</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.830000</td>
<td>49.787</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.812000</td>
<td>50.315</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.798000</td>
<td>50.715</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.781000</td>
<td>51.254</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.774000</td>
<td>51.472</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.736000</td>
<td>52.610</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C-3: JCPDS No. 9-169 for β-TCP
Name and formula

Reference code: 00-011-0232

Compound name: Calcium Phosphate

Empirical formula: Ca₅Ca₂P₂
Chemical formula: Ca₅P₂O₉

Crystallographic parameters

Crystal system: Unknown

RIR:

- Status, subfiles and quality

Status: Marked as deleted by ICDD
Subfiles: Inorganic
Quality: Low precision (C)

Comments

Creation Date: 1/1/1970
Modification Date: 1/1/1970
Deleted Or Rejected By: Deleted by 25-1137.

References


Peak list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>d [Å]</th>
<th>2Theta [deg]</th>
<th>I [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060800</td>
<td>21.874</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>23.080</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>24.289</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>25.502</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>25.502</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>25.502</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>25.502</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>25.502</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>25.502</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>24.289</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.060000</td>
<td>24.289</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.980000</td>
<td>29.991</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.800000</td>
<td>31.037</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.770000</td>
<td>32.292</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.770000</td>
<td>32.292</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.650000</td>
<td>34.196</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.650000</td>
<td>34.196</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C-4: JCPDS No. 11-232 for TTCP

Stick Pattern

Intensity [%]

Ref. Pattern: Calcium Phosphate, 00-041-0232

Position [$\theta$] (Copper (Cu))
Name and formula

Reference code: 00-046-1467

Compound name: Calcium Oxide

Empirical formula: CaO
Chemical formula: CaO

Crystallographic parameters

Crystal system: Cubic
Space group: Pm-3m
Space group number: 225

a (Å): 4.8306
b (Å): 4.8306
c (Å): 4.8306
Alpha (°): 90.0000
Beta (°): 90.0000
Gamma (°): 90.0000

Calculated density (g/cm^3): 3.35
Volume of cell (Å^3): 111.33
Z: 4

RIR: 4.45

Subfiles and quality

Subfiles: Alloy, metal or intermetallic
Inorganic
Pharmaceutical
Superconducting Material

Quality: Calculated (C)

Comments

Creation Date: 1/1/1970
Modification Date: 1/1/1970
Additional Patterns: See also 00-037-1497.

References


Peak list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>d  [Å]</th>
<th>2Theta [deg]</th>
<th>I [I]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.97971</td>
<td>32.0000</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.40566</td>
<td>37.360</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.70081</td>
<td>53.660</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.45038</td>
<td>64.160</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C-5: JCPDS No. 48-1467 for CaO