

ABSTRACT

Several computational methods have been proposed to solve optimal control problems. These methods are classified either as direct or indirect methods. This thesis is based on solving optimal control problems by using both direct and indirect methods.

Orthogonal functions have been used to solve various problems of dynamic systems. A typical example is the Haar wavelet function, which is used in this work to convert the underlying differential equations in an optimal control problem into a system of linear algebraic equations.

To establish an indirect method, we propose a novel feedback control method that uses only linear systems to solve affine nonlinear control system with quadratic cost function and infinite time horizon. This method is a numerical technique that is based on the combination of Haar wavelets operational matrices and successive Generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (GHJB) equation. This method improves the closed-loop performance of stabilizing controls and reduces the problem of solving a nonlinear Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation to solve the corresponding GHJB equation. An interesting fact is that when the process of improving the controls and solving GHJB equation is iterated, the solution to the GHJB equation converges uniformly to the solution of the HJB equation which is in the form of the gradient of the Lyapunov function $\nabla V(x)$. The Lyapunov function $V(x)$ is the measure of the performance index, which can be determined by integrating $\nabla V(x)$ parallel to the axes. In the process of establishing this novel feedback control method, we have to define new operational matrices of integration for a chosen stabilizing domain $[-\tau, \tau)$ and a new operational matrix for the product of two dimensional Haar wavelet functions.

To establish a direct method, an efficient new algorithm is proposed to solve nonlinear optimal control problems with a finite time horizon under inequality constraints. In this technique, we parameterize both the states and the controls by using Haar wavelet functions and Haar wavelet operational matrix. The nonlinear optimal control problem is converted into a quadratic programming (QP) problem through the quasilinearization iterative technique. The inequality constraints for trajectory variables are transformed into quadratic programming constraints by using the Haar wavelet collocation method. The quadratic programming problem with linear inequality constraints is then solved by using standard QP solver.

Both proposed numerical methods have been applied to several examples. The proposed methods obtain better or comparable results compared with other established methods. Moreover, the methods are attractive, stable, convergent and easily coded.

The direct method has been applied in this thesis to solve a practical optimal control problem. This problem is the multi-item production-inventory model with stock-dependent deterioration rates and deterioration due to self-contact and the presence of the other stock. The problem is addressed by using four different types of demand rates namely, constant, linear, logistic and periodic demand rates. The solution to the model is discussed numerically and displayed graphically. By enhancing the resolution of the Haar wavelet, we can improve the accuracy of the states, controls and cost. Simulation results were also compared with those obtained by other researchers.

ABSTRAK

Beberapa kaedah pengiraan telah dicadangkan untuk menyelesaikan masalah kawalan optimum. Kaedah-kaedah ini dikelaskan sama ada sebagai kaedah langsung atau kaedah tidak langsung. Tesis ini adalah berdasarkan kepada menyelesaikan masalah kawalan optimum dengan menggunakan kedua-dua kaedah langsung dan tidak langsung.

Fungsi ortogon telah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan berbagai masalah dalam sistem dinamik. Satu contoh yang biasa adalah fungsi gelombang kecil Haar yang digunakan dalam tesis ini untuk menukar asas persamaan pengamiran sandaran dalam masalah kawalan optimum kepada suatu sistem persamaan aljabar linear.

Bagi mewujudkan kaedah langsung, kami mencadangkan kaedah kawalan suap balik yang asli yang menggunakan hanya sistem linear untuk menyelesaikan sistem kawalan afin tak linear dengan fungsi kuadratik dan ufuk masa tak terhingga. Kaedah ini adalah satu teknik berangka yang berasaskan gabungan matriks operasi gelombang Haar dan persamaan teritlak Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) berturutan. Kaedah ini meningkatkan prestasi gelung tertutup kawalan stabil dan menurunkan masalah menyelesaikan persamaan tak linear Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) kepada menyelesaikan persamaan GHJB yang sepadan. Satu fakta menarik ialah apabila proses meningkatkan kawalan dan menyelesaikan persamaan GHJB dilelarkan, penyelesaian untuk persamaan GHJB itu menmpu secara seragam ke penyelesaian bagi persamaan HJB dalam bentuk kecerunan fungsi Lyapunov $\nabla V(x)$. Fungsi Lyapunov $V(x)$ adalah ukuran bagi indeks prestasi, yang boleh ditentukan dengan mengkanirkan $\nabla V(x)$ selari kepada paksi- paksi. Dalam proses mewujudkan kaedah kawalan suap balik yang asli ini kami perlu menentukan matriks operasi pengamiran yang untuk domain perstabilan $[-\tau, \tau)$ menstabilkan yang dipilih dan matriks operasi baru bagi hasil darab fungsi gelombang kecil Haar dalam dua dimensi.

Untuk mewujudkan kaedah langsung, satu algoritma baru yang cekap telah dicadangkan untuk menyelesaikan masalah kawalan optimum tak linear dengan tempoh masa yang terhad di bawah kekangan ketahsamaan. Dalam teknik ini, kami berparameter kedua-dua keadaan dan kawalan menggunakan fungsi gelombang kecil Haar dan matriks operasi gelombang kecil Haar. Masalah kawalan optimum tak linear ditukar menjadi masalah pengaturcaraan kuadratik (QP) melalui teknik lelaran kuasilinear. Selain itu, Kekangan ketahsamaan bagi pembolehubah trajektori diubah menjadi kekangan pengaturcaraan kuadratik menggunakan kaedah kolokasi gelombang kecil Haar. Masalah pengaturcaraan kuadratik dengan kekangan ketaksamaan linear kemudiannya diselesaikan menggunakan solver QP yang biasa.

Kedua-dua kaedah berangka yang dicadangkan telah digunakan pada beberapa contoh. Kami mendapati bahawa kaedah yang dicadangkan mendapatkan keputusan yang lebih baik atau yang setanding berbanding dengan kaedah biasa yang lain. Juga, kaedah ini adalah menarik, stabil, menumpu dan mudah dikodkan.

Dalam tesis ini, kaedah langsung telah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan suatu masalah kawalan optimum praktis. Masalahnya ialah model inventori-pengeluaran pelbagai item dengan kadar kemerosotan bergantung kepada stok dan kemerosotan disebabkan saling bersantuhan dan kehadiran stok lain. Masalah ini diatasi dengan menggunakan empat jenis kadar permintaan iaitu kadar permintaan tetap, linear, logistik dan berkala. Penyelesaian kepada model dibincangkan secara berangka dan dipaparkan secara grafik. Dengan meningkatkan resolusi gelombang kecil Haar, kami boleh meningkatkan ketepatan keadaan, kawalan dan kos. Keputusan simulasi juga dibandingkan dengan hasil yang diperolehi oleh penyelidik lain

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, Praise to Allah for His Blessings and without His Will, this study will never be completed.

I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my supervisor, **Dr. Amran Hussin**, for guided me in the genesis of this thesis and had shown great dedication in helping me through the completion of this study. His kindness and patience in supplementing my knowledge is unparalleled.

With deep sense of gratitude, I would like to thank my parents and family members who have always supported me throughout the period. Without their tremendous love and support, I would not have been able to concentrate on my study and endure some difficult times through all these years.

Special thank also goes to my friends; Huda Abdualrazzaq, Walaa Najm, Manal Sharbatily, Dr. Rabha Ibrahim, and others whom have always directly or indirectly motivate me all along this journey.

I also would like to gratefully acknowledge the support given by the staff members of the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya especially Mrs. Puan Budiyah, Mr. Malik for their support and assistance.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to the successful realization of thesis, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally one by one.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview of Thesis	1
1.2 Motivation	6
1.3 Scope of the Study	8
1.4 Research Objectives	9
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	10
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	13
3 THE HAAR WAVELET METHOD	
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Haar Wavelet Function	29
3.3 Haar Series Expansion	33
3.4 Matrix of One-dimensional Haar Wavelets	36

3.5	Operational Matrix for Integrating One-dimensional Haar Wavelets	39
3.6	Operational Matrix of the Product of One-dimensional Haar Wavelet Vectors	42
3.7	Operational Matrix of the Integral Products of One-dimensional Haar Wavelet Vectors	44
3.8	Matrix of Two-dimensional Haar Wavelets	46
3.9	Approximation of Function for Two-dimensional Functions	49
3.10	Operational Matrices for Two-dimensional Haar Wavelets	50
3.10.1	Partial Integration of Function Vectors of Two-dimensional Haar Wavelets with Respect to x_1	51
3.10.2	Partial Integration of Function Vectors of Two-dimensional Haar Wavelets with Respect to x_2	57
3.11	Operational Matrix of the Product of Two-dimensional Haar Wavelet Vectors	63
3.12	Algorithm to Compute the Operational Matrix of the Product of Two-dimensional Haar Wavelet Vectors	71
3.13	Conclusion	74
4	INDIRECT METHOD NONLINEAR OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS	
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Problem Statement	76
4.3	Generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation	77
4.3.1	Principle of Optimality	77

4.4	Successive Generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation	82
4.4.1	Algorithm of the Successive GHJB Equation	84
4.5	Approximation Functions via Haar Wavelets Approximation	86
4.6	Successive Haar Wavelet Collocation Method	92
4.7	Numerical Results	102
4.7.1	Example 1	102
4.7.2	Example 2	105
4.7.3	Example 3	107
4.7.4	Example 4	112
4.7.5	Example 5	115
4.8	Numerical Discussions	121
4.9	Conclusion	123
5	DIRECT METHOD CONSTRAINED OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS	
5.1	Introduction	124
5.2	Problem Statement	125
5.3	Proposed Method	126
5.4	Numerical Solution to the Nonlinear Optimal Control Problem	127
5.4.1	Quasilinearization Technique	127
5.4.2	Optimal Control Problem using Haar Wavelet Method	128
5.4.2.1	Parameterization using Haar Wavelet Functions	128
5.4.2.2	Approximation of the Performance Index	131

5.4.2.3	Approximations of System Dynamics	136
5.4.2.4	Approximations of Equality Constraints	139
5.4.2.5	Approximations of Inequality Constraints	140
5.5	Numerical Results and Discussions	142
5.5.1	Linear Optimal Control Problems	143
5.5.1.1	Example 1	143
5.5.1.2	Example 2	147
5.5.2	Nonlinear Optimal Control Problems	151
5.5.2.1	Example 3	151
5.5.2.2	Example 4	159
5.6	Conclusion	164
6	APPLICATION OF HAAR WAVELET METHOD TO PRODUCTION-INVENTORY MODEL	
6.1	Introduction	166
6.2	Optimal Control of Two-Item Production- Inventory Model	167
6.3	Reformulate of the Optimal Control of Two-Item Production- Inventory Model	169
6.4	Numerical Solution	170
6.4.1	Constant Demand Rates	170
6.4.2	Linear Demand Rates	175
6.4.3	Logistic Demand Rates	180
6.4.4	Periodic Demand Rates	189

6.5	Numerical Discussions and Conclusion	193
7	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	
7.1	Conclusion	196
7.2	Future Work	199
	REFERENCES	201
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND SEMINARS	212
	APPENDIX	
A	KRONECKER PRODUCT	213
B	MATLAB CODE FOR INDIRECT AND DIRECT METHODS	218

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
3.1	First four Haar functions in the interval of $(0 \leq x < 1)$	30
3.2	First four Haar functions in the interval of $(-1 \leq x < 1)$	31
3.3	Collocation point	36
4.1	Phase flow plotted against lines of constant cost (Beard, 1995)	82
4.2	Algorithm of the successive generalized Hamilton-Jacobi Bellman equation	85
4.3	Optimal feedback control for Example 1 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}$, respectively	104
4.4	Value cost function for Example 1 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16, 32$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{32}$, respectively	105
4.5	Value cost function for Example 2 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16$	106
4.6	Optimal feedback control for Example 2 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16$	107
4.7	Optimal feedback control for Example 3 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16, 32$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{32}$, respectively	108
4.8	Value cost function for Example 3 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16, 32$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{32}$, respectively	109
4.9	Approximate solution for cost function with $m = 32$ and $\varepsilon = 0.001$ for Example 3	110
4.10	Exact solution for cost function with $m = 32$ for Example 3	110
4.11	Approximate solution for optimal feedback control via the SHWCM with $m = 32$, $\varepsilon = 0.001$ and 14 iterations for Example 3	111
4.12	Exact solution for optimal feedback control with $m = 32$ for Example 3	113

4.13	Optimal feedback control for Example 4 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16, 32$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{32}$, respectively	113
4.14	Value cost function for Example 4 via the SHWCM with $m = 8, 16, 32$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{32}$, respectively	114
4.15	Some state trajectories for Example 4	115
4.16	First optimal feedback control for Example 5 via the SHWCM with $m = 4, 16, 32, 64$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{15}, -\frac{1}{31}, -\frac{1}{63}$, respectively	117
4.17	Second optimal feedback control for Example 5 via the SHWCM with $m = 4, 16, 32, 64$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{15}, -\frac{1}{31}, -\frac{1}{63}$, respectively	118
4.18	Value cost function for Example 5 via the SHWCM with $m = 4, 16, 32, 64$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{15}, -\frac{1}{31}, -\frac{1}{63}$, respectively	119
4.19	Approximate solution for cost function with $m = 64$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and 31 iterations for Example 5	120
4.20	Approximate solution for first optimal feedback control with $m = 64$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and 31 iterations for Example 5	120
4.21	Approximate solution for second optimal feedback control with $m = 64$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and 31 iterations for Example 5	121
5.1	State variable $x_1(t)$ for Haar wavelet resolutions $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^6, 2^8$ and $t_f = 10$ obtained from Example 1	145
5.2	State variable $x_2(t)$ with for Haar wavelet resolutions $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^6, 2^8$ and $t_f = 10$ obtained from Example 1	146
5.3	Control variable $u(t)$ with Haar wavelet resolutions $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^6$ and $t_f = 10$ obtained from Example 1	147
5.4	State variables $x_1(t)$, $x_2(t)$ and inequality constraint $r(t)$ obtained in Example 2 for $m = 128$ and $t_f = 1$	149

5.5	Control variable $u(t)$ obtained in Example 2 for $m = 128$ and $t_f = 1$	150
5.6	State variable $x_1(t)$ of Example 3 for 5 quasilinearization iterations with $m = 64$ and $t_f = 5$	153
5.7	State variable $x_2(t)$ of Example 3 for 5 quasilinearization iterations with $m = 64$ and $t_f = 5$	154
5.8	Control variable $u(t)$ of Example 3 for 5 quasilinearization iterations with $m = 64$ and $t_f = 5$	155
5.9	State variable $x_1(t)$ obtained in Example 3 for $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5$ and 2^6 with $t_f = 5$	156
5.10	State variable $x_2(t)$ obtained in Example 3 for $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5$ and 2^6 with $t_f = 5$	157
5.11	Control variable $u(t)$ obtained in Example 3 for $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5$ and 2^6 with $t_f = 5$	158
5.12	States variables $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ obtained in Example 4 for $m = 128$ and $t_f = 5$	162
5.13	Control variable $u(t)$ obtained in Example 4 for $m = 128$ and $t_f = 5$	163
6.1	First inventory level against time $t_f = 5$ $m = 256$ using constant demand rates and for $k=1, 2, 3$ quasilinearization iterations	172
6.2	Second inventory level against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ using constant demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3$ quasilinearization iterations	173
6.3	First production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ using constant demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3$ quasilinearization iterations	174
6.4	Second production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ using constant demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3$ quasilinearization iterations	175
6.5	First inventory level against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using linear demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ quasilinearization iterations	177

6.6	Second production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using linear demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ quasilinearization iterations	178
6.7	First production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using linear demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ quasilinearization iterations	179
6.8	Second production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using linear demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ quasilinearization iterations	180
6.9	First inventory level against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using logistic demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ quasilinearization iterations	182
6.10	Second inventory level against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using logistic demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ quasilinearization iterations	183
6.11	First production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using logistic demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ quasilinearization iterations	184
6.12	Second production rate against time $t_f = 5$ and $m = 256$ of the application of the direct method using logistic demand rates for $k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ quasilinearization iterations	185
6.13	First inventory level against time with $t_f = 5$ and $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ and 2^7 using logistic demand rates	186
6.14	Second inventory level against time with $t_f = 5$ and $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$ using logistic demand rates.	187
6.15	First production rate against time with $t_f = 5$ and $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ and 2^7 using logistic demand rates.	188
6.16	Second production rate against time with $t_f = 5$ and $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ and 2^7 using logistic demand rates.	189
6.17	First inventory level against time with $t_f = 5$ and $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ and 2^7 using periodic demand rates.	190

- 6.18 Second inventory level against time with $t_f = 5$ and 191
 $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ and 2^7 using periodic demand rates.
- 6.19 First production rate against time with $t_f = 5$ and $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ 192
and 2^7 using periodic demand rates
- 6.20 Second production rate against time with $t_f = 5$ and 193
 $m = 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$ and 2^7 using periodic demand rates

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
4.1	Iteration results $u^{(i)}$ for Example 1 when $m = 8$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}$	103
4.2	Iteration results $V^{(i)}$ for Example 1 when $m = 8$ and $x_1 = -\frac{1}{8}$	103
4.3	Numerical comparison of feedback control methodologies in Example 5 at initial condition $\mathbf{x}_0(1, 1)$, (Beeler et al., 2000)	116
5.1	Results of the performance index for Example 1 with resolution of Haar wavelet $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$ and 256	144
5.2	Results of the performance index for Example 2 for Haar wavelet resolutions $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$ and 256	149
5.3	Simulation results of the performance index for Example 2 (Vlassenbroeck, 1988)	151
5.4	Optimal value of the performance index and convergence error for Example 3 with Haar wavelet resolution $m = 64$	153
5.5	Results of the performance index for Example 3 with Haar wavelet resolutions $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$ and 256	156
5.6	Simulation results of the performance index for Example 3 (Vlassenbroeck, 1988)	159
5.7	Results of the performance index for Example 4 with Haar wavelet resolution for $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$ and 256	161
5.8	Optimal value of the performance index and convergence error for Example 4 with resolution of Haar wavelet $m = 128$	161
5.9	Simulation results of the performance index for Example 4	163
6.1	Values of system parameters and the initial states (El-Gohary & Elsayed, 2008)	170
6.2	Simulation results of the application of the direct method using constant demand rates for $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128$, and 256	172

6.3	Simulation results of the application of the direct method using linear demand rates for $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128,$ and 256	176
6.4	Optimal value of the performance index and convergence error for the application of the direct method using linear demand rates for resolution of Haar wavelet $m=256$	176
6.5	Simulation results of the application of the direct method using logistic demand rates for $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128,$ and 256	181
6.6	Optimal value of the performance index and convergence error for application of the direct method using logistic demand rates for resolution of Haar wavelet $m = 256$	181
6.7	Simulation results of the application of the direct method using periodic demand rates for $m = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128,$ and 256	190
6.8	Summarize result obtained from El-Gohary and Elsayed, (2008) method for application with four types of demand rates	195

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

u^*	Optimal control
x_j	Collocation points
x	State variable
x^*	Optimal infinite horizon state trajectory corresponding to V^*
J	Performance index
\mathbf{x}_0	Initial condition vector
\mathbf{x}_f	Final condition vector
u	An arbitrary admissible control
m	Level of Haar wavelet
t	Time
t_f	Finite time
t_0	Initial time
n_1	Number of state variables
n_2	Number of control variables
\mathbf{T}	Transpose operation
\mathbf{H}_m	Haar wavelet matrix
\mathbf{H}_{ess}	Hessian matrix
$h_0(t)$	Haar scaling function
$h_1(t)$	Haar mother wavelet function
\mathbf{P}_m	Haar wavelet operational matrix
$\mathbf{h}_m(t)$	Vector of Haar wavelet functions

c_i	Haar series coefficient
d_i	Haar series coefficient
Q	Positive semi-definite matrix
I_n	Identity matrix
R	Symmetric positive definite matrix
M(c)	Operational matrix of product one dimension
N(D)	Operational matrix of product two dimensions
LQP	Linear quadratic programming problem
Eqn.	Equation
HJB	Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation
GHJB	Generalize Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equation
$V^{(i)}$	i^{th} performance index in successive GHJB equation
$u^{(i)}$	i^{th} control in successive GHJB equation
V^*	Solution of the HJB equation
Ω	Compact subset of \mathfrak{R}^n containing a ball around the origin
$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$	Row vector of partial derivatives of V , $\left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_n} \right]$
SHWCM	Successive Haar wavelet collocation method