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ABSTRACT

It is widely known that chitosan is not soluble in common organic solvents. Hence there is a need to increase its solubility in a wider range of solvents. To do this, the chitosan biopolymer has been modified by the process of phthaloylation to form N-phthaloyl chitosan (PhCh) by reacting phthalic anhydride with chitosan in dimethylformamide (DMF). The chitosan derivatives, PhCh, can dissolve in DMF, DMSO, DMAc and pyridine. Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR) and proton nuclear magnetic resonance (^1H NMR) spectroscopies were used to confirm the PhCh formation and structure. The phthalimido and aromatic peaks of PhCh were seen at 1772, 1708 and 719 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, and two sets of peaks from ^1H NMR centered at 3.0 and 7.5 ppm verified that chitosan has been phthaloylated. The PhCh-based gel polymer electrolytes (GPE) consist of ethylene carbonate (EC), and DMF with different contents of tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI) and iodine. X-ray diffraction studies reveal that addition of tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI) further reduced the crystallinity of the PhCh. FTIR spectroscopy showed the interaction between polymer, plasticizer and salt. GPE comprising of PhCh : EC : DMF : TPAI : I\(_2\) in wt.% ratio of 12.0 : 36.1 : 36.1 : 14.4 : 1.4 exhibited the highest conductivity of 5.46 mS cm\(^{-1}\) at 30 °C. When used in dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC), it gave the best performance with the efficiency of 5.0 %, \(J_{SC}\) of 12.72 mA cm\(^{-2}\), \(V_{OC}\) of 0.60 V and fill factor of 0.66. To further improve the efficiency of the solar cell, lithium iodide (LiI) has been added to the PhCh-based electrolyte. The efficiency improved to 6.36 %, with the \(J_{SC}\) of 17.29 mA cm\(^{-2}\), \(V_{OC}\) of 0.59 V and fill factor of 0.62. Addition of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (BMII) ionic liquid to the electrolyte enhanced the DSSC efficiency to 6.69 % with the \(J_{SC}\) of 16.53 mA cm\(^{-2}\), \(V_{OC}\) of 0.62 V and fill factor of 0.65.
ABSTRAK

Secara meluas diketahui bahawa kitosan tidak larut dalam pelarut organik. Oleh itu, terdapat keperluan untuk meningkatkan kelarutan dalam julat yang lebih luas. Untuk itu, biopolimer kitosan telah diubah suai dengan proses “phthaloylation” untuk membentuk N-phthaloylchitosan (PhCh) dengan menindakbalaskan kitosan dengan acetic phthalic di dalam dimethylformamide (DMF). Derivatif chitosan, PhCh, boleh larut didalam DMF, DMSO, DMAc dan piridina. Fourier infra-merah (FTIR) dan proton resonans magnetik nuklear (¹H NMR) spektroskopi telah digunakan untuk mengesahkan struktur PhCh. Puncak bagi phthalimido dan aromatik PhCh terdapat masing-masing pada 1772, 1708 dan 719 cm⁻¹ dan dua set puncak dari ¹H NMR berpusat di 3.0 dan 7.5 ppm mengesahkan pembentukan PhCh. Elektrolit gel polimer (GPE) berdasarkan PhCh terdiri daripada etilena karbonat (EC), DMF, pelbagai kandungan tetrapropylammonium iodida (TPAI) dan iodin. Kajian pembelauan sinar-X menunjukkan bahawa penambahan tetrapropylammonium iodida (TPAI) terus mengurangkan crystallinity dalam PhCh. FTIR spektroskopi menunjukkan interaksi antara polimer, plasticizer dan garam. GPE yang terdiri daripada PhCh: EC: DMF: TPAI: I₂ dalam nisbah wt.% 12.0: 36.1: 36.1: 14.4: 1.4 menunjukkan kekonduksian tertinggi 5.46 mS cm⁻¹ pada 30 °C. Apabila digunakan dalam pewarna peka sel solar (DSSC), ia memberikan persembahan yang terbaik dengan kecekapan sebanyak 5.0%, \(J_{SC}\) sebanyak 12.72 mA cm⁻², \(V_{OC}\) 0.60 V dan isi faktor 0.66. Untuk meningkatkan lagi kecekapan sel solar, lithium iodida (LiI) telah ditambah kepada elektrolit. Kecekapan meningkat kepada 6.36%, dengan \(J_{SC}\) 17.29 mA cm⁻², \(V_{OC}\) 0.59 V dan isi faktor 0.62. Dengan penambahan ceceair ionik 1-butil-3-methylimidazolium iodida (BMII) kepada elektrolit, kecekapan DSSC terus meningkat kepada 6.69% dengan \(J_{SC}\) sebanyak 16.53 mA cm⁻², \(V_{OC}\) sebanyak 0.62 V dan isi faktor 0.65.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation

Numerous polymers have been used as the host to ionic conduction. However, most of the polymers are synthetic. Examples are polyethylene oxide (PEO) (Das & Ghosh, 2015; Karan et al., 2008; Karmakar & Ghosh, 2012), polystyrene (PS) (Rohan et al., 2014), polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) (Jeong et al., 2016; Mack et al., 2016; Rofaiel et al., 2012) and polyvinylchloride (PVC) (Ramesh & Arof, 2000, Ramesh & Arof, 2001; Ramesh et al., 2007; Ramesh et al., 2002a). Synthetic polymers are detrimental to the environment as it is costly to recycle and has poor degradability, eventually finding its way into the ground soil and as far as the oceans as toxic waste pollutants.

It is these problems that have motivated researchers to turn towards biopolymers. Among many potential biopolymers, chitosan is of particular interest as it exhibits a polyelectrolyte nature due to the protonated NH$_2$ amino group in its backbone (Klotzbach et al., 2006; Payne & Raghavan, 2007; Wan et al., 2003). Chitosan is derived from hydrolysis of acetamide groups through alkaline treatment of chitin, the second most abundant natural polymer. However, chitosan is soluble only in dilute acidic solutions but not in organic solvents. For the purpose of electrochemical devices with metal components, usage of aqueous media would limit the lifespan and usability of the device due to corrosion of the metal parts. Thus, it has become a necessity for chitosan to be modified to meet the requirements of non-aqueous solvent compatibility.

In this work, chitosan has been modified using phthalic anhydride for N-phthaloylchitosan (PhCh) production. N-phthaloylchitosan is soluble in DMF, dimethylacetamide (DMAc), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and pyridine (Kurita et al., 2003; Kurita et al., 2001; Kurita et al., 2005; Yoksan et al., 2001). The ability to dissolve in organic solvents is due to the presence of a new hydrophobic phthaloyl group along
the chitosan backbone that prevents the formation of hydrogen bonding between the solvents and the amino and hydroxyl groups in chitosan.

Chitosan has previously been used as a host for ionic conduction but in the form of solid polymer electrolytes (Khiar et al., 2006; Majid & Arof, 2005; Osman & Arof, 2003). Solid polymer electrolytes have good mechanical strength, electrochemical stability, and ease of fabrication into devices. However, its main drawback is that its ionic conductivity is not as high enough to be used in some application such as batteries. Before the emergence of solid polymer electrolytes, liquid electrolytes are used in electrochemical devices. Although liquid electrolytes have the advantage of higher ionic conductivities over solid polymer electrolytes, it does possess some weaknesses: it is prone to leakage, evaporation of the solvents, corrosion and electrochemical instability at high temperatures, all of which does not favour applications in devices and can harm the environment.

Stepping up to the challenge of combining the best properties from both solid and liquid electrolytes and without the weaknesses of either, many researchers have paved the way to arrive at a new class of material: the gel polymer electrolytes (GPE). The GPE can be considered as a liquid electrolyte trapped inside a polymer matrix and has conductivity that is liquid-like ionic (Arof et al., 2014a). Several polymer hosts have been used in the fabrication of GPE-based DSSC such as polyaniline (PAN) (Arof et al., 2013; Bandara et al., 2010a; Bandara et al., 2010b; Bandara et al., 2013; Dissanayake et al., 2002; Dissanayake et al., 2012), polyethylene oxide (PEO) (Bandara et al., 2012), polyvinyl acetate (PVA) (Arof et al., 2014b; Aziz et al., 2014), and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVdF) (Arof et al., 2014a).

However, this work looks into the potential of PhCh as a host for GPEs. In order to test the strength of the PhCh-based gel polymer electrolytes, it has been used as an electrolyte in DSSC. Why solar cell? This is because energy consumption is increasing
from year to year and with rapid modernization the technology is becoming increasingly sophisticated technology (Maçaira et al., 2013). Energy resources can be divided into two parts, namely non-renewable and renewable as shown in Figure 1.1.

![Energy Resources Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.1:** Energy resources.

Much of the electricity we currently use is generated from fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas. Many issues arise from this matter, among which is the shortage of this non-renewable resource due to its high demand and usage. In addition, there is no solid guarantee against these resources since they are supplied by limited countries and its price in the market is also volatile. Several of the most well-known harmful gases released into the environment originates from the combustion of fossil fuels. These are nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$), sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$), carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$). NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ which are acidic gases will dissolve in the rain to form acid rain that can damage buildings, plants and kill aquatic life. CO will react with haemoglobin in the blood and prevents it from carrying oxygen around the body resulting
in oxygen starvation. Initially, CO₂ is useful to us where it traps heat around the earth. However, earth experiences exceptional warmth due to the excessive CO₂ released into the environment. This leads to climate change, such as the melting of ice at the poles, seawater levels rising and experts predict more storms, drought, floods and famine.

In order to cut back on the amount of CO₂ released and thus slow down global warming, another alternative energy source such as nuclear energy has been introduced. Nuclear energy has two big advantages in that it gives out huge amounts of energy; a pellet of nuclear fuel the size of a pea can give as much energy as a tonne of coal and no CO₂ or other greenhouse gases are produced. However, this energy source is based on nuclear fission which produces dangerous unstable atoms or radioisotopes. An explosion in a nuclear power station could pollute a large area with radiation. Nuclear energy is unfortunately not renewable.

Therefore, much effort is focused on renewable energy resources which mankind will depend more on in the future. Thus, there is a push to switch to a clean, free and extremely reliable source of power i.e. solar energy. A solar cell is a device that directly converts sunlight into electrical energy through the photovoltaic process. There are many types of solar cells, namely, silicon solar cell, perovskite solar cell, cadmium telluride solar cell, organic solar cell, quantum dot solar cell and dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC). Among many kinds of solar cells, DSSC has been selected for this research because it has several advantages such as it can achieve high sunlight to electrical energy conversion efficiency with low cost and is easy to fabricate. The first DSSC was introduced by O'Regan & Gratzel (1991) and is made up of three main components, namely, the photoactive electrode, electrolytes and a Pt counter electrode.
In this work, the effect of double salts and ionic liquid in the GPEs on the cell parameters was investigated, with the goal to improve the efficiency of the DSSC. Iodide salts with bulky cations will be utilized. The bulky cations are expected to reduce cationic conductivity and thereby enhance the iodide ion conductivity and transference number in the electrolytes. In order to enhance the efficiency of the DSSCs, a mixture of two iodide salts has been applied in the gel polymer electrolytes. The mixed iodide salts one of bulky cation and the other small cation were used to supply the required iodide ion conductivity. The presence of the small cations with high charge density are expected to contribute towards better photo-generation of electrons and their faster transfer across the dye-TiO$_2$ interface (Arof et al., 2014a). Other efforts that has been done to increase the efficiency of the DSSC is by adding small portions of ionic liquids in the solid polymer electrolytes (Singh et al., 2011). In the present study, the effects of single cation, mixed cations and addition of BMII in PhCh-based GPEs in the improvement of DSSC performance was explored.

1.2. **Objectives of the present investigation**

1. To improve the solubility of chitosan in polar aprotic solvents by modifying it via phthaloylation process.

2. To produce a highly efficient DSSC by optimizing the PhCh-based gel polymer host using various masses of tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI).

3. To investigate the effects of mixed cation salts system in GPEs towards the efficiency of the DSSC.

4. To optimize the weight percentages of BMII ionic liquid to be added in the mixed cation GPEs in order to further enhance the efficiency of the DSSC.
1.3. **Scope of research work**

The progress on phthaloylchitosan, quasi-solid polymeric ionic conductors and dye-sensitized solar cells are reviewed in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 will discuss the experimental procedures for the (i) modification and verification of chitosan and (ii) characterisation of the PhCh gel polymer electrolytes (GPEs). This chapter ends with the fabrication of DSSCs using the PhCh based electrolytes and cis-bis(4,4’-dicarboxy-2,2’-bipyridine)dithiocyanato ruthenium(II) (N3) dye as the sensitizer. Chapter 4 presents the results obtained from this study. This chapter comprises of four parts. The first one is the verification of the modified chitosan structural and its physical properties including its crystallinity and solubility. The second part discuss the phthaloylchitosan as the polymer host in GPE. The effect of the salts on dye sensitized solar cell efficiency, conductivity behavior and polymer-salt interaction will be discussed in this chapter. The third part includes the effects of mixed cations in the gel polymer electrolyte towards the DSSC. The last part of this chapter discusses the results of introducing small amounts of ionic liquid to the GPE in order to further improve the efficiency of DSSC. The work will be concluded in Chapter 5. The flow chart in Figure 1.2 summarizes the current work.
1.4. Outline of the research

**Chitosan**

**N-Phthaloylchitosan (PhCh)**
- Characterization
  - FTIR
  - $^1$H NMR
  - XRD
  - Solubility

**PhCh-Tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI)**
- Effects of single salt
  - Characterization
    - Dye-sensitized solar cell
    - Ionic Conductivity
      - FTIR
      - Crystallinity

**PhCh-TPAI-Lithium iodide (LiI)**
- Effects of mixed cations
  - Characterization
    - Dye-sensitized solar cell
    - Ionic Conductivity
      - FTIR
      - Crystallinity

**PhCh-TPAI-LiI-1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (BMII)**
- Effects of ionic liquid
  - Characterization
    - Dye-sensitized solar cell
    - Ionic Conductivity
      - FTIR
      - Crystallinity

*Figure 1.2: Flow chart of current work.*
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. **Introduction of Biopolymer**

A polymer is a substance that contains large molecules that is formed from many small molecules or monomers joined together. There are two types of polymers, natural and synthetic polymers. Examples of synthetic polymers include polyethylene oxide (PEO), polystyrene (PS), polyamide (PA) and polyvinylchloride (PVC). These show some good properties such as being light-weight, strong and can be molded into shape without breaking. However, this type of polymer which is mostly made from chemicals found in the naptha fraction of oil is unreactive as they are not affected by air, water, acids or other chemicals. This matter creates a problem since they do not break down or rot away, thus it will be difficult to decompose and resulting it to be costly to recycle.

Hence, the use of natural polymers especially biopolymers has re-emerged in the industry (Chaisorn et al., 2016; de Léis et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2017). Biopolymers have two significant advantages which are (1) it is a renewable resource and (2) it is biodegradable. Since nature has been busy producing natural polymers for millions of years, it has existed abundantly: carbohydrate is an example. Carbohydrate is an important naturally occurring substances that can be found in plants and animals. Figure 2.1 summarizes the classifies carbohydrates into simple and complex carbohydrates. Simple carbohydrates consist only of monosaccharides, which is single sugar such as glucose, $C_6H_{12}O_6$. By linking together two sugar units, for example, glucose and fructose, $C_6H_{12}O_6$, disaccharide sucrose, $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$, is obtained. Polysaccharides contain a large number of monosaccharide units joined together by glycosidic linkages.
2.1.1. Chitosan

Chitosan, a linear amino polysaccharide, has attracted the hearts of many researchers among the multitude of polysaccharides due to it being non-toxic, non-immunogenic, enzymatically biodegradable and biocompatible in animal tissues (Dodane & Vilivalam, 1998; Sashiwa et al., 2002). Chitosan comprises the repeating unit of $\beta$-(1-4) linked 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucopyranose and have no or small amounts of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine units (Badawy et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005). Chitosan is a product of N-deacetylation of chitin when it is able to dissolve in dilute acids. The changes in the structure of chitin into chitosan can be seen from Figure 2.2. Chitin is the second most abundant natural polymer in the world after cellulose (Binette & Gagnon, 2007). It is widespread in the outer shells of insects (scorpions, ants, cockroaches, spider and beetles) and sea animals (annelid, mollusca coelenterate and crustaceans like crab and shrimp).
Other sources of chitin and chitosan is from microorganisms such as algae, yeast, *mycelia penicillium*, spores and also in the cell wall of certain fungi (Aranaz et al., 2009). Figure 2.3 shows the steps of extraction of chitin and chitosan from the raw materials.

**Figure 2.2:** Structure of chitin and chitosan.

**Figure 2.3:** Preparation of chitin and chitosan from raw material (Alves & Mano, 2008).

Chitosan is a material with high potential and have been used in various fields such as pharmaceutical, cosmetic, biomedical, environmental, agricultural,
biotechnological, food industries and catalysts. The details have been tabulated in Table 2.1.

**Table 2.1: Applications of chitosan in various fields.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharmaceutical</strong></td>
<td>Chitosan has been used in pharmaceutical and drug delivery applications. Its absorption-enhancing controlled release and bioadhesive properties have made it useful for such applications. It has the potential to significantly improve the transmucosal delivery of macromolecule drugs.</td>
<td>Dodane &amp; Vilivalam (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cosmetic</strong></td>
<td>Skin (moisture, treat acne), hair and oral care (toothpaste and chewing gum).</td>
<td>Rinaudo (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biomedical</strong></td>
<td>Chitosan plays a role in tissue engineering and antimicrobial agents in wound healing applications.</td>
<td>Aranaz et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
<td>Chitosan flocculation involved in the removal of phytoplankton cells from aquaculture systems to reduce the nitrogenous waste and improves water quality. Chitosan can remove suspended titanium dioxide particles in water by flocculation in the presence of humic acids. Water treatment.</td>
<td>Lertsutthiwong et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural</strong></td>
<td>Chitosan can be used as a growth promoter.</td>
<td>El-Sawy et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biotechnological</strong></td>
<td>Wool fabric.</td>
<td>Issa et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food industries</strong></td>
<td>Antimicrobial properties of chitosan blends with gliadin proteins isolated from wheat gluten.</td>
<td>Fernandez-Saiz et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Catalysts</strong></td>
<td>Renewable polymeric supports for catalysts</td>
<td>Macquarrie &amp; Hardy (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chitosan as Polymer Electrolytes**

Chitosan has been used to develop high conducting polymer electrolyte systems as it has polyelectrolyte behaviour, a protonated amino group in its structure (Hu et al., 2007; Klotzbach et al., 2006; Payne & Raghavan, 2007; Wan et al., 2003). Moreover, chitosan attracts more attention with its chelating properties with various substances, such as fats, metals, proteins, and others (Bordenave et al., 2008). Polyelectrolyte complexes
of chitosan with other natural sources such as DNA and proteins have also been reported. Denuziere et al. (1998) had studied the chitosan polyelectrolyte complexes with polysaccharides, including those with glycosaminoglycans (GAG). In the field of chitosan polyelectrolyte complexes with synthetic polyacid anions, the largest numbers of publications were devoted to chitosan polyelectrolyte complexes with polyacrylic acid (PAA) (de la Torre et al., 2003; Shieh & Huang, 1997).

Polyelectrolyte is slightly different from solid or gel electrolyte because in polyelectrolyte, cationic or anionic groups are chemically bonded to a polymer chain, while their counterions are solvated by a high dielectric constant solvent and mobile. In polymer electrolytes, interaction of polymer with the doping salt will lead to the complexation. Chitosan has good complexing ability as the -NH2 groups are involved in specific interactions with metal ions (Rinaudo, 2006).

**Table 2.2:** Examples of Chitosan-based polymer electrolyte.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chitosan</th>
<th>Salt/acid</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan in acetic acid</td>
<td>Lithium acetate</td>
<td>Yahya &amp; Arof (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan in acetic acid</td>
<td>Sodium Alginate</td>
<td>Smitha et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan in acetic acid</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>Smitha et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan in acetic acid</td>
<td>Ammonium nitrate</td>
<td>Ng &amp; Mohamad (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan in acetic acid</td>
<td>Phosphoric acid</td>
<td>Majid &amp; Arof (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan in acetic acid</td>
<td>Sodium alginate</td>
<td>Sæther et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Baril et al. (1997), the four factors for the formation of complexes are (i) high concentration of polar solvating groups (-O-, -OH,-NH, -CN-), (ii) the donor number and polarizability of the solvating groups, (iii) low lattice energy of the doping salt and (iv) low lattice energy of the polymer. Although polyelectrolyte is a bit different from the polymer electrolyte, with all the polyelectrolyte features that exist in chitosan, it helps to fulfil the objective of the work to develop polymer electrolytes. Some examples of chitosan as polymer electrolytes host are shown in Table 2.2.
2.1.2. Modification of Chitosan

Although it has a high potential as a base for polymer electrolyte, there is still a clear weakness in chitosan. Chitosan is reported to be only soluble in dilute aqueous acidic solution (pH 6.5) but insoluble in water and organic solvent (Aranaz et al., 2009; Pillai et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2006; Sashiwa et al., 2002). This is due to the free protonable amino groups present in the D-glucosamine units (Aranaz et al., 2009; Holappa et al., 2004). The β-1,4’-glycosidic linkages give the biopolymer its rigid and crystalline structure besides promoting formation of intra-molecular hydrogen bonds (Bruice, 2004), involving the hydroxyl groups as shown in Figure 2.4. Solubility of chitosan is a very difficult parameter to control as it is related to the degree of acetylation, the ionic concentration, the pH, the nature of the acid used for protonation and the distribution of acetyl groups along the chain, as well as the conditions of isolation and drying of the polysaccharide (Rinaudo, 2006).

![Figure 2.4](image)

**Figure 2.4:** Formation of intra-molecular hydrogen bonds between chitosan.

The insolubility of chitosan in many common organic solvents limits its usage and leads to some disadvantages such as poor extent of reaction, structural ambiguity of the products and partial degradation due to harsh reaction conditions (Jančiauskaitė & Makuška, 2008; Kurita, 2006).

With great potential in a variety of applications as well as abundant in existence on this earth, chitosan should be modified to fully explore its ability and development. In
addition, the presence of two functional groups in chitosan’s backbone, NH₂ and OH, enables modifications can be done. Many researchers have modified chitosan to fulfil the requirements in their respective fields (Inta et al., 2014; Jeon & Höll, 2003; Mourya & Inamdar, 2008; Sobahi et al., 2014). For example, Sashiwa et al. (2002) reported that chemical modification of chitosan was necessary to improve its adhesion to plastics as well as its organosolubility so as to be able to adhere on the surface of the plastics which is hydrophobic. Roberts & Wood (2001) working in the development of chitosan-based anti-felting treatment for wool, increased the hydrophobic character of the chitosan by introducing long-chain N-acyl groups through reaction with hexanoic anhydride or similar long chain acid anhydride.

Various possible methods have been carried out to modify chitosan (Zohuriaan-Mehr, 2005) and some of the potential method is displayed in Figure 2.5 (Prashanth & Tharanathan, 2007). Among the methods are phosphorylation (Ma et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2001), sulfonation (Fredheim & Christensen, 2003; Lv et al., 2014; Wolfrom & Han, 1959), xanthation (Sankararamakrishnan et al., 2006; Sankararamakrishnan & Sanghi, 2006), acylation (Peesan et al., 2006; Peesan et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2004; Zong et al., 2000), cross-linking (Bodnar et al., 2006; Maya et al., 2014), graft copolymerization (Aranaz et al., 2009; Makuška & Gorochovceva, 2006; Wang et al., 2009) and carboxyalkylation (Felicio et al., 2008; He et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2009). Apart from substitution and chain elongation methods, some researchers studied γ-irradiation in order to reduce the molecular weight of chitosan with minor changes to the structure of the chitosan (Yoksan et al., 2001). Among the various modified chitosan, only a few has been applied as the polymer electrolyte base (Rosli et al., 2012; Winie & Arof, 2006; Winie et al., 2009). Hexanoyl chitosan based polymer electrolyte achieved a conductivity value of $4.26 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ with lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate, LiCF₃SO₃ (Winie et al. 2009).
2.1.3. **N-phthaloylation of chitosan**

In the previous section, we have seen that there are numerous methods to modify chitosan. As discussed before, chitosan is insoluble in organic solvents due to the hydrogen bonds between the amino and hydroxyl groups with the solvents. In this work, the solubility of chitosan in organic solvents has been improved with phthaloylation as shown in Figure 2.6.
Figure 2.6: Phthaloylation of chitosan

In 1991, Nishimura and colleagues have replaced the two hydrogens of the amino group with a hydrophobic phthaloyl group to destroy the inherent crystalline structure, thereby improving solubility of chitosan in general organic solvents. This site selectivity reaction which introduced side substituent at predetermined positions of the sugar rings, occurs at the primary amino group of the C-2 position, primary hydroxyl at C-6 position and secondary hydroxyl functionalities at C-3 position has distinctly different reactivities (Kurita et al., 2000; Rout et al., 1993; Yoksan et al., 2001). The structure of phthaloylchitosan is shown in Figure 2.6. Phthaloylchitosan shows excellent solubility in organic solvents such as DMF, DMSO, DMAc, and pyridine (Bian et al., 2009; Kurita et al., 2007; Kurita et al., 2000; Kurita et al., 1998; Kurita et al., 1993; Liu et al., 2005; Nishimura et al., 1991).

Phthaloylation can be obtained by refluxing chitosan with phthalic anhydride in a particular solvent for 5-7 hours at temperature greater than 100 °C (Kurita et al., 1998; Kurita et al., 1993; Nishimura et al., 1991). Besides, phthaloylchitosan can also be successfully obtained by microwave radiation under nitrogen atmosphere (Liu et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2004). Another method to prepare PhCh is by preparing gel-like chitosan with precipitation of an aqueous acetic acid chitosan solution into aqueous NaHCO₃, followed by subsequent multiple solvent replacements with DMF (Rout et al., 1993).
Product for phthaloylation of chitosan will usually produce a little O-phthaloylation other than N-phthaloylation as shown in Figure 2.7 (Kurita et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2004).

![Figure 2.7: Structure of N- and O-phthaloylchitosan.](image)

Another method to avoid O-phthaloylation occurring and thus resulting N-phthaloylation only can be achieved by adding in a small amount of hydroxy-containing compounds such as ethanol, water, ethylene glycol or methyl cellosolve into the solvent for reaction. However, the product exhibits low solubility in common organic solvents as it swelled in DMF, DMSO and pyridine and high degree of crystallinity as shown in Figure 2.8. The product of this method conflicts with the original purpose of this project and is less suitable as a base polymer electrolyte. Therefore, PhCh was synthesized by the conventional method.

From all of the practical viewpoints of protection, deprotection and solubilisation, phthaloylation is particularly attracted at amino group of chitosan (Kurita et al., 2007). N-Phthaloylation is commonly used in synthesis process as an intermediate step as it can easily be deprotected to generate free amino group (Kurita et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2009; Yoksan et al., 2001; Yoksan et al., 2004). Phthaloylated chitosan (PhCh) is thus a suitable precursor for a variety of site-specific and quantitative modification reactions to construct well-defined molecular environments on chitosan. Some of the synthesis works that involved PhCh as the key precursor are listed in Table 2.3.
Figure 2.8: X-ray diffraction diagrams of (A) fully deacetylated chitosan, (B) PhCh prepared in DMF and (C) PhCh prepared in DMF/water (95/5) (Kurita et al., 2001).

Table 2.3: Applications of phthaloylchitosan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chitosan derivatives</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regioselective introduction of α-mannoside branches at C-6 of chitin and chitosan</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Kurita et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regioselective introduction of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and D-glucosamine branches at C-6 of chitin and chitosan</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Kurita et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain modification of γ-ray irradiated chitosan under the conditions where reaction occurs mainly at hydroxyl groups</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Yoksan et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of β-maltoside branches at the C-6 position of chitin and chitosan.</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Kurita et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.3 continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chitosan derivatives</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-acylation of chitosan with the quaternary betaine moiety.</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Holappa et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis of Chitosan-O-PEG-galactose</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Lin &amp; Chen (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective C-6 oxidation of chitosan by 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxy radical</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Bordenave et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis of chitosan-g-poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Mu &amp; Fang (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis of 6-N,N,N,N-trimethyltriazole chitosan</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Gao et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The usefulness of the PhCh used as a precursor or intermediate in the synthesis of chitosan derivatives can be observed from Table 2.3. However, PhCh is rarely used as an end product except for self-assembled polymeric micelles (Casettari et al., 2012). Other workers have also used PhCh for the same purpose i.e. N-phthaloyl chitosan-g-mPEG (Opanasopit et al., 2006), N-phthaloyl-carboxymethylchitosan (Peng & Zhang, 2007) and N-phthaloylchitosan-g-polyvinylpyrrolidone (Bian et al., 2009). Due to the presence of lone pair electrons on the oxygen of carbonyl (C=O), –N– and hydroxyl (–
OH) groups in the structure of PhCh, it has the potential to become a base for polymer electrolytes. Thus, in this study, the potential of this biopolymer chitosan as an electrolyte in dye-sensitized solar cell is investigated.

2.2. Solar cell

A solar cell is a device that converts light energy directly into electrical energy through the process of photovoltaic. Solar energy conversion is considered the most credible and viable way to face the growing energy demand, both for its high intensity (1000 W m\(^{-2}\) at ground level with the sun directly overhead) and equitable geographical distribution (Bella et al., 2014). The general understanding of how solar cells work is that sunlight is composed of photons with a spectrum of energies. Photons can interact with atoms. With enough energy the photons release an electron from the atom. For solar cells to produce electricity, it must be able to “collect” the electron once separated from the atom. The electrons flow is the photocurrent.

Solar cells can be divided into several types. According to their material composition, these can be silicon solar cell, perovskite solar cell, cadmium telluride solar cell, quantum dot solar cell, plasmonic solar cell, multi-junction solar cell and dye sensitized solar cell.

2.2.1. Dye-sensitized Solar Cell (DSSC)

Out of the various kinds of solar cell, DSSC has been widely studied. DSSC have many advantages, namely cheap fabrication without expensive and energy-intensive high-temperature and high vacuum processes and compatibility with flexible substrates. DSSC can be presented in various looks in order to facilitate market entry, both for domestic devices and in architectural or decorative applications (Grätzel, 2005).
A DSSC consists of three main parts as illustrated in Figure 2.9 which are:

a) Photo-active electrode
b) Counter electrode
c) Electrolyte

![Diagram showing the structure of a DSSC](image)

**Figure 2.9:** Dye-sensitized solar cell configuration

There are three important steps for photocurrent generation (Mohamad et al., 2007; Park, 2010) in DSSCs as shown in Figure 2.10.

![Diagram showing the steps for generation of photocurrent in DSSCs](image)

**Figure 2.10:** Steps for generation of photocurrent in DSSCs

Cations and anions (usually free iodides) are formed when the salt(s) dissociate. The iodide, I\(^-\) will interact with I\(_2\) (also added in the electrolyte) to form triiodide according to the equation 2.0.
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\[ I^- + I_2 \rightarrow I_3^- \] (2.0)

Charge is generated when the dye (S*) in DSSC absorbs photons that excite the ground state (or Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital, HOMO) electrons of dye to the excited state (Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital, LUMO) as shown in Equation 2.1. The photo-excited electrons are separated from the oxidized dye when they are injected into the mesoporous TiO₂ that occurs within pico- to femto-seconds.

\[ S^* (\text{adsorbed on TiO}_2) \rightarrow S^+ (\text{adsorbed on TiO}_2) + e^- (\text{injected}) \] (2.1)

After electron injection has occurred, the photo-injected electrons percolate through the mesoporous TiO₂ network within micro to milliseconds, reach the conducting substrate and enters the external circuit.

The photo-injected electrons may recombine with the oxidized dye molecule via surface state of TiO₂ as shown in Equation 2.2;

\[ S^+ (\text{adsorbed on TiO}_2) + e^- (\text{TiO}_2) \rightarrow S (\text{adsorbed on TiO}_2) \] (2.2)

Besides that, recombination of the injected electrons may occur with the oxidized redox couple at the TiO₂ surface.

\[ I_3^- + 2e^- (\text{TiO}_2) \rightarrow 3I^- \] (2.3)

The electrons can also recombine with the triiodide ions within nano-second time scale, Equation 2.4:

\[ 3I^- \rightarrow I_3^- + 2e^- \] (2.4)

When the electrons reach the counter electrode, the triiodide ions are reduced to iodide ions, which then diffuse towards the photoanode. Here the \( I^- \) ions release an electron to the oxidized dye molecule and in turn as reduced to an I₃⁻ ion. The dye is regenerated and the circuit is completed. These processes are illustrated in Figure 2.11.
The improvement of the solar cell performance is dependable to the charge transport as the electrons pass through the three interfaces; TiO$_2$/FTO, electrolyte/counter electrode and dye/electrolyte. The matching of the energy level of each component as shown in Figure 2.11 is essential in DSSC. The conduction band of TiO$_2$ must be below the LUMO edge of the dye. The redox potential energy level should be higher than the HOMO level of the dye. The redox potential of the electrolyte is usually unaffected by the current whether in the dark or under illumination. The photo-injected electrons percolate through the TiO$_2$ because of the lack of the built-in electric field in the semiconducting TiO$_2$ layer. The entrance and exit of the photocharge in and out of the TiO$_2$ layer decreases the Fermi level in the direction of the conductive glass substrate contact at short-circuit.

![Figure 2.11: Energy position of each component in DSSC.](image)

Open-circuit voltage

The open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) is determined by the energy difference between the electronic energy of a redox system, I$_3^−$/I$^-^$ and the fermi level of the semiconductor as shown in Figure 2.11. Under working condition of DSSCs, the fermi level of the semiconductor gets close to the energy of the conduction band edge because of the trap sites located below the conduction band are sufficiently occupied by the photo-generated...
electrons. Therefore, the choice of semiconductor and redox mediator can affect $V_{OC}$. However, the $V_{OC}$ value is difficult to presume due to two reasons (Katoh & Furube, 2014). One of the reasons is energy shifts in both conduction band edge and redox system can be influenced by surrounding molecules. The energy level of conduction band is sensitive to some additives. For example, it shifts towards lower energy as Li$^+$ ions was introduced to the electrolyte due to the surface adsorption and intercalation of Li$^+$ ions in the lattice of TiO$_2$ (Bandara et al., 2013). It can also shifts toward higher energy as 4-tert-butylpyridine (TBP) was added since TBP adsorbs on the surface of TiO$_2$ (Hassan et al., 2016). The second reason is that some conducting electrons can be lost due to the recombination process with the redox mediator during transport in the semiconductor electrode.

**Short-circuit current**

According to Katoh & Furube (2014), the short-circuit current ($J_{SC}$) is the photocurrent obtained under short-circuit conditions, that is, without any load. $J_{SC}$ is proportional to the product of generation efficiency of conducting electrons under sunlight irradiation ($\Phi_G$), its charge collection efficiency from the device to the external circuit ($\Phi_C$) and the solar cell’s sunlight-harvesting efficiency ($\Phi_{LH}$).

$$J_{SC} = \Phi_G \times \Phi_C \times \Phi_{LH}$$

By improving the generation efficiency of conducting electrons under sunlight irradiation, it can give rise to the higher $J_{SC}$ value as it contributes to the electron conduction. In addition, the properties of sensitizer dye and the structure of nanocrystalline semiconductor films, both located at the photo-active electrode (further discussion on the next section), need to be adjusted to get an excellent solar cell’s sunlight-harvesting efficiency. Thus, leading to the high number of dye molecule adsorbed on its surface.
2.2.2. Photo-Active Electrode

In DSSCs, charge carrier generation takes place in a chemisorbed monolayer of photoactive dye that is sandwiched between a semiconducting oxide and an electrolyte (Tétreault et al., 2011). When light penetrates the photosensitized semiconductor “sponge”, it crosses hundreds of adsorbed dye layers. The mesoporous structure thus fulfills a function similar to the thylakoid vesicles in green leaves, which are stacked in order to enhance light harvesting by chlorophyll (Grätzel, 2005).

Photo-active electrode comprises two layers coated onto the conducting glass substrate. The layer deposited on the conducting glass is the compact layer. The mesoporous TiO$_2$ layer is deposited on the compact layer. The conducting glass substrate provides an advantage as it holds the photoanode, absorbs the incident light and sends the photo-injected electrons as current to the external circuit (Katoh & Furube, 2014). The usual conducting glass substrates are:

i. Fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) (Yusuf et al., 2014; Yusuf et al., 2016)
ii. Indium doped tin oxide (ITO) (Mohamad et al., 2007)
iii. Hartford TEC8 conductive glass substrate (Chatzivasiloglou et al., 2007)

Mesoporous layer

The thin mesoporous nanocrystalline TiO$_2$ film onto which the dye adsorbs is one of the important parts in DSSCs. Mesoporous semiconductor oxide layer which is placed in contact with the redox electrolyte or an organic hole conductor, is to serve as an electron conductor and also to provide a folded surface to enhance light harvesting by the adsorbed sensitizer (Grätzel, 2005). Certain characteristics are required for the semiconductor electrode to produce an efficient DSSC (Liu et al., 2010) namely; (1) enough surface area to provide sufficient photosensitive dye adsorption, (2) an adequate conduction band edge that matches the LUMO level of the photosensitive dye, and (3)
fast electron transport or long electron lifetime, which guarantees high charge-collection efficiency.

In addition, the performance of the DSSC depends on the type of material, the nanoparticle morphology and the porosity of TiO₂. The advantage of using a semiconductor layer is that such an inorganic oxide is extremely stable and allows for fast electron movement. Some of the metal oxides that have been used are TiO₂ (Kim et al., 2013), ZnO₂ (Wang et al., 2013), SnO₂ (Lee et al., 2011), Nb₂O₅ (Ghosh et al., 2011) and SrTiO₃ (Yang et al., 2010). Although other metal oxides can be used as the semiconductor layers, TiO₂, is frequently used. TiO₂ has shown better performance compared to other oxides (Arof et al., 2014a; Aziz et al., 2014; Bandara et al., 2015; Grätzel, 2005; Hassan et al., 2014; Katoh & Furube, 2014; Noor et al., 2014; Park, 2010; Yusuf et al., 2014). Besides, TiO₂ has been widely used in various devices such as solar cells, sensors, batteries, and photocatalysis (Parussulo et al., 2011). The mesoporous structure and composition of TiO₂ has been attuned to tailor optical, magnetic, photonic, electronic, and catalytic properties (Patel et al., 2008). The dye which is usually a ruthenium complex strongly absorbs light in the visible (Chatzivasiloglou et al., 2007). In addition, TiO₂ has the highest refractive index in the wavelength region from 430 to 1530 nm (Bond, 1965; Devore, 1951; Dodge, 1986; Gao et al., 2012; Pan et al., 2008).

TiO₂ exists in three natural forms namely anatase, rutile and brookite (Tang et al., 1994). Anatase form of TiO₂ is usually used in DSSCs as it exhibits a higher $V_{OC}$ compared to that using the rutile form of TiO₂. This is due to the smaller difference in energy between the conduction band and the redox potential in the rutile TiO₂. Due to its large intrinsic band gap, for rutile 3.0 eV and for anatase 3.2 eV (Tang et al., 1994), the TiO₂ optical absorption is limited to the UV region. According to Zallen & Moret (2006), the absorption spectrum of brookite extends throughout the visible with broad and gradual edge and that the lowest direct gap for brookite is larger than 3.54 eV. However, the
brookite form of TiO₂ is not used in DSSCs as it is thermodynamically less stable and can be transformed into other phases at high temperatures (Koyama et al., 2006).

**Blocking layer**

DSSC performance can be improved by maximizing photon absorption and minimizing electron recombination. Electron recombination in DSSCs is due to the significant electron loss and performance degradation. During electron injection, certain electron did not contribute to the electron conduction as it undergoes recombination with an oxidized dye from the redox mediator, triiodide ions. In addition, generated electron can migrate in the nanocrystalline film through hopping between nanoparticles can contribute to electron conduction. Thus, this limits the performance of the DSSC. Blocking layer, a thin barrier layer at the conducting substrate/electrolyte interface is introduced in order to prevent electron recombination during the transport and collection process of electrons in DSSCs. TiO₂ is said to be the most effective electrolyte blocker among the many metal oxides and have been frequently used in DSSCs (Cameron & Peter, 2003; Li et al., 2015). It blocks electron recombination to the electrolyte (Barea & Bisquert, 2013).

**Dye as sensitizer**

Dye is one of the main factors to stress towards the great performance of DSSC. There are certain requirements for an excellent sensitizer to be function in DSSC (Grätzel, 2005; Katoh & Furube, 2014; Nazeeruddin et al., 2004);

1. It should absorb light with wide range of wavelength as it is necessary to obtain high value of sunlight-harvesting efficiency since the specific surface area of the nanoporous structure is very high which lead to the high number of dye molecule adsorbed on its surface.
2. Dye should be able to form a strong coordinative bond with the titanium surface ions as these will influence the free energy change for electron injection that can be evaluated from the energy difference between LUMO of the dye and the conduction band edge of the semiconductor as shown previously in Figure 2.11.

3. The energy difference between HUMO of the dye and the oxidation potential of the redox mediator, also shown in Figure 2.11, should also be optimized so that dye can be regenerated rapidly via electron donation from the electrolyte.

4. Contact between dye sensitizer and the semiconductor surface has to be considered in order to achieve high quantum yields of the excited state electron transfer process.

Dye has been divided into two groups; natural dye and synthetic dye. Natural dyes give certain advantages such as no resource limitations, no harm to the environment and are easily prepared. Some of the natural dyes that have been used in the DSSC are listed in the Table 2.4. However, the values of the efficiency observed from the DSSC using natural dye are quite low.

The production process of the ruthenium based dye requires less solvents and eluents. In addition, ruthenium dye also possessed fair photo conversion efficiency and a quite good resistance to degradation. Ruthenium dye also have wide absorption spectrum covering visible and near IR regime (Qin & Peng, 2012). UV–Visible spectra of certain ruthenium based were shown in Figure 2.12. These factors favored the wide use of ruthenium dye which becomes one of the most used dyes in prototype and pre-industrial DSSC production (Parisi et al., 2014).
Figure 2.12: UV–Visible spectra of certain ruthenium based dyes; (1) N3 (dash), (2) N719 (solid) and (3) Z907 (dot) (Nosheen et al., 2016).

Therefore, to enhance the efficiency of DSSCs, the exploration of better dye molecules is never stopped. Molecular structure of classical metal-organic dyes, N3 and N719, and black dye are shown in Figure 2.13 (Yang et al., 2013).

Figure 2.13: Molecular structures of some ruthenium based sensitizer dyes.
Table 2.4: List of the DSSCs using natural dyes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural dyes</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>( J_{sc} ) mA cm(^{-2} )</th>
<th>( V_{oc} ) V</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>( \eta ) %</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthocyanin</td>
<td>Red seaweeds collected at Kefallinia Greek Island</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>Rapsomanikis et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvidin-3-fructoside from grape fruits</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gokilamani et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulberry fruit</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chang &amp; Lo (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sicilian orange juice (Citrus Sinensis)</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calogero &amp; Marco (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggplant peels (Solanum melongena, L.)</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canna indica L.</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Luo et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvia splendens</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanum nigrum L.</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowberry</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll</td>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ipomoea</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pomegranate leaf</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Chang &amp; Lo (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoid</td>
<td>Achiote shrub (Bixa orellana L.)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Gómez-Ortíz et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are numerous mechanisms on how a dye sensitizer can be attached to the metal oxide substrate surface namely covalent attachment, electrostatic interaction, hydrophobic interaction, physical entrapment, hydrogen bonding or van der waals forces (Kalyanasundaram, 1998). However, the dye and the TiO\(_2\) surface atoms in most DSSC are bound by covalent bonding to achieve strong coupling, a homogeneous dye distribution and stability of the device (Zhang & Cole, 2015).

As previously mentioned, electron injection from dye into the conduction band of semiconductor is one of the most important steps towards high efficient DSSC and it is strongly influenced by the dye sensitizer/semiconducting metal oxide nanoparticles contact. A chemical substituent from the dye sensitizer, or “anchoring group”, is capable to attach the dye molecule onto the surface of the metal oxide (Zhang & Cole, 2015).
Carboxylic acid group is one of the most common and the best-performing classical anchoring group of the family of ruthenium-polypyridyl complexes sensitizers to the semiconductor surface (Ellis-Gibbings et al., 2012; Warnan et al., 2013). The six types of possible anchoring modes of carboxylic acid are shown in Figure 2.14. The structures with bidentate chelating and bidentate bridging mode show great stability among all the anchoring modes due to the intimate contact between carboxylate anchor based dye and metal oxide (Zhang & Cole, 2015). As the anchoring groups possess an excellent electron withdrawing capabilities, they typically act as electron acceptor as the intermolecular charge transfer is promoted from the donor region of the dye to the region in the vicinity of TiO$_2$ substrate (Zhang & Cole, 2015).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.14:** Possible binding modes for carboxylic acid anchors onto a metal oxide; (a) monodentate ester, (b) bidentate chelating, (c) bidentate bridging, (d) monodentate H-bonding, (e) bidentate H-bonding and (f) monodentate through C-O (Zhang et al., 2015).

Chemisorption to the surface will only occur in a monolayer, and as such, full coverage of a monolayer, the self-assembled monolayer, is considered and expected to be the most efficient dye interface configuration of a cell. Dye to dye electron transfer is expected to be increased by full dye coverage. In addition, a complete dye sensitizer layer will lessen the recombination losses by physically separating the electrolyte solution and the TiO$_2$ layer. Further, full coverage can also be expected to maximize the absorption
efficiency, thus enhance the photoelectron injection efficiency. (Ellis-Gibbings et al., 2012).

2.2.3. Counter Electrode

The counter electrode is normally platinum or carbon access material coated on the ITO or FTO substrate. The platinum film catalyses the iodide regeneration. The absence of catalyst results in a slower rate of I₃⁻ to I⁻ reduction.

Beside platinum, other materials that have been applied in the compact layer are V₂O₃ (Wu et al., 2012), ZnO (Wang et al., 2013), SnO₂ (Bu & Zheng, 2015), TiC (Wu et al., 2013), VC (Wu et al., 2012), WC (Vijayakumar et al., 2015), NbN (Cui et al., 2015) and TiN (Li et al., 2010).

2.2.4. Electrolyte for DSSC

Researchers mostly focused more on the electrolytes than the other components in DSSC (Bella et al., 2014) as electrolyte is one of the main components that influences the conversion efficiency (Jin et al., 2012). In DSSCs, an electrolyte assures internal electrical contact between the two electrodes and mainly provides the potential barrier necessary for photovoltaic conversion (Chatzivasiloglou et al., 2007). Bandara et al. (2013) have also stated that electrolyte is an anion conductor and mostly are iodide ion conductor. This can be varied both for the redox couple used (I⁻/I₃⁻, Co²⁺/Co³⁺, Br⁻/Br³⁻, SCN group) and for the nature of the solution (Parisi et al., 2014). However, iodide ions, I⁻/I₃⁻, has been frequently used as the redox couple (Arof et al., 2014a; Aziz et al., 2014; Bandara et al., 2010b; Buraidah et al., 2011; Dissanayake et al., 2012; Hassan et al., 2014; Noor et al., 2011; Yusuf et al., 2014). The redox system is reduced at the counter electrode. Until very recently, the iodide/triiodide redox system has offered the best
performance in DSSCs. Its fast kinetics of dye regeneration and slow kinetics of unwanted recombination reactions at the photoelectrode surface give efficient cells with relatively low losses (Ellis-Gibbings et al., 2012). Some of the high efficiency DSSCs with ruthenium based dyes contain $\Gamma/I_3^-$ redox couple (Bandara et al., 2014a). The iodide ion conductivity is a key factor that determines the $J_{SC}$ and efficiency of a DSSC (Bandara et al., 2014a). The iodide ion participates in the $\Gamma/I_3^-$ reaction that provides an efficient charge transfer at the interfaces enabling current flow in the external circuit (Bandara et al., 2010b; Bandara et al., 2013). During the working of the solar cell, the $\Gamma^-$ and $I_3^-$ ions diffuse between the photoanode and counter electrode (Bandara et al., 2010b; Jayaweera et al., 2015). The $\Gamma^-$ ions migrate from the Pt counter electrode to the photoanode and the $I_3^-$ diffuse from the photoanode to the counter electrode (Dissanayake et al., 2014).

**Ionic liquid**

Ionic liquid is a salt comprising ions in the liquid state at room temperature (Bicak, 2005). Prior to the presence of polymer electrolytes, ionic liquid is often used in devices as the electrolyte. High value of energy conversion efficiency has been numerously reported by using liquid electrolyte based DSSCs such as ionic liquid (IL) 5-mercapto-1-methyltetrazole 1-methyl-3-propylimidazolium salt PMIT with di-5-(1-methyltetrazole) disulfide as the organic redox couple (Wu et al., 2013). Moreover, the highest power conversion efficiency, over 12%, has also been achieved for DSSCs with a volatile organic liquid electrolyte (Yella et al., 2011).

However, fabrication of devices using liquid electrolytes is difficult since it is volatile and may lead to a leakage (Huo et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2009) especially during the long-term out-doors operation (Jin et al., 2012). These problems will lead to electrode corrosion and photodecomposition of the dye in the solvent medium (Bandara et al., 2013; Dissanayake et al., 2014), thus leading to sealing and stability problem (Bandara et al., 2014a; Li et al., 2005).
**Solid polymer electrolytes**

Polymer electrolyte has received much attention since Wright and coworkers discovered ionic conduction in the seventies (Morni & Arof, 1999). This has led to the development of energy devices such as sensors, fuel cells, rechargeable batteries, super capacitor and photoelectrochemical cells (Göktepe et al., 2008; Rocco et al., 2002). Polymer has been used as the polymer host as because of their advantages such as chemically inert towards electrodes and their mechanical and geometrical flexibility (Bandara et al., 2010b).

Solid polymer electrolyte (SPE) was used in order to overcome problems stemming from the ionic liquid. SPE is said to be excellent compared to liquid electrolyte in terms of stability. Utility of solid polymer electrolytes in power sources is due to its advantages such as wide operating temperature range, high energy density, solvent-free condition, minimize the leakage problem, wide electrochemical stability windows, easy process ability (desirable shape mould ability and flexibility of design) and light weight (Pradhan et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2002).

However, the greatest problem of SPE is the low ionic conductivity due to resistive losses both in the bulk and at the interfaces (Bandara et al., 2014a), thus decrease the energy conversion efficiency. Besides, solid electrolytes in DSSC are poor pore-filling, due to the difficulty for the penetration of the solid material into the pores of the dye-coated, nanocrystalline semiconductor particles. This leads to problems of dye regeneration and, consequently enhancing the recombination and lowering the efficiency (Jayaweera et al., 2015).
**Gel polymer electrolytes**

Researchers have tried to replace liquid and SPEs with gel polymer electrolyte (GPE) (Huo et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2009). The GPE yields several advantages including high energy density, structural stability and low volatility (Nicotera et al., 2006) thus minimizing the problems associated with electrolyte leakage, sealing and electrode corrosion. The conductivity of GPEs is usually higher compared to that of SPEs and can be improved to be almost comparable to that of liquid electrolyte (Saito et al., 2000).

According to Nicotera et al. (2006), a gel-type membrane is achieved by immobilizing an ionic liquid solution into a polymeric matrix. Leakage can be avoided (Bandara et al., 2014a). The solvent entrapped in the polymer matrix improves the electrolytes conductivity and the contacts between interfaces (Saito et al., 2000; Shi et al., 2009). The advantage expected by replacing liquid electrolytes by gel electrolytes is obviously the difficulty to attain with electrolytes containing such volatile solvents (Bandara et al., 2014a).

Hence, a few organic molecules with low molar mass and high dielectric constant has been introduced to balance the loss of ionic conductivity with a liquid-like environment by providing channels for ion transport within the polymer matrix (Bar et al., 2014). Some of the examples that have been frequently applied are ethylene carbonate (EC) (Muchakayala et al., 2017), propylene carbonate (PC) (Richardson et al., 2016), vinylene carbonate (VC) (Petibon et al., 2015), dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (Mustafa et al., 2012), diethyl carbonate (DEC), ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC) (Pradeepa & Prabhu, 2015) and γ-butyrolactone (Matsuda et al., 2003). Most of the cases, combination of plasticizers propylene carbonate (PC) and ethylene carbonate (EC) solutions were employed to dissolve the salt (Aziz et al., 2014; Hassan et al., 2014; Noor et al., 2011). The degree of salt dissociation in the electrolyte can be improved by adding plasticizers. Plasticizers will also reduce viscosity and form channel-like pathways for ion-transfer (Li...
et al., 2005). Addition of plasticizers also favours the segmental motion of polymer chains above the glass transition temperature, $T_g$. This enables ionic mobility enhancement and improves salt solvation (Bandara et al., 2009).

GPE research commonly involve synthetic polymers such as polyacrylonitrile (PAN) (Bandara et al., 2013; Dissanayake et al., 2012; Hassan et al., 2014), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (Aziz et al., 2014), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVdF) (Arof et al., 2014a) and polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) (Dissanayake et al., 2014). These polymers have been used as the host matrix for ionic conduction. Biopolymers such as agarose (Singh et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2016), cellulose derivatives (Bella et al., 2013; Salvador et al., 2014), carrageenan derivatives (Rudhziah et al., 2015) and chitosan (Buraidah et al., 2010) have also received attention due to its availability, biocompatibility and biodegradability. The performances of biopolymer electrolytes in DSSC in recent literature have been tabulated in Table 2.5.

**Bulky cation**

Cations play an important role in the performance of DSSCs in setting up of the Fermi level of the active electrode (Jayaweera et al., 2015). The cation/TiO$_2$ interactions cause two effects in a DSSC (Dissanayake et al., 2014) such as a positive shift of the flat band potential of the semiconductor. In addition, the interaction also creates deeper electron-trapping states, which is significant in electron transport through TiO$_2$ to the current collector. Cations can efficiently screen the photo-injected electrons on the TiO$_2$ film, ensuring that electro-neutrality is maintained throughout the TiO$_2$ network. Ionic migration is therefore strongly correlated with electron transport in the TiO$_2$ film.
Table 2.5: Comparison of performance parameters of some biopolymer electrolyte based DSSCs in recent literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer electrolytes</th>
<th>Jsc/ma cm⁻²</th>
<th>Voc/V</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>η/%</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agarose–H₂O–KI/I₂–N719</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>Singh et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarose–DMSO/GuSCN/PC/NMBI–AEII/I₂–N719</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>Hsu et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch–MPII–NaI/I₂–N719</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>Khanmirzaei et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-cellulose/PEO–CH₃CN/MPII–NaI/I₂–TBP/N719</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>Bella et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>micro-cellulose–H₃CN/EMISCN/MPII–LiI/I₂–TBP/N719</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>Salvador et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-κ-carrageenan/CMC–AcOH–NH₄I/I₂–N719</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Rudhziah et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-κ-carrageenan–EC/AcOH–NaI/I₂–N719</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>Bella et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan–EC/BMII–NH₄I/I₂–anthocyanin</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Buraidah et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCh/PEO–DMF/BMII–NH₄I/I₂–tartaric acid/anthocyanin</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Buraidah et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCh–EC/PC–TPAI/LiI/I₂–N719</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>Yusuf et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bulky cations generally enhance the iodide ion transport in the electrolyte (Dissanayake et al., 2014). The relatively immobile bulky cations enhance the mobility of iodide and triiodide ions within the quasi-solid, thus enhancing the solar cell performance (Jayaweera et al., 2015). Apart from immobilization of the cation, bulky cations are expected to have higher degree of salt solvation due to reduction of lattice energy of the salt. Lower lattice energy is expected for TPAI ion with the ionic radius of 4.60 Å (Bandara et al., 2009).

PAN based DSSC with TPAI showed the best performance among the six quaternary ammonium iodide salt from the series: ammonium iodide (AI), tetraethylammonium iodide (TEAI), tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI), tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI), tetrapentylammonium iodide (TNAI) and...
tetrahexylammonium iodide (THAI) (Bandara et al., 2013). From Figure 2.15, efficiency is observed to increase from AI to TPAI as the $J_{SC}$ value increases due to the increment of iodide ions conductivity. However, as the cation size increases from TPAI to THAI, the downward shift of the TiO$_2$ conduction band get smaller, then lower the driving force for electron injection from LUMO of dye to the CB of TiO$_2$ caused decreasing in efficiency. In this case, we have introduced bulky salt tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI) into the gel polymer electrolytes.

**Figure 2.15:** Relationship between efficiency and $J_{SC}$ with cation radius of six quaternary ammonium iodide in PAN based gel polymer electrolytes (Bandara et al., 2013).
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Chemicals

Table 3.1 contains the list of chemicals and the corresponding suppliers used throughout this work. The chemicals have been used as received without further purification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical / material</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Aldrich, 75% deacetylation, viscosity: 800-2000 cps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phthalic Anhydride</td>
<td>AC Chemical Co. Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, 95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-dimethylformamide</td>
<td>Merck Sdn Bhd, 99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene carbonate</td>
<td>Merck Sdn Bhd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene carbonate</td>
<td>Merck Sdn Bhd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrapropylammonium iodide</td>
<td>Friendemann Schmidt Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium Iodide</td>
<td>Friendemann Schmidt Chemicals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Synthesis of N-phthaloylchitosan

The method of synthesis was obtained from Nishimura et al. (1991). 1 g of chitosan and 4.39 g of phthalic anhydride was dissolved in DMF between 100 °C and 120 °C under nitrogen atmosphere for 6 h. Temperature of the mixture was then lowered to 60 °C and left overnight. The clear yellowish solution was put into ice water to precipitate out the product. The precipitate was collected and washed with distilled ethanol in a soxhlet extractor for 8 h. The product was vacuum dried. Photographs of selected steps in preparation of PhCh are shown in Appendix A.

3.3. Preparation of Gel Polymer Electrolytes

As we mentioned previously, solubility of chitosan is improved by modification with phthalic anhydride to produce PhCh. DMF was chosen as the solvent to prepare the
GPE as it has been involved in the synthesis of phthaloylchitosan. Although ionic compounds could not dissolve in most non-polar solvents, they can be soluble in aprotic polar solvents such as DMF, DMAc and DMSO. DMF (Figure 3.1) is an aprotic solvent and do not form ion-dipole interaction. The surface of aprotic polar solvent molecules have a partial negative charge that enables them to solvate cations (Bruice, 2004). Thus, DMF has been used in the preparation of the GPE.

![Figure 3.1: Chemical structure of DMF](image)

Besides DMF, another plasticizer is needed in order to prepare a good gel polymer electrolyte as shown in Figure 3.2. Combination of EC-PC has always been chosen as the plasticizers (Aziz et al., 2014; Hassan et al., 2014; Noor et al., 2011). However, in this study, combination of DMF-EC has been chosen as EC showed higher dielectric constant compared to PC.

![Figure 3.2: Photograph of the PhCh based gel polymer electrolytes.](image)
3.3.1. **Gel polymer electrolytes with single salt**

Fixed amounts of 0.3 g of EC and 0.3 g of DMF from Merck Sdn Bhd were stirred well in a closed glass container with the appropriate weights of TPAI as shown in Table 3.2. 0.1 g of PhCh was then added into each salt solution. The mixture was heated to 60 °C and stirred until the mixture becomes a homogeneous gel. Then, the heater was stopped and the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature. Iodine (10 wt.% of salt) was then added to the GPE and stirred until the solution becomes homogeneous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>PhCh/ g</th>
<th>EC/ g</th>
<th>DMF/ g</th>
<th>TPAI/ g</th>
<th>Wt. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>2.7800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>5.4100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>7.8900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0800</td>
<td>10.2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>12.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1200</td>
<td>14.6300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1400</td>
<td>16.6700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2. **Gel polymer electrolytes with double salt**

To the most conducting gel polymer electrolyte, A6 (also designated as B0 in this system), lithium iodide, LiI, was added in such a way that the total weight of the iodide salts remained the same (0.12 g) in all the electrolytes. The salt compositions used in the electrolytes are shown in Table 3.3.
Table 3.3: Composition of electrolytes with various ratio of TPAI:LiI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>PhCh/ g</th>
<th>EC/ g</th>
<th>DMF/ g</th>
<th>Mass/ g</th>
<th>Wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TPAI</td>
<td>LiI</td>
<td>TPAI</td>
<td>LiI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1200</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0800</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>0.0800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.3. Gel polymer electrolytes with addition of ionic liquid

Various weight percentages of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (BMII) from 1 to 5 wt.% (as shown in Table 3.4) were introduced into the most efficient PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI gel polymer electrolyte in DSSC, B3 (also designated as C0 in this system). The mass of the BMII, x was measured according to the Equation 3.1:

\[
\text{wt. } % \text{ of BMII} = \frac{x}{x + \text{mass of (PhCh + EC + DMF + TPAI + LiI)}} \times 100% 
\]

(3.1)

Table 3.4: Composition of electrolytes with various wt.% of BMII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>PhCh/ g</th>
<th>EC/ g</th>
<th>DMF/ g</th>
<th>TPAI/ g</th>
<th>LiI/ g</th>
<th>BMII Mass/ g</th>
<th>wt.%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>5.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. **Characterisations of Gel Polymer Electrolytes**

GPEs that have been prepared will undergo characterisations such as solubility, $^1$H NMR, FTIR, XRD and EIS.

3.5. **Solubility**

Solubility test was carried out to find the suitable organic solvent for film forming. 0.05 g of PhCh was put into the test tubes and tested for its solubility in various solvents at room temperature. For comparison, solubility of chitosan in the various solvents was also carried out. The solubility was observed visually.

3.6. **Fourier Transformed Infra Red (FTIR)**

When an electromagnetic wave passes through a material and its frequency exactly matches the frequency of vibrations of a component group in the material, the component that consists of certain molecules will absorb energy and the rest of the wave is transmitted. By determining experimentally the absorbed wavenumbers by the component group of the material, the kind of functional group can be known as each stretching and bending vibration of the bond in the functional group has a characteristic frequency. FTIR spectrometer has the advantage that it measures all frequencies simultaneously.

Attenuated Total Reflectance-Fourier Transformed Infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra were recorded with a Spotlight 400 spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, UK). The acquisition parameters were done with a total of 32 accumulations at 4 cm$^{-1}$ resolution with a spectral range from 650–4000 cm$^{-1}$. The deconvolution analysis with multiple peak fitting was done using Origin Pro 9.1 software with second–order derivatives to determine the hidden peaks. A Gaussian model was used in which maximum error associated with the simulated fits was within ±1%.
3.7. **Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (\(^1\)H NMR)**

NMR spectroscopy is another technique to determine a structure of a material. It can identify the carbon-hydrogen framework of e.g. an organic compound and the functionality at a specific carbon and its neighbouring carbons.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance was taken at 399.65 MHz with JNM–GSX270 spectrometer (JEOL, Japan). DMSO-\(d_6\) is used as the solvent with sample concentration of about 20% w/v.

3.8. **X-ray Diffraction (XRD)**

X-ray diffraction is a phenomenon when atomic planes of a crystal cause an incident beam of x-rays to interfere with one another as they leave the crystal. Diffraction effects are observed when electromagnetic radiation impinges on periodic structure with geometrical variations on the length scale of the wavelength of the radiation. XRD is a non-destructive analytical technique which is fast and require easy sample preparation. It assists in identification and quantitative determination of various crystalline phases and orientation. XRD also helps to study crystalline and non-crystalline materials by looking at how they diffract x-rays of a known wavelength. The XRD analysis was recorded at room temperature using an Empyrean diffractometer (PANalytical, Netherlands) at 2\(\theta\) angles between 10° and 60° with a step size of 0.026°, using Cu/K\(\alpha_1\) irradiation.

3.9. **Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)**

EIS is useful for research and development of new materials and electrode structures, as well as for product verification and quality assurance in manufacturing operations. Impedance is a complex resistance to a current flowing through a circuit made of resistors (\(R\)), capacitors (\(C\)), inductors (\(L\)), or any combination of these, as function of the frequency of the ac source. Resistors represent conductive pathways for ion and
electron transfer. As such, they present the bulk resistance of a material to charge transport such as the resistance of the electrolyte to ion transport or the resistance of a conductor to electron transport. Resistors are also used to represent the resistance to the charge-transfer process at the electrode surface. Capacitors and inductors are associated with space-charge polarization regions, such as the electrochemical double layer, and adsorption/desorption processes at an electrode, respectively. The defining relation and impedance for ideal bulk electrical elements are shown in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5: Relation for ideal bulk electrical elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Defining Relation</th>
<th>Impedance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistor</td>
<td>$V = I \times R$</td>
<td>$Z_R = R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor</td>
<td>$I = C \frac{dV}{dt}$</td>
<td>$Z_C = \frac{1}{j\omega C} = -\frac{j}{\omega C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductor</td>
<td>$V = L \frac{dl}{dt}$</td>
<td>$Z_L = j\omega L$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The impedance of the GPEs were determined using HIOKI 3531 Z HiTester in the frequency range between 50 Hz and 1 MHz. The samples were sandwiched between two stainless steel disc electrodes. The impedance data were presented in Nyquist plots, which showed the imaginary part, $Z_i$ of impedance, against its real part of the cell, $Z_r$.

The ionic conductivity ($\sigma$) of the GPEs can be calculated by using the bulk resistance, $R_B$ values and following Equation 3.2.

$$\sigma = \frac{t}{R_B \times A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where $t$ is the thickness of the electrolyte and $A$ is the film-electrode contact area.
3.10. Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell

3.10.1. Preparation of dye solution

0.03 mol dm$^{-3}$ dye solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of Ruthenizer 535 also known as N3 dye in 5 mL of ethanol. The solution was then stirred until it is homogenous. The chemical structure of the N3 dye is shown in Figure 3.3.

![Chemical structure of N3 dye](image)

**Figure 3.3:** Chemical structure of N3 dye.

3.10.2. Preparation of electrodes

The Fluorine Tin Oxide (FTO) glasses (from Solaronix SA), that were used as the substrates, were rinsed with distilled water and ethanol before use.

The photo-anode with two layers of TiO$_2$ was made on a conducting glass substrate using the procedure reported by (Bandara et al. 2013). The paste required for the first dense layer was prepared by grinding 0.5 g of P90 TiO$_2$ powder for ~30 min with 2 mL of pH 1 nitric acid in a mortar. The resulting slurry was spin-coated on the FTO glass with a multi-speed program: first at 1000 rpm for 2 s and then at 2350 rpm for 60 s. After air drying for 30 min, it was sintered at 450 $^\circ$C for 30 min.

For the second layer, the TiO$_2$ colloidal suspension was prepared by grinding 0.5 g of P25 TiO$_2$ powder with 2 mL of pH 1 HNO$_3$, 0.1 g of carbon wax and a few drops of Triton X-100 in the agate mortar. The TiO$_2$ colloidal suspension was spread on the FTO
glass by applying the doctor blade technique. The TiO$_2$ electrode was sintered in the furnace at 450 °C for 45 min (Figure 3.4). The TiO$_2$ electrode was cooled to 60 °C and dipped in ethanolic N3 dye solution for 24 h.

Figure 3.4: FTO glass after mesoporous layer of TiO$_2$ was deposited.

3.10.3. Fabrication and characterisation of DSSC

The prepared GPE was cast onto the sensitized TiO$_2$ photoelectrode and then a platinum coated electrode was pressed on top of the photoelectrode to form a DSSC with configuration glass/FTO/dye/TiO$_2$/electrolyte/Pt/FTO/glass as shown in Figure 3.5. The photovoltaic performance of DSSCs was investigated using AUTOLAB electrometer with active area of 0.196 cm$^2$. The cell was illuminated through the active photoelectrode, under a solar simulator (1.5 AM, 1000 W m$^{-2}$) as exhibited in Figure 3.6.

Figure 3.5: Fabricated DSSC with PhCh gel polymer electrolytes
Results obtained from the measurement were presented in a photocurrent density-voltage curve as shown in Figure 3.7.

The following equation is used in order to determine the overall conversion efficiency of the DSSC, $\eta$.

$$
\eta = \frac{P_{out}}{P_{in}} \times 100 = \frac{I_{SC} \times V_{OC} \times FF}{P_{in}} \times 100
$$

(3.3)

where $P_{in}$ is the incident light power.
From the Equation 3.3, it can be seen that there are three important parameters in determining the efficiency of a DSSC. The first parameter short circuit current, $I_{SC}$, can be obtained from the $I$-$V$ curve which is the value of current when the voltage equals to zero. The second parameter, open circuit voltage, $V_{OC}$, also can be obtained from the curve. $V_{OC}$ is the value of voltage when current equal to zero.

Another parameter, fill factor ($FF$) is the ratio area of the inner rectangle to the outer rectangle (see Figure 3.7). The $FF$ value can be calculated according to Equation 3.4. The inner rectangle is product of the maximum current density, $I_{max}$ multiply by the maximum voltage, $V_{max}$. However, the outer rectangle is given by the $I_{SC} \times V_{OC}$. This quality measurement of the solar cell is described as the “squareness” of the I-V curve.

$$FF = \frac{I_{max} \times V_{max}}{I_{SC} \times V_{OC}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)
CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. N-PHTHALOYLCHITOSAN OF CHITOSAN

4.1.1. FTIR analysis

In FTIR spectra the position, intensity, and shape of a characteristic transmittance band are helpful in identifying functional groups, thus the chemical structure of the compound. The FTIR spectrum of pure chitosan has been compared with the spectrum of the phthaloylated product, PhCh in Figure 4.1.

![FTIR spectra of chitosan and phthaloylchitosan](image)

**Figure 4.1:** FTIR spectra of (a) chitosan, (b) phthaloylchitosan

The NH$_2$ band appearing around 1601 cm$^{-1}$ in the pure chitosan spectrum (Figure 4.1(a)) has disappeared indicating that the NH$_2$ group has been stripped of its H atoms and phthaloylation has occurred onto the N atom of chitosan. The spectrum of pure phthaloylchitosan (Figure 4.1(b)) exhibits peaks corresponding to the carbonyl amide at 1772 and 1708 cm$^{-1}$. There is also a peak at 719 cm$^{-1}$ indicating the presence of aromatic ring. These results verified the synthesis of N-phthaloylchitosan. Previous works have
reported that three main peaks appeared after phthaloylation took place onto the amino group of chitosan (Bian et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2004; Rout et al., 1993; Yoksan et al., 2001). Two of the peaks that belong to phthalimido group arise at 1711-1715 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1772-1777 cm\(^{-1}\). The third band is dedicated to aromatic group which appeared at 721 cm\(^{-1}\) wavenumber. The details are presented in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Significant wavenumbers exhibited by N-phthaloylated chitosan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibrational mode</th>
<th>Wavenumbers/ cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Phthalimido group</td>
<td>1772, 1708</td>
<td>1710, 1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1712, 1777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1712, 1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1714, 1777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1714, 1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1711, 1777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1713, 1779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1713, 1777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1713, 1777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-phthaloylation</td>
<td>~2630</td>
<td>Rout et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amide I band</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td>Yoksan et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyl group</td>
<td>3582</td>
<td>Yoksan et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3600-3100</td>
<td>Holappa et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3474</td>
<td>Opanasopit et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3435</td>
<td>Peng &amp; Zhang (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic group</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-O pyranose</td>
<td>1150-950</td>
<td>Holappa et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment of chitosan with phthalic anhydride generally results in partial O-phthaloylation in addition to the N-substitution as shown in Figure 4.2. From the FTIR spectra in Figure 4.1(b), small extent of O-phthaloylation has also occurred in addition to N-phthaloylation as the peaks at 1240-1300 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed. Previous work by Kurita et al. (2001), showed the similar observation of O-phthaloylation.

**Figure 4.2:** Structure of (A) N-phthaloylated chitosan and (B) O,N-phthaloylated chitosan.

Sometimes, O-phthaloyl group is an obstacle in most cases for quantitative and regioselective substitution (Kurita et al., 2001). However, in this study, since we used PhCh as the end product, small extent O-phthaloylation will not be a problem. Chemoselective of N-phthaloylation is possible by using mixture of DMF and hydroxyl-bearing co-solvent as the medium for refluxing. Although O-phthaloylation occurs in the
initial stage under these conditions, the ester linkage would be eventually cleaved by the water formed in the N-phthaloylation process (Kurita et al., 2007).

Co-solvents that have been studied by Kurita et al. (2001) include ethanol, ethylene glycol, 2-methoxyethanol and water in order to control the N-phthaloylation. Of the four co-solvents examined, water proved to be the most appropriate, whereby the product was much lighter in color and furthermore, the degree of substitution was confirmed to be 1.0.

4.1.2. $^1$H NMR Analysis

Confirmation of the structure of the synthesized PhCh via $^1$H NMR is presented in Figure 4.2. $^1$H NMR spectrum exhibits two distinct sets of signals. One set consists of peaks centering at 7.5, 7.7 and 7.9 ppm assigned to phthaloyl group. The signal peaks for the aromatic ring protons in PhCh occur in the 7.0 to 8.0 ppm region as other kinds of protons usually do not resonate in this region. Yoksan et al. (2001) also observed peak at 7.6 ppm belonging to protons of the phenyl rings for successful phthaloylation. Peaks that exist between 2.0 and 5.0 ppm are dedicated to the chitosan backbone hydrogen atoms.

Small extent of O-phthaloylation has also occurred in addition to N-phthaloylation as peaks attributed to O-phthaloyl group appear at 7.3-7.5 ppm (Kurita et al., 2007; Torii et al., 2009). This is supported by the FTIR results where bands at region 1240 - 1300 cm$^{-1}$ due to the O-phthalimido group were observed (Kurita et al., 2001). FTIR and $^1$H NMR results imply that phthaloylation has occurred onto chitosan to produce N-phthaloylchitosan and to a lesser extent O,N-phthaloylchitosan.
4.1.3. XRD Analysis

XRD patterns of pure chitosan and modified chitosan are shown in Figure 4.4. Two characteristic peaks of the pure chitosan can be observed at \(2\theta = 15.1^\circ\) and \(2\theta = 20.6^\circ\) due to the crystal form I and crystal form II, respectively (Aziz et al., 2012). Both of the peaks merged into a broad peak centred at \(2\theta = 21.7^\circ\) after phthaloylation occur. This shows that PhCh is more amorphous than the pure chitosan since the phthalimido groups reduce the inter- and intramolecular H-bonds of the chitosan. Therefore, its crystallinity has been disrupted (Yoksan et al., 2001).

Figure 4.3: \(^1\)H NMR spectra of phthaloylated chitosan
Figure 4.4: XRD pattern for (a) chitosan and (b) phthaloylated chitosan.

**Figure 4.5:** Disruption of hydrogen bonds after phthaloylation.

In addition, the heterogeneous structure of PhCh owing to the partial O-substitution also contributes to the amorphous nature. Kurita et al. (2001) had prepared N-phthaloylchitosan (without O-substitution) in DMF/water and obtained certain crystallinity as shown in Figure 4.6. Thus, PhCh with addition of O-phthaloylation is preferable as it fulfil the criteria of an electrolyte. Polymer electrolyte has to be in an
amorphous state as crystallinity prevents mobile species from migrating under AC signal due to the rigid polymer chains (FINKENSTADT, 2005).

Figure 4.6: XRD analysis of (A) fully deacetylated chitosan, (B) PhCh prepared in DMF, and (C) PhCh prepared in DMF:water (95:5) (Kurita et al., 2001).

4.1.4. Solubility

An amorphous polymer contains a network of entangled, flexible chains in a continuous motion. In the presence of solvent, the polymer network will swell from the osmotic activity of the solvent and the segmental motion will finally increase. The polymer will continue to expand with excess of solvent and increase its freedom to move sufficiently to allow transitional movement to the chains thereafter the substances will separate out to form a solution. Further dilution will result in the intermolecular forces that exist between the polymers chains will become less and finally the solution properties will only exhibit polymer-solvent interaction forces.
In this work, solubility test was carried out using various solvents in order to compare the solubility of the product after chitosan has been phthaloylated. The three classes of solvents identified (Bruice, 2004) were:

I. **Polar aprotic solvents**: This type of solvent does not contribute to H-bond since no hydrogen attached to the -O- or to –N- group. Thus, in the absence of positively charged hydrogens, no formation of ion-dipole interactions will occur.

II. **Polar protic solvents**: On the other hand, protic solvents contribute to the H-bond. The $\delta^+$ hydrogens points toward the negatively charged species.

III. **Non-polar solvents**: Non-polar solvent has low dielectric constant and are poor insulator.

Table 4.2 shows the solubility of PhCh in various solvents at room temperature. The results show that phthaloylated chitosan is soluble in most polar aprotic solvents. Complete dissolution was obtained in DMF, DMSO, DMAc and pyridine giving clear solutions compared to pure chitosan.

N,O-phthaloylchitosan was shown to be more soluble in organic solvents compared to N-phthaloylchitosan as it swells in DMF and pyridine (Kurita et al., 2007). The N-substituted derivative was soluble in some solvents such as dichloroacetic acid, m-cresol, N,N-dimethylacetamide/LiCl and methanol/CaCl$_2$•2H$_2$O while N,O-substituted derivative showed much higher solubility because of the bulky structure and amorphous nature in contrast to the crystalline structure of N-phthaloyled chitosan (Kurita et al., 2001).

Clearly, acetamido or primary amino groups of chitosan have an important role in the formation of peculiar conformational features through intra/inter molecular hydrogen bonding. Therefore, removal of the two hydrogen atoms of amino groups of chitosan and
introduction of some hydrophobic nature by chemical modifications will cause destruction of its inherent crystalline structure, resulting in the improvement of solubility in general organic solvents (Nishimura et al., 1991). Evidence of the phthaloyl group being attached to the amino group is further shown due to it being insoluble in acetic acid, an acidic solvent. This solubility of phthaloylated chitosan may be partly attributable to the less crystallinity as confirmed by XRD results in Section 4.1.3.1 (Kurita et al., 2001).

Table 4.2: Solubility of PhCh in various solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvents</th>
<th>Chitosan</th>
<th>PhCh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polar solvents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-polar solvents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

√: soluble     -: insoluble
4.2. GEL POLYMER ELECTROLYTE WITH SINGLE SALT

4.2.1. EIS Analysis

Various mass contents of TPAI have been introduced to the gel polymer electrolytes from 0.02 g to 0.14 g TPAI. The effects of the TPAI salts to the gel electrolytes can be observed in the Figure 4.7. The ionic conductivity values of the PhCh/EC/DMF/TPAI/I$_2$ system are tabulated in Table 4.3. The ionic conductivity value of $0.75 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ was obtained by GPE without TPAI (Table 4.3). The value was observed to increase as the mass of TPAI increased up to $5.46 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ with the addition of 0.12 g. This is due to the increment of the mobile ions number in the electrolyte (Yahya & Arof, 2002). As the salt dissociation increases with the increasing of salt content in the electrolyte, thus the amount of mobile ions also increases. Consequently, the conductivity increases. The conductivity is expected to be dominated by the mobility of I$^-$ ions since TPA$^+$ ions are bigger compared to I$^-$ ions, thus assumed to be entangled in the polymer matrix. However, the ionic conductivity value decreased to $5.07 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ after more than 0.12 g TPAI added. The reason is the formation of ion pair and/or ion aggregates interrupt the ions mobility thus lowering the ionic conductivity (Yusuf et al., 2014).

The variation of ionic conductivity value vs temperature in the range between 30$^\circ$ C and 100$^\circ$ C of the PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI gel polymer electrolytes is shown in Figure 4.8. The Arrhenius model was employed based on the following equation:

$$\log \sigma = \log \sigma_0 - \frac{0.4342 E_a}{kT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

where $\sigma_0$ is the pre-exponential factor, $E_a$, is the activation energy of ionic conduction, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is temperature in Kelvin. The ionic conductivity variation
with temperature for the GPEs follows the Arrhenius law since all of the points from the experimental results fit to a straight line, with $R^2 > 0.95$ for each sample. This suggests that the conductivity is thermally activated.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4.7:** Effects of TPAI on the ionic conductivity of PhCh-EC-DMF based gel polymer electrolyte.

**Table 4.3:** Ionic conductivity value of gel polymer electrolytes with various content of TPAI at room temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>TPAI/ g</th>
<th>Conductivity $\times 10^{-3}$/ S cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$E_a$ eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Even though the lowest $E_a$ values were shown by the most conducting GPE sample (A6), the $E_a$ values can be considered to be negligible since the $E_a$ values for rest of the GPEs showed not much difference (see Table 4.3). Other researchers have been reported the similar observations and explanations using PVA and PAN systems (Aziz et al., 2014; Dissanayake et al., 2012). In SPE case, the $E_a$ is dependent on salt concentration (Majid & Arof, 2005). The ion transport with an energy barrier equals to $E_a$, has been suggested to be thermally activated hopping from an occupied site to a vacant site.

![Figure 4.8: Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivity of the PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI gel polymer electrolytes.](image)

However, that is not the same with organic liquid electrolytes where the $E_a$ does not depend on the salt concentration especially at moderate and low salt contents, or the type of salt used. The $E_a$ value is suggested to be depended only on the type of solvent heteroatom used which influences the dipole re-orientation (Arof et al., 2014a; Bandara et al., 2013). Therefore since the environment of the gel electrolytes is where the PhCh matrix entrapped the ionic salt dissolved in an organic polar co-solvent EC/DMF, GPE is
suggested to behave more to liquid-like behaviour. This explains the similar range of $E_a$ value seen in Table 4.3.

### 4.2.2. FTIR Analysis

In previous Section 4.1.1, FTIR studies have verified the chemical structure of PhCh, indicating that phthaloylation has been successfully occurred onto the chitosan. However, besides polymer host PhCh, the GPEs are also composed of a large portion of the EC and DMF plasticizers. Therefore, detailed analyses on these two materials are necessary.

FTIR spectra of the EC and DMF in the wavenumber range between 600 and 4000 cm$^{-1}$ was shown in Figure 4.9. At 1770 and 1796 cm$^{-1}$, the strong doublet peaks due to C=O stretching are observed in the EC spectrum (Figure 4.9(a)). The presence of the peak at 1796 cm$^{-1}$ which is assigned to the ring breathing mode can also overlap the overtone from the peak at 889 cm$^{-1}$ (Ikezawa & Nishi, 2008). Peaks due to the scissoring and wagging vibrations of CH$_2$ and stretching vibration of C–O appeared at 1067, 1155, 1390 and 1481 cm$^{-1}$, respectively.

In the DMF spectrum, a strong peak attributed to the C=O stretching was present at 1656 cm$^{-1}$ (Jacob & Arof, 2000). Three intense peaks are assigned to O–C–N, CH$_3$ rocking mode and N–C–H bending modes observed at 657, 1089 and 1385 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. A peak seen at 865 is attributed to the C–N symmetric mode while peak at 1256 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to asymmetric stretching mode of the same functional group. The minor peaks observed at 1501 and 2928 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to N–H bending mode and CH$_3$ symmetric stretching mode, respectively. While DMF is not a strong hydrogen bonding donor, according to Zhang et al. (2011) the C=O is expected to be the main acceptors and the hydrogen atom coordinated to C=O can theoretically be considered as one possible
donor. Since both of the plasticizers, EC and DMF are aprotic solvents, they are not H-bond donors. Therefore, no ion–dipole interaction formation as no positively charged hydrogens are present (Bruice, 2004). The two plasticizers have only weak interactions where the autoprotolysis is extremely weak (Zhang et al., 2014).

![FTIR spectra of (A) ethylene carbonate and (B) dimethylformamide.](image)

**Figure 4.9**: FTIR spectra of (A) ethylene carbonate and (B) dimethylformamide.

The FTIR spectrum of the PhCh based GPE with various contents of TPAI are shown in Figure 4.10. The changes in intensity, bandwidth and position from the FTIR spectral indicate that there is interaction behaviour of the components in the electrolytes (Huang et al., 2013). FTIR is one of the effective methods in order to determine the changes due to the cation–polymer binding sites and crystalline–amorphous domain ratios. Besides, FTIR also has been used to examine the extent of hydrogen bonding since
the polymer chains composed of heteroatoms undergo ion complexation through Lewis acid–base interactions forming the basis of an electrolyte medium.

Figure 4.10: FTIR spectra of PhCh–EC–DMF–TPAI based gel polymer electrolytes.

The method of FTIR deconvolution of main peaks has proven itself to be useful as it can detect the hidden peaks of certain ionic species and polymer segments that contribute to the relationships of all the components in the electrolytes (Bar & Basak, 2014). In this work, there are four possible coordination environments that have been identified from the spectrum as depicted in Figure 4.11. There are (1) ether region; 1000–1200 cm$^{-1}$, (2) amide region; 1580–1700 cm$^{-1}$, (3) carbonyl region; 1700–1840 cm$^{-1}$ and (4) amine/hydroxyl region; 3130–3700 cm$^{-1}$. 
Figure 4.11: Deconvolution of individual FTIR regions: (A) ether (1000–1200 cm\(^{-1}\)); (B) amide (1580–1700 cm\(^{-1}\)); (C) carbonyl (1700–1840 cm\(^{-1}\)); and (D) amine/hydroxyl groups (3130–3700 cm\(^{-1}\)).

**FTIR spectra of ether group in the range from 1000 to 1200 cm\(^{-1}\).**

Deconvolution of the ether (C–O–C) region (Figure 4.11(A)) has been done at the wavenumber range between 1000 and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) (Appendix D1). Seven peaks were resolved from this region and the percentage area for each contributing peak was shown in the histogram in Figure 4.12. The interaction of the C–O–C group of PhCh and/or EC with the salts can be observed from the changes of the peak intensity. The peak due to the hydrogen bonded C–O–C stretching from PhCh appeared at 1047 cm\(^{-1}\). The CH\(_3\) rocking mode from the combination of EC and DMF is dominant at 1069 cm\(^{-1}\). The peak arise at 1079 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the coordination of TPA\(^+\) cations with C–O–C sites since this peak was absent in the GPE without TPAI. The presence of the crystalline complexes can be observed from the peak centred at 1094 cm\(^{-1}\). However, the peak due to the free C–O–C stretching mode which most likely took place in the amorphous region can be observed
at 1143 cm\(^{-1}\) (Bar & Basak, 2014). The intensity of the peak at 1156 cm\(^{-1}\) was higher for the gel electrolytes with TPAI content whereas the opposite effects was true at 1171 cm\(^{-1}\). It can be seen from the histogram that after TPAI was introduced to the gel electrolytes, the changes in all the peak intensity were negligible. This suggests that the complexation between polymer and/or plasticizer with TPAI in these regions does not depend on the salt concentration.

![Figure 4.12: Relative FTIR band percentage area in the range of 1000 to 1200 cm\(^{-1}\).](image)

**FTIR spectra of amide/carbonyl group in the range from 1580 to 1840 cm\(^{-1}\).**

The absorption of the carbonyl functional group is highly sensitive to the interaction behavior such as H-bonding. There are two types of C=O absorption which are; the free uncoordinated carbonyl and the bonded carbonyl where the other proton donating groups (e.g. O–H and N–H) interacts with the electron rich group. The H-bonded carbonyl absorption normally arises at a relatively lower wavenumber compared to that of the free carbonyl. This is because as the interaction occurred at the C=O group, the electron density will be reduced, hence it will decrease the vibrational energy and the
peak shifts its position. Besides H⁺, the same observations was reported by Huang et al. (2013) for absorption formation of Li⁺ ions bonded to carbonyl group.

The deconvolution of carbonyl (C=O) group absorption is divided into two parts which are; region I (1580-1700 cm⁻¹) and region II (1700-1840 cm⁻¹) (Appendix D2). Region I corresponds to the deconvolution specific to the amide group, R(C=O)NR₂, region in between wavenumber 1580 and 1700 cm⁻¹ (Figure 4.11(B)). The histogram in Figure 4.13 presents the percentage area of each of the deconvoluted peak. The first peak in the amide region arises at wavenumber 1637 cm⁻¹. After addition of salt, the peak intensity takes a plunge but generally increases with varying salt content.

![Figure 4.13: Relative FTIR band percentage area in the range of 1580 to 1700 cm⁻¹.](image)

However, the intensity of the peak due to the ordered or disordered interfacial domains in the polymer structure at 1649 cm⁻¹ (Bar & Basak, 2014), decreases as salt content increases. Similar pattern can be seen for these two peaks with electrolytes containing single or double salts. The peak at 1660 cm⁻¹, which is the highest peak intensity, is attributed to the carboxamide group from the chitosan. The peak attributed to the amide peak belonging to DMF appears at 1674 cm⁻¹. The intensity of these peaks
mostly increases as the salt content increase especially for the peak at 1674 cm\(^{-1}\). This is due to the better mobility of DMF that provides great access to coordination sites of the C=O region.

Deconvolution on region II was done to the FTIR spectrum of the GPE in a range between 1700 and 1840 cm\(^{-1}\) as shown in Figure 4.11(C). This region corresponds to the second part of the carbonyl (C=O) group. Figure 4.14 presents the relative percentage areas of the each of the six deconvoluted peaks. The peaks in this region II are seen to be less sensitive compared to the C=O group peaks in region I. The intensities of the peaks generally show insignificant difference with the variation of TPAI content. However, the intensity of all peaks increase when compared to the blank GPE (A0).

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4.14:** Relative FTIR band percentage area in the range of 1700 to 1840 cm\(^{-1}\).

The peak intensities for all A1 until A7 gel polymer electrolytes at 1764 and 1773 cm\(^{-1}\) decreases with increasing of TPAI content. The peak which was originally due to a characteristic feature of the pure PhCh at 1708 cm\(^{-1}\) has shifted to 1718 cm\(^{-1}\) suggesting a strong interaction between polymer host PhCh and the TPAI salt. The peaks dedicating to the carbonyl group of EC can be seen at 1773 and 1805 cm\(^{-1}\) (Osman & Arof, 2003).
A strong peak present at 1798 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the EC is observed to increase as the TPAI content increase. Since the C=O stretching vibration mode is strongly affected by interactions with other molecules, thus it is evident that there may be interaction occurred between EC and TPAI salt (Ikezawa & Nishi, 2008).

FTIR spectra of amine/hydroxyl group in the range from 3130 to 3700 cm$^{-1}$.

Figure 4.15 depicts the deconvolution plot in the 3130 and 3700 cm$^{-1}$ region (Appendix D3) corresponding to the O–H and N–H group of the GPE (see Figure 4.11(D)). The peak at 3247 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the hydrogen coordination of N–H band to the ether oxygen from PhCh backbone (Bar & Basak, 2014). In the presence of TPAI, these sites instead coordinate to the salt cations hence the sudden drop in peak intensities for GPE of A1 to A7. In contrast, the peak at 3535 cm$^{-1}$ saw its peak intensities increased when compared against the blank GPE, A0, which indicates the possibility of the peak being dedicated to the N–H band coordinated with TPA$^+$ ions. The peak at 3363 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the H-coordination from N–H with C=O. Similarly, a definite decreasing trend was observed in the intensity of peak as the TPAI content increased. The C=O sites no longer being H-coordinated to the N–H and O–H group is now most likely coordinated to TPA$^+$, as discussed earlier. The peak assigned to the O–H band from pure PhCh at 3442 cm$^{-1}$ has shifted to 3451 cm$^{-1}$. The lone-pair of the electrons were delocalized due to the strong metal-ions-mediated coordination that reduces the O–H bond length effectively as the vibrational energy increase at higher frequency. The band at 3593 cm$^{-1}$ may also be attributed to the O–H coordinated with the TPA$^+$ cations.
4.2.3. XRD Analysis

The XRD patterns in a range between $2\theta = 10^\circ$ and $2\theta = 60^\circ$ are shown in Figure 4.16 and the details have been tabulated in Appendix B. The area and also height of the broad peak for samples A0 to A6 can be seen to generally decrease with the content of TPAI; from an area and height of 121 913 a.u. and 14 458 a.u. to 22 727 a.u. and 2874 a.u., respectively. This might be due to the decreasing of crystallinity of the gel electrolytes (Aziz et al., 2012). High intensity peaks in XRD occur as the X-rays scatter only in certain directions when they hit the lattice planes formed by atoms which are arranged in periodic crystalline. As the crystallinity in gel electrolyte gets more disrupted, periodicity of the atoms decreases and gets more randomly distributed in 3D space. The X-rays will be scattered in various directions leading to a broad peak distributed in a wide $2\theta$ range instead of high intensity narrower peaks as is shown for every pattern in Figure 4.16. This is parallel with the FTIR analyses where the interactions of gel electrolytes with TPAI leads to further disrupting the crystallinity. The most conducting GPE, sample A6 with 0.12 g of TPAI content, also showed the most amorphous sample among all the
electrolytes in the system. This indicates that conductivity favours the amorphous phase and this results corroborate with the trend seen in the ionic conductivity and DSSC studies. The area and height increased to 24 896 and 3324 a.u., respectively at higher content of TPAI (sample A7) which is beyond the optimum salt concentration point. This is possibly corresponds to the re-association of TPAI ions to form salt aggregates.

![XRD patterns of PhCh based GPE with various content of TPAI.](image)

**Figure 4.16:** XRD patterns of PhCh based GPE with various content of TPAI.

### 4.2.4. DSSC Analysis

Figure 4.17 shows the $J$–$V$ curves for the DSSCs fabricated with various TPAI contents of GPEs. The photocurrent density-voltage characteristic parameters are tabulated in Table 4.4. DSSC using the GPE with the lowest TPAI content of 0.02 g has produced an efficiency, $J_{SC}$, $V_{OC}$ and fill factor of 2.86%, 7.70 mA cm$^{-2}$, 0.64 V and 0.58, respectively. As the TPAI content in the GPE increases, the efficiency of the DSSCs is observed to increase. It should be noted that the $\eta$ variation follows the same variation as $J_{SC}$. Thus it is the dominant contributor to the solar cell efficiency. The DSSC fabricated
with A6 (the most conducting and also the most amorphous GPE in the system) gave the best energy conversion $\eta$ of 5.00 % with parameters $J_{SC}$ of 12.72 mA cm$^{-2}$, $V_{OC}$ of 0.60 V, fill factor of 0.66. This pattern is observed to be parallel to the conductivity characterizations observed earlier, therefore its behavior could be attributed to the amount of free $\Gamma^-$ ions in the GPE. The efficiency at 0.14 g TPAI dropped to 3.58 % after the optimum point at 0.12 g TPAI. This can be explained due to the dissociation of the salt to form free ions, which compete with the occurrence of formation of ion pair and/or ion aggregates. This will hinder the mobility of the ions and also do not assist the ionic conductivity, consequently the conductivity value decreases.

![Figure 4.17](image)

**Figure 4.17:** Current–voltage curves for DSSCs based on PhCh–EC–DMF–TPAI gel polymer electrolytes with varying amounts of TPAI.

It can be seen from Table 4.4 that the $V_{OC}$ values do not influence by the TPAI content in the GPE. However, the $FF$ shows a monotonic increase in values. Generally, $V_{OC}$ is determined by the difference between the quasi Fermi level of the TiO$_2$ and the redox level of $\Gamma/I_3^-$ couple. Therefore, the $V_{OC}$ value depends on the cations size in the
electrolyte, as smaller ones can adsorb well to the TiO₂ surface and also alter Fermi level position to some extent. No such changes can be expected since the present electrolyte used larger TPA⁺ cations. Thus the $V_{OC}$ value does not show any significant trend of changes as the salt concentration changes in the electrolyte. The value of the $FF$, however, depends on many factors which include the charge accumulation within the DSSC due to unbalanced mobility of the charged species and slower the electrons transfer at interfaces and dye regeneration (Qi & Wang, 2013). As the content of TPAI salt increases, the concentration of I⁻ ions in the electrolyte also increases giving rise to more currents. This corresponds to the improvement in conductivity and $FF$ value from the lowering of charge accumulation caused by increased charge transfer at the counter electrode and accelerated dye regeneration.

**Table 4.4:** $J-V$ parameters of DSSC with various content of TPAI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$/ mA cm⁻²</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$/ V</th>
<th>$FF$</th>
<th>η/ %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td><strong>12.72</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.60</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationship between ionic conductivity and DSSC performance with the various mass of TPAI are presented in Figure 4.18. Beyond the optimum amount of TPAI as in sample A7, the photocurrent density drops since the number of I⁻ ions are reduced due to the formation of ion pairs and aggregates. However, it appears that the charge accumulation has not yet increased to the extent to reduce the $FF$ value. The $J-V$ curves deviate very much from the ideal rectangular shape when the charge accumulation occurs as can be seen in Figure 4.17. With the increase of the TPAI content, the shape of the $J-V$ curves progressively becomes closer to the ideal rectangular shape suggesting that the
charge accumulation is becoming lesser. However, to better explain the observed \( FF \) value changes is quite difficult as \( FF \) is controlled by several more factors such as poor contacts that lead to current leakages and also electron recombination. Moreover, these factors influence each other as well.

![Figure 4.18](image_url)

**Figure 4.18:** Relationship between ionic conductivity and DSSC efficiency with the various mass of TPAI.

The comparison of the DSSCs performance in recent literature has been presented in Table 2.5 (Chapter 2). Values of the performance parameters are from the best electrolyte of the system in each authors' work. From our own work, it has been shown that significant improvements have been achieved in improving the efficiency of the electrolytes based on chitosan and its derivatives. The solar cell parameters are also on par or even better than GPEs using other types of biopolymers. It is also comparable to the best values found in GPEs with synthetic polymer host matrices.
4.3. GEL POLYMER ELECTROLYTE WITH DOUBLE SALTS

In our previous study, a large quaternary ammonium iodide salt was employed since it was expected to reduce the conductivity of the cation while enhancing the conductivity of the iodide anionic in the GPEs (Yusuf et al., 2016). However, recently it has been reported that by including small cations such as Li⁺, K⁺ or Mg²⁺ into the gel polymer electrolytes along with the bulky cations, the efficiency of solar cells can be significantly improved (Dissanayake et al., 2012; Ileperuma et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2010). Cations with the small size and also high charge density will improve the photogeneration of electrons at the dye. Small size cations will also result in faster diffusion dynamics at the interface between dye and semiconductor (Dissanayake et al., 2014). While the large cations boost iodide ion conductivity, the small cations enhance electron injection yields from dye to TiO₂ film which in turn increases the photocurrent density, $J_{sc}$ value. Therefore, the combination of the two dissimilar cations will positively impact the solar cell performance through their respective roles and this method has been practised by many researchers to improve the efficiency of DSSCs (Dissanayake et al., 2012; Kou et al., 2013; Ozawa et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2011). For example, the binary mixture of potassium iodide (KI) and TPAI in PVdF gel polymer electrolytes produced an efficiency of 3.92 % which was higher than the efficiency of the respective individual salt which only recorded 2.37 % and 2.90 % (Arof et al., 2014a). Similar results were reported for DSSC fabricated using PAN based gel polymer electrolyte with LiI/TPAI salt combination (Wanninayake et al., 2016).

By using binary iodide mixture, enhancement can be observed to the efficiency of the DSSC about 18% compared to the efficiency of DSSC with single iodide. Li⁺ with small cation radius of 0.60 Å (Bandara et al., 2009), is chosen since KI, RbI and CsI with large cation are hardly employed in a liquid electrolyte for DSSC because of their poor solubility in organic solution (Shen et al., 2008). Bandara et al. (2014a) have also stated
the positive influence of small cations addition on solar cell performance such as enhancement of the electron injection yields from dye to TiO$_2$ film, electron diffusion through the TiO$_2$ layer, dye regeneration and effects imposed to the semiconductor band positions with increasing amount of small cation, in this case, the presence of LiI would tend to improve the $J_{SC}$.

Table 4.5: Comparison of performance parameters of some DSSCs in recent literature for electrolytes consisting of single and double salt systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt system type</th>
<th>Electrolyte system (polymer–co-solvents–salt–dye)</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
<th>$FF$</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC - TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>Bandara et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC - TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>Dissanayake et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC - CI/TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>Bandara et al. (2014a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC - THAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Bandara et al. (2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC - CsI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>Bandara et al. (2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC - THAI/LiI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>Bandara et al. (2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PVA - EC/PC/DMSO - KI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>Aziz et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PVA - EC/PC/DMSO - KI/I$_2$ - N3</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>Aziz et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PVA - EC/PC/DMSO - TBAI/I$_2$ - N3</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>Aziz et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PVdF - EC/PC - KI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>Arof et al. (2014a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PVdF - EC/PC - TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>Arof et al. (2014a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PVdF - EC/PC - KI/TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>Dissanayake et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PMMA - EC/PC - TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>Dissanayake et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PMMA - EC/PC - KI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>Dissanayake et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PMMA - EC/PC - KI/TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>Dissanayake et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>PhCH – EC/PC – TPAI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>Yusuf et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PhCH – EC/PC – TPAI/LiI/I$_2$ – N719</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>Yusuf et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>PAN - EC/PC – TPAI/LiI/I$_2$ - N719</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>Wanninayake et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus, in order to improve the efficiency of PhCh based DSSCs, EC and DMF with a binary iodide salt system consisting of TPAI as the bulky cation salt and LiI as the small alkali cation salt were used to prepare the GPE (shown in Figure 4.19).

Figure 4.19: The two types of cations present in the PhCh–EC–DMF–TPAI-Li GPE system.

4.3.1. EIS Analysis

Figure 4.20 shows the effects of ionic conductivity at room temperature and activation energy with the concentration of LiI. All of the values are tabulated in Table 4.6. It is obvious that the conductivity value is not significantly influenced by the different combinations of small and large cations used. In general, the conductivity value of an electrolyte is governed by two main factors namely number density of mobile ions, $n$ and mobility of the charge carriers, $\mu$. In this study, these transport parameters were evaluated using the Rice and Roth model (Rice & Roth, 1972) which relates ionic conductivity with $n$ value through the equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{2}{3} \left[ \frac{(Z_e)^2}{kTm} \right] nE_ao \tau_o e^{-\frac{E_a}{kT}}$$  (4.2)

where $Z$ is the valency of the ions, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $m$ is the mass of charge carrier, $n$ is the number density of mobile ions, $\tau_o$ is the free ion lifetime and $E_a$ is the activation energy. By substituting the $n$ value into the general equation of conductivity, $\sigma = n\mu e$, the mobility factor, $\mu$ is then evaluated.
Figure 4.20: Variation of activation energy values and conductivity values as a function of LiI content.

Table 4.6: $\sigma$ and $E_a$ of GPEs with various mass ratios of TPAI and LiI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Ionic conductivity, $\sigma/ S \text{ cm}^{-1}$</th>
<th>Activation energy, $E_a/ eV$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B0</td>
<td>$5.46 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>$6.67 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>$6.41 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>$6.09 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>$6.46 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>$5.80 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>$6.30 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of the LiI composition on the $n$ and $\mu$ values is depicted in Figure 4.21 (values in Table 4.7) and the trend in the plot can be dissected into two regions namely, TPAI dominant region (0.00-0.06 g LiI) and LiI dominant region (0.06-0.12 g LiI). The number density of mobile ions is observed to be higher in the LiI dominant regions whereas high mobility is favoured in the TPAI dominant region. Being the salt with lower molecular weight, LiI is expected to dissociate into higher density of mobile ions compared to the higher molecular weight TPAI. However, large concentrations of LiI results in the reduction of polymer flexibility due to inter and intra molecular cross links.
by lithium ions induced by its high charge density. The reduction of polymer flexibility can increase the local viscosity of electrolyte medium hindering mobility of the charge carriers. Thus in a system comprising both the salts, high mobile ion concentration is achieved at the expense of mobility at one end and the converse occurs at the other end, therefore resulting in a net ionic conductivity within the same range.

![Graph showing number density and ionic mobility of GPEs with different ratios of LiI:TPAI.](image)

**Figure 4.21:** Number density and ionic mobility of GPEs with different ratios of LiI:TPAI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>(n/\text{cm}^3)</th>
<th>(\mu/\text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{S})</th>
<th>(D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B0</td>
<td>(4.509 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(7.567 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(1.975 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>(7.450 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(5.587 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(1.458 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>(7.944 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(5.040 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(1.316 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>(6.519 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(5.830 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(1.522 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>(1.534 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(2.628 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(6.859 \times 10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>(1.255 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(2.887 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(7.536 \times 10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>(9.611 \times 10^{18})</td>
<td>(4.093 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(1.068 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.7:** \(n, \mu\) and \(D\) values for the GPEs with different ratios of LiI:TPAI.

It should be noted for now that although the highest conductivity was achieved for the sample B1, it will be discussed later that the optimum DSSC power conversion efficiency, \(\eta\) was obtained for the sample B3 instead. According to Cha et al. (2010), the
Ionic conductivity may not be the rate controlling step among various transport processes in determining the cell efficiency, nonetheless electron recombination might play a significant role in the solid-state DSSCs employing polymer electrolyte.

The electrolytes were also subjected to temperature dependent conductivity studies from 303 K to 363 K and all the samples exhibited Arrhenius behavior within the measured temperature range. The activation energy, $E_a$, was calculated by fitting the data (Table 4.6) to the Arrhenius equation and the activation energies of electrolytes were also found to be between 0.10-0.14 eV. The observed pattern in the activation energy can be justified by comprehending the nature of the gel formation. In this study, the gel electrolytes are fabricated by the entrapment of the DMF, EC and iodide salt based electrolyte in the phthaloyl chitosan matrix. Thus, the conductivity trend is essentially governed by the electrolytic solution and the system could be viewed in the perspective of a liquid electrolyte in which the $E_a$ is largely independent of the salt concentrations but rather depends on the type of solvent (Petrowsky & Frech, 2010). The binary iodide studies on PAN and PMMA based quasi-solid DSSC also report similar trend as in this study (Bandara et al., 2014a; Dissanayake et al., 2014).

4.3.2. FTIR Analysis

The FTIR spectrum which is typical of the phthaloylchitosan based GPE with various ratio of TPAI:LiI are shown in Figure 4.22. Assignments of the common peaks have been discussed in detail in Section 4.2.2. Deconvolution of the peaks were made to study the influence of having LiI in addition to TPAI in this binary GPE salt system towards the ratios of the crystalline-amorphous domain and to the extent of cation-polymer/plasticizer binding sites.
Figure 4.22: FTIR spectra for PhCh based gel polymer electrolytes containing various ratio of TPAI:LiI.

The four possible coordination regions which were scrutinized are: ether, C-O-C (1000-1200 cm^{-1}), amide R(C=O)NR_2 (1580-1700 cm^{-1}), carbonyl C=O (1700-1840 cm^{-1}), and amine/hydroxyl groups N-H/O-H (3130-3700 cm^{-1}). These analyses seem to indicate the cause for the perceived drop in ionic mobility, $\mu$ when the ratio of LiI is increased against TPAI: it is due to the stronger affinity of the LiI towards forming coordination complexes with the polymer/plasticizer matrix. The reason for this behavior would be that LiI, which has a smaller effective cation size of 0.76 Å (Shannon, 1976) has greater accessibility to the coordination sites when compared against the much larger TPAI which has a cation size of 4.60 Å (Gregor, 1968) as previously shown in Figure 4.19.
**Figure 4.23:** A graphical representation of the cation coordination to the electron rich moieties in the GPE system.

**FTIR spectra of ether group in the range from 1000 to 1200 cm$^{-1}$**

Deconvolution in this region has resolved up to as many as eight separate major peaks (Appendix E1), each of its relative contribution is shown by the histogram in Figure 4.24. In our previous subtopic (Section 4.2.2), the peak intensity at 1047 cm$^{-1}$ which was assigned as the cation-bonded C-O-C stretching from PhCh showed little sensitivity towards changes in salt concentration. In this current work, the total salt concentration was fixed to a constant amount, however it was observed that as the ratio of LiI increases over the TPAI amount, the peak intensity also increases which suggests that the Li$^+$ cations tends to have better coordination capabilities towards the GPE matrix. The peak at 1069 cm$^{-1}$ which belongs to the $\sim$CH$_3$ rocking mode of both uncoordinated EC and DMF also shows negligible changes except when the intensity drops at very high LiI concentrations. A much more prominent change was seen at 1079 cm$^{-1}$ which is dedicated
to the complexation of both Li\(^+\) and TPA\(^+\) to the C-O-C site: when the ratio of LiI was more dominant over TPAI, the peak intensity became significantly higher.

Another point of interest is the relationship of the peak intensities at 1094 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1104 cm\(^{-1}\). The degree of amorphousness in the GPE samples is held back by the formation of crystalline complexes (Bar & Basak, 2014) and is the most likely cause for the appearance of the peak at 1094 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas the peak at 1104 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed solely due to coordination of Li\(^+\) ions with C-O-C sites which in turn stifles the crystallinity of the GPE matrix especially at higher LiI concentrations (this observation also tallies with the XRD analysis discussed later where increasing the amount of LiI leads to reduced crystallinity). Also in the amorphous region there is a peak assigned to the stretching mode of unbound C-O-C arise at 1143 cm\(^{-1}\), however this site does not have significant sensitivity towards changes in the LiI/TPAI ratio. Similar indifferences were seen for the peaks at 1156 and 1171 cm\(^{-1}\) except when LiI concentration was very high, which suggests peaks in this region are not heavily influenced by the complexation of the salt with the polymer/plasticizer matrix.

Figure 4.24: Relative FTIR peak area for each deconvoluted peak in the ether region.
FTIR spectra of amide/carbonyl group in the range from 1580 to 1840 cm$^{-1}$

The presence of carbonyl moieties within the GPE matrix also allows possible bonding sites for the Li$^+$ and TPA$^+$ cations. Upon coordination, the electron density of the C=O group is reduced, and because this causes the vibrational energy to decrease, it results in the shifting of peak positions towards lower wavenumbers in the FTIR spectrum (Huang et al., 2013).

To simplify the deconvolution computation, the carbonyl region was split into two parts (Appendix E2), with the first half being dedicated for carbonyl belonging to amide groups present in the GPE system (1580 to 1700 cm$^{-1}$) and the second half belonging to carbonyls not associated with amide (1700 to 1840 cm$^{-1}$) as shown in Figure 4.25 and Figure 4.26, respectively.

The peaks at 1637 and 1649 cm$^{-1}$ can be associated to the ordered or disordered interfacial domains in the structure of the polymer, respectively (Bar & Basak, 2014). The two peaks show a clear inverse relationship trend towards changes in LiI/TPAI ratio. This behavior was less noticeable in our previous work for a single TPAI salt system (Yusuf et al., 2016). However, the clear trend seen in Figure 4.25 corroborates with the observation for the C-O-C region discussed earlier whereby the Li$^+$ attaches itself much easier to negative moieties probably due to it having a smaller cationic size compared to TPA$^+$.

The carboxamide group belonging to chitosan shows the most intense peak at 1660 cm$^{-1}$ which increases further when LiI is more dominant. The peak at 1668 cm$^{-1}$ is much less noticeable but it does show complete suppression at very high LiI ratios. Also of interest is the peak at 1674 cm$^{-1}$ belonging to the DMF component in the GPE matrix. For a unitary salt system, it has been shown that the intensities generally increases along with TPAI concentration (Yusuf et al., 2016), but in this current work, the total concentration of the binary LiI/TPAI salt system was held constant and yet as the ratio of
LiI over TPAI is increased, the peak intensities decreased steadily. This may suggest that coordination of TPA⁺ towards DMF is preferred over the chitosan backbone, probably due to DMF being more mobile and accessible, but in the presence of competition with Li⁺, this ability is hindered.

**Figure 4.25:** Relative FTIR band percentage area in the range of 1580-1700 cm⁻¹.

Deconvolution analyses in the region between 1700 and 1840 cm⁻¹ showed negligible sensitivity response intensities towards changes in LiI/TPAI ratio (Figure 4.26) for most of the resolved peaks. The peak at 1718 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the coordination of salt cations to the carbonyl anhydride from the phthalimido group of PhCh. The peak resolved at 1788 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the unbonded C=O (Barth, 2000). The two strong peaks due to carbonyl group of from EC has appeared at 1773 and 1805 cm⁻¹ (Ikezawa & Nishi, 2008; Osman & Arof, 2003). These are the only peaks in this region that show appreciable change with varying amount of LiI/TPAI ratio to indicate salt–EC interaction. The peak at 1798 cm⁻¹ is also associated with EC but it shows only a slight downward trend with increasing LiI.
Figure 4.26: Relative area percentage of the deconvoluted peaks in the amide region.

**FTIR spectra of amine/hydroxyl group in the range from 3130 to 3700 cm⁻¹**

The O-H and N-H groups of the GPE overlap in the region of 3130-3700 cm⁻¹ and results from the deconvolution analyses are shown in Figure 4.27. Previously, for the unitary system, the peak at 3247 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the coordination of N-H to C-O-C (Bar & Basak, 2014; Yusuf et al., 2016). In the presence of TPAI, the bond favors TPA⁺ to N-H instead. In this current work, additional presence of Li⁺ competes with TPA⁺ as can be seen by the rising peak intensities with increment of LiI content. A similar observation was seen at 3363 cm⁻¹, but this peak was assigned to N-H or O-H coordination with carbonyl. There are no more hydrogen coordinated to the O-H and N-H group at the carbonyl sites. This might be due to the disruption by the contributing factors of TPA⁺ and Li⁺. Changes in the peak intensities at 3451 and 3593 cm⁻¹ however, showed no obvious trends. On the other hand, coordination with TPA⁺ gave peaks at 3535 and 3634 cm⁻¹ but competition with Li⁺ may have caused the peak intensities to drop with incremental dominance of LiI.
Figure 4.27: Relative FTIR band percentage area in the range of 3130-3700 cm\(^{-1}\).

4.3.3. XRD Analysis

XRD patterns for each sample of the GPE is shown in Figure 4.28 (and detailed data is given in Appendix C). The total weight concentration of the Li/TPAI was held constant for all the samples, however the XRD results clearly show a decremental change in the area and height of the peaks at \(\theta \approx 22^\circ\) as the ratio of LiI is raised. This concurs with the EIS and FTIR analyses whereby LiI plays a strong role in reducing the amorphousness of the GPE samples. Since Li\(^+\) has a higher charge density as well as a smaller cationic size compared to TPA\(^+\), it would have had greater steric accessibility to form coordination complexes with electron rich sites and thus is better able to disrupt the crystalline network in the GPE matrix. The X-rays will be scattered in many directions since the periodicity of the matrix structure decreases, thus lead to a formation of a broad peak of lower intensity. In the case of this PhCh–EC–DMF–TPAI-Li based gel electrolyte system, the peak area was reduced from 22727 a.u. to 11987 a.u. corresponding to samples with salt systems that consists entirely of TPAI (B0) and LiI (B6) respectively.
4.3.4. DSSC Analysis

The DSSC parameters for the devices having the binary salt system are shown in Table 4.8. The incorporation of small amount of LiI into the electrolyte has improved the solar cell performance with the maximum efficiency of 6.36 % achieved by the electrolyte with the combination of 0.06 g TPAI and 0.06 g LiI. It is also interesting to note that the efficiencies for all the electrolytes with mixed iodide salts were higher than the two end members which consists only one of them. This increase in efficiency can be attributed to the mixed cation effect (having smaller and larger cations) as observed with many binary salt electrolytes (Ileperuma et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2010). The impact of the mixed salt system on the overall efficiency can be further comprehended by analysing the trend in photocurrent density, $J_{SC}$ and open circuit voltage, $V_{OC}$ with the addition of LiI.

The interactions experienced by the cations at the electrolyte-TiO$_2$ interface are very crucial in determining the $V_{OC}$ parameter. In a typical DSSC, after illumination, the electrons will be injected from the dye accumulate at the conduction band (CB), causing the cations of the electrolyte to be adsorbed for charge compensation (Kalyanasundaram, 2010). Naturally, smaller cations such as Li$^+$ could adsorb on the nano TiO$_2$ grains more
easily compared to bulky cations like TPA\(^+\). The adsorption of the small cation induces upward shift of the CB edge, thus reducing the \(V_{OC}\) value of the solar cell (Dissanayake et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2011). This behaviour is well observed in our system, in which the \(V_{OC}\) value showed gradual decrease upon increment in LiI, reaching the lowest value in B6.

However, as shown in Table 4.8, the efficiency of DSSC in this study is mainly influenced by the changes in \(J_{SC}\), it can be approximated by the following expression:

\[
J_{SC} = q\eta_{lh}\eta_{inj}\eta_{ct}I_o \tag{4.3}
\]

where \(q\) is the elementary charge, \(\eta_{lh}\) is the light-harvesting efficiency, \(\eta_{inj}\) is the charge injection efficiency, \(\eta_{ct}\) is the charge collecting efficiency and \(I_o\) is the light intensity.

According to (Shi et al., 2011), the charge collecting efficiency of the cell is independent of cations in the electrolyte and in a system with different cations, the \(J_{SC}\) value is mainly influenced by the charge injection efficiency. As mentioned earlier, the adsorption of Li\(^+\) on the TiO\(_2\) surface causes a large potential drop as a result of the CB shift. This will enhance the driving force for the electron injection process from the LUMO level of the dye into the CB of TiO\(_2\), resulting in faster electron dynamics at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface and generating a larger photocurrent (Kou et al., 2013). This mechanism explains the increase in the \(J_{SC}\) value from B0 to B3.

On the other hand, high TPAI concentrations favour better iodide conductivity which serves as another salient factor in improving the \(J_{SC}\) value (Bandara et al., 2014a; Dissanayake et al., 2014). Ozawa et al. (2013) had also shown that the inclusion of tetraalkylammonium iodide salt aids in the retardation of the backward electron transfer from TiO\(_2\) to I\(_3^-\) causing an effective improvement in the \(J_{SC}\) value. Thus, the increase of LiI at the expense of TPAI, justifies the drop in \(J_{SC}\) value from B3 to B6. In total, the two competing mechanisms imposed by the small and large cations, work synergistically in this binary iodide system to produce an optimum \(J_{SC}\) and hence efficiency at B3. Figure
4.30 shows that the efficiency of the solar cell for the binary salt is not dependent on the ionic conductivity as the previous system (Table 4.6). This is because the conductivity only depends on free mobile ions. Meanwhile, the efficiency of a solar cell is influenced by many factors including conductivity and activity of iodide ions in the dye sensitized TiO$_2$ semiconductor electrode/electrolyte interface redox.

![Figure 4.29: Current-Voltage curve of PhCh-EC-DMF electrolytes with various ratio of TPAI:LiI.](image)

**Table 4.8:** DSSC parameters of PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI electrolytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$/ mA cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$/ V</th>
<th>$FF$</th>
<th>$\eta$/ %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B0</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>15.22</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td><strong>17.29</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.59</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.62</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.36</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.30: Effects of ionic conductivity and efficiency to the different ratio of TPAI:LiI in double salt system.

A brief summary of some recent work in the literature for the parameters of mixed iodide salt studies in polymer electrolyte based DSSC is shown in Table 4.5. In all cases, it was observed that the mixed salt systems were always superior in performance compared against systems consisting of only single salts. To the best of our knowledge, GPE systems utilizing biopolymers are still scarce, and yet from Table 2.5, it is evident that this work has shown that the solar cell efficiency attained is comparable or in some cases, even better than synthetic polymer based DSSCs.
4.4. GEL POLYMER ELECTROLYTE WITH ADDITION OF IONIC LIQUID

A long list of additives has been proposed over the years and it has often been observed that an additive acts favourably only on a specific photovoltaic cell parameter, but not on others. Thus, there is the need to adopt mixtures of two or more additives in order to maximize each of the cell parameters (Bella et al., 2014). In this subtopic, we have introduced ionic liquid (IL) to the binary salt system GPEs with the goal to improve the efficiency of the DSSC as IL is a green solvent (Hulsbosch et al., 2016; Thomas & Marvey, 2016). According to Bidikoudi et al. (2015), ILs possess good solubility with polysaccharides and biomacromolecules, thus it is suitable with chitosan.

IL is a molten salt at room temperature. IL comprises of a large asymmetrical cation and a weakly coordinating inorganic or organic anion (Sim et al., 2014). IL gives advantages (Abate et al., 2012; Jayaweera et al., 2015; Suzuki et al., 2013) such as

- Low volatility
- Tunable miscibility,
- High thermal stability,
- Wide electrochemical window
- High ionic conductivity.

Imidazolium cation (Im+) based ILs has been frequently chosen by researchers to be applied in DSSCs. According to Huo et al. (2015), in the electrolyte with Li+ and Im+ cations, due to the electrostatic effect, Im+ can adsorb onto the TiO2 surfaces to form Im+ multilayer and further influence the adsorption and function of Li+. Shi et al. (2008) have fabricated a DSSC using electrolyte consist of 1-methyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide (MPII)/LiI/I2/r-BP/3-methoxypropionitrile. The cell exhibited efficiency of 6.73% with $J_{SC}$ of 14.82 mA cm$^{-2}$, $V_{OC}$ of 0.69 V, and $FF$ of 0.66.
Suzuki et al. (2006) have developed a new ionic gel electrolyte by gelation of IL electrolyte (mixture was stirred at 150 °C for 10 min) in order to improve the durability of DSSCs. The semi-solid state electrolytes that consist of 1.0-1.5 wt% of agarose, 1-methyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide, I₂, LiI, t-BP exhibited a DSSC efficiency of 2.93 % with a light intensity of 100 mW cm⁻² at 310 h. Without agarose, the efficiency value was just slightly higher, which is 2.95 %.

![Chemical structure of BMII ionic liquid.](image)

**Figure 4.31:** Chemical structure of BMII ionic liquid.

1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (BMII) can give rise to a constant efficiency at a high level in a wide range of illumination and to the largest efficiency at 1 sun among the various tested imidazolium iodide with short alkyl chains (Otaka et al., 2004). One unique characteristic of BMII compared to the BMICl and BMIBr is that BMII is coloured while the rest is colourless. This is due to the charge transfer transition occurring from HOMO (the non-bonding orbital localized on iodide ion (due to presence of lone pair electron)) to LUMO (mainly localized on imidazolium ring and is antibonding in nature (π⁻)). Besides, since the main stabilization energy between BMI⁺ and I⁻ (11.00 kcal/mol) is lower compared to the BMI⁺ with Cl⁻ and Br⁻ (37.28 and 29.28 kcal/mol, respectively), thus BMII exists as liquid at room temperature while the other two are solid (Shukla et al., 2010).
In this work, various percentages of BMII from 1 to 5 wt.% were introduced into the most efficient PhCh based GPEs with binary salts system.

4.4.1. EIS Analysis

Relationship between ionic conductivity with various weight percentages of BMII of PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI based GPE is exhibited in Figure 4.32. After 1 wt. % of BMII was added to the GPE, the conductivity value has slightly decreased from $6.089 \times 10^{-3}$ to $5.464 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$. As the ionic conductivity was further dropped, the $E_a$ values were observed to increase with more BMII added, from 0.120 to 0.148 eV for samples C0 and C3, respectively. The opposite effects of these two parameters on different wt. % of BMII show that as BMII content is increased, the energy barrier gets higher and consequently the ionic conductivity value will decrease.

By adding BMII, the ionic conductivity is expected to increase as the number of charge carriers available for conduction will increase and at the same time the low viscosity of the IL will reduce the crystallinity of the matrix thereby increases the mobility of the charge carriers (Singh et al., 2008, Singh et al., 2009). However, as weight percentage of BMII increases, the efficiency is observed to decrease. This phenomenon can be observed previously in mixed cations system. The conductivity drop can be attributed to the reduction of polymer flexibility due to its high charge density. Thus, the reduction of polymer flexibility can increase the local viscosity of electrolyte medium hindering mobility of charge carriers (Stepniak et al., 2014).

The values of the transport parameters, $n$, $\mu$ and $D$ have also been tabulated in Table 4.9 in order to explain the ionic conductivity trend. The values of diffusion coefficient, $D$ decrease from $1.522 \times 10^{-4}$ to $8.608 \times 10^{-5}$ with increasing of BMII content, consequently, reducing the conductivity. Effect of BMII on the other two parameters, $n$ and $\mu$ is shown
in Figure 4.33. As the number density of mobile ions, \( n \), increases, the mass of charge carrier, \( \mu \), decreases. \( n \) values rise up to \( 9.500 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) with 3 wt. % of BMII content and fall after additional of BMII. However, value of \( \mu \) is observed to follow the ionic conductivity pattern which decreases with the wt.% of BMII. This shows that conductivity is heavily influenced by the mobility of the charge carriers.

![Figure 4.32: Effects of BMII on the PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI based GPE.](image)

**Table 4.9:** Ionic conductivity values of PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI based gel polymer electrolyte with various weight percentage of BMII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>( \sigma )/ S cm(^{-1} )</th>
<th>( E_a )/ eV</th>
<th>( n )/ cm(^{-3} )</th>
<th>( \mu )/ cm(^{2} ) V(^{-1} ) S</th>
<th>( D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0</td>
<td>( 6.089 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>( 6.519 \times 10^{-18} )</td>
<td>( 5.830 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 1.522 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>( 5.464 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>( 9.298 \times 10^{-18} )</td>
<td>( 3.668 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 9.574 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>( 5.179 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>( 9.500 \times 10^{-18} )</td>
<td>( 3.403 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 8.883 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>( 3.652 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>( 6.913 \times 10^{-18} )</td>
<td>( 3.298 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 8.608 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.33: Relationship between \( n \) and \( \mu \) of the PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI based gel polymer electrolyte with various weight percentage of BMII.

4.4.2. FTIR Analysis

FTIR spectra of the pure BMII is shown in Figure 4.34 and all the peaks assigned to the spectra are tabulated in Table 4.10. The peak assigned to the C-H vibration mode for cyclic BMI\(^+\) appears at wavenumber 748 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \). Characteristic peak at 1560 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) observed is due to the presence of C-N bending mode. A sharp and strong peak at 1170 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) is attributed to the stretching mode of CH\(_3\)-N. The doublet peaks at 1330 and 1380 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are due to the CH\(_2\) deformation of cyclic BMI\(^+\) and CH\(_3\) asymmetric stretching mode, respectively. However, the CH\(_3\) symmetric stretching mode peak arises at 1460 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \). Another sharp peak present at 1560 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) is assigned to C-C and C-N bending mode. Other peaks at 2870, 2930 and 2960 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are attributed to \( \nu(\text{C-H}) \) attached to the BMI\(^+\) ring. The two peaks at 3070 and 3140 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are assigned to the \( \nu(=\text{C-H}) \) mode.
**Figure 4.34:** FTIR spectra of pure BMII ionic liquid.

**Table 4.10:** Peak assignments of pure ionic liquid BMII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibrational mode</th>
<th>Wavenumbers/ cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-H vibration mode for cyclic BMI(^+)</td>
<td>748 (\rightarrow) 740</td>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu(CH_3-N))</td>
<td>1170 (\rightarrow) 1162</td>
<td>Sim et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sim et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moumene et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_2) deformation of cyclic BMI(^+)</td>
<td>1330 (\rightarrow) 1332</td>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_3) asymmetric stretching mode</td>
<td>1380 (\rightarrow) 1388</td>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_3) symmetric stretching mode</td>
<td>1460 (\rightarrow) 1465</td>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-C and C-N bending mode</td>
<td>1560 (\rightarrow) 1576</td>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu(C-H)) attached to the BMI(^+) ring</td>
<td>2870, 2930, 2960 (\rightarrow) 2879, 2938, 2965, 2960, 2931, 2871, 2968, 3119, 3168</td>
<td>Sim et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sim et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ramesh et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu(=C-H))</td>
<td>3070, 3140 (\rightarrow) 3072, 3138</td>
<td>Sim et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3116, 3152 (\rightarrow) 3132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moumene et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The FTIR spectrum of the PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI with various wt.% of BMII are presented in Figure 4.35. The interaction behaviour of the IL with the other components in the GPE can be observed by the changes in the FTIR peaks in terms of intensity, bandwidth and position (Huang et al., 2013). Deconvolution was conducted to certain significant regions in order to study the interaction within the GPEs. Similar to the previous single and double salts systems, four deconvolution regions from the FTIR spectrum were identified for possible coordination environment. The four areas which undergo deconvolution are depicted in Figure 4.35 which are (i) ether, (ii) amide, (iii) carbonyl and (iv) amine/hydroxyl region.

![FTIR spectra for PhCh based gel polymer electrolytes containing various wt.% of BMII.](image-url)
**FTIR spectra of ether group in the range from 1000 to 1200 cm\(^{-1}\)**

There are eight deconvoluted peaks obtained from the ether region from wavenumber 1000 to 1200 cm\(^{-1}\). Relative areas of each of the peaks are presented in Figure 4.36. The first deconvoluted peak at 1047 cm\(^{-1}\) is dedicated to the H-bonded C-O-C stretching mode. Similar behaviour is observed to this highly sensitive peak, i.e. the intensity increases with increasing BMII content. The peak intensity at 1069 cm\(^{-1}\) which is attributed to the CH\(_3\) rocking mode decreases as the BMII content increases. The highest intensity peak at 1079 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to cations complexing to the C-O-C site. The peak at 1095 cm\(^{-1}\) is dedicated to the crystalline complexes. The intensity of the peaks decrease as the BMII content increases. Another peak at 1104 cm\(^{-1}\) dedicated to the coordination of cations with C-O-C site appears after BMII is added to the gel electrolytes suggesting the coordination between BMI\(^+\) with the ether site which in turn stifles the crystallinity of the GPE matrix. In the discussion of FTIR of double salts (Section 4.3.2), the two peaks at 1156 and 1171 cm\(^{-1}\) did not show much change with different salt ratios. However, significant changes can be seen to the peak intensity after the BMII is introduced to the GPE system. Changes in intensity of peak 1156 cm\(^{-1}\) which is attributed to the stretching vibration of C-O from EC might be due to the coordination between EC and with BMII. Peak 1171 cm\(^{-1}\) increases with increasing BMII content might be due to the contribution of CH\(_3\)-N stretching mode which can be seen from the FTIR spectra of pure BMII.

**FTIR spectra of amide/carbonyl group in the range from 1580 to 1840 cm\(^{-1}\)**

The presence of the C=O group in most of the components in the PhCh based GPEs allows possible coordination with the other species. Deconvolution has been done to the first part of this region, amide region, in the range between 1580 and 1700 cm\(^{-1}\). The contributions of each resolved peak is presented in histogram in Figure 4.37. The
first two peaks in this region attributed to the ordered and disordered interfacial domains from polymer arise at 1637 and 1649 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Unlike in double salt system, no significant changes can be seen to the peak intensity of these peaks with variation of BMII content. The most intense peak at 1660 cm$^{-1}$ is dedicated to carboxamide group from chitosan. Low intensity peak at 1668 cm$^{-1}$ is detected in the double salt system. The peak diminished as soon as the BMII is added to the system. The peak attributed to the DMF arised at 1674 cm$^{-1}$. Overall, the peaks in this first half of the C=O region shows not much difference with the increasing of BMII wt. %.

\[ \text{Figure 4.36: Relative FTIR percentage area in the region of 1000-1200 cm}^{-1}. \]

The second part of the C=O region is located between 1700 and 1840 cm$^{-1}$. This region corresponds to the presence of amide R(C=O)NR$_2$ group. The relative areas of each of the resolved peaks are shown in Figure 4.38. Similar observation with the previous two systems, the intensity of the peak at 1718 cm$^{-1}$ which is due to the coordination of cations to the phthalimido group in PhCh is seen to be independent of the BMII content. The intensity of the peaks attributed to the EC at 1773 and 1805 cm$^{-1}$
showed increment after addition of BMII, but then no changes are observed as the content of BMII is increased. The peak associated to the non-bonded C=O at 1788 cm$^{-1}$ dramatically dropped in intensity after BMII is added.

**Figure 4.37:** Relative FTIR percentage area in the region of 1580-1700 cm$^{-1}$.

**Figure 4.38:** Relative FTIR percentage area in the region of 1700-1840 cm$^{-1}$. 
**FTIR spectra of amine/hydroxyl group in the range from 3130 to 3700 cm$^{-1}$**

Figure 4.39 presents the contribution of the deconvoluted peaks from the region of 3130 to 3700 cm$^{-1}$ involving amine and hydroxyl groups of the GPEs. The peak at 3247 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the coordination of N-H to C-O-C is observed to increase with BMII content. However, the small peaks at 3363, 3535 and 3593 cm$^{-1}$ which attributed to coordination of N-H to C=O, N-H band with cations and O-H with cations, respectively, show no significant changes as the BMII content is increased. Lower intensity of these three significant peaks compared to the other two previous system might likely be the reason for the decreasing in conductivity. The most intense peak at 3451 cm$^{-1}$ due to the O-H shifted the band of PhCh from the original position of 3442 cm$^{-1}$. The intensity of the peak is seen to be decreasing with increasing wt.% of BMII. In single salts system, the peak at 3634 cm$^{-1}$ which is assigned to the coordination of cation slightly increase with increasing salt content. After the introduction of second iodide salt, the peak intensity started to decrease due to the competition between the two existing cations. Then, after addition of BMII ionic liquid, the peak disappeared suggesting no more of cations coordination occurred at this band.

![Graph](image-url)

**Figure 4.39:** Relative FTIR percentage area in the region of 3130-3700 cm$^{-1}$. 
4.4.3. XRD Analysis

XRD pattern of the GPEs containing various weight percentages of BMII ionic liquid are presented in Figure 4.40. There is no obvious trend shown by the intensity of the peaks as the content of BMII is increased. Different observation was obtained to this series compared to the previous systems where the peak intensity will decrease parallel to the increasing of ionic conductivity values. This might be due increasing interaction among the molecules of BMII with the gel components including polymer, salts and plasticizers as the BMII content increases, thus the gel network becomes more compact (Huo et al., 2015). Consequently, the viscosity of the GPE increases leading to the decreases in ionic conductivity (Shalu et al., 2013).

![XRD pattern](image)

**Figure 4.40:** XRD pattern of the PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI-LiI based GPE with different wt. % of BMII

4.4.4. DSSC Analysis

In order to enhance the efficiency of the dye-sensitized solar cells, IL is added into the polymer electrolyte system (Bandara et al., 2010c; Singh et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2009). In this work, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (BMII) was introduced into the
most efficient PhCh-EC-DMF-TPA1-LiI GPE, B3, also designed as C0 in this system. The effect of BMII on the mixed iodide electrolytes in photovoltaic aspect can be observed in the I-V curve as shown in Figure 4.41.

The DSSC parameters have been tabulated in Table 4.11. The efficiency of the DSSC increases after 1 wt.% of BMII is added to the gel polymer electrolyte with the value of 6.69%. The $J_{SC}$, $V_{OC}$ and $FF$ obtained are 16.53 mA cm$^{-2}$, 0.62 V and 0.65, respectively. The addition of IL into the polymer electrolyte matrix has enhanced the overall solar cell efficiency. It shows that the dispersal of IL having low viscosity has increased the homogeneity, reduced the crystallinity of polymer electrolyte and provided more amorphous regions which may assist in the contact of working electrode and electrolyte (Singh et al., 2011). The $J_{SC}$ value is decreased after the increment of the DSSC efficiency, meanwhile the $V_{OC}$ value was seen to increase. This might correlates to the upward shift of the conduction band edge induced by the adsorption of low-charge-density cations on the surface of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals. According to Shi et al. (2008), $V_{OC}$ value increase as the equilibrium potentials of $\Gamma/\Gamma_3^-$ and $V_{OC}$ of DSSCs increased with the decrease of total concentrations of $\Gamma$ and $I_3^-$ in the IL mixtures. At higher BMII content, the DSSC performance drops until the efficiency value reached 5.97% with 5 wt. % of IL. The $J_{SC}$, $V_{OC}$ and $FF$ are 14.82 mA cm$^{-2}$, 0.61 V and 0.66, respectively.

**Table 4.11:** DSSC parameters of the GPEs with various content of BMII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$/ mA cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$/ V</th>
<th>$FF$</th>
<th>$\eta$/ %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C0</td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td><strong>0.62</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.65</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.69</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.41: $J-V$ curve of the GPEs with various content of BMII.
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS

5.1. Conclusions

N-Phthaloylchitosan (PhCh) has been prepared by reacting chitosan with phthalic anhydride; success of the phthaloylation procedure was confirmed by $^1$H NMR, FTIR and XRD characterizations. This modified chitosan, PhCh, showed a great potential as a gel polymer electrolyte host. The chemical structure of N-phthaloylchitosansynthesized was confirmed by FTIR and $^1$H NMR while its amorphousness by XRD. In the solubility test, PhCh was observed to be completely soluble in DMF, DMSO, DMAc and pyridine. Thus, DMF was used as the solvent and also plasticizer in the preparation of PhCh based gel polymer electrolytes.

A gel polymer electrolyte was successfully prepared with N-phthaloylchitosan as the polymer host matrix with variation in EC and DMF as the entrapped solvents. The entrapment of TPA$^+$ in these interactions enabled more mobility for I$^-$ ions as TPAI content increases, which in turn lead to higher conductivities and solar cell efficiencies. The optimum conditions was obtained for the gel polymer electrolyte which showed the highest conductivity of $5.46 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at room temperature and exhibited the best performance in DSSC with efficiency of 5.0%. XRD analyses revealed that addition of tetrapropylammonium iodide (TPAI) in GPE further reduced the amorphousness of the PhCh. FTIR analyses indicated significant occurrence of both inter- and intra hydrogen bonded interactions and evidence of ion complexation between polymer–plasticizer–salt.

Introduction of smaller cations, Li$^+$, to the gel polymer electrolytes along with the bulky cations was shown to improve the photogeneration of electrons at the dye with optimum condition found to increase the efficiency to 6.36% though its conductivity was not the highest. This results also showed that the conductivity parameter was not necessarily a direct indicator of the optimum efficiency for this GPE system since various other transport processes also occur in the DSSC. Nonetheless the synergistic salt-matrix
interactions have shown that properties of the binary salt system were superior to that of either single salt system.

Ionic liquid was introduced to the binary salt system gel polymer electrolytes with the goal to improve the efficiency of the dye sensitized solar cell. The ionic conductivity was further dropped with more BMII added from $6.089 \times 10^{-3}$ to $3.652 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$. However, the performance of the DSSC slightly increased after 1 wt.% of BMII was added to the GPE with the value of 6.69%.

5.2. Suggestions for future studies

Further work should be carried out to improve the performance of PhCh based polymer electrolytes in the devices. Interesting approaches are:

1. To characterize these electrolytes using rheology in order to determine the elastic and viscous properties.

2. To improve the ionic conductivity and efficiency of DSSC by substituting EC/DMF with succinonitrile as it has high dielectric constant which shows the ability of succinonitrile to dissociate the salts for high ionic conductivity to the larger extent.

3. To improve the efficiency of the DSSC by adding 4-tertbutyl pyridine (TBP). By introducing TBP in the gel polymer electrolytes, $V_{OC}$ of the DSSC is expected to increase, thus may lead to increasing in value of efficiency.
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APPENDIX A: Photographs of selected steps in preparation of PhCh.
APPENDIX B: Data analysis from the XRD curves of PhCh-EC-DMF-TPAI GPE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Area (a.u)</th>
<th>FWHM (a.u)</th>
<th>Center (degree)</th>
<th>Height (a.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>121912.70</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>14457.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>58708.15</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>6864.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>49471.28</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>5674.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>44962.65</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>5401.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>31437.91</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>21.79</td>
<td>3844.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>26664.43</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>21.27</td>
<td>3453.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>22727.40</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>2874.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>24895.78</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>3324.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C: Properties of XRD spectrum of PhCh based gel polymer electrolytes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Area (a.u)</th>
<th>FWHM (a.u)</th>
<th>Center (degree)</th>
<th>Height (a.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B0</td>
<td>22727.40</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>2874.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>19928.15</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>2863.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>19100.10</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>2590.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>18863.94</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>2290.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>14914.16</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>2036.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>12344.78</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>1785.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>11986.80</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>22.16</td>
<td>1732.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D1 - FTIR spectra for the deconvolution peaks at ether region for various content of TPAI
APPENDIX D2 - FTIR spectra for the deconvolution peaks at amide region for different content of TPAI in the C=O region; (A) 1580 to 1700 cm$^{-1}$ and (B) 1700 to 1840 cm$^{-1}$
APPENDIX D3 – FTIR spectra for the deconvolution peaks at N-H/O-H region for different content of TPAI in the PhCh based electrolytes.
APPENDIX E1 – FTIR spectra for the deconvolution peaks at ether region for various ratio of TPAI:LiI in the PhCh based electrolytes
APPENDIX E2 – Deconvoluted peaks of PhCh-EC-DMF-TPA1-LiI in the (A) 1580-1700 cm\(^{-1}\) and (B) 1700-1840 cm\(^{-1}\) region.

![Graphs showing deconvoluted peaks](image-url)
APPENDIX E3 – FTIR spectra of the deconvolution peaks for various ratio of TPAI:LiI in the PhCh based electrolytes at 3500-3700 cm\(^{-1}\) region.